

**SPRINGDALE WATER AND SEWER, LLC  
DOCKET NO. W-1324, SUB 0**

**ROYAL OAKS, INC.  
DOCKET NO. W-406, SUB 6**

**TESTIMONY OF D. MICHAEL FRANKLIN  
ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF  
NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION**

**MARCH 18, 2020**

1    **Q.    PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS**  
2                    **ADDRESS, AND PRESENT POSITION.**

3    A.    My name is D. Michael Franklin. My business address is 430 North  
4                    Salisbury Street, Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am a  
5                    Utilities Engineer with the Water, Sewer & Telephone Division of the  
6                    Public Staff – North Carolina Utilities Commission (Public Staff).

7    **Q.    BRIEFLY STATE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND EXPERIENCE**  
8                    **RELATING TO YOUR PRESENT POSITION WITH THE PUBLIC**  
9                    **STAFF.**

10   A.    I graduated from the University of South Carolina, earning a Bachelor  
11                    of Science Degree in Engineering. I worked in the electric utility  
12                    industry for 33 years prior to joining the Public Staff in June 2019. While  
13                    employed by the Public Staff I have presented recommendations in  
14                    water/wastewater rate proceedings before the Commission.

15   **Q.    WHAT ARE YOUR DUTIES IN YOUR PRESENT POSITION?**

1 A. My duties with the Public Staff are to monitor the operations of  
2 regulated water and wastewater utilities with regard to rates and  
3 service. Included in these duties are conducting field investigations to  
4 review, evaluate, and recommend changes, when needed, in the  
5 design, construction, and operations of regulated water and  
6 wastewater utilities; presentation of expert testimony in formal  
7 hearings; and presentation of information, data, and recommendations  
8 to the Commission.

9 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SCOPE OF YOUR INVESTIGATION IN**  
10 **THIS CASE.**

11 A. On October 4, 2019, Springdale Golf Partners, LLC and Springdale  
12 Water & Sewer, LLC (Springdale), filed an Application with the  
13 Commission seeking authority to transfer a public utility franchise and  
14 for approval of rates for providing water and sewer utility service in  
15 Springdale Estates Subdivision and Springdale Country Club  
16 (together, Springdale Estates) in Haywood County, North Carolina. On  
17 January 27, 2020, the Commission issued the Order Scheduling  
18 Hearings and Requiring Customer Notice.

19 My investigation included a field inspection, review of company  
20 records, review of consumer statements, review of records from the  
21 North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ), and  
22 gathering information from other sources. I have conducted an analysis  
23 of revenues at existing and proposed rates, assisted Public Staff

1 Accountant Iris Morgan in reviewing capital improvements and  
2 expenses, and designed water and wastewater rates to generate the  
3 service revenue requirement calculated by the Public Staff.

4 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PURCHASE AND OWNERSHIP OF THE**  
5 **WATER AND SEWER UTILITY ASSETS.**

6 A. On March 28, 2018, by General Warranty Deed recorded with the  
7 Haywood County Register of Deeds and a Bill of Sale, Springdale Golf  
8 Partners, LLC purchased from Royal Oaks, Inc. (Royal Oaks), the real  
9 and personal property that included all assets used in connection with  
10 the operation of the existing Springdale Estates Water System  
11 including wells, pipes and pipelines, pumps, pump houses, storage  
12 tanks, maintenance buildings and the Springdale Estates Wastewater  
13 System, including the sewer collection system and the wastewater  
14 treatment plant, and all sewer related appurtenances. Neither  
15 Springdale Golf Partners, LLC nor Royal Oaks requested North  
16 Carolina Utilities Commission approval prior to the transfer of  
17 ownership of the public utility franchise.

18 Filings on the North Carolina Secretary of State's website indicate  
19 Springdale Golf Partners, LLC and Springdale Water and Sewer, LLC  
20 are owned by the same individuals: Alexander West, Sr. (50%) and  
21 Alexander West, Jr. (50%).

1 Springdale desires that the water and sewer systems be owned and  
2 operated under Springdale Water and Sewer, LLC. The Public Staff  
3 recommends that any Commission Order require that Springdale Golf  
4 Partners, LLC provide evidence of transfer (all necessary deeds,  
5 easements, and bill of sale) to Springdale Water and Sewer, LLC within  
6 30 days of such Order.

7 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE SERVICE AREA.**

8 A. The Springdale service area is located east of US-276 W between East  
9 Fork Ranch Road and Harley Creek Road in southeast Haywood  
10 County, 12 miles east of Waynesville, NC. There are currently 106  
11 residential customers and 7 commercial customers. Five commercial  
12 customers and 45 residential customers receive metered water and  
13 wastewater utility service. Two commercial and 61 residential  
14 customers receive metered water-only utility service. All commercial  
15 customers are part of the Springdale Country Club.

16 **Q. HAS SPRINGDALE PROVIDED CUSTOMER NOTICE, AS**  
17 **REQUIRED, DURING THIS PROCEEDING?**

18 A. Yes, Springdale has provided the required customer notification.  
19 Springdale filed a Certificate of Service, dated January 29, 2020,  
20 following the Order issued on January 27, 2020.

1    **Q.    HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY CUSTOMER COMPLAINTS AS A**  
2           **RESULT OF THE CUSTOMER NOTICE AND HEARING IN THIS**  
3           **PROCEEDING?**

4    A.    The Public Staff received twelve consumer statements by email. Of the  
5           emails received, nine stated concerns regarding Springdale's  
6           proposed increase amount and three were concerns regarding the  
7           zero usage, base charge increase, which applies regardless of usage.  
8           Those concerned with the base charge increase were individuals living  
9           in Springdale Estates part time. Other concerns identified in the  
10          consumer statements were water quality (3), frequency of outages (3),  
11          and timing of the customer hearing (2).

12          No consumer statements identified concerns regarding the transfer of  
13          public utility franchise from Royal Oaks to Springdale or the operational  
14          performance of the wastewater system.

15          A customer hearing was held on March 11, 2020, in Waynesville, North  
16          Carolina, with 5 individuals providing customer testimony. At the  
17          conclusion of the hearing, Springdale agreed to provide a response to  
18          the concerns expressed in the customer testimony by no later than  
19          April 1, 2020.

20   **Q.    IS THE UTILITY SYSTEM IN COMPLIANCE WITH NCDEQ**  
21   **REGULATIONS?**

1     A.     I have reviewed Public Water Supply Section (PWSS) records and  
2           received information on the Springdale Estates Water System from Mr.  
3           William P. Conner of the Asheville Regional Office of PWSS. Mr.  
4           Conner recently conducted a sanitary survey of the water system, and  
5           sent a letter to Springdale, dated November 14, 2019, which states in  
6           part, “. . . no deficiencies were identified.”

7           I received information from Ms. Mikal Willmer of the Asheville Regional  
8           Office of The Water Quality Regional Operations Section on the  
9           Springdale Estates Wastewater System. The most recent Compliance  
10          Evaluation Inspection occurred on November 30, 2016, when Royal  
11          Oaks was the system owner. The December 19, 2016 letter to Royal  
12          Oaks documenting the inspection results states in part, “. . . the system  
13          was determined to be in compliance with Permit NC0040355.” The  
14          inspection stated the facility still had rust issues (from the previous  
15          inspection) and should be repainted to extend the overall lifespan of  
16          the system and recommended that, at a minimum, the two primary  
17          aeration basins be repainted.

18          The Water System and the Wastewater System currently have no  
19          open or unresolved Notices of Violation.

20     **Q.     ARE THERE ANY UNRESOLVED NOTICES OF VIOLATION BY**  
21           **NCDEQ, DIVISION OF WATER RESOURCES IN THE PAST THREE**  
22           **YEARS?**

1 A. No. The water system and wastewater system have not been issued  
2 any unresolved Notices of Violation by NCDEQ in the past three years.  
3 Based on information provided by Springdale and NCDEQ, and the  
4 Public Staff's investigation, I have found Springdale is providing  
5 adequate service to the customers.

6 **Q HAS THE PUBLIC STAFF HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO VISIT AND**  
7 **TOUR THE EXISTING WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS?**

8 A. Yes, on March 11, 2020, David Furr of the Public Staff and I,  
9 accompanied by Mr. Buddy Lawrence and Mr. Gifford Raulerson,  
10 representatives from Springdale, visited and visually inspected the  
11 Springdale water and wastewater systems.

12 Springdale's water system operates under DEQ Permit NC01441113,  
13 which is renewed annually. The system consists of two wells and well  
14 houses with required appurtenances including sand filters and a  
15 45,000-gallon ground storage tank located at a higher elevation than  
16 Springdale Estates. The water system also includes a chemical  
17 treatment facility with chemical addition apparatus, two chlorine  
18 contact tanks, two booster pumps, and mains and distribution lines.  
19 The water system equipment appeared to be in adequate condition.

20 Public Staff water system recommendations made to Springdale  
21 included signage posting prohibiting the use of chemicals within a 100-  
22 foot radius of the well houses; separating the electrical service to the

1 well houses and chemical treatment facility from the golf course  
2 electrical loads; installing system flushing capabilities at system low  
3 points; and performing water testing for chlorine residual at the ground  
4 storage tank.

5 The Springdale Wastewater Treatment Plant (WWTP) is approved and  
6 operated under National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System  
7 (NPDES) Permit No. NC0040355. The system consists of an  
8 equalization basin and two operating aeration packages: a 0.025  
9 million gallons per day (MGD) package and a 0.015 MGD package.  
10 Two 0.0075 MGD aeration packages are also installed but not in use.  
11 A settling basin is also part of the wastewater system and according to  
12 Springdale's wastewater system operator, Mountain Water, the  
13 volume of the settling basin is 12,000 gallons. There is visible rust on  
14 all installed equipment, although currently it does not appear to  
15 adversely affect system operation.

16 Public Staff wastewater system recommendations made to Springdale  
17 were to implement the recommendations identified in NCDEQ's  
18 November 30, 2016 Compliance Evaluation Inspection.

19 **Q. WHAT ARE THE PRESENT AND PROPOSED RATES?**

20 A. The present water and sewer rates were established by Order dated  
21 November 22, 2016, in Docket No. W-406, Sub 5 and Docket No.  
22 M-100, Sub 138. Under the present monthly metered water rate base



1 charge, zero usage is \$6.59, and the usage charge is \$2.63 per 1,000  
2 gallons. The Springdale proposed monthly metered water rate base  
3 charge, zero usage is \$30.00 and a usage charge of \$4.00 per 1,000  
4 gallons.

5 The present monthly metered sewer rate base charge, zero usage is  
6 \$10.81 and a usage charge of \$3.76 per 1,000 gallons. The Springdale  
7 proposed monthly metered sewer rate base charge, zero usage is  
8 \$36.00 and a usage charge of \$5.00 per 1,000 gallons.

9 Springdale is also proposing raising connection charges to existing  
10 mains. A water tap connection would increase from \$350.00 to  
11 \$1,000.00. A sewer tap connection would increase from \$950.00 to  
12 \$1,250.00.

13 **Q. WHAT ARE THE ANNUAL SERVICE REVENUES UNDER**  
14 **PRESENT AND SPRINGDALE PROPOSED RATES?**

15 A. The annual water and wastewater revenues are as follows:

16	<u>Rate Type</u>	<u>Current Revenue</u>	<u>Proposed Revenue</u>
17	Water	\$21,967	\$60,499
18	Wastewater	\$16,873	\$35,412
19	Total	\$38,840	\$95,911

1           The annual service revenues are based on an average usage of 3,654  
2           gallons per month for water and 4,604 gallons for wastewater. My  
3           calculation of current and proposed revenue is provided in Franklin  
4           Exhibit 1.

5   **Q.   HAVE YOU RECOMMENDED ANY ADJUSTMENTS TO**  
6   **OPERATING EXPENSES?**

7   A.   Yes, I have provided Public Staff Accountant Iris Morgan with  
8           recommendations for adjustments to expenses related to water and  
9           wastewater system testing, purchased power, permit fees, and sludge  
10          removal expenses. Each is discussed in more detail as follows:

11                   **WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEM TESTING EXPENSES**

12          I reviewed Springdale's water system and wastewater system testing  
13          expenses. The types of water system and wastewater system tests  
14          that must be performed and the testing frequency are determined by  
15          NCDEQ compliance standards for the Safe Drinking Water Act for the  
16          water system and Springdale's NPDES permit for the wastewater  
17          system. Springdale has an agreement with Mountain Water to provide  
18          drinking water and wastewater operations at a cost of \$1,500 per  
19          month. Included in the agreement is Mountain Water's performance of  
20          various testing for both the water and wastewater systems and the  
21          issuance of monthly and annual reports. However, the cost of some  
22          testing required by NCDEQ or the NPDES permit are not covered in  
23          the Mountain Water agreement.

1 Comparing the testing required by NCDEQ and the NPDES permit to  
2 the testing covered by the Mountain Water agreement resulted in an  
3 additional annual testing fee expense of \$629 for the water system and  
4 \$134 for the wastewater system. Details of my analysis are included in  
5 Franklin Exhibit 2.

6 **PURCHASED POWER**

7 Springdale provided test year receipts for purchased power from  
8 Haywood Electric Membership Corporation. The receipts were for four  
9 different electric meter numbers and corresponding account  
10 descriptions. Based upon my review of the receipts, those for account  
11 description "Water Storage Tank" (meter number 10-010-783) and  
12 "Pump Sewage Treatment Plant 11" (meter number 10-005-796) are  
13 considered applicable to the filing. The invoices associated with "Pump  
14 01 GC Pumphouse" (meter number 10-033-385) were discussed with  
15 Springdale during the site visit on March 11, 2020. According to  
16 Springdale, the water system wells and the chemical treatment  
17 equipment are on the same meter as the electrical loads for the golf  
18 course.

19 To determine the purchased power expense allowed for operating the  
20 Springdale water system wells and chemical treatment equipment, the  
21 monthly invoices for meter 10-033-385 were reviewed. Assuming golf  
22 course loads in the North Carolina Mountains will be lower in the late  
23 Fall and early Winter months, the monthly invoices for the months of

1 November 2018 through February 2019 were averaged. This average  
2 monthly rate was then used to determine the annual purchased power  
3 amount for the Springdale water system wells and chemical treatment  
4 equipment. As a result, I adjusted the water system purchased power  
5 expense to \$10,558; \$10,147 for the Springdale wells and chemical  
6 treatment equipment and \$411 for the storage tank operation.

7 Additionally, a single invoice for meter 10-001-464 for \$32.49 was  
8 provided by Springdale. However, Springdale could not identify the  
9 location or purpose of the meter, resulting in this amount being  
10 disallowed. In conclusion, a total of \$5,093 was disallowed for water  
11 system purchased power. No adjustments were made for wastewater  
12 system purchased power.

13 **PERMIT FEES**

14 In the Application, Springdale identified only the permit fees for the  
15 wastewater system as an expense. Review of the NCDEQ website for  
16 the water system shows that a permit fee of \$330 was paid to NCDEQ  
17 for the water system. As a result, the permit fees were adjusted to  
18 include the \$330 paid to NCDEQ for water system permitting.

19 **SLUDGE REMOVAL**

20 Springdale did not list any expenses for sludge removal in the  
21 Application. The Public Staff discussed sludge removal expenses with  
22 the wastewater system operator to determine the frequency and

1 volume of sludge removal. The operator stated that sludge is removed  
2 approximately once a year using a 2,000-gallon pumping truck. Based  
3 on this information, the Public Staff adjusted the sludge removal  
4 expense from \$0 to \$350.

5 **Q. ARE THERE ANY CLARIFICATIONS NEEDED ON ALLOWED**  
6 **OPERATING EXPENSES?**

7 A. Yes, a clarification is needed on the allowed Chemical expenses. In  
8 response to Date Request 1.e), Springdale could only provide limited  
9 chemical invoices that totaled less than the chemical expense values  
10 identified in the Application of \$607 for the water system and \$600 for  
11 the wastewater system. The Public Staff discussed the chemical  
12 expenses with the water system and wastewater system operator to  
13 determine the validity of the chemical expense values in the  
14 Application. The chemical expenses were then calculated using the  
15 chemicals and chemical quantities provided by the operator and the  
16 chemical unit cost shown on the invoices that Springdale provided in  
17 response to DR 1.e). Where an invoice for the chemical was not  
18 provided, online searches of chemical vendors were used to determine  
19 the typical chemical unit cost. The calculation resulted in chemical  
20 expenses higher than those contained in the Application. As a result,  
21 the chemical expense Application amount is considered acceptable  
22 and no adjustments were made.

1   **Q.    WHAT ADJUSTMENTS HAVE YOU RECOMMENDED TO PLANT**  
2           **IN SERVICE?**

3    A.    I have assisted Public Staff Accountant Iris Morgan in review of plant  
4           in service and made the following recommendations:

5                                   **RECLASSIFIED ITEMS**

6           I have recommended the following item be classified as a capital  
7           expense for the water system:

8           Well Pump and Motor Replacement                                   \$8,537

9           I have recommended the following item be classified as a capital  
10          expense for the wastewater system:

11          Blower Replacement   \$2,126

12                                   **DEPRECIATION LIVES**

13          I have recommended the following service lives for the capitalized  
14          items:

15          Well Pump and Motor   7 years

16          Blower   10 years

17   **Q.    WHAT RATES ARE RECOMMENDED BY THE PUBLIC STAFF?**

18    A.    The Public Staff recommended monthly metered water rate base  
19          charge, zero usage is \$9.35 and a usage charge of \$3.75 per 1,000  
20          gallons. The recommended monthly metered sewer rate base charge,  
21          zero usage is \$12.30 and a usage charge of \$3.85 per 1,000 gallons.

1 The Public Staff's recommended rates result in an average monthly  
2 metered water bill of \$23.04 and average monthly metered water and  
3 sewer bill of \$53.04. The rate design is provided as Franklin Exhibit 3.

4 Springdale proposed an increase in its connection charges from \$350  
5 to \$1,000 for a water tap to existing mains and from \$950 to \$1,250 for  
6 a sewer tap to existing mains. Springdale could not provide sufficient  
7 justification for the increase in these charges. As a result, the Public  
8 Staff is recommending no changes to the connection charges.

9 **Q. WHAT IS THE BOND AMOUNT RECOMMENDED FOR THE**  
10 **WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS?**

11 A. The Public Staff recommends a bond of \$25,000 for the water system.  
12 The system has adequate capacity and water storage, appears to be  
13 in good condition, and the current certified operator has a long history  
14 operating the system. The new owner, Springdale, plans to make  
15 upgrades to the system to facilitate better operation and water quality.  
16 No near term expansion of the system should be necessary. The  
17 \$25,000 would cover a large part of replacement of a water storage  
18 tank or be adequate to cover a significant equipment  
19 repair/replacement.

20 The Public Staff recommends a bond of \$25,000 for the wastewater  
21 system. The system has more than adequate capacity with multiple  
22 trains, and the current certified operator has a long history operating

1 the system. The new owner, Springdale, plans to make upgrades to  
2 the system to improve the condition of the treatment facility. No near  
3 term expansion of the system should be necessary. The \$25,000  
4 would cover a large part of resolving the necessary rust issues if not  
5 done by the new owner, or be adequate to cover a significant  
6 equipment repair/replacement.

7 These bond amounts are based on the assumption that the utility will  
8 be owned and operated by Springdale Water and Sewer, LLC,  
9 separate from the developer, Springdale Golf Partners, LLC. Due to  
10 developer risks, higher bonds would be recommended if the systems  
11 were to be owned and operated directly by the development company.

12 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

13 **A.** Yes, it does.





DOCKET NOS.        W-1324, SUB 0 and W-406, SUB 6  
 SPRINGDALE WATER AND SEWER, LLC - SPRINGDALE ESTATES SUBDIVISION AND SPRINGDALE COUNTRY CLUB

**FRANKLIN EXHIBIT 1 - REVENUE AT PRESENT (CURRENT) AND PROPOSED RATES**

Prepared by:        D. Michael Franklin (Public Staff - Water, Sewer and Telephone)

3,654 Gallons        Water (Test Year Monthly Usage based on Billing Spreadsheet)  
 4,604 Gallons        Sewer

Present Revenue									
Rate Description	Base Charge - Water	Base Charge - Sewer	Accounts	Base Charge Monthly	Total Annual Base Charge	Usage Annual Total (Gallons)	Usage Rate (Per 1000 Gallons)	Total Annual Usage Charge	Total Present Revenue (Base + Usage)
Water	\$ 6.59		113	\$ 744.67	\$ 8,936.04	4,954,824	\$ 2.63	\$ 13,031.19	\$ 21,967.23
Sewer		\$ 10.81	50	\$ 540.50	\$ 6,486.00	2,762,400	\$ 3.76	\$ 10,386.62	\$ 16,872.62

Proposed Revenue									
Rate Description	Base Charge - Water	Base Charge - Sewer	Accounts	Base Charge Monthly	Total Annual Base Charge	Usage Annual Total (Gallons)	Usage Rate (Per 1000 Gallons)	Total Annual Usage Charge	Total Proposed Revenue (Base + Usage)
Water	\$ 30.00		113	\$3,390.00	\$40,680.00	4,954,824	\$ 4.00	\$ 19,819.30	\$60,499.30
Sewer		\$ 36.00	50	\$1,800.00	\$21,600.00	2,762,400	\$ 5.00	\$ 13,812.00	\$35,412.00



Franklin Exhibit 2 (Page 1 of 2)

DOCKET NOS.

W-1324, SUB 0 and W-406, SUB 6

SPRINGDALE WATER AND SEWER, LLC - SPRINGDALE ESTATES SUBDIVISION AND SPRINGDALE COUNTRY CLUB

NC0144113, 2 wells

Water Quality Testing Expenses

Cost based on ETS Cost Sheet

<u>Test</u>		<u>No. of Tests</u>	<u>Freq. of Tests</u>	<u>Annual Tests</u>	<u>Cost Per Sample</u>	<u>Annual Expense</u>	
Coliform Bacteria	S	12	per year	12.00	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Asbestos	D01	1	per 9 years	0.11	\$ 150.00	\$ 17	
TTHM	D01	1	per 3 years	0.33	\$ 60.00	\$ 20	
HAA5	D01	1	per 3 years	0.33	\$ 160.00	\$ 53	
Lead/Copper	D01	5	per 3 years	1.67	\$ 25.00	\$ 42	
Inorganics	EP1	1	per 3 years	0.33	\$ 250.00	\$ 83	
Secondaries	EP1	1	per 3 years	0.33	\$ 80.00	\$ 27	estimated
VOCs	EP1	1	per 3 years	0.33	\$ 140.00	\$ 47	
SOCs	EP1	1	per 3 years	0.33	\$ 850.00	\$ 283	
Nitrate	EP1	1	per year	1.00	\$ 18.00	\$ 18	
Radiologicals							
Gross Alpha	EP1	1	per 9 years	0.11	\$ 85.00	\$ 9	
Comb. Uranium	EP1	1	per 9 years	0.11	\$ 85.00	\$ 9	
Comb. Rad.	EP1	1	per 6 years	0.17	\$ 125.00	\$ 21	
Annual CCR		1	per year	1.00	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Average Annual Water Testing Expense						\$ 629	

**Franklin Exhibit 2 (Page 2 of 2)**

**DOCKET NOS.**

**W-1324, SUB 0 and W-406, SUB 6**

**SPRINGDALE WATER AND SEWER, LLC - SPRINGDALE ESTATES SUBDIVISION AND SPRINGDALE COUNTRY CLUB**

**Wastewater NPDES Required Tests (Permit NC0040355)**

<u>Test</u>		<u>No. of Tests</u>	<u>Freq. of Tests</u>	<u>Annual Tests</u>	<u>Cost Per Sample</u>	<u>Annual Expense</u>	
Flow	I or E	Continuous	Continuous		\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Total Residue Chorine (TRC)	E	2	Week	52.00	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
BOD	E	1	Week	0.33	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	E	1	Week	0.33	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Fecal Coliform	E	1	Week	0.33	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Temperature	E	1	Week	0.33	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
pH	E	1	Week	0.33	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
NH3 (Ammonia)	E	2	Month	0.67	\$ -	\$ -	cost paid for by Mountain Water Contract (\$1,500 per month)
Total Nitrogen (NO2+NO3+TKN)	E	2	per year	2.00	\$ 45.00	\$ 90	
Total Phosphorus	E	2	per year	2.00	\$ 22.00	\$ 44	

**Average Annual Wastewater Testing Expense \$ 134**

**Total Average Annual Testing Expense \$ 763**



DOCKET W-1324, SUB 0 and W-406, SUB 6  
SPRINGDALE WATER AND SEWER, LLC - SPRINGDALE ESTATES SUBDIVISION AND SPRINGDALE COUNTRY CLUB

FRANKLIN EXHIBIT 3 - RATE DESIGN

Prepared by: D. Michael Franklin (Public Staff - Water, Sewer and Telephone)

WATER

Revenue Requirement	\$	31,237	
Total EOP Metered Customers		113	
Annual Metered Customer Billings		1,356	
Total Adjusted Annual Metered Usage		4,954,824	gallons

Monthly Metered Rates, Monthly Base Charge, Zero Usage

Recover approx. 40% of metered revenue requirement

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 31,237 & \times & 40.59\% & = & \$ & 12,679 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 12,679 & / & 1,356 & = & \$ & 9.35 \end{array}$$

Set Base Charge at \$ 9.35

Revenue From Base Charge

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 9.35 & \times & 1,356 & = & \$ & 12,679 \end{array}$$

Usage Charge

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \text{Usage Revenue Requirement} \\ \$ & 31,237 & - & \$ & 12,679 & = & \$ & 18,558 \end{array}$$

Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 18,558 & / & 4,954.82 & = & \$ & 3.75 \end{array}$$

Set Usage Charge at \$ 3.75 per 1 Kgal

Revenue from Usage Charge

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 3.75 & \times & 4,954.82 & = & \$ & 18,558 \end{array}$$

SEWER

Revenue Requirement	\$	18,004	
Total EOP Metered Customers		50	
Annual Metered Customer Billings		600	
Total Adjusted Annual Metered Usage		2,762,400	

Monthly Metered Rates, Monthly Base Charge, Zero Usage

Recover approx. 40% of metered revenue requirement

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 18,004 & \times & 40.98\% & = & \$ & 7,378 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 7,378 & / & 600 & = & \$ & 12.30 \end{array}$$

Set Base Charge at \$ 12.30

Revenue From Base Charge

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 12.30 & \times & 600 & = & \$ & 7,378 \end{array}$$

Usage Charge

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \text{Usage Revenue Requirement} \\ \$ & 18,004 & - & \$ & 7,378 & = & \$ & 10,626 \end{array}$$

Usage Charge per 1,000 gallons

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 10,626 & / & 2,762.40 & = & \$ & 3.85 \end{array}$$

Set Usage Charge at \$ 3.85 per 1 Kgal

Revenue from Usage Charge

$$\begin{array}{rcccccc} \$ & 3.85 & \times & 2,762.40 & = & \$ & 10,626 \end{array}$$