```
Craven County Courthouse
    PLACE:
1
               New Bern, North Carolina
 2
               Tuesday, August 22, 2017
 3
    DATE:
               7:00 p.m. - 7:20 p.m.
    TIME:
 4
    DOCKET NO:
                 W-354, Sub 356
 5
              Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr., Presiding
 6
    BEFORE:
              Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
              Commissioner Jerry C. Dockham
 8
              Commissioner James G. Patterson
 9
10
              Commissioner Lyons Gray
11
12
                        IN THE MATTER OF:
     Application by Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North
13
     Carolina, 5701 Westpark Drive, Suite 101, Charlotte,
14
       North Carolina 28217 for Authority to Adjust and
15
     Increase Rates for Water and Sewer Utility Service in
16
      All of Its Service Areas in North Carolina, Except
17
    Corolla Light and Monteray Shores Service Area and Elk
18
19
                       River Development.
20
                            VOLUME: 4
21
22
23
24
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. OF NORTH CAROLINA:
3	Robert H. Bennink, Jr., Esq.
4	Bennink Law Office
5	130 Murphy Drive
6	Cary, North Carolina 27513
7	
8	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
9	William E. Grantmyre, Esq.
10	Public Staff
11	North Carolina Utilities Commission
12	4326 Mail Service Center
13	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS:
2	SIMON LOCK
3	Direct Examination by Mr. Grantmyre 10
4	TOM MUSSER
5	Direct Statement
6	;
7	
8	
ا و	·
10	ı
11	
1.2	
13	
14	EXHIBITS
15	IDENTIFIED / ADMITTED
15 16	IDENTIFIED / ADMITTED  Lock Exhibit 1
16	Lock Exhibit 1 17/
16 17	
16 17 18	Lock Exhibit 1
16 17 18 19	Lock Exhibit 1
16 17 18 19 20	Lock Exhibit 1
16 17 18 19 20 21	Lock Exhibit 1
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Lock Exhibit 1

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Let's go on the record. My name is Edward Finley and with me this evening are Commissioners
ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Jerry C. Dockham, James G. Patterson, and Lyons Gray.

The Commission now calls for hearing at this time for the purpose of taking non-expert, public witness testimony in Docket Number W-354, Sub 356, In the Matter of Application of Carolina Water Service, Incorporated of North Carolina for Authority to Increase Rates for Water and Sewer Utility Service in Its Subdivisions in North Carolina.

On March 31, 2017, Carolina Water Service filed an Application with the Commission seeking authority to increase its rates for providing those services in its service areas in the state.

On April 26, 2017, the Commission issued its Order Establishing General Rate Case and Suspending Rates. Pursuant to this Order, the Commission declared this proceeding to be a general rate case pursuant to G.S. 62-137 and suspended the proposed new rates for up to 270 days pursuant to G.S. 62-134.

A number of parties have intervened in the

docket.

On June 2, 2017, the Commission issued its
Order Scheduling Hearing and Requiring Customer Notice
which, among other things, scheduled the Application
for evidentiary hearing on September 20, 2017, and
scheduled this public witness testimony hearing for
this date, at this time, and in this place.

On July 21, 2017, the Company filed a Notice regarding semi-annual WSIC/SSIC surcharge applications that has to do with the infrastructure and improvement statutes. In this filing, the Company stated that it does not intend to file an application for this request.

On October 7, 2017 (sic), Carolina Water filed the direct testimony of Richard Lineman in support of the Company's Application.

On August 7, 2017, the Company and the Public Staff filed a Stipulation of Settlement regarding the rate of return on equity and capital structure issues in the case!

Numerous consumer Statements of Position have been filed in this docket with respect to this case.

Pursuant to the State Ethics Act, I remind

all members of the Commission of their duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and inquire whether any member of the Commission has a known conflict of interest with regard to the matters coming before the Commission this Tuesday night?

(No response.)

There appear to be no conflicts, so we will proceed and I now call on the parties to announce their appearances for the record, beginning with the Applicant Utility.

MR. BENNINK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Robert Bennink. I'm'a lawyer in Raleigh,
North Carolina, here tonight to represent Carolina
Water Service, Incorporated of North Carolina.

MR. GRANTMYRE: Good evening, Chairman

Finley, other Commissioners, my name is Bill

Grantmyre, Staff Attorney, Public Staff representing
the Using and Consuming Public, and with me is Gina

Casselberry. She's an engineer in the Public Staff,
Water Division.

MR. BENNINK: Mr. Chairman, could I do one thing that I forgot to do, introduce some of the people who are here tonight representing the Company just so people will know who is here. We have Matthew

Klein who is the President of Carolina Water Service in both the States of North Carolina and Tennessee;
Bryce Mendenhall who is the Company's Vice President of Operations; Danny Lassiter, the Regional manager;
Richard Lineman, the Company's Financial Planning and Analysis Manager; Eddie Baldwin, the Area Manager; and Deb Clark, the Communications Coordinator for the Company.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Okay. Are there other preliminary matters we need to address? Mr. Bennink, you want to make a statement?

MR. BENNINK: Yes, I'd like to make just a brief statement if I could, Mr. Chairman. Thank you very much. On behalf of Carolina Water Service, I want to assure the Commission, and the Public Staff, and the customers in attendance tonight that the Company takes very seriously; its obligations as a public utility to provide quality water and sewer utility services to customers in the State of North Carolina.

The purpose of this hearing tonight, of course, is to hear from customers who are served by the Company. And we assure you that we're here tonight to listen very carefully and to respond to

that testimony. And in that regard, Company personnel will be available after the hearing to meet with customers and respond to any questions or complaints. Thank you.

1.0

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Mr. Grantmyre, have you got anything to say --

MR. GRANTMYRE: Actually, the Public Staff has spoken with the customers before the hearing. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: How many witnesses do you have that have signed up so far?

MR. GRANTMYRE: I have two signed up but I'm not sure if they're both going to testify. But I know the first one, Simon Lock, does plan to testify and the second one may or may not. We'll see.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ladies and gentlemen, usually I go through a great list of what the rules and regulations are, since there are only a few of you I'll sort of cut that a little bit short, but let me tell you what some of the ground rules are. I heard Mr. Grantmyre telling you some of those before we got started. But the purpose of this is to hear what the customers of this utility have to say about their service and about this request. We operate like a

courtroom in here. We have a court reporter who takes down the evidence. Witnesses have to be sworn, that's by State Statute. We have an opportunity for the lawyers and for the Commissioners themselves to ask The customers are to provide testimony. questions. They are not to ask questions at this stage of the proceeding. People come here with a lot of questions that they want answered but the Public Staff and the Company are here after this hearing is over to the extent that you have questions and there's information that you would like to have. So you have to be sworn, that's another State Statute that we have to comply with. Witnesses have to register with the Public Staff. We usually, because we have a lot of people testifying, try to limit the length of time that any witness can testify to five minutes. We may be a little lenient tonight if there are only a few witnesses but try to limit your testimony as best you can to the issues that are pertinent to this particular case. All right, Mr. Grantmyre, call your first

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

witness.

Simon Lock. MR. GRANTMYRE:

Mr. Lock, if you'd come CHAIRMAN FINLEY:

```
around over here and be sworn please.
1
               MR. GRANTMYRE: Do you need your statement
2
 3
    to refer to?
               MR. LOCK:
                          No, sir.
 4
                          was duly sworn and
    SIMON LOCK;
 5
                          testified as follows:
 6
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Have a seat and make
 7
    yourself comfortable.
 8
                             Thank you.
 9
               THE WITNESS:
                      DIRECT EXAMINATION
10
    BY MR. GRANTMYRE:
11
          Please state your name and address.
12
         My name is Simon Lock, L-O-C-K, and my address is
13
          6014 Cardinal Drive, and that is in Fairfield
14
15
          Harbour.
          Please proceed with your statement.
16
          Thank you, sir. I'm going to read it if you
17
    Α
          don't mind.
18
          Yes.
19
    Q
          I'm not a very good ex-|-- a very good public
20
          speaker so bear with me, please. Mr. Chairman,
21
          Commissioners, ladies and gentlemen, my name is
22
          Simon Lock. My wife and I are residents of
23
          Fairfield Harbour in New Bern and, as a result,
24
```

we are customers of CWS. We are hereby requesting that the North Carolina Utilities

Commission not only deny in total the greedy demands by CWS, but also require them to implement a rate structure that is more soundly based on actual water and sewer service used by each individual customer. This would encourage rather than penalize those who practice water conservation to preserve and protect our precious water resources.

To make it clear, I'm not a radical environmentalist but rather believe that we need to be prudent custodians of our natural resources for both current and future generations.

Firstly, the information that we received from CWS is sorely lacking in details in terms of where they would like to implement increases since none of the proposed rates are shown in this document. And I had some communication with the engineer who's explained it a little bit better to me but this was a deficient document to start with. But for CWS to come along and request an average rate increase

of almost 32 percent for water, assuming a consumption of just 4000 gallons, and sewer rate increase of over 13 percent is outrageous and totally out of touch with reality considering that this Commission gave CWS an increase of around 20 percent less than two years ago.

During this same period; inflation rates have been in the order of 0.5 to 2.5 percent.

Secondly, the rate model is badly flawed because CWS are basically punishing those that consume small amounts of water by proportionally demanding a greater overall rate increase percentagewise for a household using say 1000 gallons per month versus a household that uses say 5000 gallons a month.

I was unable to calculate relative percentage increases because CWS in their flawed rate proposal provided no breakdown of what they are proposing to increase, but rather show increases for a single hypothetical usage of 3980 gallons. But in the previous rate increase request the person using 1000 gallons saw an increase of 19 percent overall whereas the person that was using 5000 gallons only saw a rate

increase of 15 percent. Compare that to typical increases let's say in social security or in general salaries of 1 to 2 percent, you realize just how extortionate these rate increases really are.

By way of background, while

Fairfield Harbour is not designated as a

retirement community, I think it would be safe to

say that greater than 50 percent of the residents

of this community are retirees, and many are

relying on social security and perhaps some

retirement funds that were adequate when they

moved here but are now not keeping up with

inflation let alone money grabs by monopoly

corporations like CWS.

Fairfield Harbour is an old,
well-established community that has not grown
substantially over the past several years. Thus,
CWS should have all the statistical data on water
consumption to be able to construct a more
appropriate billing system that would still allow
them to maintain and operate the community water
system and make a reasonable profit without
gouging their customers.

I also do not believe that a flat sewer rate is -- or flat sewer fee is a fair and Rather both water equitable approach. consumption and sewage should be based on volume of water used at the meter. The model that I would encourage the Commissioners to mandate would be the following: One, a relatively low base charge for water, essentially the amount that covers the cost of reading and maintaining the meter; a relatively low sewer connection charge that would accumulate in a fund for infrequent routine repairs of the sewer lines; a sliding scale that would more closely address the actual cost of providing known quantities of water, the first say 2000 gallons per month would be a total -- at the lowest charge per gallon, the next say 4000 gallons would be a slightly higher rate and then the top tier of water usage would be charged a much higher rate but would allow CWS to start putting money in reserve for any expansions required because of high water consumption; a similar sliding scale would be used for calculating sewer charges with the assumption that a gallon of water used is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

equivalent to a gallon of sewage treated.

In my written testimony, which

Mr. Grantmyre has, I've provided some
hypothetical examples of how this could work. As
you will see in these examples, the highest
volume user essentially pays a penalty that would
allow CWS to start putting money aside for
increases in capacity that might be required
because of the impact of the high volume users
and would not penalize people who act as good
stewards of environmental resources or are just
absent from their home and, therefore, not
incurring any costs associated with water or
sewage treatment.

I hope that the Public Utilities
Commission will seriously consider the
alternative rate approach I have proposed here
that would place a more equitable burden on all
customers rather than adversely impacting those
who consume the small amount -- smaller volumes
of water.

Just to put this in perspective, the base charge for our electric bill is presently between 15 to 20 percent of the total

amount of actual consumption of electricity
where -- I'm sorry, the base charge for
electricity is approximately 15 to 20 percent
with the actual consumption of electricity
accounting for 80 to 85 percent of the bill. By
contrast, under the current model CWS is
collecting about 80 to 85 percent in base charges
and it's only allocating 15 to 20 percent of
their bill to water consumption and absolutely no
consideration of variable cost of sewage
treatment based on volume. That, sir, is the end
of my -- what I wanted to say.

- Q Does that conclude your statement?
- 14 A Yes, it does.

MR. GRANTMYRE: Could I have his statement back? He only brought one copy of his statement which what he gave was an abbreviation, but this statement is dated August 22, 2017.

- 19 BY MR. GRANTMYRE:
- 20 Q Is this your statement here?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q Okay.
- MR. GRANTMYRE: We would request that this
  be identified as his exhibit one.

```
CHAIRMAN FINLEY: It will be so identified
1
    as Lock Exhibit Number 1.
2
                         Lock Exhibit 1
3
                          (Identified)
4
              MR. GRANTMYRE:
                               We have no further
5
    questions.
6
              MR. BENNINK: No questions from the Company.
              CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Commission? Thank you,
8
    Mr. Lock. We appreciate all the hard work you've done
9
    to prepare that statement. Thank you for coming out.
10
                    (The witness is excused.)
11
              MR. GRANTMYRE:
                               Tom Musser.
12
                            was duly sworn and
13
    TOM MUSSER;
                            testified as follows:
14
                        DIRECT STATEMENT
15
    BY THE WITNESS:
16
              My name is Tom Musser. I live at 1211 Santa
17
    Lucia, Fairfield Harbour. And I've lived primarily in
18
    three communities, two of them in Michigan and I'm
19
    just new here in Fairfield Harbour. I've had -- I've
20
    owned a residence for over 50 years between the two
21
    states and I've never seen an increase of any type of
22
    utility or anything of this magnitude. I think its
23
```

unconscionable that you're trying to increase the

24

rates at a 31 for us, almost a 32 percent on one and a 13 percent on the sewage. I ve just never experienced anything like that and I don't know how you can come to a conclusion that you can expect or even justify these rates. I know you have your engineers that have, you know, gone through your calculations and things like that, and I'm sure if I had the money I could find my own engineers that could also come up with a figure and it may even be on the negative side of reducing rates as opposed to expanding rates. I just -- it's just beyond my belief that you can come and ask for these kinds of increases. That's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Questions of Mr. Musser.

MR. GRANTMYRE: We have no other questions.

Sir, would you wait, the Company may have a question.

MR. BENNINK: No questions.

MR. GRANTMYRE: And the Commissioners may

have a question.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Any questions? No

21 questions. Thank you for coming tonight.

MR. GRANTMYRE: Are there any other

customers who want to make a statement and testify?

(No response)

MR. GRANTMYRE: Let the record show,

although there are eight customers in the audience, no

other customers have stated they want to testify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: The record shall so Ladies and gentlemen, this is just part of reflect. the case, this is where we hear from the customers and the Public Staff engineers as well as the Company's engineers. We'll look at all of the -- and the accountants -- we'll do a complete audit of all of these numbers and determine whether or not they believe that the numbers that the Company has come up with and the request that they've made is justified. The Commission will hear evidence from those professional witnesses later on in this case, there will be a record made of that. The Commission will take that evidence into consideration as well as your testimony here and issue a written order which will attempt to resolve all of the issues that are raised in this case, and we'll post that decision and make you aware of it. So this is just a phase of the case. We appreciate your participation in it thus far. And, if there's nothing further, we shall be adjourned. Thank you for coming.

(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned.)

## CERTIFICATE

I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Mitchell

Court Reporter II