

**Berry, Taylor**

---

**From:** George Jamesson <gjtwo1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, May 9, 2022 11:00 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Please...please...please dramatically change the Duke proposal for revision of NME tariffs. You must do this to... 1) avoid the dramatic reduction of new installations of resident funded Solar PV and 2) make whole the anticipated investment return of the legacy system owner...those of us who have invested in current systems based on an investment model which is being stolen from us.

Please consider...

Duke is replacing clear and simple with confusing and complicated. I smell a rat, see a rat, and feel the teeth of a rat. You know too?

Duke offers no comparative investment return calculations of current vs proposed NME tariff method. They make no effort to quantify impact on future roof top solar installations as a result of the proposed change. This must be developed and shared for all to see.

As for compliance with HB589 and HB 951 and revised NME tariff such that NME customers pay all fixed costs...please consider that assignment of cost components as either pure 'fixed' or pure 'variable' is subject to judgement and discretion..

HB951 requires the NCUC to take all reasonable steps to achieve huge reductions in carbon emissions in very short order. Reducing roof top solar is in direct opposition with this requirement.

How about some good old fair play for those of us who took upon huge investments with very marginal return because we were trying to do the right thing.

Thanks for your consideration. I've tried to be brief. Can you tell I'm upset?

Sincerely,

George Jamesson  
214 Old Dry Ridge Circle  
Weaverville, NC 28787

OFFICIAL COPY

May 10 2022