1	PLACE:	Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina
2	DATE:	Monday, December 11, 2023
3	TIME:	10:33 a.m 11:33 a.m.
4	DOCKET:	ER-144, Subs 0 - 4
5	BEFORE:	Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell, Presiding
6		Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes
7		Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.
8		Commissioner Karen M. Kemerait
9		Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley
LO		Commissioner William M. Brawley
L1		Commissioner Tommy Tucker
L2		
L3		IN THE MATTER OF:
L 4	Charlotte Leased Housing Associates II, LLLP,	
L 5	Application for Authority to Resell Electric Service	
L 6	Pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-110(h) at 7800 Creekridge	
L 7	:	Road, Charlotte, North Carolina 28212
L 8		
L 9		
L 9		
L 9 2 0		
L9 20 21		

```
1
    A P P E A R A N C E S:
 2
    FOR CHARLOTTE LEASED HOUSING ASSOCIATES, II, LLLP:
 3
    Robert W. Kaylor, Esq.
 4
    Law Offices of Robert W. Kaylor, PA
 5
    353 East Six Forks Road, Suite 260
 6
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27609
 7
 8
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
 9
    William Freeman, Esq.
    William E. H. Creech, Esq.
10
    Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
11
12
    4326 Mail Service Center
13
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning. Let's go on the record, please. I'm Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the Utilities Commission, and with me this morning are Commissioners Duffley, Hughes, McKissick, Kemerait, Brawley, and Tucker.

I now call for Oral Argument Docket ER-144,
Sub 0 through 4, which is in the matter of Charlotte
Leased Housing Associates II, LLLP, Application for
Authority to Resell Electric Service pursuant to North
Carolina General Statute § 62-110(h), located at 7800
Creekridge Road in Charlotte, North Carolina 28212.

In compliance with the State Government

Ethics Act, I remind all members of the Commission of
our duty to avoid conflicts of interest and inquire at
this time as to whether any member has a known
conflict with respect to the matters coming before us
this morning.

(No response.)

CHAIR MITCHELL: The record will reflect that no conflicts have been identified, so we will proceed.

On March 3, 2023, Charlotte Leased Housing Associates III, LLLP, which I will refer to as the

```
Applicant, filed Applications with the Commission in Docket ER-144, Sub 0,1,2,3, and 4, for Certificates of Authority to Resell Electric Service at the complex known as Creekridge on the Park, located at 7800 Creekridge Road in Charlotte, North Carolina.
```

On May 1, 2023, the Public Staff filed correspondence to the Applicant in each docket outlining the deficiencies in each Application and requesting the Applicant to file a motion to consolidate the five dockets into an single docket.

On May 2nd, in each docket in response to the Public Staff's May 1st filings, the Commission issued an Order Finding the Application Incomplete, and they're working now with the Public Staff to correct the deficiencies.

On July 11, 2023, the Applicant filed in the Sub 0 Docket only an Application for a Waiver in which it requested the Commission grant it a waiver from the prohibition on master metering set forth in North Carolina § 143-151.42(a), and authorized the use of master metering in its planned income-qualified multifamily residential property consisting of 150 residential apartments.

On September 14, 2023, the Applicant filed

in each separate docket a request to consolidate each docket in the Docket ER-144, Sub 0.

2.2

On September 20th, the Applicant filed in Docket ER-144, Sub 0, responses to the Public Staff's deficiency letter of May 1st.

On November 17, 2023, the Public Staff filed a second deficiency letter in each separate docket seeking further information from the Applicant. The Public Staff's filing noted that the Public Staff did not object to the Applicant's request to consolidate the dockets.

On November 27, 2023, the Applicant filed a Motion for Oral Argument requesting that the Commission issue an Order scheduling an Oral Argument to permit the Applicant an opportunity to seek Commission approval to use master metering in Creekridge Road Apartment.

On November 28, 2023, the Commission issued an Order consolidating the five dockets in ER-144, Sub 0, and scheduling an oral argument to take place on this date and at this time in Raleigh.

The Public Staff, which represents the Using and Consuming Public, is a party to this proceeding pursuant to North Carolina General Statute

MR. KAYLOR: Madam Chair, members of the Commission, Robert Kaylor, appearing on behalf of Charlotte Leased Housing Associates.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning, Mr. Kaylor.

MR. CREECH: Chair Mitchell, William Creech,
C. Creech, with the Public Staff, joined by William
Freeman on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public.
Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Good morning,

Mr. Creech and Mr. Freeman. Before we get started,

any preliminary matters?

MR. KAYLOR: Not that I'm aware of.

CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Mr. Kaylor, you may -- we'll begin with you, so you may proceed.

MR. KAYLOR: Thank you. This is pretty straightforward, and I first of all thank the Commission for allowing us to have an oral argument and try to present our case to allow us to use master metering for this complex.

North Carolina is maybe one of only a couple

I have checked with Duke Energy, who I represent when I'm not representing this case, obviously, they have no objection to using a master meter at this complex because if a master meter is used and we're allowed to do that and put in our own submeters, then Duke would not have to have separate meters in each apartment. Therefore, they would get one bill, and they would be compensated for all of the electricity that goes to that master meter.

The statute doesn't seem to help us very much. It does have some exceptions to allow master meters, and I think the Commission -- obviously, we think there's enough room in there for you to stretch it a little bit and to allow us to use master meters here because, you know, there are exceptions that are exceptions for procedures -- or projects that have energy efficiency-type central units. Obviously, they could use a master meter. There's also an exception for homes for the elderly. So we are actually seeking an exception for affordable housing. This unit-- these units, 150, will be for affordable housing. And the way it works for them to make this available for those low-income affordable housing is that with a

master meter and their own submeters, they can charge a different rate to the -- each tenant in the apartment. And the rate they would charge would be under Duke's general service. And you would think that might be more expensive, but it's actually less.

each tenant, each apartment, they actually save anywhere from \$13 to \$15 for a one-bedroom apartment and up to \$30 for a two or three-bedroom apartment. This goes into a combined lease that they can charge for the apartment under rules set forth by the U.S Housing and Urban Development. So they have to be very careful in terms of how much they charge for each unit for it to qualify as an affordable housing.

So we believe that allowing us to pass that lower cost onto the individual tenants makes it feasible to have this affordable housing. We do believe that as many as 25 percent of the tenants would qualify as elderly, and we also believe that it's in the best interest to allow this to move forward. If we can't move forward now, we would attempt to try to get the statute changed, but the general assembly is not in session. They won't come back in until May. We don't think there's any

resistance to having a change that would allow an exception for affordable housing, but we think that if we had to wait until May, it throws our construction cycle off. It throws everything that we do off almost for over a year.

So we're asking the Commission to, I guess, bend the rules a little bit and to say it's in the best interest for these -- these low-income residents to be allowed -- for us to be allowed to use a master meter to submeter each one of the apartments and to pass that along.

In addition to that, we do have an energy advisor that works with this company, and they will be monitoring the bills each month to make sure that each tenant in those apartments is being efficient with regards to the use of electricity. And they will provide advice to them, you know, if they're being inefficient. So they'll be recording those values each month.

You might ask, well, why didn't we go ahead and just put Duke meters in and let Duke do the metering until we could get an exception for the master meter? That would be a tremendous expense for Duke to come in and put the meters then have to pull

them out, then for us to come in and put the meters.

And so, it's much more economical if we're allowed to use the submeters, use the master meter statute and move forward.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So that basically is our argument. It's pretty straightforward. We think that there's no other party that's harmed by this. There's no one that's opposed to it. I've been working with the Public Staff on this for several months. They've been very, very helpful in working with us to see if we could solve this problem. There's a few things we need to do with regard to the CPCN Application, which we've answered, and the Public Staff will review that then will make that filing. But we're actually really not a reseller. We're actually -- even though we filed under that statute, which would have to -- the bill that we pass along to the -- to the individual tenant. It's a straight passthrough on Duke rate. They will not be reselling that and charging anything higher. So they'll essentially be charging a lower rate. So I don't think there's any problem with -with Duke putting in the master meter and the billing taking place on that general statute towns rather than a residential statute, which would be higher. I would

That

```
1
    be glad to try and answer any questions you might have
    with regard with how it's set up and any other thing
 2
 3
    you might have in terms of how we would bill these
 4
    customers.
 5
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Mr. Kaylor, I
 6
    do thank you for your argument. I do have a question
 7
    for you because my recollection in reviewing the
8
    record is that the Company, the Applicant, did propose
9
    to charge an administrative fee up to 3.75?
10
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes, that's under the resellers
11
    statute.
              That's correct.
12
              CHAIR MITCHELL: So is that -- I'm trying to
13
    understand. Does the -- does your client want to
14
    proceed as a reseller pursuant to the statutory
15
    authority in 62 --
16
              MR. KAYLOR: I think we would have to
17
    proceed under that statute and it would be limited to
18
    that 3.75 and we would be bound by all the other rules
19
    that apply to a reseller, obviously.
20
              CHAIR MITCHELL: So your comments were not
21
    actually a reseller. You're just saying, sort of --
2.2
              MR. KAYLOR: Under the statute, we have to
23
    apply under those conditions, yes.
```

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you.

24

helps me understand, sort of, the specific ask here.

1.3

You also mentioned -- I understand the -the --rate schedule that your client would be able to
use and the mechanism that you all are going to use to
pass cost on to the ultimate customer here, and you
indicated specific savings. I think you said \$15 a
month for a one-bedroom, \$30 a month for two-bedroom,
sort of, approximately.

MR. KAYLOR: Yes. Yes.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Can you confirm for me that the savings that you would achieve here through this proposed arrangement are actually going to be passed on to the customers I don't --

MR. KAYLOR: Yes --

CHAIR MITCHELL: Hang on. Let me finish my question. Because I don't know enough about the way these -- the way a rental arrangement can be structured. They're not going to increase the rent because they are able to decrease the electric bill. That's ultimately what I'm getting at.

MR. KAYLOR: Yes, that's correct. And my client can confirm --

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

MR. KAYLOR: -- that under the rules set

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

forth by HUD, you have to show that the total rent is at a certain value, and you cannot -- we would not be able to increase the rent to make up for the difference.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay.

1.3

MR. KAYLOR: So we pass both of those along to these tenants, and we're bound by those rules set forth by HUD in terms of affordable housing.

CHAIR MITCHELL: So the savings that you would achieve through using a master meter taking at the general service rate are actually going to go to your customers -- to your -- to the tenants, bottom line here?

MR. KAYLOR: Absolutely. We guarantee that.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Let me see if there are other questions from Commissioners.

Commissioner Duffley, go ahead.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So how -- how is that lease structured then? Is it like an electric reseller where you have the rental portion and then you have the -- you mentioned a passthrough, you're simply passing through the lower rate. So will they receive an electric bill as well, or is it all within the one rent.

```
MR. KAYLOR: I believe they receive one bill
each month, which is a bundled rate, which shows the
rental and the electric usage for that unit, and it's
monitored for that unit for each tenant, yes.
          COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So when a tenant uses
less electricity one month -- like say, one month it's
a certain amount and then the next month it's a lower
amount, they will receive a lower rent?
          MR. KAYLOR: That's correct, yes.
          COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
                                 Okay.
                                       Thank you.
          MR. KAYLOR: And we have our own submetering
that we would put in for each apartment to measure
that. And they only be billed for the electricity for
their apartment. Not for any common usage or anything
else. Each apartment will be built just for their
usage.
```

17 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Mr. Kaylor, I guess

I go back to the statute.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

MR. KAYLOR: Right.

22 COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Now, statutorily,

23 when I read through that statute, there is no

24 | exception today for affordable housing; is that

correct?

2 MR. KAYLOR: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: So are you aware of any circumstances under which this Commission has previously established a precedent similar or comparable to what you're asking us to do today?

Because I have to look at what we are empowered to do, not what I might like to do.

MR. KAYLOR: I understand that. And I'm not aware of any. We did get a -- we did have a change to the statute in '21, which I was involved in. Having to do with you had a central system, and that was a system that would bring a lower -- more efficient to each apartment based on a system that could be installed on the roof. So that was a change there made in 2021. But you're correct. There's nothing straight on point that says for an affordable housing, you can have an exception. You have exceptions in the statute.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Right.

MR. KAYLOR: We're reclaiming that you could say that if they are going to be elderly in these units -- and we believe up to 25 percent -- then that comes close to allowing you to base your Order on that

```
1
    or that proposal that we make to you.
 2
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: On that premise?
 3
              MR. KAYLOR: On that premise, yes.
 4
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Even though
 5
    75 percent would likely not be elderly?
 6
              MR. KAYLOR: And it could be that there
 7
    could be years where you have more than 25 percent or
 8
    less, depending on the area, where it's located, and
9
    the number of people that are renting those type
10
    apartments.
11
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Now, how long has
12
    this project been pending?
13
              MR. KAYLOR: Well --
14
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And, when I say
15
    pending, has it been planned and, you know, moving
16
    forward?
17
              MR. HODGES: We've been working with the
18
    Commission since 2023, but this has been in the works
19
    probably for two years prior to that.
20
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        Two years.
21
              MR. KAYLOR:
                            This is Matt Hodges.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: So you've been
23
    working with the Commission for 2023, but for two
24
    years prior to that, the project is being worked on,
```

```
approvals are being a obtained by other government
authorities?
```

MR. HODGES: Right.

MR. KAYLOR: See I think what happened here is they looked at maybe some Orders from the Commission having to do with this type of master meter, and there was an exception, which I indicated was based -- it was an Order based on the change to the statute. And I think they thought that that would apply, you know, there is an exception to the master meter. And, so once they got involved and the Public Staff pointed out the master meter, they saw that I had been involved in the previous docket, so they contacted me, and that's how I became involved.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Got it. I understand. I guess I would have reasonably anticipated it. For two years, they had a chance to go to the General Assembly and try to -- and theoretically get this addressed.

MR. KAYLOR: Yes. And I tried to get to the General Assembly this year. I thought I was going to have a chance to get it in the Technical Corrections Bill, but it was passed in one chamber and not in the other. And there is a change that would work for

```
1
    them, and I've worked with the Public Staff and even
 2
    with Duke Energy to make sure that language would be
 3
    acceptable. I think, I don't think it -- well, I
 4
    can't say what the General Assembly will do, but I
 5
    think there would be a reasonable chance that there
 6
    could be a change, but that couldn't take place until
 7
    May.
 8
              So if we allowed to proceed now, we can be a
9
    year ahead of the schedule in terms of constructing
10
    this and bringing more savings to these low-income.
11
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        Thank you.
12
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Commissioner
    Kemerait.
13
14
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Mr. Kaylor, I'd like
15
    for you to elaborate on one point that you made in
16
    your motion.
17
              MR. KAYLOR: Okay.
18
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: First -- and I think
19
    in your motion you stated that, what you're requesting
    here meets the spirit and intent of 143-151.42?
20
21
              MR. KAYLOR: Correct. Yes.
22
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And by invoicing and
23
    billing for just the actual usage for each tenant, can
```

you just elaborate a little bit more about how that

24

```
1
    would promote energy conservation, because I assume
 2
    your argument is, is that the tenants would have
 3
    motivation to keep their bills lower so they would
 4
    have motivation to reduce their energy or their
 5
    electricity usage; can you elaborate?
 6
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes. And exactly. And plus,
 7
    we have a utility manager that monitors those.
 8
    that manager would be looking at those bills, and if
9
    they were to see that someone that is out of -- out of
10
    line in terms of bill much higher, then they would
11
    consult with them and try to show them ways that they
12
    could conserve on their energy in their apartment.
13
    yes, we would be involved in that on a monthly basis.
14
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And that was my
15
    second question. I wanted you to elaborate a little
16
    bit more about that utility manager that is going to
17
    be providing advice and information. If you can --
18
    I'd like to hear some more details about --
19
              MR. KAYLOR: Can I ask Mr. Carol to speak?
20
    He's the manager.
21
              Can you elaborate a little more about that,
2.2
    James?
23
              MR. CARROLL:
                             Sure, yes.
24
                            This is James Carroll.
              MR. KAYLOR:
```

MR. CARROLL: James Carroll, Engineer with Plummer Associates. We work with Dominion on many properties of their portfolio, and we work directly with the third-party billing company that Dominion uses on several of their projects for this very purpose.

What happens is, with the master meter -commercial master meter, this third-party billing
company will receive that one bill, then they have all
the internal data from the submeters that were
installed in each apartment building and will bill
appropriately for the actual usage for each tenant.
So that -- that third-party biller sees both the data
from the utility company master meter and all the
individual tenants, and there's a one-to-one
correlation there. And, as mentioned earlier, none of
the common area usage or site usage gets passed on to
tenants. The tenants are only charged for exactly
what their individual meter says.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And if you notice a tenant that has higher energy or electricity usage, what type of information or advice do you -- do you provide to them? What are you envisioning to help those tenants reduce their energy usage?

```
MR. CARROLL:
                        Sure. And because the -- it's
basically one building and every unit is nearly
identical in terms of what was installed by the
developer it -- there's -- there's a lot of
possibilities for -- if the party is interested to --
there's some basic energy efficiency things that can
be checked -- just whether it's allowing somebody to
come into their unit and inspect with the owner's --
or the tenant's permission. I know -- there's a lot
of obvious things that can happen. Like people leave
windows open when they shouldn't. And there's a lot
of -- I can't think of any specific things off the top
of my head, but there are -- it's correlations with
how many tenants are actually in the unit, whether
it's one person or a whole family. Maybe there's too
many people in there and there's excessive energy
usage. But having that service is something that is
available, whereas if the utility company provided the
meter, serviced the unit, the -- both the building
owner or the third-party billing energy manager
wouldn't have access to that data and therefore
wouldn't know, wouldn't be able to help in any way.
So it's -- at least provides an insight into what's
happening, and I could come up with some more specific
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

details of what can be recommended to tenants. I'm drawing a blank at the moment.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And two final questions: First, of all while we're talking about that, will this utility manager be proactive in reaching out to the tenants and not waiting for them, because I think tenants may not understand services that would be available. Will they -- will you be proactive in reaching out?

MR. CARROLL: Yes. And this particular third-party manager deals with -- I don't know the number, but several properties that Dominion owns and operates. So they do have -- they do have procedures in place to -- in other states for example, as well. That's just part of the services they provide, is -- is both billing and measuring and helping tenants as needed.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And then, following up about the submeters that are going to be installed; will they provide information in addition to just the actual energy usage? Like for example, are they sophisticated enough or do they have the technical ability to determine what appliances are using the energy? What is the level of technical

```
1
    sophistication?
 2
              MR. CARROLL:
                            In general, what typically
 3
    happens is, it's just a -- it just replaces the
 4
    utility provided meter. So it's just one stream in,
 5
    and it doesn't -- it doesn't aggregate down to the
 6
    individual circuit level. So it would just be the
 7
    apartment as a whole.
 8
              There are ways to get more advanced and more
9
    complicated, but just the nature of it being
10
    affordable housing and budgets are already tight as it
11
    is -- it is a great -- good enough step just to have
12
    one single meter for the whole apartment.
13
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Okay. Thank you.
14
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Commissioner
15
    Tucker.
16
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Thank you, Madam
17
    Chair.
18
              Couple of questions. Mr. Kaylor, you said
19
    that a one-bedroom would save roughly 13 to $15 a
20
    month, but the net would be about $9 a month after
21
    they paid the administration fee; is that correct?
2.2
              MR. KAYLOR: That would be correct, yes.
23
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: All right.
24
    administration fee capped?
```

```
1
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes.
 2
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: So that won't ever go
 3
    up?
 4
              MR. KAYLOR: That will never -- it's capped
 5
    by the rules of the Commission, actually.
                                               It's a
 6
    Commission rule that $3.75. Okay.
 7
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. So the 3.75 can
8
    never go up. And then, I guess, the rate that the
9
    apartment complex would receive from Duke would be a
10
    commercial rate?
11
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes. A general service rate.
12
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Is that correct?
13
              MR. KAYLOR: That's correct.
14
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: That's where the
15
    lesser cost comes in --
16
              MR. KAYLOR: That's where the lesser cost
17
    comes --
18
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: -- to give you the
19
    flexibility that's there. Those bills, they are the
20
    rent, and then the electric use is broken out so the
21
    tenant knows about how much they're using in way of
22
    power, right; is that correct?
23
              MR. CARROLL: I believe so.
24
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes, we think that's correct.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: How would this manager
 2
    you say on site is going to help with their
 3
    over-energy usage or try to show them ways? How are
 4
    they going to help if it's not carved out from their
 5
    bill?
 6
              MR. KAYLOR:
                           The manager knows how much
 7
    electricity is being used for each apartment, yes.
 8
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. So -- so let me
    ask you this: Who's responsible for the electric bill
9
10
    when the tenant is unable to pay it?
11
              MR. KAYLOR: If the tenant is unable to pay,
12
    it would be process I assume where at some point in
    time just like the rent and everything else -- oh.
13
14
    We'd be responsible.
15
              MR. HODGES: The owner would be.
16
              MR. KAYLOR: The owner's responsible for
17
    the -- we pay the master to begin with.
18
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Right.
19
              MR. KAYLOR: So Duke is paid upfront.
                                                      Ιf
20
    the tenant doesn't pay, then that's a loss to the
21
    owner so.
22
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: And, I guess, they'd
23
    be evicted, correct?
24
              MR. KAYLOR: Well, they would go through a
```

```
1
    process --
 2
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Right. Yeah.
                                                    Process
 3
    of eviction.
 4
              MR. KAYLOR: Yeah.
 5
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. Thank you, sir.
 6
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes, sir.
 7
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Commissioner
8
    Hughes has a question, and then I'll come back to
9
    Duffley, and then McKissick.
10
              COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Just a quick question.
11
    How will water be metered? Just curious.
12
              MR. HODGES: I think -- you can go ahead.
              MR. CARROLL: I know I believe it's similar
13
14
    where water submeters will be installed, and this --
15
    basically, this same conversation applies to the water
16
    as well. So third-party billing and individual
17
    measuring of --
18
              COMMISSIONER HUGHES: If that's not the
19
    case, could you let us know?
20
              MR. HODGES: Yeah.
                                  That is the case.
21
              MR. CARROLL: It is the case.
2.2
              MR. KAYLOR: It is the case.
23
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So we are talking
24
    about the rules. So hypothetically, if this
```

```
1
    Commission approved your Application or motion,
    you're -- you're suggesting that you follow 62-110 J,
 2
 3
    which states that: The Applicant would be subject to
 4
    62-110H subdivisions two through eight. And are you
 5
    also in agreement that the Commission rules that
 6
    correspond with Subsection H, 2 through 8, would apply
 7
    to your client?
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes. Yes, we are.
 8
9
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. And then, you
10
    mentioned this third-party manager, the utility
11
    manager and I heard that it was third-party. So is
12
    this manager is not going to be on-site; is that
1.3
    correct?
14
              MR. HODGES: That's correct. It's --
15
    they're not on site.
16
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. And without
17
    revealing confidential information, is the name of
18
    this third-party manager confidential?
19
              MR. HODGES: No, I don't think.
20
    Sagiliti.
21
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Sagiliti. How do you
22
    spell that?
23
              MR. HODGES: Good question. I think it's --
24
    let me find it quick.
```

```
1
              MR. CARROLL: S-A-G-I-L-I-T-I.
 2
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. And then, with
 3
    respect -- thank you for that -- with respect to the
 4
    renting of multiple bedrooms like the two-to
 5
    three-bedroom apartment, are you -- you're not -- it's
 6
    just the submeters to the apartment, so you're not
 7
    renting by the bedroom for those two or three?
 8
              MR. KAYLOR: It's not for separate bedrooms.
9
    It's for one apartment.
10
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. But you're not
11
    renting per bedroom?
12
              MR. KAYLOR:
                           No.
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.
13
14
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        Just a couple
15
    questions:
                I guess the engineer would be the one I
16
    want to the address. I gather this complex has four
17
    different residential buildings; is that is right?
18
                           That's right.
              MR. HODGES:
19
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And about how many
20
    units are in each building?
21
              MR. HODGES: About 40.
2.2
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: About 40 units per
23
    building?
24
              MR. HODGES:
                           Yeah.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And it's
 2
    anticipated they're all going to go under construction
 3
    at the same time, or how do you --
 4
              MR. HODGES: Yeah. There -- it's currently
 5
    under construction right now.
 6
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: It's under
 7
    construction right now. Now let me ask you this:
 8
    terms of the heating, air conditioning I guess that's
9
    provided by a heated pump-type system?
10
              MR. HODGES: I believe that's correct, yes.
11
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Are there any
12
    attributes to that heat pump system that would qualify
13
    it as being energy efficient?
14
              MR. HODGES: I'd have to defer that.
15
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: I don't mean, like,
16
    the sphere level or anything that would make it -- or
17
    qualify it as being energy efficient. All right.
18
              MR. CARROLL: I do not recall the specs of
19
    the specific models being installed.
20
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Okay. And I guess
21
    I would ask the same for, like, hot water heater; is
2.2
    that electric or?
23
                             That would be electric as
              MR. CARROLL:
24
    well.
```

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And do we know about are there anything there that would qualify it as being energy efficient?

MR. HODGES: I can just chime in. We -this is under the North Carolina Housing Finance
Agency is the financing. And under -- under their
rules, we have to follow the energy star multifamily.
So if that helps you with your answers.

MR. CARROLL: And the building is energy star certified.

MR. HODGES: Right. Yeah.

MR. CARROLL: So the building being energy star certified, it's a rigorous process to assure -- whether it's specific appliances and everything are energy star standards as well as there's some other building characteristics that would apply to come areas as well, but the building itself being energy star certified is -- that -- that's a high bar in terms of energy efficiency, and so achieving that is beneficial for everybody.

COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: And, I guess,
Mr. Kaylor, I raise this question; was there any
consideration to try to submit this particular
Application or petition under the provisions of the

```
statute which deal with energy efficiency?
 1
              MR. KAYLOR: I'd look into that and see if
 2
    we could modify. I wasn't aware of -- that there's a
 3
 4
    statute that's different than what we're under right
 5
    now.
 6
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Well, I mean, the
 7
    one here, you know, they have some language dealing
8
    with energy efficiency within it. I'm trying to
9
    remember which section I saw here.
10
              MR. KAYLOR: Oh. You mean, in the --
11
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Yeah.
                                               151.42.
                                                        Ιf
12
    you go down under Subsection A.
13
              MR. KAYLOR: Yeah. I viewed that as being,
14
    you know, a central system rather than individual
15
    systems.
              So that's the reason --
16
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: So it would not
17
    qualify under any --
18
              MR. KAYLOR: We don't have a central system.
19
    Right.
20
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Okay. I was trying
21
    to see if there was any leg there. Doesn't appear to
2.2
    be.
23
              MR. KAYLOR:
                           Thank you.
24
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        Thank you.
```

```
COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Madam Chair, can I have one question?
```

CHAIR MITCHELL: You may, go ahead, Commission Tucker.

business standpoint, I'm sorry, I just have to ask this question. Why would you go to all this trouble to go to this effort to have an individual check people's bills, follow energy, do all the things that you have to do with the submeters rather than having Duke do to? What's money-wise to the developer? Is there some subsidy or something from the feds or whatever that -- what's advantageous to you guys? I mean, it seems like a lot of trouble. I understand you're trying to reduce the bill for low-income folks, and that's admirable, but just for me, there's got to be something else to it. Could you share that with me? And maybe there's not.

MR. HODGES: Yeah. I think there's two things. One, we already -- we already purchased those submeters; and, two would be that, with how the program works, is that you have -- you have a max rent and then there's the utilities are reduced from that rent. So kind of the direct benefit is that the rate

```
1
    is lower on the general service meters.
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. Thank you.
 2
 3
    Thank you, Madam Chair.
 4
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Commissioner,
 5
    Brawley.
 6
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: Thank you.
 7
    you know, you use the term low-income apartments, and
 8
    I'm thinking affordable housing, and would you
9
    describe the program under which you're operating?
10
              MR. KAYLOR: Yes. The Affordable Housing --
              MR. HODGES: Yeah. Section 42.
11
12
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. So for the
13
    benefit of the Commission, will you just hit the high
14
    points?
15
              MR. HODGES: Yes. So this is going to be
16
    the rent and income on all these units are restricted
17
    at 60 percent of the area median income. So what that
18
    range would be for one person, the max rent -- the max
19
    income you could have for one person in 2023 is
20
    $41,000. And then a one-bedroom rent in 2023 is
21
    $1,100 -- $1,122 is a one-bedroom rent. And this
22
    complex will have both ones, twos, and three bedrooms.
23
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. Now, this is
24
    generally what's known as income-targeted housing?
```

```
1
              MR. HODGES:
                          Right.
 2
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: And you must maintain
 3
    this status of income targeting for -- what is it, 30
 4
    years?
 5
              MR. HODGES:
                           Yeah. Yep. It's 15-year
 6
    compliance period and then a 15-year extended use
 7
    period.
 8
              COMMISSIONER TUCKER: Okay. And you're
9
    getting some of your financing through tax credits
10
    that you resell.
11
              MR. HODGES:
                          Exactly.
                                     Yeah.
12
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: Okay. And the
13
    difference between your -- this is income-targeted,
14
    and you believe that it will also bring in a fairly
15
    substantial number of above 55?
16
              MR. HODGES: That's correct. It could,
17
    yeah.
18
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: To be qualified as an
19
    income -- as an age-targeted facility as well, what
20
    percentage of rentals at 55 or older would you have to
21
    have? And I believe that's just one person of 55 or
22
    older per unit.
```

older persons, you would need 80 percent.

MR. HODGES: Yeah. So under the housing for

23

24

```
1
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY:
                                      80?
 2
              MR. HODGES: Yeah.
 3
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: Okay. Are these
 4
    exterior entrances, or are they entrances off of a
 5
    central hall?
 6
              MR. HODGES: Exterior entrances.
 7
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: Okay. Thank you,
8
    Madam Chair.
9
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Any additional
10
    questions for the Applicant?
11
              MR. KAYLOR: Madam Chair, that concludes our
12
    case.
13
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Kaylor.
                                                        All
14
           Mr. Creech.
    right.
15
              MR. CREECH: May it please the Commission,
16
    Chair Mitchell, William Creech again with the Public
17
    Staff. I'll try to make this brief if I can.
18
    thought I had about five minutes. Maybe I can do it
19
    in a shorter period of time.
20
              Let me just say from the outset that the
21
    Public Staff, of course, does believe this is a
22
    laudable endeavor by the Applicant. We've try to work
23
    fairly closely with them. The public Staff would not
24
    object to the Applicant's seeking the statutory change
```

if necessary here to make this happen.

I will say that we believe that there are a couple of avenues already available to Applicant should it want to go. And we kind of touched on those. One is the traditional resell scenario, which is different from this where there are Duke-owned meters at the unit or tenant level. And that's -- and here it would be landlord-owned meters at the tenant level. In the traditional sense, there are -- the bill remains with the landlord, however, for convenience et cetera. A lot of these are student housing, and so for the students, for the landlord, for the parents, there's not a lot of back and forth on who's name the bill is in.

The second thing is, that -- and we'll touch on it here in a moment in the master meter statute -- there is the ability to bake the -- so-called bake the electric bill into the lease provided, so gross lease. So, for example, if you think it's going to be \$925 for -- per month for rent and approximately \$75 per month for electricity, you can do a gross -- non-changeable -- but gross one a \$1,000 a month and say, "Hey. Here's your piece. Here's your -- here's what you got to pay every month."

So those are avenues available. Again, the Public Staff would support -- certainly would not object to a change in the statute if necessary, but we do not yet see how this is possible under the existing regulatory framework. And when I say that, I mean the statutes, the regulations, and potentially even the LGS the general services tariff that DEC has.

Now, I will note a couple other things if I can, please. That as to that tariff, the LGS Tariff, DEC Tariff -- and I've got a copy of it here and I'll show to you -- it does prohibit selling, generally. So I'll show you that here shortly.

There's a -- I do want to mention another consideration has to do with the \$42 monthly assistance program that I believe that there's been in DEP, there may be in DEC here, and how that interplays with this type of scenario. So that's maybe a consideration to take into account.

I did -- we did hand out, prior to the hearing, a packet of information, so I was just going to quickly highlight some things for you there, if I may. There are four items in that, I think, understandably, that you can appreciate. The first one is the master meter statute, 143-151.42. That's

for a prohibition of master meters for electric and natural gas service. We've been talking a lot about A today. Some exemptions in there for elderly. And then, under B, we talked a little bit about that too. B2 is the so-called baked-in to the lease scenario. And B1 is the H through J, you know, it says that this prohibition of master meters shall not apply if the Commission has approved an Application under H through J of the CPCN statute.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And so that's where we'll turn next, which the CPCN statute. That's the second document in what's been provided to you. And we start on Page 8 of that, which is H, Subsection H. And there -again, that's Page 8, Subsection H of the 62-110 Certificate for Convenience of Necessity -- there it speaks in the introductory paragraph about allowing the lessor of any lease premises that has individually metered units for electric service in the lessor's name to charge for the actual cost of providing electric service to each lessee. And then under 1, it speaks to the individual electric service for a unit. That is through -- as we understand it, that's through traditional electric resellers scenario where there are Duke-owned meters at the unit or tenant level.

So just wanted to highlight that for you.

The third document I provided to you is -- are the rules. The Chapter 22 rules as promulgated by the Commission, and that is the third document. It says Chapter 22 Provision of Electrical Service for Lessors.

2.2

The first Application -- the first provision there, R22-1 Application, kind of resites H, speaks to individually metered units for electric service in the lessors name where the lessor charges the actual cost for providing electric service to each lessee.

Then if you turn over, please, to R22-3 -that's the third rule in that packet there -- it says
Utility Status Certificate, under R22-3 B1. At the
end of B1, it speaks to the provision of residential
electric service. And it's kind of the confluence of
these items where the Public Staff has understood this
to be charging residential customers at residential
rates. Residential customers at residential rates.
The Public Staff is -- is not aware of the scenario
where residents are charged something other than
residential rates.

So and then, finally, the final document there is the -- is the Duke LGS Tariff. Large general

service tariff. That's a three-page document. On the first page, the third paragraph of the first page it says: This schedule is not available to individual customers who qualifies for a residential or industrial schedule, et cetera. And then the second sentence says: Power delivered under this schedule shall not to be used for resell or exchange and parallel with other electric power, et cetera.

This is the existing DEC tariff. And so, you know, overall, the Public Staff, again, we support in concept, of course. What the Applicant wants to do is a laudable scenario. We're not yet -- do not yet see how this can be done in the -- what the Applicant is specifically trying to do within the existing statutory framework. And we would be pleased to -- we would not object -- we want to see it -- but we would not object to any reasonable change to the statute to allow for it.

Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Creech, just a few questions for you. I think you -- you covered this in your remarks, but I want to be sure I heard you correctly. Is the Public Staff aware of any other arrangement like the one requested by the Applicant

```
1
    where residential customers would be served under a
    nonresidential rate schedule?
 2
 3
              MR. CREECH: We've talked about that
 4
    internally, and I'm not -- I am not aware of that.
 5
    And we do -- I did not say at the outset, and I would
 6
    like to say now, if I may, Chair Mitchell, I'm joined
 7
    today by James McLawhorn who is the lead engineer in
 8
    the Energy Division; as well as David Williamson and
9
    Tommy Williamson, all engineers; as well as our
10
    colleague and the Manager of the Legal Division --
    Electric Division, Robert Josey.
11
12
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. The -- looking
13
    back at Schedule LGS that you provided for us, Mr.
14
    Creech, that paragraph that you drew our attention to,
15
    if you keep going, so that sentence that begins,
16
    "power delivered under the schedule," if you keep
17
    going reading that sentence, you get to "except at the
18
    option of the Company," what does that mean?
19
              MR. CREECH: I --
20
              CHAIR MITCHELL: And if the answer is, I
21
    don't know, that's perfectly --
2.2
              MR. CREECH: The answer is, I don't know.
23
    don't know.
24
                                Okay. Did you hear --
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
```

```
1
              MR. CREECH:
                          We've got other colleagues who
 2
          We'd be pleased to --
    may.
 3
              MR. CREECH: Well, if one of your colleagues
 4
    knows, I'd ask that he please come up with let us
 5
    know, but if not, we don't need to belabor the point
    at the moment. I'm not seeing anybody. Okay.
 7
              Did you hear Mr. Kaylor's remarks about Duke
8
    Energy, that Duke Energy did not object to what his
9
    client is proposing here?
10
              MR. CREECH: We have.
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Did the Public Staff-- has
11
12
    the Public -- I'm sorry. I spoke over you.
13
    sorry. For the Court Reporter's benefit, would you
14
    say that again?
15
              MR. CREECH: We are -- we are aware of that,
16
    and I suppose if this was -- if we had a lot of
17
    motions and other things, we might could have brought
18
    them into this as well.
19
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Understood. And I
20
    understand why they are not here today.
21
    understand that the Public Staff's time is very
22
    limited to -- to address -- just is limited.
23
              So did you all have a chance to discuss this
24
    arrangement with the electric utility?
```

```
1
              MR. CREECH: To my knowledge, we have not
    discussed this.
 2
 3
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Okay. All right.
    Mr. Kaylor do you have -- well, let me say this -- let
 4
 5
    me see -- I want to see if there are any additional
 6
    questions for Mr. Creech, and then, to the extent that
 7
    you want to be heard again, we will allow it, but let
8
    me turn to my colleagues.
9
              Commissioner Duffley.
10
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
                                     Yes.
                                            If you could
11
    turn to 62-110, Subsection J. And can you explain to
12
    me the -- the Public Staff's interpretation of this
13
    language in J, that -- what does it mean to obtained
14
    the approval of the Commission for the use of master
15
    meter pursuant to GS § 143-151.42.
16
              MR. CREECH: So thank you, Commissioner.
17
    understanding is that this generally applies to its
18
    central system scenario. And that, that is not what
19
    is being contemplated here.
20
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.
21
              MR. CREECH:
                           Thank you.
22
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: May I ask a follow-up
23
    to that?
24
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
                                       Go ahead.
```

Sure.

that specific language is metering each unit for a central system. And if the central system is one specific piece of machinery, a measurement at the unit level would be meaningless; wouldn't it? It's almost as if their central system they may be referring to is the distribution of the power, not the equipment that uses it; is that a possible interpretation?

1.3

2.2

MR. CREECH: I'm not certain if I completely understand the question, Commissioner. In this instance -- and I'm also not an engineer, but I do -- obviously I have looked at the statute. My understanding here is that this is not a central system where -- where that would serve the entire community. There are going to be -- there will be units in each of the tenant's premises there, is my understanding.

COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: May I?

CHAIR MITCHELL: You may.

COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: I think what I'm saying is, my interpretation of central system is not the appliances that are using the electricity. The central system in this case is the system of metering and wiring that is distributing electricity so that

each unit would measure the amount of the electricity throwing -- flowing through the distribution system used by that individual apartment, which is something that I believe would be meaningfully measured at the apartment level. If we had a master heating system, how would you measure how much heat you're taking? And that's the question I'm trying to understand.

MR. CREECH: Commissioner, thanks for your question. I do have my colleague, William Freeman and then the Lead Engineer in our Energy Division, James McLawhorn, who may be able to respond to your question.

MR. FREEMAN: Commissioner, thank you. Two things: I think that the Application was not made under J; but the second point, J is talking about when you have that central system, how do you allocate -- you can imagine these new places where you have 20 restaurants and one kind of shared place to eat. How are you going to split the bill up for the shared place to eat? And this J is saying, hey. You can split it up based on each tenant's measured share of electricity or natural gas. And so what we have here is, this Application is saying, we're not going to charge those general rates to tenants at all. We're

going to just make tenants pay for what they use in their apartment. They're not going to pay any share of -- like, if there's a clubhouse, they're not going to pay any share of that in their monthly bill.

Under J, that's how you would be able to judge charge them for that sort of central space, but that's not what they're -- the tenants -- the landlord's not trying to use J here because they're not trying to split any of the landlord's electric cost among the tenants. And I've got Mr.McLawhorn here who's far more knowledgeable than me.

MR. MCLAWHORN: James McLawhorn, Director of Public Staff Energy Division. I am not an attorney, but -- so if I misread the statute, I'm sure Mr.

Kaylor will correct me. But I do have some experience with master metering applications over the years.

And, Commissioner Brawley, my understanding is that by central system, and that has been a part of the master meter statute prohibition for as long as I have worked at the Public Staff, it's referring to central heat, central water heating systems where you could -- it's more efficient to have, in some cases, one system for the entire complex that provides heat or air conditioning or water heating and its piped to

```
1
    the individual units than it is to, in some cases, to
 2
    have individual hot water heaters at each unit or
    individual heat pumps at each unit. And there has to
 3
 4
    be some way to charge the individual apartments for
 5
    the electricity that -- or natural gas as the case may
 6
    be according to the statute, for what is used or
    consumed by those central facilities. I've -- my --
 7
 8
    and my understanding is that is what J is referring to
9
    back to -- because J was added in the not too distant
10
    past. And it was referring that to that portion of
11
    the master metering statue.
12
              COMMISSIONER BRAWLEY: Okay. Thank you,
13
    gentlemen. And I do want to compliment, Commissioner
14
    Duffley on that catch on J. That was --
15
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
                                      Thank you.
                                                  Thank
16
    you.
17
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
                               Let's see. Let's go
18
    with -- Commissioner Hughes has a question, and then
19
    we'll go to McKissick.
20
              COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Yes. You mentioned
21
    early on a potential issue with the -- the Consumer
22
    Affordability Program. Could you elaborate a little
23
    bit more specifically?
```

MR. CREECH: Commissioner, I'm aware that

24

```
1
    they're -- we've not explored the interplay between
 2
    the affordability -- that program that may be afforded
 3
    to low-income tenants and how that may -- residents or
 4
    customers -- excuse me -- how that may interplay with
 5
    this scenario and whether they would be eligible for
 6
    that type of program if they were in this type of
 7
    facility. And so, you know, one of the considerations
 8
    is whether, if you're going to get $42 savings under
9
    one or $30 on another, your net -- you know, you're
10
    down 12. And so we don't know the interplay between
11
    that, but that we just thought that was important to
12
    mention because that is something we have not fully
    explored in this, kind of, one-off ER 144 Docket.
13
14
              COMMISSIONER HUGHES: I was looking for more
15
    details on that interplay.
16
              MR. CREECH: Yes, sir.
17
              COMMISSIONER HUGHES: So I agree with your
18
    assessment.
19
              MR. CREECH: And may be -- that may be -- I
20
    don't know if that's something the Applicant has
21
    looked at as well including as part of their
22
    discussions with the utility. I don't know.
23
              CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Commissioner
24
    McKissick.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Mr. Creech, I quess
 2
    I want to go back to the tariff, the Large General
 3
    Services Tariff. There's a prohibition there on
 4
    reselling electricity; is that correct?
 5
              MR. CREECH: That is the way the Public
    Staff has read that third paragraph; yes, sir.
 6
 7
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: All right. And
8
    that would be reselling it to any entity; it wouldn't
9
    necessarily have to be residential; it was any resell
10
    whatsoever; is that correct?
              MR. CREECH: Commissioner, I think the way
11
12
    that we had -- we read it was, that it could not be
    used for resell, period.
13
14
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        Okay.
15
              MR. CREECH: But I'm not aware if there may
16
    be instances where that -- there's any --
17
              MR. MCLAWHORN: Commissioner McKissick,
18
    there's a general prohibition against resell of any of
19
    the retail tariffs that the Commission has approved
20
    for the utilities. So that is, generally, not
21
    allowed. I believe there -- the Duke's service
22
    regulations state as such as well is it's included in
23
    the tariff.
24
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK:
                                        And, Mr. McLawhorn,
```

what would be the penalty if one were to go out and resell? I mean, if you -- if there was a violation of the provision of a tariff.

MR. MCLAWHORN: I think there would -someone would file -- and I might get the legal
terminology wrong, but would file a requesting a show
cause Order. The Commission would -- if a discovered
that that, in fact, was happening -- they would issue
a cease and assist Order for the entity that was
reselling in violation of the tariffs and service
regulations.

Switch gears quickly. I heard the Applicant also indicated they plan to put in meters for water and that water would also be something that -- that individual apartments would pay for separately, independently. Now, have they come to the Commission to seek authority to do that?

MR. CREECH: Commissioner McKissick, I'm not aware of that of -- of that filing, and I don't know that they -- it sounded like to me they may not have yet made that. I'm not aware of that, however.

23 COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Okay. So that's 24 not been pursued at this time?

```
1
              MR. CREECH: Not that I'm aware of.
 2
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: But that be
 3
    necessary; is that --
 4
              MR. CREECH: Yes, sir. If they were going
 5
    to be a -- a reseller or seeking some sort of
 6
    statutory exemption or otherwise, they would need to
 7
    file is my understanding.
 8
              COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Mine as well.
9
    Thank you.
10
              MR. CREECH:
                           Yes, sir.
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Kemerait.
11
12
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Mr. Creech,
13
    following up on Schedule LGS. This is a follow-up
14
    from Chair Mitchell's questions, and, obviously,
15
    meanings and definitions of words matter.
                                                It states
16
    on the second sentence on the third paragraph, "power
17
    delivered under this schedule shall not be used for
18
    resale," and I think that Mr. Kaylor's -- I believe
19
    his argument is, that this is not resale; it's simply
20
    a passthrough. I'm going to ask him the same question
21
    about why what he's proposing doesn't violate the
22
    statute, but do you -- what is your position about the
23
    definition of resale and whether what he's proposing
24
    is a passthrough and not violate resale?
```

MR. CREECH: My understanding, Commissioner Kemerait, is that there is, of course, a general prohibition on the master meter, master metering, and then there are statutory exemptions to that within a — and really other paths in B there, too. As for the resell, that is — that traditional resell scenario is set out in the statute in the rules, and those are Duke-owned meters at the tenant or unit level in the landlord's name. And so in the context of these, we do not yet — we do not see how exactly what the Applicant is wanting to do fits within the existing statutory framework.

We do believe, again, that we support in concept, of course, what they're hope to do, and we think that there are a couple of avenues available to them. One is traditional reseller. And then the other is to bake it into their rent, so to speak. But we do not see how they can do what they are wanting to do within the existing framework.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Thank you,
Mr. Creech, and I hope that if Mr. Kaylor on rebuttal
will address that as well.

CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Let's see. Any additional questions before we get back to Mr. Kaylor?

1 (No response.)

2.2

CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Mr. Kaylor.

MR. KAYLOR: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Energy the concept of using the master meter, and they are not opposed to it. I take the position, and I don't know how Duke would react. I can't speak for Duke, that we are not reselling this electricity. It's a straight passthrough from the master meter, through the submeter, to the apartment.

So it's our position it's not a resell; it's a passthrough of the same rate. And it's the rate -- we're charging the same rate that Duke would charge for that electricity going through the master meter.

So that's our position, and I do know that I have consulted with Duke. They have no objection to using a master meter at facilities such as this.

And I would say in closing, I told my wife I was happy that this was a civil matter because if it was a criminal matter, I'd be throwing myself at the mercy of the court.

CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Well, let's see if there are any last questions before we conclude for the morning.

1	(No response.)
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Anything else anyone needs
3	to say?
4	MR. CREECH: Thank you.
5	CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. All right. We would
6	like post-argument filings, as I previously discussed
7	with counsel. We typically give you all 30 days from
8	the transcript. I'm hoping that I can we can get
9	the transcript out fairly quickly so that you all can
10	turn around post-hearing filings very quickly as well.
11	I'll go ahead and say 30 days, but I'd like to get
12	them as soon as possible.
13	And with that, unless there was anything
14	else, we will go off the record.
15	Thank you very much.
16	(The proceedings were adjourned.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

## C E R T I F I C A T E

I, KAYLENE M. CLAYTON, do hereby certify that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Kaylene M. Clayton
Kaylene M. Clayton

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION