

Dunston, Antonia

From: Lenard Hood
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lenard Hood

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lenard Hood

Email

lenard@icloud.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Monica Lee
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:52 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Monica Lee

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Monica Lee

Email

sofitico11@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please make sure to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

Dunston, Antonia

From: JL Keith Rugh III
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by JL Keith Rugh III

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

JL Keith Rugh III

Email

keithrugh@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 80

Message

I am writing to implore you to protect North Carolina and our privately owned solar arrays from Duke Energy. The Net Energy Metering docket presently before the North Carolina Utilities Commission would increase solar customers' monthly fixed costs and decrease compensation for excess solar energy exported to the grid. I made a decision to invest in solar energy based on the rules in place at the time and my desire to provide a source of clean energy for my family. Duke Energy should not be allowed to retroactively change the value of those private investments. My rooftop arrays are one of the few sources of energy in North Carolina not controlled by a monopoly utility. Duke Energy does not want to compete with rooftop solar, which is clean, affordable energy. Duke Energy prefers building costly fossil-gas plants. We need to make rooftop solar more attractive to homeowners, increase access for low-income families and save all ratepayers money on their bills. Please stop Duke Energy from taking North Carolina backwards. Rooftop solar must be a formidable part of North Carolina's goal of reducing carbon dioxide in the electricity sector 70 percent by 2030. Instead, Duke would have us spend our money to import fossil fuels. From 2017 through 2021, Duke Energy customers paid over \$10 billion for coal and fossil gas. That money came out of ratepayers' pockets and went right out across state lines. And the fossil fuel bills for 2022 will be much higher. Attorney General Josh Stein has requested that the Net Energy Metering docket be delayed until a Carbon Plan has been approved and I agree. Although rooftop solar has the potential to provide 35 percent of North Carolina's electricity, it is barely mentioned in Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan. Let's use local North Carolina energy. We are in a climate emergency. This is no time to put the brakes on rooftop solar. Please help us protect the value of our private investments and ensure that North Carolina meets the challenge, for us and our children, and generations to come. Sincerely, JL Keith Rugh III

From: Earl Schmitke
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Earl Schmitke

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Earl Schmitke

Email

jack2302@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 SUB 180

Message

Reject the proposal and please investigate the true solar costs before making any changes to NET metering in North Carolina.

From: Kelly Stokes
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:42 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kelly Stokes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kelly Stokes

Email

kellybstokes@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. Requesting the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

From: Richard Proctor
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Proctor

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Proctor

Email

rap@wisc.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We installed solar panels on our roof to help decrease climate change. Anything that reduces people placing solar panels on their homes is going to reduce the purchase. This is an insane approach when the USA is short on clean energy and seeing the impacts of climate change. Please reject Duke Energy's attempt to diminish the value of solar panels to home users as this will diminish the placement of solar panels.

From: Travis M Bottiglier
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:39 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Travis M Bottiglier

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Travis M Bottiglier

Email

tbottiglier@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Solar is key to our future and this needs your attention to help us keep Sustainable Energy worth enough for new users to invest in. Duke Energy also does a Energy Reset every July so anything that you have accrued in excess goes back to 0. Thank you for your attention to this matter!

From: James P Stubanas
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James P Stubanas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James P Stubanas

Email

JIMSTUBANAS@GMAIL.COM

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I believe we must continue to encourage solar power. Please do not allow Duke Energy to lower the amounts they are reimbursing for solar energy placed back in their system. They already zero out my accumulated balance every year, so they are getting free electricity from me on a yearly basis!

From: David Allen
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Allen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Allen

Email

david.allen@ks-tool.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's transparent attempt to sabotage solar energy in NC must not be allowed to go forward. It is needlessly complex (intentionally so), will const consumers money (while enriching DE), and is the wrong for combatting anthropogenic climate change. DE should be encouraging solar uptake, not coming up with new fees and tariffs to discourage people from switching to SUSTAINABLE, carbon-free energy.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Richard Schrum
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Schrum

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Schrum

Email

Ncsubman@gmail.com

Docket

100 sub 180

Message

Duke should pay more for my solar not less

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Peter Anuzis
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:30 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Anuzis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Anuzis

Email

3delier@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E -100 Sub 180

Message

Yet another example of large corporations trying to profit on the back of consumers. The cost of infrastructure is being amortized and does not cost them extra. And they would be getting energy back at less expense than generating it themselves.

From: Christian Micklisch
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Christian Micklisch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Christian Micklisch

Email

06regrets-scraper@icloud.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of my solar panels costs and benefits before making any net metering changes in NC

From: William Price
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William Price

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Price

Email

810iredell@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

To members of the NC Utilities Commission: I write to strongly urge you to protect citizens who have or hope to have rooftop solar installations. Duke Energy is proposing to you a false dichotomy--that we have to choose between rooftop solar or utility scale solar going forward. Commission Members, in order for NC to make progress towards reducing greenhouse gases, towards cleaner air, towards a safer sustainable energy grid, and towards the lowest electricity costs possible, we are going to need all the solar we can install. Yes, please give Duke Energy all the incentives they need to push solar generation as quickly as possible. But, while you're at it, why not give them incentives to promote rather than discourage rooftop solar? Imagine how fast we could install rooftop solar if Duke Energy's profit structure was based upon this. Our grandchildren will benefit if you can make this change.

From: Jim Cambridge
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jim Cambridge

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jim Cambridge

Email

4jimcambridge@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Docket E-100 Sub 180! Do NOT change Net Metering rules! The current rules in place today incentivized us to get solar for our home, and it will for future solar customers too. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I REALLY depend on net metering as a home solar generator to capture excess solar power when the batteries are full. I do not agree with "Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid," I pay the same \$14 residential grid "Basic Customer charge" every month after solar was installed, as I paid before it was installed. ALL homes pay the monthly fee "Renewable Energy Rider" which is a Duke Energy program covering "the costs associated with expanding the use of solar and renewable energy in North Carolina" per Duke. Do you see the irony of this E-100 Sub 180 bill being considered that does not promote expanding the use of solar? Please reject Docket E-100 Sub 180! Thank you for your kind consideration in this matter.

From: Alan Bernstein
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:03 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alan Bernstein

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alan Bernstein

Email

1946amb@gmail.com

Docket

E180 sub 100

Message

Please do not reduce any benefit homeowners may receive from their solar panels. Duke already takes back the excess in the peak month of June

From: Jacquelin Rogers
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jacquelin Rogers

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jacquelin Rogers

Email

1003jacquelinrogers@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sun 180

Message

It is not fair for Duke Energy to change the contract of my solar panels

From: Steven Kent
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:16 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Kent

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Kent

Email

skentmd@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to any change in net metering reimbursement methodology for solar panel customers. I am a solar panel customer, and in good faith with supportive information from Duke energy I put in solar panels last year, at significant cost. The solar panels benefit all, including Duke energy by decreasing need for expansion of their energy production infrastructure, it benefits everyone regarding the climate, it is a clean energy source. I ask that you reject Duke energy's proposal to change the net metering policy. If not, NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy is already getting some "free solar energy" by their methodology of truncating at certain dates rollover of energy credits. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals, and may have unpredictable effects on the amount paid by solar customers. It does not seem fair that they would go from a policy of providing subsidies to encourage the growth of solar, and then cutting the reimbursement for those systems. - Steven Kent

From: Nick Jackson
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nick Jackson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nick Jackson

Email

nckyjackson@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am against what duke energy is trying to do in devaluation of solar energy home ownership

From: STEPHEN R SMITH
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by STEPHEN R SMITH

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

STEPHEN R SMITH

Email

stephen.smith@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

In my opinion the utilities commission should do everything in its power to promote the use of energy sources that will not contribute to global warming. As a homeowner who has invested in a solar energy system, a change upward in the monthly charges or a further reduction in what we are paid for producing electricity we do not use will likely be met by the purchase of a battery system and going off the grid altogether. The proposed changes are counter to both common sense and fairness and I urge you not to approve them.

From: Tim Hancock
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:58 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tim Hancock

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tim Hancock

Email

thancock343@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please conduct a cost benefit study of roof top solar

From: Marla Tempelaar
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marla Tempelaar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marla Tempelaar

Email

marla.tempelaar@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy has requested a change to metering for solar panels. As a solar power customer, this would impact the value of my investment in solar panels, which Duke Energy leverages and I ask for the request to be rejected immediately. This also happens when climate change remains a critical worldwide issue, for which solar power should be increased. This change would reduce motivation for more people to convert.

From: Dino M Mangano
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dino M Mangano

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dino M Mangano

Email

dmmangano@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy/s proposal to change net metering rules! We need to incentivize people to get solar panels. Duke Energy doesn't need to make more money at the expense of regular people. Clean energy is the future and we should be encouraging people to invest in it rather than making it less desirable.

From: Jeff Shaw
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:20 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeff Shaw

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeff Shaw

Email

jeffdar2000@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy.

From: Stanley Michelsen
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stanley Michelsen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stanley Michelsen

Email

stancd@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sun 180

Message

I am a disabled Vietnam veteran and I put everything into my solar system. I'm trying my best to help the planet go green. No one should have the right to change the rules in the middle of a game. We need more people to go solar and we need all the rules and regulations to be in their favor, in our favor! Please don't disappoint those of us who are trying our best to help save the planet.

From: simon
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:16 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by simon ,robert

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

simon ,robert

Email

rc_simon@yahoo.com

Docket

R-100 sub 180

Message

Please do not approve Duke energy's plan to slow the growth of solar in NC. I support rooftop solar and all types of renewable energy. If Duke is not going to help transition to clean energy, please do not let them also continue to actively work against clean energy. As a monopoly this feels like an abuse of their power.

From: JEFF BELFLOWER
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by JEFF BELFLOWER

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

JEFF BELFLOWER

Email

jeff.belflower@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I installed a net-metered solar system a couple years ago with the expectation that the existing rules would not be interfered with in order to undermine small electricity producers and consumers like me. It is very upsetting that a huge company like Duke would attempt to change the rules to negatively impact individuals who have made a big effort to contribute to reducing the impact of their electrical consumption on the environment and expected to be paid back over time for their energy production. Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Richard Olejniczak
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:01 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Olejniczak

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Olejniczak

Email

rich.olejniczak@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Before you change any net metering in NC, you need to do a true cost/benefit analysis study of the effect on current and future solar providers (by and independent non-partisan third party). I personally spent a significant amount of my own money to create a residential solar system on my home this year (which feeds my home and Duke's grid). That investment was predicated on the assumption that I would get a certain return on my investment just like Duke's guarantees for its bond holders. With the current state of energy systems in the world (because of the war) and future violent energy price fluctuations, the commission should be incentivizing additional solar, and creating energy independence for our State to be used as a model for the Country. The Commission's job is to protect the public, please be thoughtful.

From: Stefanie Lyons
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stefanie Lyons

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stefanie Lyons

Email

SBLYONS@TRIAD.RR.COM

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Governor Cooper: Rooftop solar installers operating in North Carolina have serious concerns about Duke Energy's proposed changes to solar net metering contained in the petition submitted to the NC Utilities Commission on November 29, 2021. We believe the proposed changes could harm a growing industry on behalf of a single corporation, cause the loss of thousands of well-paying jobs in the North Carolina solar industry, threaten your climate goals and hurt all electricity customers by limiting the delivery of low-cost power to the grid. In contrast to a key premise alleged by Duke Energy, rooftop solar is good for all electricity ratepayers by lowering the need for expensive fossil fuel power and providing low-cost power to the grid on a daily basis and particularly during periods of high demand. This has been proven in numerous studies in recent years including a 2020 study that South Carolina regulators relied on in ordering changes to Dominion Energy net metering that are far preferable to those sought by Duke Energy in our state. Rooftop solar is much more impactful than other types of electricity generation from an economic and jobs perspective. Many more jobs are created per megawatt installed, and we employ full-time staff while providing healthcare and strong benefits, all constituting an increasing boost to the North Carolina economy. Presently, a small percentage of North Carolina's solar power is in the rooftop sector, compared to other pro-solar states. We are poised to add thousands of jobs if we continue to grow our rooftop industry. Our concerns have precedent in a number of other states. Currently, solar industry leaders in California project up to 50,000 job losses as solar becomes unaffordable to so many ratepayers as regulators propose to "change" net metering as requested by big utilities. Duke Energy's Net Metering 2.0 proposal seems to be following the California utilities' playbook. We ask that you help avoid the type of prolonged controversy that continues to beset California, Florida and other states, and help broker a plan that benefits all of North Carolina. The time-of-use rates, critical peak pricing, minimum bill, complicated netting procedure, non-bypassable charges, and variable treatment of existing customers create such complexity that it would become quite difficult for us to model for our customers what the payback on their solar investment would be. The proposed changes would discriminate against certain groups of ratepayers. First, owners of rooftop solar systems would be subject to additional fees not charged to other residential customers. In addition, the proposed incentive (Duke Energy's Smart Saver proposal that would provide a rebate to solar customers who also install a smart thermostat) is available only to all-electric households, despite the fact that the demand response potential from a smart thermostat exists for any household that heats or cools with electricity, even if other appliances run on gas. Thus, the key incentive in Duke's proposal would leave gas-using

customers with a payback on their solar investment that's years longer than those who are all-electric. It is not fair that Duke Energy's plan would reduce the value of solar production by 25-35% for the average consumer. At a time when your administration, the NC General Assembly and utilities have set goals for the electric power sector to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2050, it is inconsistent for Duke Energy to propose a policy that would slow the growth of clean energy. Unlike the state's utility-scale solar development, which has slowed in recent years, the rooftop solar industry has been growing. We are proud to be helping achieve the climate and clean energy goals you have laid out for the state. Our industry's success has resulted in part from the current fair and straight-forward net metering arrangement. Please continue your climate leadership by doing everything possible to protect and promote the continued growth of rooftop solar in North Carolina by ensuring that any changes to our current solar net metering policies are fair to ratepayers and to all of the rooftop solar companies offering thousands of well-paying jobs across the state. Thank you for attention to this timely matter.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Nikolay Shkarbanov
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:54 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Nikolay Shkarbanov

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Nikolay Shkarbanov

Email

shkarbanov@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I reject the proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Patricia I Ellis
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Patricia I Ellis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patricia I Ellis

Email

hatterasonmymind@gmail.com

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

NC Solar informed me that Duke Energy has requested to lower the amount paid to solar customers for any unused kwh that are sent to the grid. As a solar customer, I am totally opposed. I paid a lot of money for my solar panels and as it is Duke Energy says I do not generate enough solar kwh to cover my daily usage so I'm still paying a monthly electric bill beyond the basic charges. Yet they show on my bill my average daily kwh usage and most days it less than what my system indicates I'm producing daily. Duke Energy already has it's customers at a disadvantage so do not increase that disadvantage.

From: Binford Benton III
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Binford Benton III

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Binford Benton III

Email

bebhylian3@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the proposal as solar panel is vital to generating power going forward. People who invest in it shouldn't be punished for a power company's benefit.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ian Jeffress
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ian Jeffress

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ian Jeffress

Email

imjeffress@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am one of North Carolina's many homeowners who has incorporated rooftop solar energy generation, and I am writing to urge you to reject Duke Energy's proposed net metering proposal. Solar energy is an essential component of North Carolina's future - it is a leader in job growth, and the transition to renewable energy sources is a key component of North Carolina's continued economic security. Duke's proposed plan is anti-consumer in its needless complexity, regressive in its below-market proposed fees for home solar energy generation, and likely to harm North Carolina's economy by jeopardizing thousands of jobs in the solar sector. Please exercise the greatest diligence in reviewing Duke's fee proposal and act to protect North Carolina's needed and growing solar sector. Sincerely, Ian Jeffress

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Stuart Gowdy
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stuart Gowdy

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stuart Gowdy

Email

stugowdy@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy alter their solar net metering rules to make rooftop solar any less appealing than it already is. Every small action to offset our individual energy needs is valuable, and the proposed changes would not only hurt consumers financially, but also discourage future rooftop solar installations. The impact of this would include job loss for solar installers, as well as the environmental impact of less residential clean energy being installed. Please, do what is right for the economy, the people of NC, and the environment - not the shareholders of Duke Energy.

From: Patricia Albert
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Patricia Albert

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patricia Albert

Email

pr2albert@yahoo.com

Docket

E100 sub 189

Message

Solor customers should not be punished for going Green. Goes against the goals of the current president. Prices have gotten so high that customers are looking for help affording the bills. We are already charged more for what power we use and when we produce more they buy it back at a ridiculous low rate. We still pay the same basic amount required to be on the grid. Why should we be punished to try to save. I am retired and on Social Security and a retirement and physically not able to work outside the home. The power companies make a lot of profit. This won't hurt them

From: James L Dose
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James L Dose

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James L Dose

Email

jamesdose828@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Ann Wieser
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ann Wieser

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ann Wieser

Email

rawieser@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have just become a solar energy customer and have enjoyed receiving energy savings in my bill. I think that completing a true investigation of solar costs and benefits would be important before making decisions about changing the way the solar energy is regulated. Thank you.

From: Will Kimmey
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Will Kimmey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Will Kimmey

Email

willkimmey@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please fully explore the changes to the solar costs. This proposed plan appears to favor Duke Energy at the cost of the state's residents. This is especially true for existing solar homes, who entered into their solar decisions based on the existing laws. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Stevie H Jeffries
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stevie H Jeffries

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stevie H Jeffries

Email

steviejeh66@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal and stop Duke Energy from slowing the growth rate of solar in NC.

From: Eileen Wood
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Eileen Wood

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Eileen Wood

Email

emwood56@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose Duke Energy's Proposed Net metering proposal. I urge you to deny this request and do not change the net metering proposal.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Lenard Hood
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lenard Hood

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lenard Hood

Email

lenard@icloud.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022