From:

Jeanna Cullinan

Sent:

Wednesday, July 6, 2022 8:53 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jeanna Cullinan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeanna Cullinan

Email

miachiquitita@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke's proposal to the Utilities Commission is inadequate and appears to be designed to fail. The NC Utilities Commission should conduct the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar as required by law before changing net metering rules and making customers pay more for less benefit.

From:

Anne Everitt

Sent:

Wednesday, July 6, 2022 9:17 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Anne Everitt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anne Everitt

Email

anneinbullcity@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to wage my protest to Duke Energy plans to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount I am paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid. When I bought the solar panels for my home, I felt I was doing something good for the environment and my community. As it is I have to basically give the energy to Duke Energy and they give me credits toward my bill or if I have extra, can save to use on next bill. They have complete control. As well, they conveniently stop annual rollover right when we have more solar energy available. They want to have their cake and eat it too. This is unfair to the producers of Solar energy that they conveniently decide how to pay us for. Please consider your actions in this matter.

From: Miriam Paulson

Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 9:18 AM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Miriam Paulson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Miriam Paulson

Email

miriam.paulson@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm requesting the NCUC reject Duke Energy's proposed net metering changes and I encourage the NCUC to consider the full costs and benefits of rooftop solar. I recently installed panels and am discouraged that Duke Energy would be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. The NCUC needs to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

From:

Eric Banilower

Sent:

Wednesday, July 6, 2022 9:20 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Eric Banilower

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Eric Banilower

Email

EBANILOWER@YAHOO.COM

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to express my concern about the proposed changes to net metering rules submitted by Duke Energy. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and it appears that this investigation has not yet been conducted (if it has, please send me a copy of the report of this investigation). Any changes to the net metering rules made before this investigation is conducted would be both an disservice to the citizens of NC, not to mention illegal and result in legal action in the courts. Thank you for addressing my comment/concerns.

From:

Ethan Beattie

Sent:

Wednesday, July 6, 2022 9:28 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Ethan Beattie

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ethan Beattie

Email

ethan@lawbeattie.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar power owner, I write to ask that you reject Duke Energy's proposal as submitted by E-100 Sub 180. I urge the Commission to do a full cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar as required by HB589 before making any changes to net-metering. We installed solar with the understanding that we are making an investment in clean energy. It is fundamentally unfair for Duke Energy to change the value of our investment retroactively. Thank you for your consideration.