

Dunston, Antonia

From: Robert Tellez
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Tellez

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Tellez

Email

bobtellez@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello! I made an investment in a Solar installation on my house in 2019. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers, like myself, should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Vijay Srinivasan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Vijay Srinivasan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Vijay Srinivasan

Email

vijay210@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NCUC should do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Joel Brubaker
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joel Brubaker

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joel Brubaker

Email

joel.w.brubaker@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a residential roof top solar customer of Duke Energy, I get confused by their demands of a change for users like myself. Duke says we pay less for the energy we consume. I question that. Because I was forced to go to net metering, I have a demand load charge that is usually more than what my electric usage costs. How do I pay less for energy that I push out to the grid when I turn around and re-consume it on cloudy days or at night? I'm paying for the energy I pushed out and then turn around and use. One thing I've noted in the 10 years with my system, Duke Energy always gets its money. My units are not money producing for me. My bill consistent before and after the installation on costs. I did this for more environmental reasons. I expect to have a fair seat at the table. Dukes proposed demands will not make that happen.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Abhijit Bagal
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Abhijit Bagal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Abhijit Bagal

Email

abebagal@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a homeowner with solar panels on my roof. Please reject this proposal as it would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jeremy Isett
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeremy Isett

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeremy Isett

Email

jeremyisett@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please disregard Duke Energy's recent request to change the rules around Solar Power credits. The incentives in place barely cover the cost of installing solar panels. Imagine the reduction in coal burning if we could double the amount of rooftop solar. If anything, we should double the incentives and credits for installing solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Arun
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Arun

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Arun

Email

arunchittanoor@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please don't allow utility company to lower excess solar generated by rooftop solar. Stop allowing excess monthly fees. This will reduce solar adoption a lot. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals as well. Please consider this as a serious problem to environment as well.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Rebekah Robinson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rebekah Robinson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rebekah Robinson

Email

rebekah.ann.r@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation must be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Furthermore, Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Joseph Saponaro
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph Saponaro

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joseph Saponaro

Email

joesaponaro2@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Power forces me to pay out-of-pocket every January when my solar generation is low, but then gives me no credit for my extra power generate through May. I paid \$50,000 for my system, why is Duke able to in essence use my extra power without crediting or paying? Please move your priority to solar customers and do not grant Duke the Net Metering changes they seek. Thank you Joseph Saponaro

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Mike Gilbert
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mike Gilbert

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mike Gilbert

Email

m80g@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers such as myself should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan for the life of my system. Please help support NC Solar investors in our state!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Aaron Syler
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Aaron Syler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Aaron Syler

Email

aaron.syler@victra.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I had panels installed on my roof in May of 2020 and during the spring and summer months, our bills are just \$15, which is Duke's minimum fee to keep the home connected. That's the same for everyone. However, we make more energy than we use and I've never seen a check from Duke. I see these ads about getting paid by the electric companies for extra power going back to the grid, but I've never seen any of it. Duke is a greedy company and they lack integrity. Their ethics are very suspicious. They don't deserve to steal more money from those of us that are trying to help save the environment. Please Please reject Duke's proposal! -Aaron Syler (20 Sanderling Ct. Durham, NC 27713)

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: William Gordon
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William Gordon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Gordon

Email

wegordon26@yahoo.com

Docket

E100 Sub180LI

Message

Let Duke Energy keep the metering they already have, it have me save money.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Feimster
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Feimster

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Feimster

Email

johnfeimster@mac.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please DO NOT change how net metering works for North Carolina and Duke Energy. They already take extra that I produce on June 1st to reset back to 0. Other states such as Florida actually pay out anything extra to the consumer in a credit and we don't even get that before the reset on June 1st. Solar panels are large investment, and we should be rewarded that we care enough about the environment to help out, but instead we are going to be punished. It is not right! Duke Energy just wants to get richer and richer up top where us regular people are just harmed. I know I will remember this on the next election if this is changed in favor of Duke Energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: jeanie Martz
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by jeanie Martz

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

jeanie Martz

Email

jeaniemartz@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not change the way Duke Energy calculates net metering. It's costs more than it should already!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Bruce Hodgins
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Hodgins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bruce Hodgins

Email

cbhodgins@icloud.com

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

Please keep net metering simple and straightforward for residential PV solar installations. Anything more complex than what is now a fair and equitable even swap of kilowatt hours is a strike against the public good.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Heather Boyles
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Heather Boyles

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Heather Boyles

Email

bh.boyles@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Yvonne Jordan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Yvonne Jordan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Yvonne Jordan

Email

tibusdriver@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

I urge you to not change the way Duke energy pays us for our energy generated by our solar systems. It is very unfair. We have had many issues with solar already. With the promises that we would get all these rebates. Lies. Our solar cost above 55,000. Were were told by the solar company, you will be given owner 20,000 for your solar bringing the cost down to (about) 30,000. They told us not to worry, it would run our air conditioner with out an issue. This was one of the main reasons we purchased this terribly expensive piece of Junk. Another lie. We are lucky it runs our refrigerator. Now Duke wants to lower the cost we get from our solar generator. This is Not appropriate! This is the other thing we were promised, we wouldn't have to pay any extra- you would be paying for the electric by paying for the solar installation. The solar will pay for your electric bill. When do the lies stop?

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Jennifer Frenhc
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:58 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Frenhc

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jennifer Frenhc

Email

jenfrench27@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules for residential customers. I have had solar panels for almost seven years, and it is not acceptable that I get compensated less than the retail rate for the energy that I produce. For what it is worth, I still end up paying Duke Energy every month for electricity, so it is not like they are losing money because I am producing so much power. Thank you, Jennifer

Dunston, Antonia

From: Richard Phillips
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:58 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Phillips

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Phillips

Email

mister_chivas@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not make any changes that will negatively affect me as a solar provider.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Daniel Clelland
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Daniel Clelland

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Daniel Clelland

Email

pixmonks@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, Please reject Duke energy's net metering proposal. It would devalue solar energy at a time when solar power is needed more than ever. Thank you for your time and consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Harden Barker
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Harden Barker

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Harden Barker

Email

beenc2@att.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do not change the current net metering format that Duke Energy is proposing. They are attempting to change the rules in order to make more money; not to mention the additional climate damage that will result from fewer residential solar installations.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Corrine Yonas
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Corrine Yonas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Corrine Yonas

Email

corrineyonas@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke gets enough money. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Drake
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Drake

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Drake

Email

johndrake7165@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do not support any bill that takes away from my solar investment. I have spend thousands of dollars to lessen my carbon footprint and in no way deserve to be charged more for just connection to the power grid or lessen the dollar amount of return I'm giving back to Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: KRUNAL GUPTA
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by KRUNAL GUPTA

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

KRUNAL GUPTA

Email

pintu.krunal@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Message

Please do not accept the Duke Energy proposal. This is not going to fair with residents who install solar panels in their roof top. Our state will be going in backward if you accept this proposal. Also modify Net-metering not to reset zero at 1st of June every year. Duke makes lots of money by doing this. As everyone knows that March to May mostly all solar roof top homeowners produce more energy, but according to Duke Net metering policy it reset to zero on 1st of June. So in actual summer were homeowner can use their credit , which they can't. From June to October we have to pay more because of our savings was reset. Why Duke not reset net metering in September or October. If Duke reset to Zero means are they Grounded our energy? if yes then it's good if not that means they are selling this energy to another customer. Which is unfair to all solar panel owners. Please take an action against this issue as well. Thank you,

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Kendrick Weeks
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kendrick Weeks

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kendrick Weeks

Email

Kendrickweeks@att.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I am supportive of streamlined, easy to understand rules and regulations in general and for straightforward net metering policy specifically. Current policy incentivizes homeowners to play an active role in meeting clean energy goals, diversifies and strengthens the grid, improves Duke Energy's customer relationships, and prevents residential solar generators from focusing more on and shifting to off grid solutions that will be more favorable to them than a net metering agreement. Changing agreements with residential producers after installation is not only unfair, it will only result in animosity and an equal and opposite reaction. I would suggest that the Commission encourage Duke Energy to find technical and policy solutions to their problems that are fair, just, and straightforward.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ronald Sigrist
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ronald Sigrist

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ronald Sigrist

Email

ronaldsigrist@triad.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to make sure that you please protect the Solar users in North Carolina and reject Duke Power's plans and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: dave navratil
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by dave navratil

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

dave navratil

Email

coldnavratil@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

How can anyone justify changing the current process? Current customers should be allowed to evaluate the logic before approving changes. I support renewable energy, at a HUGE personal expense. Please let our voices be heard.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Heather Black
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Heather Black

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Heather Black

Email

jaxonhendrix@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar consumer, I made the decision to provide renewable energy for our community. This was an attempt to improve the future for my kids and families in NC. Please decline Duke Energy's request to decrease net metering for those of us trying to add to resources, instead of depleting them.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Troy Trottier Ph.D
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Troy Trottier Ph.D

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Troy Trottier Ph.D

Email

bainin@sbcglobal.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. This would be conducted by an honest 3rd party, not Duke Energy. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. I have paid cold hard cash to help save our environment. Be sure you make Duke do the same before you let them steal my self funded generation. Regards

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Rob Aspell
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rob Aspell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rob Aspell

Email

rob.aspell@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Net metering changes will adversely affect the adoption rate of solar in our state and set us in the wrong direction. We need to ensure homeowners are paid as part fairly for being a node on Duke's infrastructure. Duke should buy power at the same rate they charge for power and maintain fairness instead of gouging.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Paul Stanley
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Stanley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Stanley

Email

paulinstanley@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I added solar to my home in Raleigh earlier this year based on current factors such as net metering rates, cost per kwh, and my family's average consumption. I'm proud that I bought a right-sized system which covers most of my energy usage cost-wise. I'm concerned that if net metering rates are changed to a time-of-use system, and especially if the sell-back rates are lowered, it'll favor Duke Energy and not people like me who are trying to take pressure off of the grid at a reasonable cost to ourselves. That feels like a step in the wrong direction for our community. Thanks, Paul Stanley

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Edwardb Potter
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edwardb Potter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edwardb Potter

Email

sylvesterpotter@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

investigate solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: David Gallatin
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Gallatin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Gallatin

Email

dgallatin@heliosstation.org

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposed change to net metering rules for solar power. The NCUC is required to investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy's claims that solar power customers do not pay their fair share are unproven, with some evidence contradicting their claim. Duke's proposal is extremely complex and should not be accepted until and unless all of its complexities have been investigated and found to be a) well-founded, b) beneficial to North Carolina's populace, not just to Duke Energy, and c) fair.

From: Bill Zemak
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bill Zemak

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bill Zemak

Email

usmcbz@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a retired 75 year old homeowner who invested in a solar array to help offset energy costs during retirement and to do my part in providing a more green source of energy. Currently my residential solar array is generating power and returning excess quite a bit of power to Duke Energy on an annual basis which I do not get reimbursed for. I am very opposed to the change in regulations in this docket. Having entered into an agreement with Duke Energy almost three years ago and subsequently investing over \$32,000.00 to purchase and have this solar array installed it is simply not ethical for Duke Energy to change the rules, especially for existing customers. What should be changed is that Duke Energy be required to pay the residential customer for all excess power on an annual basis while maintaining the current net metering agreement. I would be happy to discuss this matter with you at anytime. My contact information is: Bill Zemak 4005 Honeybrook Ct Monroe NC 28110 704 219 6216

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ken Walend
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ken Walend

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ken Walend

Email

kwalend@citcom.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hi My decision to make the major solar investment and commit to a new \$57k mortgage was based largely on the very fair net metering plan. It is totally unfair to now have this plan changed, or changed without some offsetting compensation. If Duke wants to change this plan, Duke should have to purchase my solar array.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Michael ALAN Gentile
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael ALAN Gentile

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael ALAN Gentile

Email

mikettravel@mindspring.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the Duke Power net metering proposal . I installed solar on both homes I own to help reduce fueled power production by providing green electrical power for myself and additional power supplied to the grid for others to use.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Cary LaMay
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Cary LaMay

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Cary LaMay

Email

PaladinCML@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I would like to request that the NCUC investigate the cost and benefits of rooftop solar before prior to any changes be mad to net metering. The proposal that Duke Energy is making can negatively effect rooftop solar jobs, cause unpredictable billing increases (it has been called "anti-consumer"), and causing a devalued rate for the energy that solar homes provide to the grid. Please allow us to stay on our current net metering plan for the life of our solar powered systems. - Thank You - Cary LaMay

Dunston, Antonia

From: Leslie Yount
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:52 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Leslie Yount

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Leslie Yount

Email

littlesbyleslie@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering rules. They are complicated and unfair and will have a detrimental effect not only on all existing solar customers but on the push to use more renewable energy sources. Investigate the true costs of solar before changing net metering rules in NC. Do not allow Duke Energy's greed to dictate the rules!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Kathy Saltamartini
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:52 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kathy Saltamartini

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kathy Saltamartini

Email

kmyersraleigh@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We decided to put solar on our home after repeatedly paying closed to \$500 monthly (on the budget plan). We still have an electric bill. We never break even or have a credit. We have 56 upgraded panels on our home. According to the company who installed them, it is the largest residential plan they have done. I feel quite strongly that Duke Energy STILL makes money off of us. Other states are very welcoming and encourage home owners to install solar. Duke claims they have a "great rebate" program. It is honestly BS. I had entered their lottery within less than 2 mins of it opening and was told they were out of funds. I inquired to how much they had to give back. Could not get an answer. I realize Duke has more money and pull than the average homeowner, however at the very least we should be grandfathered in to maintain what we sign up for.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Leo Michael Santowasso
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Leo Michael Santowasso

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Leo Michael Santowasso

Email

mikesanto@att.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. This investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. NCUC MUST conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. - Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. - Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. - The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. If NCUC decides to allow Duke Energy to revise the current net metering agreement, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: - Higher fixed monthly fees - Time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this DOES NOT coincide with Duke's actual peak demand - Compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy SHOULD NOT be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Marek Poniewierski
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marek Poniewierski

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marek Poniewierski

Email

MP6814@HOTMAIL.COM

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I highly disagree with any proposed changes that may negatively impact my current net metering schedule. Thank you for your careful attention, Marek Poniewierski

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Justin quaglia
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Justin quaglia

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Justin quaglia

Email

justin@quaglia.us

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please REJECT Duke's harmful proposal to destroy net metering. I am a homeowner who is generating clean energy for you. Do NOT take away this option from me.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Claude Dowdle
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Claude Dowdle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Claude Dowdle

Email

cmdowdle223@gmail.com

Docket

E100 sub180

Message

We love our existing solar system and I was thinking of having Duke upgrade it. They have gotten ridiculous. I just want to expand a little, get battery and tell Duke to come get their meter

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Sheila S Morris
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sheila S Morris

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sheila S Morris

Email

smscully@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From: Noah Gift
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Noah Gift

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Noah Gift

Email

noah.gift@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex; which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ardouin Boucard
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ardouin Boucard

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ardouin Boucard

Email

aboucard@comcast.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I would like to ask that you reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules for residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount that we get paid for the excess solar energy we share with the grid. This proposal would slow the growth of solar in North Carolina. Thanks very much, Ardouin Boucard

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jonathan Wade
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jonathan Wade

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jonathan Wade

Email

jonathanwade@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please consider the true costs of change to solar policies for the good of the State, the environment, and the existing citizens of North Carolina, like myself, who have incurred great expense in placing residential solar on my house, or like the employees of a number of NC companies who would be negatively affected by the changes that are being recommended by Duke Power.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Thomas & Alice Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas & Alice Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thomas & Alice Johnson

Email

Thomasalicej@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm writing to humbly ask that you reject the proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. It's imperative that NC lead the way in our country's efforts to achieve our country and North Carolina's climate goals. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Shauna Lofton
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Shauna Lofton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Shauna Lofton

Email

shaunacoxrn@yahoo.com

Docket

E100 SUB 180

Message

We the people hope that you would reject Dukes proposal to change the amount paid to the consumers for the excess solar that our houses generate!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Daniel Freeze
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Daniel Freeze

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Daniel Freeze

Email

dan_freeze@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

A true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC is a leader in the solar space, despite Duke's efforts to impede, and we need to keep it a bright spot for this state. Also, for many consumers that have made a solar investment, changing the rules now will lead to differing payback on their investment. Unfair to these pioneers who are trying to make a change for the good. Ultimately it would lead to a total slowdown of solar capacity growth in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Nichica F Melton
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nichica F Melton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nichica F Melton

Email

nichica@ymail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar customer I find it disrespectful for Duke Energy to be allowed to weasel more money out of customers. Please do a thorough investigation of solar and how it benefits the user and the world that we live in. We seem to be the only country that doesn't get it.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022