From: Lu An

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:16 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lu An

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lu An

Email

anlu.super@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solarpanel customer, we against the Duke Energy's net metering proposal. 1. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit NC's established climate goals 2. Lots of foortop solar jobs will be at risk if Duke's proposal passes 3. The proposal could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers which makes it unfair for us solar panel customers who made contributions on the NC's climate goals. 4. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. We require that the NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Otherwise, Duke Energy's proposal cannot be passed. 5. We made the solar panel investment decision on the existing net metering plan (not the new proposed plan), so all existing customers should be allowed to stay on the current plan for the life of their system. Please help reject Duke energy's proposal and protect existing solarpanel customers' rights. Thank you, Lu An

From:

Andrea Keller

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:16 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Andrea Keller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Andrea Keller

Email

ajkeller99@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. WE LOVE OUR SOLAR

From:

Patricia Harrison

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:14 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Patricia Harrison

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patricia Harrison

Email

pattay1964@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

REJECT THIS PROPOSAL

From: Caroline Sieger

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:13 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Caroline Sieger

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Caroline Sieger

Email

caroline.m.sieger@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers like myself could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. Duke never paid me my rebate for installing solar and they do not even pay me for the energy I provide to the grid. Month after month i generate more energy than i use and yet Duke still charges me to generate energy for them!!!! The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as I would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From:

William Milstead

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:13 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by William Milstead

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Milstead

Email

longrides@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I made a commitment to solar and now you plan to change the rules, making my investment have less of an impact on the environment. Don't screw up a good thing.

From:

marcus leron cline

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:12 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by marcus leron cline

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

marcus leron cline

Email

MARCUSLCLINE@GMAIL.COM

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear utilities commission, Please reject any proposal from Duke energy to reduce the metering rules for Duke energy residential customers. Energy received from solar generation is just as valuable as the energy Duke energy produces. We should be compensated just as Duke energy is compensated. Please oppose any proposition that Duke energy submits to change the rules. Also, please reject any plan to increase the current cost of energy provided by Duke energy. Thank you, Marcus Cline

From: Joseph J Guiffrida

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:12 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph J Guiffrida

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joseph J Guiffrida

Email

guifjo@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatthour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From:

Joseph Linz

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:12 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph Linz

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joseph Linz

Email

josephlinz@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From:

Erik Asher

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:11 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Erik Asher

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Erik Asher

Email

overheadglass@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I received this email regarding Duke Energy possibly lowering the solar power credit amounts we the home owners generate from rooftop solar panels. First, I'm not sure why this would be considered in effort to help the supply of clean energies produced? As a home owner I felt the need to help support our energy systems along with decreasing my family's carbon footprint in this region. More and more stress is put on the grid as this region continues to grow. Solar panels ought to be mandated in efforts to assist versus penalized for having. I doubt the millions of dollars in effort for the wind turbines off our coastlines are facing this same impact?? Who are in charge or even contemplating this decision should be a shamed. I'm not the super "green" guy, but we only have one earth and all need to try to protect it as much as possible for our future generations....it's not getting any better. Let's not make it worse. Sincerely, Erik Asher

From:

Jessica Senter

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:11 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jessica Senter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jessica Senter

Email

jess.senter@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules, reducing the amount residential solar customers are paid for solar generation back to the grid. This is a step backward in gains in clean energy, job creation and costs to the consumer. I implore the NCUC to conduct a TRUE investigation of solar costs and benefits BEFORE making any changes to net metering in NC.

From:

Michael Casavant

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:11 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Casavant

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Casavant

Email

mcasavant74@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am reaching out to urge, plead and beg the NCUC to reject this proposal. Also that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers such as myself. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy.

From:

Ghulam Shaikh

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:10 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Ghulam Shaikh

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ghulam Shaikh

Email

murtazashsikh62@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub180

Message

I oppose the bill which will cut down the price of solar energy given by customers to duke.

From: Thomas Sarver

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:10 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Sarver

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thomas Sarver

Email

veritas.pacem@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the proposal by Duke Energy to change the net metering rate with solar panel owners. Duke already gets plenty of advantage from solar panel owners, which Duke spent nothing to install. Even under the current rules, the electricity from my solar panels go directly to Duke Energy, where it is used to power my neighbors. This arrangement reduces the load on transmission lines between the power plant, which my neighbors would have used to obtain that energy. In effect, Duke Energy is getting plenty of advantage from my solar panels, and it doesn't need even more. Corporations will always ask for "a better deal", but you shouldn't give it to them in this case because there is no rational argument to be made. In addition, what happened to the American value of not changing the rules in the middle of the game? People made the decision to invest in solar panels based on the current set of rules.

From:

Thomas Dempsey

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:10 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Dempsey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thomas Dempsey

Email

imdemps@outlook.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I understand that Duke Energy has requested to change how Net Metering is priced in North Carolina. First, why is Duke's investment more valuable than mine? I invested in solar energy, with precious retirement savings, based on the current pricing arrangement. It promised a rate of return that was competitive with alternative investments. Now they want to change that return? What do I do now? I can't sell my investment and replace it with another with a better rate of return. The current Net Metering practices are more than equitable. This year alone I generated more than 1300 Kw hrs. than I used...all to the benefit of Duke and its non-solar customers. I wonder just how much such excess generation Duke currently benefiting from. I understand why Duke is pressing for this change. I charge them what they charge me. No profit. They want the same profit from my generating capacity that they receive from theirs...without any investment!!!! Me too!! sign me up!!!! Second, why would you be disincentivizing investment in solar energy today? Do you really think this is good public policy? I cannot more strongly oppose this change. Tens of thousands of North Carolinians have invested in this technology. We should be encouraging this investment for the benefit of the planet, not discouraging it for the benefit of Duke's profit.

From:

John Turner

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:10 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by John Turner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Turner

Email

john87turner@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

North Carolina leadership should be doing everything in its power to promote the decentralized use of solar energy creation. Allowing a monopolistic utility such as Duke Energy to drastically undermine the way home owners who have installed (or will install) solar panels are credited would be an embarrassing step backward in our fight to cleanly and efficiently power this state. Residents of North Carolina need more reasons to adopt solar technology into their lives, not less. My family will be counting on our elected leaders to ensure Duke Energy is NOT permitted to further its agenda for even greater profits and more corporate control at the cost of North Carolinans sending sustainable energy back into the grid. Thank you for your consideration. John Turner 704-351-6012

From: Barbara Hall

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:10 PM

To: Statements

Statement of Position Submitted by Barbara Hall

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barbara Hall

Email

Brutonbarbara@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Duke energy has bullied this state long enough, and now that we want solar energy they want their hand in that. Oppose this plan do not make us pay more to put more money back into duke energy pockets. Why do we have to share a grid any of our solar energy with them. We can be independent of them just stand up to them. Pleas and thank you.

From:

James F.Goins

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:10 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by James F. Goins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James F. Goins

Email

goins.jf@gmail.com

Docket

E100 su 180

Message

Do not change the way net metering is done.

From:

Beverly Ann Vasquez

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Beverly Ann Vasquez

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Beverly Ann Vasquez

Email

beverly_vasquez20@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal

From:

Brian Cole

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Cole

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brian Cole

Email

bribarcole@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not approve the changes proposed by Duke to change net metering rules to reduce the amount Duke pays solar customers for the electricity we generate. This is clean renewable energy and and we need to be taking action to encourage more solar power. The proposed change to the net metering rules would be a disincentive for solar. Thanks

From:

Karen wilkins

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Karen wilkins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Karen wilkins

Email

kxw232@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar panel owner and user, please conduct a thorough cost benefit analysis before considering any changes to how solar power is accounted for and billed. I have generated more than I use every month since installation and have to pay Duke \$16/month for the privilege of giving them power. No change is merited. Thank you, Karen Wilkins

From:

Dr.Perry E.Little

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:05 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Dr. Perry E. Little

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dr. Perry E. Little

Email

perryelittle@gmail.com

Docket

E100 sub-180

Message

I just spent over \$40,000 to change to a cleaner, more energy efficient way of producing energy and relieving pressure from Duke's grid by adding solar panels to my house. To think that a monopoly such as Duke Energy can simply change the terms after such an investment on consumers is terrifying for consumers as well as the outlook of energy issues ongoing in this state and country. Only a few states have made moves towards solar, continue to let NC be one of them.

From: Michael C Adams

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:05 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael C Adams

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael C Adams

Email

madams9008@att.net

Docket

E100 Sub180

Message

Please help to get this straight through thorough and relentless investigation.

From:

Daniel Hellerman

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:04 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Daniel Hellerman

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Daniel Hellerman

Email

dhellerm@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We should do everything we can to encourage more solar energy production. Duke Energy's proposal would reduce incentives for consumers to install solar systems. This is counterproductive. Please leave the net metering rules as they are, or improve the rate at which producers are compensated to provide more incentives.

From:

Allison Westpfahl

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:04 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Allison Westpfahl

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Allison Westpfahl

Email

allison.westpfahl@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose Duke Energy reducing the amount paid to solar users who create excess energy. Duke is a multimillion (billion?) dollar company that can more than afford to pay their fair share in creating affordable renewable energy. A member of the public should not have to offset the cost of a company's poor decision making skills.

From:

Angela F Buckner

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:02 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Angela F Buckner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Angela F Buckner

Email

angieb1008@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Homeowners with solar panels should not be penalized with a lower return on the energy they produce. I am paying for solar panels that sell power to Duke Energy yet they get to dictate how much they pay. The rates do not go up when the demand is higher. I am putting less of a strain on the grid than regular household who is 100% dependent on Duke Energy.

From:

Samantha Morton

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:02 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Samantha Morton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Samantha Morton

Email

samantha@mortonfam.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to urge you to reject this proposal. Before making changes to net metering in NC, PLEASE conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits. Solar energy improves our prospects of a sustainable future and Duke Energy should not be permitted to slow the growth of solar energy productions in our state.

From:

Wai Lin

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:02 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Wai Lin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Wai Lin

Email

mtyin80@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are trying to do the right thing by installing rooftop solar to reduce our carbon footprint. We don't like the idea of sending the excess at wholesale price and buying it back at retail. This is gonna discourage a lot of people to go solar. Thanks

From:

Lee Coggins

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:02 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Lee Coggins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lee Coggins

Email

canals_tug_0f@icloud.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello. Please reject this proposal. North Carolina needs all the green energy and can get!

From:

Steven MacGrogan

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:01 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Steven MacGrogan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven MacGrogan

Email

smacgrogan@gmail.com

Docket

E-100, SUB 180

Message

Regarding the Duke Energy plan to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy Residential Customers. The commission should not approve a change in how solar customers are compensated for the excess solar energy we share with the grid. This solar energy is a benefit to the grid and as such should be compensated in the manner currently approved or better. Duke's plan harms the solar customers who invested many thousands of dollars with the understanding that we'd be compensated at a certain level. Changing that reduces the value of our investment and frankly disincentivizes the use and move to cleaner energy options at the moment in time where government, citizens and businesses should be encouraging the move to cleaner energy. DO NOT APPROVE THIS PLAN!

From:

Morgan Crawford

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:01 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Morgan Crawford

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Morgan Crawford

Email

morganhcrawford@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite.

From: Don and Kathy Chatfield

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:00 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Don and Kathy Chatfield

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Don and Kathy Chatfield

Email

gsochatfield@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a residential solar panel customer, connected to Duke Energy, we respectfully request that you oppose this proposal for multiple reasons. First, we should ALL be doing everything possible to support renewable energy and we did our part to the tune of \$40,000. We and Duke Energy have an agreement, a contract, as to how our relationship will work, how and when meters will be read, etc. They should not be allowed to alter that contract with us without mutual consent. Second, this was already a complicated and lengthy process. This proposal will make it even more complicated which, by its nature, makes it less customer friendly for future customers. Again, we should ALL be doing everything we can to support sustainable energy, and this would hinder an already cumbersome process. There needs to be a thorough investigation regarding the impacts it would have before any action should be taken. They're trying to get something approved that supports their financial future instead of customers' or the environment's. Please, stand up for citizens' rights and do not approve this proposal.

From:

Wllliam Pillmore

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:00 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by William Pillmore

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

WIlliam Pillmore

Email

mail@billpillmore.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have reservations on the Proposed Duke Energy's changes to my solar energy by back plan. I am in Asheville and have just put in a roof top solar system that feeds their grid.

From:

Alex Davis

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:00 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Alex Davis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alex Davis

Email

amdrecording@yahoo.com

Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, As a solar energy user with Duke Energy, I want to let you know that I am against their proposal to reduce the amount they pay for the solar energy their users accrue. I highly doubt Duke Energy's bottom line is at risk, and all they would be doing is taking money away from little people like me and my neighbors. Thanks for hearing me out on this. Sincerely, Alex Davis

From:

Michael King

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 5:00 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Michael King

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael King

Email

kingmb08@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

This is ridiculous. Vote against Duke changing the current net metering. They should also not be allowed to zero credits out at the end of May every year. The cost benefit study must be carried out. People who already have solar should be grandfathered in. Shouldn't we want more people to use solar?

From:

Michael Hirka

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:59 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Hirka

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Hirka

Email

Mjhirka@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy net metering proposal, have the NCUC conduct the full roof top cost benefit study and provide it to the Duke Energy consumers. Thank you

From: Preston L.Whitley

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:59 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Preston L. Whitley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Preston L. Whitley

Email

prestonwhitley@bellsouth.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true and complete investigation solar and benefits before making any changes to the net metering in North Carolina.

From: Steven Sakofsky

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:58 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Sakofsky

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Sakofsky

Email

ssakofsky@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose changes to the net metering arrangement for existing residential installations. These home owners made financial decisions to install renewable energy based upon the existing net metering scheme. I realize Duke Energy has finally determined their financial model for covering all of their costs was defective. Shame on them for being poor cost accountants. Given that understanding, Duke should develop a plan for existing installations to slowly reduce the net metering formula over a 10 year period. This would assist existing solar customers to reach their original payback goal.

From:

Brad and Laura Trubey

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:58 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Brad and Laura Trubey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brad and Laura Trubey

Email

bstrubey@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's proposal is a terrible idea for the continued growth of clean, renewable energy in North Carolina, and it's incredibly unfair to those of us who have already installed rooftop solar with the promise of more affordable energy. Our ability to afford this project in the first place was based on a break-even calculation that is worthless, if you allow Duke Energy to "change the rules" after the fact. Please reject this proposal!

From:

Peter D Miller

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:58 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Peter D Miller

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter D Miller

Email

pete@petemiller-multimedia.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should pay the same price for electricity that they charge for it. This is not middle-man resell operation. Conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar

From:

Christine Ha

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:57 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Christine Ha

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christine Ha

Email

christinelha@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal. It undermines the state, local community AND global effort to reduce our carbon footprint. In addition, it'll make North Carolina less competitive in the green energy space.

From: Kyle Hia

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:57 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kyle Hia

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kyle Hia

Email

kyle.hia@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Duke Energy's should not be able to change the value of my solar investment retroactively.

From:

Keely siebenthal

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:57 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Keely siebenthal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keely siebenthal

Email

keelysiebenthal@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Given the state of the world and global warming today we should be encouraging consumers to go with green energy alternatives. I support an increase of what companies specifically electric companies should be paying to those using solar to buy back energy for the grid. It would be a crime to lower the rate of electricity produced by solar panels for energy back into the grid. We need to start thinking smartly instead of making decisions based on greed and the easy route.

From: Jeremy Perry

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:57 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeremy Perry

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeremy Perry

Email

jeremy.perry@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a residential solar owner, I am very concerned about Duke's plan to diminish the value of rooftop solar in NC. As a for-profit company that can operate in as close to monopolistic fashion as allowed, Duke Energy works in the interest of shareholders (maximizing profits) above all other concerns. In this time of economic duress, please act to protect consumers, solar jobs, and our environment by denying their proposal that puts green energy in NC at an even further disadvantage. At a minimum, conduct the required full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before any decision is made.

From:

Jason Blane

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:57 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Blane

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Blane

Email

jason.blane24@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not let Duke change net metering rules unchallenged. I already pay too much for my grid connection and Duke benefits greatly because I produce more electricity than I use and am not compensated. In fact, my "roll over credits" expire in a manner engineered to benefit Duke Energy. Rooftop solar should be encouraged to help meet our clean energy goals, not penalized to maximize Duke's profit. Thank you! Jason Blane

From:

Brian Gualillo

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:55 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Gualillo

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brian Gualillo

Email

briangualillo@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatthour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Help protect the value of solar in North Carolina!

From: Mark Maples

Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:55 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Maples

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Maples

Email

hayker6@aol.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please do not get rid of net metering

From:

Doug McElfresh

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:54 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Doug McElfresh

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Doug McElfresh

Email

d.mcfly54@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly urge you NOT to change the rules for net metering of solar power. On of the reasons we chose to install solar was the one for one net metering now active in NC. This makes it a very attractive option. If this is changed, paying less for solar generated than electricity bought, it will have a negative effect on the rate of adoption of this renewable energy source.

From:

Alberta & Alberto Eddie

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:53 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Alberta & Alberto Eddie

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alberta & Alberto Eddie

Email

aeddie537@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do not approve this proposal from Duke. It is unfair to customers who have gone thru the expense of installing solar to avoid losing all power when the grid goes down either to storms or when some idiot hits a pole and takes out customers in that area.

From:

Yvonne Sawyer

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:53 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Yvonne Sawyer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Yvonne Sawyer

Email

yvonne@hopeformiami.org

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Our family put solar panels on our home so that we could minimize the cost of electricity during our retirement years AND protect the environment. As former Florida residents, now that we have moved to North Carolina, we have been dismayed at Duke Power and how they plan to increase fees and reduce our ability to manage expenses through changes to the net metering. We urge you all to dismiss Duke's application for changes and allow those of us who invested in solar power to continue exactly as it was when we purchased it.

From:

Kenneth Morton

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 4:52 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kenneth Morton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kenneth Morton

Email

kenny@mortonfam.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This proposal is anti-consumer and anti-environmental. This legislation would dramatically change the economics of rooftop solar and reduce consumer investment.