

NORTH CAROLINA PUBLIC STAFF UTILITIES COMMISSION

July 31, 2020

Ms. Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

Re: Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219 – Application of Duke Energy Progress,

LLC for Adjustment of Rates and Charges Applicable to Electric

Utility Service in North Carolina

Dear Ms. Campbell:

In connection with the above-referenced docket, we transmit herewith for filing on behalf of the Public Staff the testimony of James S. McLawhorn, Director, Electric Division, supporting a second partial stipulation.

By copy of this letter, we are forwarding copies to all parties of record.

Sincerely,

/s/ Elizabeth D. Culpepper Staff Attorney elizabeth.culpepper@psncuc.nc.gov

/s/ William E. Grantmyre
Staff Attorney
william.grantmyre@psncuc.nc.gov

Attachment

Executive Director (919) 733-2435

Communications (919) 733-5610

Economic Research (919) 733-2267 Legal (919) 733-6110 **Transportation** (919) 733-7766

Accounting (919) 733-4279

Consumer Services (919) 733-9277

Electric (919) 733-2267

Natural Gas (919) 733-4326

Water (919) 733-5610

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219

In the Matter of		
Application of Duke Energy Progress,)	TESTIMONY OF
LLC, for Adjustment of Rates and)	JAMES S. MCLAWHORN
Charges Applicable to Electric Utility)	PUBLIC STAFF - NORTH
Service in North Carolina)	CAROLINA UTILITIES
)	COMMISSION
)	SUPPORTING SECOND
)	PARTIAL STIPULATION

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION **DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1219**

Testimony of James S. McLawhorn Supporting Second Partial Stipulation

On Behalf of the Public Staff

North Carolina Utilities Commission

July 31, 2020

1	Q	PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, ADDRESS,
2		AND PRESENT POSITION.
3	Α	My name is James S. McLawhorn. My business address is 430 North
4		Salisbury Street, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am the Director of the
5		Public Staff – Electric Division.
6	Q.	DID YOU FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE ON APRIL 13,
7		2020?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
10		PROCEEDING?
11	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to support the Second Agreement
12		and Stipulation of Partial Settlement (Second Partial Stipulation) filed

1		on July 31, 2020, between Duke Energy Progress, LLC (DEP or the
2		Company), and the Public Staff (Stipulating Parties) regarding
3		certain issues related to the Company's pending application for a
4		general rate increase.
5	Q.	WHAT BENEFITS DOES THE SECOND PARTIAL STIPULATION
6		PROVIDE FOR RATEPAYERS?
7	A.	From the perspective of the Public Staff, among the most important
8		benefits provided by the Second Partial Stipulation are:
9		(a) A significant reduction in the Company's proposed
10		revenue increase in this proceeding; and
11		(b) The avoidance of protracted litigation by the Stipulating
12		Parties before the Commission and possibly the appellate
13		courts.
14		Based on these ratepayer benefits, as well as the other provisions of
15		the Stipulation, the Public Staff believes the Stipulation is in the
16		public interest and should be approved.
17	Q.	WHAT ARE THE SPECIFIC AREAS OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN
18		THE STIPULATING PARTIES IN THE SECOND PARTIAL
19		STIPULATION?
20	A.	The Stipulating Parties were able to reach agreement on the
21		following issues in the Second Stipulation:

1	 The parties agree to a return on equity of ROE of 9.6% - This
2	ROE is below the 2020 average for vertically integrated
3	utilities, and is the lowest ROE for an investor-owned utility in
4	North Carolina in at least 30 years (in anyone's memory
5	currently on the Public Staff);
6	The parties agree to a capital structure ratio for each company
7	of 52%/48% – This ratio is very close to DEP's current capital
8	structure;
9	The parties agree that DEP should return federal unprotected
10	EDIT over five years, NC EDIT over two years, and deferred
11	revenues over two years – this is consistent with the treatment
12	of EDIT for other utilities;
13	• The parties agree to the Company's request for deferral
14	accounting treatment for the following programs, as described
15	in witness Oliver's Exhibit 10, limited to the estimated three-
16	year capital budget period of 2020-2022: Self-Optimizing Grid
17	(SOG) (all subprograms including Capacity and Connectivity,
18	Segmentation and Automation, ADMS), Conversion to CVR,
19	Integrated Systems Operations Planning (ISOP),
20	Transmission System Intelligence, Distribution Automation,
21	Power Electronics, DER Dispatch Tool, and Cyber Security.

For all other GIP investments proposed by the Companies in

22

1	these dockets, the Companies agree that they should
2	withdraw their request for deferral accounting;
3	• DEP should update to its May 2020 cost of debt, which is
4	4.04%;
5	DEP may update plant through May 2020. Its revenues should
6	be updated through May, but only 75% should be allowed to
7	recognize the uncertainty regarding effects of COVID. The
8	update should include benefits and executive compensation;
9	Coal ash capital projects such as dry ash storage, STAR
10	water treatment project deferrals should be amortized over
11	eight years;
12	For purposes of this case only with no precedential effect, the
13	Public Staff accepts the Summer Coincident Peak (SCP) cost
14	of service allocation methodology;
15	This acceptance of the SCP cost of service allocation
16	methodology should have no impact on the rate design study
17	proposed by Public Staff witness Floyd and endorsed by DEP
18	and DEC witness Pirro. DEP also agrees to conduct an
19	analysis of various cost of service study methodologies;
20	• In addition to \$6 million DEP has agreed to contribute in its
21	settlement with the North Carolina Sustainable Energy
22	Association, the North Carolina Justice Center, the North

1		Carolina Housing Coalition, the Natural Resources Defense
2		Council, and the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy to the
3		Helping Home Fund, DEP agrees to contribute \$5 million to
4		assist low income customers with payment of their bills; and
5		DEP should reduce the annual funding of its Nuclear
6		Decommissioning Fund by \$8.7 million.
7	Q.	ARE THERE ANY AREAS ABOUT WHICH THE STIPULATING
8		PARTIES DID NOT REACH AGREEMENT?
9	A.	Yes. The Stipulating Parties did not reach agreement regarding the
10		following:
11		Coal ash costs - Cost recovery of the Company's coal ash
12		costs, recovery amortization period and return during the
13		amortization period;
14		Depreciation Rates – The depreciation rates appropriate for
15		use in this case, including the Company's proposal to shorten
16		the lives of certain coal-fired generating facilities; and
17		any other revenue requirement or non-revenue requirement
18		issue not specifically addressed in the First Stipulation, the
19		Second Stipulation, or agreed upon in the testimony of the
20		Stipulating Parties.

- 1 The Public Staff fully supports its filed positions on these particular
- 2 issues, and intends to demonstrate the appropriateness and
- 3 reasonableness of its positions through litigation in this case.

4 Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR TESTIMONY?

5 A. Yes, it does.