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 Page 8 COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Good afternoon. Let's come to order and go on the record.

I am Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley, and with me this afternoon will be Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell and Commissioners ToNola D. Brown-Bland; Daniel G. Clodfelter; Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.; Jeffrey A. Hughes; and Karen M. Kemerait.

I now call for hearing Docket Number A-41, Sub 22, in the matter of Joint Amended Application of Bald Head Island Transportation, Incorporated; Bald Head Island Limited, LLC; and Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation, LLC, for Approval of Transfer of Common Carrier Certificate to Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation, LLC, and Permission to Pledge Assets.

On July 14, 2022, Bald Head Island Transportation, Incorporated, or BHIT; and Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation, LLC, BHIFT; and collectively BHIT, the applicants, a wholly owned subsidiary of Pelican Legacy Holdings, LLC, Pelican, managed by SharpVue Capital, LLC, collectively with Pelican, SharpVue filed an
application pursuant to North Carolina General Statute §62-111 for approval:

1. To transfer BHIT's common carrier certificate to Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation in order to operate the passenger ferry transportation services to and from Bald Head Island and the tram services on the island; and
2. For Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation, or SharpVue, to pledge assets and borrow or issue debt, pursuant to North Carolina General Statute, Sections $\$ 62-160$ and $\$ 62-161$, as may be necessary to finance the transaction.

Applicants also filed several exhibits and the direct testimony of witnesses Charles, or Chad, Paul, III; Shirley Mayfield; and Lee Roberts.

On August 24th, 2022, the Commission issued an Order scheduling a hearing, establishing procedural deadlines, and requiring public notice for the scheduling Order.

In the scheduling Order, the Commission provided discovery guidelines and set the matter for public witness hearing on Tuesday,

November 1st, 2022, at the Brunswick County Courthouse in Bolivia, North Carolina, and for
expert witness hearing to begin on Tuesday, January 17, 2023, in the Commission hearing room in Raleigh, North Carolina.

The Commission granted petitions to intervene filed by Bald Head Island Association, the Bald Head Island Club, and the Village of Bald Head Island, which will also be referred to as BBHI or the Village.

The participation of Public Staff is recognized by statute. On November 23, 2022, the Public Staff filed a motion to extend the time for Public Staff and the other intervenors to file direct testimony and the time for applicants to file their rebuttal testimony.

On November 28, 2022, the Commission issued an Order granting the motion.

On December 14, 2022, the Public Staff filed the direct joint testimony and exhibits of witnesses Sonja Johnson, Krishna Rajeev, and John Hinton. The Bald Head Island Association filed the direct testimony and exhibits of witness Robert Drumheller, and the Village filed the direct testimony of witness Scott Gardner.

On December 21, 2022, the Commission
issued an Order holding proceeding in advance, which, among other things, stated that the expert witness hearing was continued and would be rescheduled by further order of the Commission.

On January 20, 2023, the Commission
issued an Order rescheduling hearing and establishing additional procedures which, among other things, set the expert witness hearing to begin today.

On January 24, 2023, BHIT, BHIFT, and Bald Head Limited, LLC, together with BHIT and BHIFT, the amended applicants, filed the amended application pursuant to the North Carolina General Statute §62-111, the amended application.

In addition to seeking approval to transfer Bald Head Island Transportation's common carrier certificate to Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation for operation of the passenger ferry transportation services to and from Bald Head Island and the tram services on the island, as noted in the initial application, the amended application seeks approval to transfer the parking facilities and barge operations to the extent the Commission has jurisdiction and authority to
regulate them as may be determined on appeal. Amended applicants also filed the amended testimony and exhibits of witnesses Chad Paul, Shirley Mayfield, and Lee Roberts containing confidential information.

On February 3, 2023, the Village filed a motion to hold proceeding in advance and request for expedited ruling on the motion.

On February 6, 2023, the Commission issued an Order requiring responses to the motion to hold proceeding in advance, and on February 8, 2023, BHIT and BHIL, SharpVue, and the Public Staff each filed a response or a supplemental response to the motion to hold proceeding in advance.

On February 9, 2023, the Village filed a reply regarding the motion to hold proceeding in advance.

And on February 13, 2023, the Commission issued an order denying the motion to hold proceeding in advance.

On February 20, 2023, the Public Staff filed amended and supplemental joint testimony of Sonja Johnson, Krishna Rajeev, and John Hinton containing confidential information. On the same
day, the Village filed direct testimony of Jay Lee Lloyd, Dr. Julius Wright, and Kevin O'Donnell, all containing confidential information, and the supplemental direct testimony of Scott Gardner.

On February 23, 2023, the Village filed a notice of use of affidavit. On February 27th and 28th, 2023, amended applicants filed joint rebuttal testimony and exhibits of Chad Paul and Lee Roberts containing confidential information and rebuttal testimony of Bion Stewart and rebuttal testimony and exhibit of John Taylor.

On March 1, 2023, the Public Staff filed for substitution of witness and adoption of testimony, which was granted on March 3, 2023. The Presiding Commissioner wishes to make clear on the record and modify the March 3, 2023, Order to grant Michelle Boswell to be substituted as a joint sponsor of the direct joint testimony and exhibit and the amended and supplemental joint testimony and exhibit previously filed on behalf of joint witness Sonja Johnson. On March 1, 2023, Bald Head Island filed a motion to withdraw -- it's Bald Head Association filed a motion withdraw testimony and exhibits of

Robert Drumheller, which was granted.
On March 2, 2023, the Village filed a motion to substitute witness and adopt testimony, which was granted.

On March 6, 2023 the Village filed notice of amendment to testimony, which I think that brings us to today.

Page 14 Which was granted.

In compliance with the State Ethics Act, I remind all members of the panel of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest and inquire at this time as to whether any member has a known conflict of interest with respect to the matter before us this afternoon.
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Please let
the record reflect that no conflicts were identified.

I will now call for appearance of counsel beginning with the applicants.

MR. STYERS: Thank you, Commissioners.
My name is Gray Styers, the law firm Fox Rothschild, and I represent the applicants Bald Head Island Limited, LLC, and Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc.

MS. HEDRICK: Good afternoon. Elizabeth Hedrick, also with Fox Rothschild, representing Bald Head Island Limited and Bald Head Island Transportation.

MR. FERRELL: David Ferrell with the law firm of Nexsen Pruet, here representing Bald Head Island Ferry Transportation, LLC; SharpVue Capital, LLC; and their affiliate entities.

MR. HIGGINS: Good afternoon. Dan Higgins appearing on behalf of the Bald Head Island Club.

MR. TRATHEN: Good afternoon. Marcus Trathen of the law firm of Brooks and Pierce appearing on behalf of the Village of Bald Head Island.

With me are my colleagues Craig Schauer and Amanda Hawkins, and our co-counsel Jo Anne Sanford is in the audience as well.

MR. CREECH: Good afternoon, Presiding Chair Duffley and Commissioners. William Creech with the Public Staff of behalf of the using and consuming public, joined here by Gina Holt, my colleague in the legal division. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Well, good
afternoon to all of you.
So before we begin, I will make this request of counsel. Chair Mitchell has been called to the General Assembly today and will be arriving late for the hearing, and Commissioner McKissick will need to leave a bit early tomorrow.

Is there any objection to their reading into the transcript and reviewing the video conference recording for the portions missed?

If you have such objection, please let me know now and I will hear you.
(No response.)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Please let the record reflect there were no objections.

Do the parties have any preliminary matters that we need to address?

MR. STYERS: Not that I'm aware of at this time.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. On the record, could you just briefly state how we are going to be dealing with the confidential information today.

MR. STYERS: I'll do my best.
So a number of -- a good bit of
information has been labeled confidential. The backward-looking historical financial data of Bald Head Island Transportation and Bald Head Island Limited will no longer be considered confidential. And so it may -- there may be questions asked of it and answers provided that will not need to be protected by confidentiality in that regard. The asset purchase agreement and information of SharpVue Capital and its affiliates, the witness investors' investment information, financing -- and I don't want to speak for Mr. Ferrell -- that will remain as labeled confidential, attorneys' eyes only at this time. We have confidentiality agreements signed by our consultant, John Taylor, but there are some folks in the room that we have not -- we have not yet signed or are not able to sign the confidentiality, attorney eyes only. And if there are questions asked or answers that need to be given, that would require the disclosure of that information, and we would ask that the hearing room be cleared of anyone who has not signed or cannot sign those confidentiality agreements.

And I'd ask my co-counsel or opposing
counsel to correct or augment anything that I've said which is inaccurate or incomplete.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And hearing nothing further.

So there is a lot of information
identified in this case as confidential. Therefore, we, the Commissioners, are going to be dependent on you, the parties, to identify when or if any witness or counsel or commissioner is heading into confidential information so that we may stop the hearing and move into confidential session.

It would also be the Commission's preference, when we have a witness coming onto the stand, let's identify all -- get out all of the nonconfidential information and then try to go into confidential session at the end of the questioning. So --

MR. TRATHEN: Madam Chair, if I can -COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yes.

MR. TRATHEN: -- speak to that just briefly.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Sure.
MR. TRATHEN: And the Village, of
course, will respect that.
The most material issue is material which has been designated as such by SharpVue. We do -- setting aside the procedures, we do have ongoing concerns about the impact of the confidential designations.

By our account, 72 percent of the documents we received from SharpVue had been designated as AEO confidential, meaning that we are not permitted to discuss those documents with our client, nor are we permitted to share those with anyone other than our consultants who have signed the confidentiality agreements.

This has significantly impeded our ability to communicate with our client, obviously, and I also believe that it's impacted the public's ability to be informed about this proceeding, and I have concerns that it's going to impact the public's ability to monitor this proceeding.

That said, $I$ don't know what to do about it.

Observing that it's an issue, the confidentiality agreements do afford the parties the opportunity and the ability to challenge
designations, and that's something that we are considering, and it may be that we would make a filing at some point, perhaps after the hearing, with regards to that.

But it's sort of a novel situation for me with respect to the extent of these designations, and I did want to recognize the challenges that that has imposed on us but also with respect to the public.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you for that, Mr. Trathen.

So it's my understanding -- are there any other matters before we move on?

It's my understanding that several parties wish to make a brief opening statement. I'll limit those to five minutes apiece.

You may begin.
MR. STYERS: Thank you, Commissioners.
On behalf of the applicant, I'd just
like to frame the evidence that we will be presenting in our case in this docket hearing.

The issue before the Commission, as you all know, is whether the transfer of the certificate of common carrier authority to SharpVue
is justified by the public convenience and necessity.

Although the Commission's articulated it in different ways, the standard of whether or not to approve a transfer typically involves a weighing of benefits, costs, and risks.

In this case -- and there are many, many factors in this case that make it unique. And I didn't want respond to Mr. Trathen's comments, but it is so unique in many different ways when you have a significant asset with multiple competing bidders that would like to purchase it.

But the overriding benefit in this case is the transferring of ownership from, on one hand, a dead man's estate that is liquidating and, in fact, has already liquidated all of the operational assets other than these transportation assets in Brunswick County, North Carolina; an estate that cannot and will not make major capital investments in these operations and it does not want to own and operate these assets any longer; and then transfer to a North Carolina-based company that is engaged and interested in wanting to own and operate these utility assets, that is investing capital to
purchase them, that is purchasing the estate's other assets on the island and on the mainland and will have an interest in the area, that is retaining current management and employees to ensure a smooth transition and is committed to owning and operating the utility in a way that ensures its continued success.

To ensure that the customers -- and those are the benefits, and we'll hear more about those during the hearing.

But to ensure that the customers are protected as much as possible from the potential cost and risks of the transaction, SharpVue Capital and its affiliates are willing to accept regulatory conditions on the transfer, which include not filing a rate case to increase rates until it assesses the improvements that may be necessary for the operations at least one full year after the closing of the transaction. That's something the current owner has not promised and has no reason to promise.

A purchaser that would agree not to raise parking or barge rates by more than the rate of inflation for at least four years, regardless of
the outcome of the Sub 21 appeal, until the next rate case.

Preserving the availability of existing parking as current amounts. Limiting cash distributions. Maintaining minimum levels of capital -- common equity capital, and providing a 10-year plan of capital expenditures among -- those are just a few among 28 regulatory conditions that we have voluntarily agreed to and that were attached to the rebuttal testimony.

None of those conditions -- if you look at the choice between leaving these assets in the hands of the estate versus transferring them to SharpVue, none of those conditions would be binding on the status quo ownership by the estate.

And the Commission should consider, we believe, the risks that -- consider the risks minimized by these conditions against the risk of leaving the ownership in the Mitchell estate.

What happens when a vessel needs to be replaced? What happens if a hurricane causes significant uninsured damages to the assets necessary for the operation? What happens if the uncertainty of future ownership makes it impossible
to hire or retain qualified employees? The uncertainty and those risks of not approving the acquisition should also be considered.

But, ultimately, the key issue -- and probably what you'll hear most of during the next few days -- is the extent that SharpVue would be allowed to earn a rate of return on its full investment in its system.

First, let me clarify the rates base for the ferry and tram assets, which have been operated subject to this Commission's jurisdiction and authority since 1995. They will be the same as they have been on the books of BHIL. I mean, that's not an issue on this rate base for the ferry and tram.

Now, you will hear testimony from witnesses provided by the -- sponsored by the Village that setting the rate base at fair market value, the purchase price of the parking and barge assets, would constitute an acquisition premium, which should be disallowed.

In contrast, you will also hear our witnesses that will explain that because prior to December 30, 2022, those assets were never part of
the rate base of a regulated utility, and thus there have never been regulated rates for those services that allowed for the recovery of depreciation, property taxes, or a rate of return, in the words of numerous Commission decisions, they have never, quote, been dedicated to public service as utility property, and they do not meet the definition or the intent of the acquisition premium that the Village would propose you should disallow.

In this very unique and, I would say, perhaps unprecedented situation, but consistent with longstanding principles of the Commission's decisions of the past and basic economics, the rate base should be the fair market value at the time that the assets became part of the regulated utility.

Of course, as a practical matter, no purchaser is going to ever buy these assets if it cannot earn a return on its investments.

Also as a practical matter, and perhaps most tellingly, allowing the rate base for parking and barge to be their allocated fair market value will not materially change the current rates being paid by customers that the Commission approved in
its December 30th Order.
As the evidence will show, a transfer of the certificate to SharpVue is far more beneficial to customers than the status quo of its ownership in the hands of the estate. Risks and costs are minimized by the regulatory conditions and the authority that this Commission has, and the methodology for setting rate base should allow SharpVue to earn a reasonable return on the fair market value of the newly regulated parking and barge.

We thank you in advance for your consideration of these issues.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.
Mr. Trathen?
MR. TRATHEN: Yes. Good afternoon.
This is not the usual certificate transfer proceeding. For one, the purchaser is a private equity firm, and it's -- they're not in the utility business. They're in the moneymaking business. And they see an opportunity here to make piles of it on the backs of the captive public.

SharpVue is not like other private equity buyers that you may be familiar with; that
is, firms with large, dedicated infrastructure. Firms with a clear intent and track record of holding utilities and operating utilities in the long term. None of that exists here. The only thing SharpVue is offering is a new owner, one which is built around an incentive structure that will reward maximizing return on investments, not on providing public service. They have no experience in operating regulated utilities. They have no specific plans or commitment to upgrade services should they acquire the system.

The Village doesn't begrudge people making money. Their concern is with a transaction which on its face promises to be disruptive to the utility operation.

The Village understands the Mitchells want out, and that's fine. The Village stands ready to help with that just like they helped with the water and sewer transition a couple of decades ago.

But it's not appropriate for Limited to try and strong-arm a result that does not advance the long-term best interest of this essential
lifeline monopoly service.
SharpVue's own testimony highlights the peculiar situation that we're in. They say they'll -- and this is in their testimony. They'll suffer a forced loss of major proportions. The financial liability transfer will be destroyed if they can't put a premium -- the acquisition premium into rates. In other words, their plan is dependent upon rasing rates or selling piece parts to the highest bidder. I don't know how else to read that.

They've built a house of cards. Their business plan is reliant on extracting revenues beyond what's permissible under standard ratemaking principles as recognized by the Public Staff in this testimony.

The Village fears that approving this transaction will put us on the road to a situation that SharpVue itself admits is not viable or which will lead to the dismantlement of the consolidated operations.

You'll hear from the Village's witness Mr. Lloyd, who operates an investment banking firm in Greensboro and who works on the inside of
private equity transactions. He will testify it's highly unlikely that SharpVue can hold these assets on a long-term basis, and he'll testify about the strong economic incentives built into the deal for SharpVue to take the short-term approach.

You'll hear from Julie Perry, who discusses the Commission's historic treatment of the acquisition premium in mergers and acquisitions and her calculation of rate base for the consolidated operations.

You'll hear from the Village's witness Dr. Wright, who will speak from the point of view of a regulatory expert to the traditional three-part standard applied in this case. He'll explain how this test has not been met and, specifically, that there's no showing of the public benefit.

Finally, you'll hear from counsel member Scott Gardner. He'll testify from the perspective of the public.

It's significant that there's no evidence of widespread public buy-in to this transaction. The lone voice supporting the deal, Mr. Drumheller, has withdrawn his testimony.

Numerous citizens have stated their concerns, including a survey of 1,500 property owners where 78 percent of the respondents either opposed or didn't have enough information to support it.

Now, the Village was hopeful that the Commission's order in the Sub 21 Docket would lead to clarity for all the parties, perhaps provide a baseline for a mutually acceptable outcome.

But Limited and SharpVue has chosen the path of denial and litigation and has refused to accept the Commission's Order, just like Limited refused to accept the concerns expressed by the Local Government Commission as regards to the prior version of this transaction.

It may sound counterintuitive, but the quickest path to a long-term solution that works for all parties and promotes the public interest is to deny this transaction. We're deeply appreciative of the Commission's and the Staff's time and efforts in this and the prior related proceeding. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you.
Any other opening statements?
(No response.)

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Hearing none.
The case is with you.
MR. STYERS: Thank you. We would call
our first witness, Mr. Charles Paul, III.
Whereupon,
CHARLES PAUL, III,
having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STYERS:
Q. Mr. Paul, would you please state your name, address, and position of employment for the record.
A. Sure. Charles A. Paul, III. Chad. Business address is 6 Marina Wynd on the island, and 1301 Ferry Road, Southport, the Southport assets. My personal residence is in Wilmington, North Carolina.
Q. Have you caused to be prefiled in this docket direct testimony consisting of 14 pages in question-and-answer format?
A. Yes.
Q. Was that testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. If you were asked those same questions today, now that you are under oath, would you provide
the same answers as in that prefiled testimony?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have any corrections or
additions to your direct testimony?
A. Not at this time.
Q. Okay.

MR. STYERS: At this time, I would ask that the direct testimony prefiled be moved into evidence.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: The direct prefiled testimony of Charles Paul would be received into evidence as if given orally from the stand.
(Whereupon, the prefiled direct
testimony of Charles A. Paul, III was
copied into the record as if given
orally from the stand.)
Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
A. My name is Charles A. "Chad" Paul, III. I am the President of Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. ("BHIT"). I also serve as Chief Executive Officer and a Manager of Bald Head Island Limited LLC ("BHIL"), BHIT's parent company. My business address is 6 Marina Wynd, Bald Head Island, North Carolina 284615073.
Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
A. I have a B.A. degree in economics from Holy Cross and a Master's degree from the Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration. Before joining BHIL and BHIT, I worked for JP Morgan Chase, Salomon Brothers, and Arnolt Partners. I am also a managing partner of Harbor Island Partners, LLC, a private equity investment firm where I oversee a diverse mix of industry and product services company holdings.

## Q. Can you describe what BHIL and BHIT do?

A. BHIL was formed by George P. Mitchell to purchase Bald Head Island out of receivership in 1983. Bald Head is the southernmost barrier island in North Carolina. It sits at the mouth of the Cape Fear River and the Atlantic Ocean just off the coast of Southport, North Carolina. It has 12,000 acres of beach, marsh, and maritime forest.

Mr. Mitchell created BHIL in part to ensure that Bald Head was developed in a responsible and sustainable manner to preserve the island's natural environment. In furtherance of this mission, BHIL set aside 10,000 acres as a permanent nature reserve which will remain undeveloped. To this day, Bald Head remains accessible
only by boat, and once on island, transportation is largely restricted to trams, golf carts, bicycles, and pedestrian traffic. Gasoline-engine vehicles are allowed for the tram, construction activities, deliveries of equipment and suppliers, and other commercial services on the island (e.g. garbage pick-up, etc.). These vehicles arrive on island via the barge owned by BHIL.

To ensure that residents, employees, and the public have reliable and safe access to the island, BHIL formed BHIT to operate the passenger ferry and on-island tram system in 1993 and obtained final authority for its operations from this Commission in 1995. BHIT owns four passenger ferries that transport passengers between Southport and Bald Head. BHIT also owns 23 tram units which transport ferry passengers on the island. BHIL also owns the ferry terminals in Southport and on the island and leases them to BHIT, at a rental rate approved in the 2010 Rate Case order. The ownership structure of these entities is reflected, below, in Figure 1:


Figure 1

## Q. What caused you to file testimony in this proceeding?

A. George Mitchell died in 2013. Since that time, BHIL and BHIT have continued to operate under the umbrella of his Estate, but that Estate is moving toward settlement and closure. The Mitchell family is not in a position to continue operations of BHIL and BHIT in perpetuity, and the decision was made to divest the remaining assets. To that end, BHIL and BHIT entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement ("APA") to sell most of their remaining operations and some real estate assets on or associated with Bald Head Island to an affiliate of SharpVue Capital, LLC ("SharpVue"), a North Carolina limited liability company, and its affiliates on May 17, 2022 (the "SharpVue Transaction").

Among other things, SharpVue seeks to acquire the Certificate of Common Carrier Authority issued by this Commission in 1995 pursuant to which BHIT has been operating the passenger ferries and trams under the Commission's jurisdiction. I am now amending my testimony to include information about the parking facilities and barge/tugboat assets pursuant to the Commission's Order in Docket No. A-41, Sub 21. Although that order may be appealed, SharpVue has requested that we proceed with this amended application requesting transfer of the certificate, recognizing that the ultimate scope of regulatory jurisdiction will apply to these assets regardless of who owns them.

Our lawyers have advised us that this transfer of all four operations - ferry, tram, parking, and barge -- requires the Commission's approval. My amended testimony supports the Joint Application of BHIT, BHIL, and BHI Ferry Transportation, LLC
(the "Application") requesting the Commission's approval of the SharpVue Transaction.

## Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. First, I will introduce the other witnesses supporting the Application for approval of the SharpVue Transaction. Then I will describe the operations of BHIT's ferry, tram, parking, and barge services. Finally, I will explain the need for the proposed transaction and why it benefits the public.
Q. Please briefly summarize the testimony of the other witnesses supporting the Application.
A. In addition to my testimony, BHIT and SharpVue are providing direct testimony from two other witnesses:

- Ms. Shirley Mayfield, the Chief Financial Officer of BHIL, who will testify about the financial implications of the operations and the transaction.
- Mr. Lee Roberts, the Managing Partner of Sharpvue, who will testify about SharpVue's financial and operational abilities and its plans for ensuring a seamless transition and continuity of safe, reliable, and cost-effective services.
Q. Can you describe the ferry and tram services that are operated pursuant to the Common Carrier Authority granted to BHIT by the Commission?
A. BHIT owns and operates four passenger ferries (the "Ferries") that travel between the Deep Point Terminal in Southport and the on-island terminal on Bald Head Island. The schedules for the Ferries are approved by the Commission. The current schedule was approved in Commission Docket No. A-41, Sub 18. While the ferry
service runs 365 days per year, BHIT rotates and utilizes the individual ferries to provide safe and reliable service to our passengers as cost-effectively as practicable. BHIL also has a department that provides BHIT with appropriate maintenance and repairs to ensure longevity and reliability of the vessels.

Ferry ticket prices have only been raised once since 1995. BHIT sells ferry tickets both at the Deep Point Marine Terminal and on the island. General passenger tickets include luggage and tram service to and from the on-island terminal and the passenger's ultimate destination on the island. To provide the on-island tram service, BHIT owns 23 tram units that are comprised of a truck driven by a BHIT employee and an attached passenger trailer. Passengers' belongings are transported in the truck's bed. Each unit is subject to routine inspection and maintenance, and each truck has a useful life or about 7 to 10 years while the passenger trailers have a useful life of about 20-25 years.

Employee and contractor ferry tickets do not include tram service on the island. The passengers utilizing those tickets either walk to their destinations (which are often near the on-island terminal), are picked up at the terminal by their employer, or travel by a BHIT-operated shuttle to the Contractor Services location.

In addition, BHIL operates the parking lots adjacent to the Deep Point Marina and the barge and tugboat operations as described above.

## Q. Who typically uses the ferry and tram services?

A. Bald Head Island is comprised of a mix of residential, commercial, and resort facilities. The ferries serve residents; both overnight and day-trip visitors;
construction, maintenance, and custodial contractors; and employees of local employers, including commercial businesses, the Bald Head Island Club, and the Village of Bald Head, and BHIL itself. In 2022, BHIT transported over 380,000 passengers, and its ferries made over 8,000 round trips. About 40 percent of passengers traveled on the general fare tickets which allows them to utilize onisland tram service.

## Q. How many employees does BHIT employ?

A. We have approximately 65 year-round staff but hire additional staff during peak, summer season. For managerial purposes, staff are organized into three different departments: (1) the ferry operations, (2) the island tram services, and (3) marine maintenance.
Q. What parking facilities does BHIL currently own and proposes to sell to SharpVue?
A. Since the 2009 opening of the Deep Point Terminal, BHIL has provided parking in lots that are adjacent or near the terminal. Figure 2, below, provides a visual snapshot of currently available parking near Deep Point and identifies its four key levels: Premium, General, Contractor and Employee.


Figure 2

There are currently 1,955 paved/striped parking spots and additional gravel spots that bring that total to 2,302 . The breakdown of those spots among the various parking levels is shown in Figure 3.

| DEEP POINT PARKING FACILITY <br> (Parking Lot Space Allocation) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Parking Lot | \# Spaces |
| Premium Parking Lot | 396 |
| General Parking Lot Spaces | 1,021 |
| General Parking Lot Gravel Spaces | 167 |
| General/Contractor Parking Lot Gravel Spaces (June 2022) | 180 |
| Contractor Parking Lot (a) | 366 |
| Employee Parking Lot | 172 |
| Total | 2,302 |

(a) Lot also used by employees in winter and as overflow lot during summer.

Q: What assets are used for the tugboat and freight barge operations of BHIL that are proposed to be sold to SharpVue?

A: They consist of the Brandon Randall, a 100 foot x 32 foot steel deck barge that can carry up to 200 tons of cargo, in the form of vehicles and equipment, and the Captain Cooper, a tug boat that pushes the barge, five days per week, on its four nautical mile journey back and forth between the Deep Point Terminal in Southport and the Bald Head Island Marina. The barge is a Roll-on/roll-off vessel that transports vehicles of varying sizes, including trucks that supply food and other products for stores on the island, large highway trucks, and construction vehicles. Images that depict the tug and barge as they typically function (which appear on www.bhibarge.com) are below in Figures 4 and 5:


Figure 4


Figure 5
Q. Have the parking and barge operations been operated separately from the ferry and tram operations?
A. Historically, yes. Because the Commission only recently concluded that the parking and barge operations are operating pursuant BHIT's Certificate of Common Carrier Authority, the financial books of BHIT, the BHIL Parking Department, and the BHIL Tug \& Freight Barge Department have been and are kept, maintained, and audited separately. Additionally, each operation has its own vertically integrated employee base to include employees, managers, and senior managers. An exception to this structure is inter-company services provided by BHIT's Marine Maintenance that also provide services to the barge and tugboat operated by BHIL. For those services careful allocations of costs and expenses among benefitted entities are honored and accounted for pursuant to Commission practice and guidelines.
Q. Can you describe how the SharpVue Transaction came about?
A. Ever since the death of Mr. Mitchell, we have known the day was coming when BHIL and BHIT would have to be wound down and have been planning for a transfer of the ferry and tram operations for several years. In 2017, the North Carolina General Assembly passed legislation authorizing the creation of regional ferry transportation public authorities that could purchase private ferry operations. (Prior to the passage of this legislation, I had met with then-Governor Pat McCrory and his budget director Lee Roberts to discuss the need to transfer the BHIT operations. The legislation was passed unanimously by both the House and the Senate and signed into law by Governor Cooper.) After the passage of the authorizing legislation, The City of Southport, Brunswick County, and the Village of Bald Head Island, all passed resolutions to create the Bald Head Island Transportation Authority ("Authority"), which was chartered as an agency of the State in 2017.

BHIL and BHIT negotiated an agreement to sell the ferry system and associated operations to the Authority, but the Authority was unable to get the necessary approval for the financing of the purchase from the Local Government Commission, due to the Village of Bald Head Island objections. At that point, we had no choice but to begin actively pursuing other options to ensure that the services would continue uninterrupted as BHIL and BHIT wind down, because the Mitchell estate no longer has-as an estate that is winding down-an ability to own or operate these assets.

In looking for a purchaser, it was important to us to find a buyer with the financial wherewithal and managerial experience to continue these services without interruption or delay, with an appreciation for and understanding of the Bald Head Island and the State of North Carolina as a whole, and with a commitment to maintain and continue the Mitchell's vision and mission for the island. It became apparent to us that the best way to advance these goals was to find a buyer based in North Carolina who would be interested in taking over all of BHIL's and BHIT's operations, rather than breaking it up in pieces. We found that buyer in SharpVue.
Q. What due diligence was done by BHIL and BHIT on SharpVue to determine its competence, skill, and expertise to operate the Bald Head Island transportation services?
A. In full disclosure, I have known Mr. Roberts for many years. We both served on the North Carolina State Banking Commission, and I have discussed with him his service to the State as the budget director of the former Governor, and as a board member of the Golden LEAF Foundation, the community college system, and the university system. In addition, we undertook research of the breadth and depth of SharpVue's holdings, their management team, and their long-term goals. SharpVue and its related entities have significant real estate management and operations as well as infrastructure projects. We also confirmed that SharpVue has the financial resources to continue operations, address growth, prepare for contingencies, and make capital investments as needs arise, ensuring the long-term viability of the ferry and tram services.
Q. Why do you believe SharpVue is a good fit as the purchaser of the Tranportation Assets?
A. SharpVue has the experience and means to assume the ownership of the regulated operations. Its management team have deep North Carolina ties and a track record of successful management and operations experience. We were convinced that SharpVue is well suited to make a seamless transition, to continue the services that BHIL and BHIT have provided without interruption or immediate change. They are definitely "fit, willing, and able" to own and operate these operations.
Q. Do you anticipate that the transaction will have any negative impact the passengers?
A. No. One of the most important things that convinced us that SharpVue was the right partner is that they have already committed to keeping the management team and employees in place. We anticipate that the day after the transaction closes, it will be business as usual and our passengers will not notice any difference in parking, ferry, tram, or barge services. Most importantly, SharpVue's purchase will allow these services to keep operating even as BHIT and BHIL wind down their operations so that the public will continue to have safe and reliable transportation to and from the island.
Q. Will you have any continued involvement in these operations at Bald Head Island following the SharpVue Transaction?
A. Yes. I plan to continue working with SharpVue as CEO and manager for thirty-six (36) months. I am honored to help continue the Mitchell legacy on Bald Head Island, a commitment that SharpVue shares.
Q. To the best of your knowledge, will SharpVue seek approval for new or changed rates as a result of the SharpVue Transaction?
A. No. SharpVue has said it will not request any rate changes because of the SharpVue Transaction itself, and any rate changes that may be considered in the future will be based on operational, financial, and/or regulatory factors unrelated to the Transaction.
Q. As a result of the SharpVue Transaction, do you anticipate there will be any impact to the current employees of BHIT and BHIL?
A. No. As I indicated earlier, SharpVue intends to hire almost all of the employees in their current roles.
Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, at this time.
Q. Mr. Paul, have you prepared a summary of your prefiled direct testimony in this docket?
A. Yes.
Q. By agreement of the parties and direction of the Commission, we will not ask you to read that summary into the record.
A. Thank you.

MR. STYERS: But ask that the Commission
accept that summary of the testimony that has been distributed into the record, which will be filed with the clerk's office later today.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Without
objection, that motion is allowed.
(Whereupon, the prefiled summary of the testimony of Charles A. Paul, III was copied into the record as if given
orally from the stand.)

# Charles A. "Chad" Paul, III Testimony Summary <br> Docket No. A-41, Sub 22 

My name is Charles A. "Chad" Paul, III. I am the President of Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. ("BHIT"). I also serve as Chief Executive Officer and a Manager of Bald Head Island Limited LLC ("BHIL"), BHIT's parent company.

BHIL was formed by George P. Mitchell to purchase Bald Head Island out of receivership in 1983. George Mitchell died in 2013. Since that time, BHIL and BHIT have continued to operate under the umbrella of his Estate, but that Estate is moving toward settlement and closure. The Mitchell family is not in a position to continue operations of BHIL and BHIT in perpetuity, and the decision has been made to divest the remaining assets. In fact, the estate has now sold all of Mr. Mitchell's other operations in North Carolina; only those operations being bought by affiliates of SharpVue Capital, LLC ("SharpVue") remain.

To that end, BHIL and BHIT entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement on May 17, 2022, to sell their remaining operations and some real estate assets on or associated with Bald Head Island to SharpVue. We decided to sell the operations to SharpVue after a long process of evaluating different options. In looking for a purchaser, it was important to find a buyer with the financial wherewithal and managerial experience to continue these services without interruption or delay, with an appreciation for and understanding of the Bald Head Island and the State of North Carolina as a whole, and with a commitment to maintain and continue the Mitchell's vision and mission for the island. We felt that the best way to advance these goals was to find a buyer based in North Carolina who would be interested in taking over all of BHIL's and BHIT's operations, as well as the Mitchell's other operational assets on the island, so that they themselves would have a vested, long-term interest in the success of the island. We found that buyer in SharpVue.

We researched the breadth and depth of SharpVue, their management team, and their longterm goals. As you will later hear from Mr. Roberts, its management team has deep North Carolina ties and a track record of successful management and operations experience. In addition, in our discussions, we confirmed that SharpVue has the financial resources to continue operations, address growth, prepare for contingencies, and make capital investments as needs arise, ensuring the long-term viability of the ferry and tram services. In contrast, the Mitchell estate will not be in a position to make long-term capital investments in these operations. Finding a buyer able and willing to continue Mr. Mitchell's legacy of stewardship of the island was extremely important to his estate.

One thing that convinced the Mitchell estate that SharpVue was the right partner is SharpVue's commitment to ensure a seamless transition, to continue the services that BHIL and BHIT have provided without interruption or immediate change. SharpVue intends to keep the current management team and employees in place. We anticipate that the day after the transaction closes, it will be business as usual and our passengers will not notice any difference in parking, ferry, tram, or barge services. SharpVue's purchase will allow these services to keep operatingeven as BHIT and BHIL wind down their operations-so that the public will continue to have safe and reliable transportation to and from the island. I hope all will agree that having an engaged, interested owner is a significant benefit. And, finally, there will be no change in rates because of the acquisition. SharpVue is simply stepping into the shoes of BHIL.

My direct testimony is, in actuality, on behalf of the Mitchell estate, as the ultimate owner and seller of these assets, so that it can smoothly bring to an end its investments in Brunswick County in a way that ensures the continuity of high-quality service, that provides significant benefits to our customers, and that is therefore very much in the public interest.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Testimony Summary of Charles A. "Chad" Paul, III has been served by electronic mail, hand delivery, or by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, properly addressed to parties and counsel of record as shown on the Commission's Service List in docket A-41, Sub 21, and has also been provided to Commission's Counsel and to the appropriate members of the NC Public Staff.

This 7th day of March, 2023.

## /s/MM. Gray Styers, In.

M. Gray Styers, Jr.

Counsel for
Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. and Bald Head Island Limited, LLC

MR. STYERS: The witness is available
for cross examination.
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TRATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Paul. Marcus Trathen on behalf of the Village at Bald Head Island.
A. Good to see you.
Q. Mr. Paul, if $I$ could start with a bit of a homework item.

Do you recall attending the public hearing in Bolivia in this proceeding on November 1st?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And do you recall a citizen by the name of Brent Belch testifying? Brent Belch?
A. I don't recall that specific person, but I was there and do recall the testimony of people that spoke, yes.
Q. Okay. And do you recall -- this may jog your memory. Mr. Belch had a number of concerns about the transaction. One of his specific concerns was with regards to the cost of dredging, whether the spoils basin was at or near capacity and whether there was a plan for addressing that.

Do you remember that?
A. Yes. Now I know -- I do recall that
gentlemen, yes.
Q. And do you recall, at the end of the hearing, that the Presiding Commissioner asked -- asked BHIT to follow up with Mr. Belch about that?
A. I don't recall that specifically, but we did follow up in terms of we know what we can do at Deep Point with the dredging. But I don't believe we did any formal follow-up with you on that. But if we do owe that, we will -- we will clearly provide that.

MR. STYERS: And we will file with the Commission, if we haven't already, a more recent dredging spoils allowance survey. I believe that's been completed, and we will file that before the end of this hearing so that it's part of the record.

THE WITNESS: That would come back. I would make the same commitment that we had with the legislature and the Bald Island Transportation Authority that whatever we needed to have for spoil island capacity at Deep Point, we would provide.

And I -- don't quote me off the top of my head, Mr. Trathen, but I believe it was to provide 30,000 cubic yards of space in that spoil island.
Q. Okay. So let me just refresh your recollection. At the conclusion of the hearing, Presiding Chair Duffley -- I'm reading from the transcript, page 106 --
"I'd ask Bald Head Island Transportation to contact Mr. Belch about the dredge spoil issues.
"MR. STYERS: Absolutely.
"COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And update him.
"MR. STYERS: I'd be glad to.
"COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: As soon as possible.
"MR. STYERS: Certainly."
A. We failed to do that.
Q. Okay. I thought I heard you say that you had followed up with him, and now I'm corrected on that.
A. No. We failed to do that with him specifically. I remember there was correspondence for us to provide that to the Commission, but I -- if we committed to contact that individual directly, we -- I failed to do that.

I would suggest that any citizen or customer that has reached out to me directly gets contacted directly. So if we committed to do that at that hearing and I committed to do that, we failed to do
that.
Q. Mr. Paul, you are a managing partner of Harbor Island Partners; is that correct?
A. That's correct. That's been an entity that I've owned and been involved with since 2000.
Q. And that's a private equity firm?
A. That is my private family-owned business, correct. I'm 100 percent manager and partner in that, yes.
Q. Okay. And that's a going concern?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And you have background in investment banking?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And as I understand it, you were on the Banking Commission, where you were appointed by Governor McCrory?
A. Yes. I served one and a half terms, yes.
Q. And that's where you met Mr. Roberts?
A. No. That's not where I met Mr. Roberts.
Q. Okay. So you -- you said that in your direct testimony.
A. I met Mr. Roberts through another transaction, Piedmont Bank Holdings in VantageSouth.

He and I served together on the Banking Commission at the same time, but I did not meet Mr. Roberts through the Banking Commission. I met Mr. Roberts right around 2010 -- '08, in terms of our business relationship as it relates to Piedmont Bank Holding Companies and VantageSouth Bank.
Q. Okay. And is that the only prior business relationship that you've had with Mr. Roberts?
A. Those would have been the two professional relationships that I've had with Mr. Roberts, Piedmont Bank Holding Companies-VantageSouth and the Banking Commission, correct.
Q. Okay.
A. Notwithstanding that, when we were working with the legislature on the legislation, I did visit with Mr. Roberts and Governor McCrory at the time regarding the legislation for the Authority, but I had known Mr. Roberts prior to that meeting. But that would be a third professional -- for the lack of a better word.
Q. All right. You are CEO of Bald Head Island Limited?
A. Yes. I'm CEO of Bald Head Island Limited and manager of Bald Head Island Limited.
Q. And Limited is ultimately owned by the Mitchell estate?
A. Correct.
Q. Are you authorized to speak for the Mitchells?
A. I am authorized to speak for Bald Head Island Limited as it relates to their ownership of Bald Head Island Limited, yes. I cannot speak for the Mitchells on any other matter.
Q. Okay. Are there any of the Mitchell family members here today?
A. No.

MR. TRATHEN: If we could approach. I have three exhibits that I'd like to hand out at the same time.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: You may.
MR. TRATHEN: Madam Chair, I would
prefer to just mark this as one exhibit rather than three, if that suits your pleasure.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yes. That's fine.

MR. TRATHEN: Okay. Could we premark this as Village Paul Cross Examination Exhibit 1? COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: It will be marked
as Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 1.
(Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 1, was marked for identification.)
Q. All right. Mr. Paul, these are just three short articles that discuss Mr. Mitchell's death. And since we've been talking about him, I thought it would be helpful to give a little bit of just a short introduction of who we are talking about.

If you could turn your attention to the document that's labeled "The Big Story." This has been -- it looks like it's an AP article from July of 2013 which is republished by the Mitchell Foundation.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And this states that Mr. Mitchell's company

Mitchell Energy was credited with over 200 oil and 350 natural gas discoveries.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Spent two decades developing hydraulic fracturing, finding success in the Barnett Shale formation in the 1990's.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Is this consistent with your understanding of Mr. Mitchell and his business activities?
A. Among other things, yes.
Q. And do you see on the second page that Mr. Mitchell sold his company in 2002 for 3.1 billion?
A. He sold one of his companies, yes.
Q. Okay. And then down below, this article states that he was on the Forbes list of wealthiest Americans, ranked 239, with a net worth of 2 billion as of 2013.

Is this consistent with your general understanding?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. The second article in this exhibit, just draw your attention to -- it's a New York -excuse me -- Wall Street Journal obituary and labelled "Father of Fracking Dies at 94."

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And have you heard Mr. Mitchell referred to as the father of fracking?
A. Yes, I have.
Q. Okay. And then the third is just simply a Wikipedia entry that is essentially repeating some of
the same information. It also talks about his real estate development activity with the Woodlands in his hometown in Galveston.

Is that all consistent with your understanding?
A. Yes. You focus on his fracking and his oil and gas, but he is more -- just as well known in the development industry for conservation and development, as being be one of the preeminent leaders in conservation and development, to include Bald Head Island, an island that's 12,000 acres in which he donated 10,000 of those 12,000 acres and turned it into electric-only in the 1980's before green became green.
Q. Okay. So, Mr. Paul, with respect to Bald Head Island Limited, my understand is that company is owned by Mitchell Island Investments, Inc. Is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And Mitchell Island Investments, Inc., is, in fact, the entity which is owned by

Mr. Mitchell's estate, correct?
A. It is owned by an entity that's part of the Mitchell estate, correct.
Q. Okay. So what's the name of that entity
that --
A. It's -- Ms. Mitchell may recall. You may need to talk to her. But it's a management company. It's a Mitchell management company that's tied to the estate.
Q. Okay. So there's two layers of corporations before you get to the estate?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And Limited, itself, is an independent going concern, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You're actively doing business?
A. Yes.
Q. The company is solvent?
A. Yes.
Q. It's profitable?
A. Yes.

MR. TRATHEN: If we could hand out another exhibit, please?

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yes.
Q. Mr. Paul, this is marked confidential, attorneys' eyes only, but $I$ believe that this falls in the category of historic financial information of Limited.

I'll let your counsel assess.
MR. STYERS: As soon as I see it.
THE WITNESS: So, Mr. Trathen,
notwithstanding the heading and the reference, this would have come directly from items relative to the Authority with the legislature.

MR. STYERS: And therefore, I just want to make sure I understand --

THE WITNESS: It's okay to not have
it --
MR. STYERS: Yeah. So this is not confidential.

THE WITNESS: But for the headings and the moniker on it, this would have come directly from the Authority and its history. So this is out there. The whole world has this.

MR. STYERS: Not confidential, correct. You may ask questions.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So it's a nonconfidential exhibit. Let's go ahead and mark it.

MR. TRATHEN: Yes. I would like to mark this as Village -- let's see if I can get this right -- Paul Cross Examination Exhibit Number 2.
. Consolidated Consolidated Historical Income Statement shall be marked as Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 2. (Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 2, was marked for identification.)
Q. So, Mr. Paul, this is -- this is a statement of the historic financial results for the transportation operations; am I correct?
A. It's the historical consolidation of the ferry and tram, the parking, and the barge, yes.
Q. Okay. So this does not include the other business activities of --
A. No. It does not.
Q. -- the Limited?
A. No.
Q. Okay.
A. And this would have been put together in conjunction with the Authority's advisers, Davenport, and would have been primarily UBS.
Q. Okay. And this -- in terms of the gross profit numbers, these are true and accurate, as far as you know?
A. Looking at this and not having to be able to go back and look at the audits, I would say that this
would be consistent with that review.
Q. Okay.
A. But $I$ can't speak to the fact of what SharpVue may or may not have done with pulling these numbers out and making changes.

I don't believe they would have done that, but these look like it would be consistent. Because I arrived in 2010, so I've been CEO and manager since 2010, October.
Q. And I hear you saying that the numbers -- I mean, you're not going to speak to the exact dollar number. But generally speaking --
A. This would be the trend.
Q. -- this is the trend. Okay.

With respect to the other business activities, in the Sub 21 Docket, we sent you a discovery request and we asked you about assets that would be owned by Limited after the sale of the transportation assets. And you identified a list of 20 different assets.

I've got an excerpt with your response here and would like to hand that to you.
A. Sure.

MR. TRATHEN: If we could mark this as

Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit Number 3?
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So marked. And at the bottom of this exhibit, it has --

MR. TRATHEN: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- the words
"confidential."
(Confidential Village Paul Direct Cross
Exhibit Number 3, was marked for identification.)

MR. TRATHEN: Yes. This was identified as confidential. And not attorneys eyes only, but regular confidential, if you will. I don't necessarily need to get into the details. I could just ask from the document itself if this remains confidential.

THE WITNESS: I think where I am on this, Mr. Styers, is I'll be happy to answer questions in general. But if we want to go to specifically, for example, Number 14 and we get into what Number 14 really is, I think we need -that needs to be confidential.
Q. Okay.
A. But if you want to talk in general about where we are, like hey, how many lots -- residential
lots, things like that, that are left that we're working on, I can do that. But if we're going to be specific about an item here, we probably need to keep that confidential.
Q. Okay. Let's work our way through it and we'll --
A. Okay.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And please note for the court reporter this is a confidential exhibit. However, there can be nonconfidential questioning.
Q. Okay. So, Mr. Paul, do you recognize this list?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Really, I'm just trying to get a sense of the remaining assets after the sale. Does this remain an accurate list? I think you provided this in July. It's been several months.
A. This is consistent. We have been very busy since then, so there are assets that we have transferred and sold since then that are on this list.
Q. Okay. Could you just --
A. So, for example, we have sold probably six to eight of the residential lots in Number 1. We probably
have sold three of the commercial lots and tracts that are in Item Number 2.

We have sold one of the PUD commercial tracts in Item Number 3. We have a transaction right now that we're pending on another one of those PUD commercial tracts. We are working through the non-buildable land tracts, so that number is still correct at 54.

Number 6 is still alive and well. Number 7 is still alive and well. Number 8 is for sale. They are not sold yet.

Number 9 is consistent, notwithstanding we did some transactions in Number 1 that brought that number down by four or five.

Number 10 is still consistent. Number 11 is still consistent. Number 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 are all consistent.
Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Paul.

Are there any other business activities of Limited which are not reflected -- outside of the transportation assets that aren't reflected on this list?
A. Yes, absolutely. There's the Bald Head Island Marina operations. The Bald Head Island slips that we still own that Mr. Roberts and SharpVue are
buying. The mainland Deep Point Marina operations and slips. The mainland shipping and receiving operation. The on-island golf cart parking and leasing operation. Four commercial properties that are not part of the count here on the island. And the balance of the development land on the mainland at Deep Point.

That's just off the top of my head,
Mr. Trathen.
Q. I understand. I understand.
A. When it's over, if it does happen, SharpVue will have more invested in the island than -- adding all of these up than the Mitchells will.
Q. Okay. The 76 million? Is that what you're referring to?
A. The transaction with SharpVue is 67.2 million.
Q. 67 million. Okay.

In the Sub 21 case, there was testimony with respect to development on Indigo Plantation. And Limited is still engaged in that?
A. Yes, we are.
Q. Okay. Mr. Paul, we sent you a discovery request. There's a reference to the continuation of services, and we asked whether or not Limited was
threatening to discontinue the utilities services. And you responded in your data response: "No. BHIL has no plans to discontinue the utility services. Has never threatened to do so. BHIT has operated the regulated Utility since 1993. Has an exemplary record with the Commission dating back 30 years in its operation as a regulated utility."

Is this still your position?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Mr. Paul, there -- with respect to the sale of these assets, there have been -- aside from SharpVue, there's been two potential public buyers, correct? The Transportation Authority and the Village itself?
A. That's correct.
Q. And with respect to the Village, you've initiated litigation against the Village essentially to seek to -- you're seeking a ruling saying that there's -- the ROFR is either invalid or extinguished? Is that right?
A. That's correct. You were involved in all of those discussions and meetings, Mr. Trathen.
Q. I sure was. And am I correct that there are
pools of federal money, especially, which are available to public owners of transportation assets that are not available to private owners?
A. Until very recently, that's the case. So, for example, with the infrastructure bill that came to the Federal Legislature, they modified those rules, and we recently just received a $\$ 185,000$ grant for new engines in the Ranger.

So, historically, ballpark, the way it worked is the Federal Highway Trust Fund has money allocated. The maritime aspect of the Federal Highway Trust Fund, which is where we could apply, has had $\$ 600$ million or so sitting in there for years.

But only public entities have access to it. Not entities that are publicly regulated, but only public entities. That created a difficulty for us to seek things like a grant for new vessels, which the state DOT was able to do with their recent transaction.

However, with the infrastructure bill that was passed, those -- those recently were loosened, and all the money ends up going from the federal government -- even though you apply directly to the federal government, it runs through the State of North Carolina and you actually get the money from the

State.
So, historically, that's correct,
Mr. Trathen. But recently we just received, I think in the last week or two, news that we did receive $\$ 185,000$ to repower Ranger.
Q. So, Mr. Paul, with respect to the SharpVue acquisition, I believe you've stated that you're looking for a buyer that will carry on the tradition of the Limited; is that right?
A. The stewardship aspect of what Mr. Mitchell created, yes. That was -- that's the goal.

MR. TRATHEN: I've got an exhibit I'd like to hand out. If we could mark this as -COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: If we can deal with the confidential AEO's application of this. MR. TRATHEN: Thank you.

Yes. This, I believe, is des- -- would fall within the -- what we discussed earlier as confidential AEO. And as I understand the parameters, this would elicit information which has been designated as such, so.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Ferrell?
MR. FERRELL: Yes. This information is part of information disclosed that were investor
alternatives. And so it is marked confidential AEO, and we would like to maintain that confidentiality.

MR. TRATHEN: So I think we would need to go into confidential session for this line of questions.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you. Do we want to hold this until the end? MR. TRATHEN: No.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay.
MR. STYERS: Before we do that, I don't believe there's been a foundation laid yet, to my knowledge, that Mr . Paul prepared this document and/or has any independent knowledge of its information.

He may or may not have seen it. I don't know if there's a foundation for him to answer questions as it pertains to this document because it's not his document. He didn't produce it. I just -- I don't know at this point.

THE WITNESS: No. I didn't produce this document.

I would also suggest that, for example, Mr. Trathen, the title to Number 5, "What is
management's business plan," I would suggest that that was not drafted by myself. That's not -- it's not drafted my myself.
Q. Okay.
A. I can speak to some of it, but this was
not -- I didn't draft this document.
Q. Okay. Well, I have questions about it. I think you can speak to it.
A. Okay. I can be happy to do that, but it's not my document.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. So --
MR. STYERS: At this point -- at this point, I'll make -- venture an objection that there's not been a foundation laid that this client -- this witness is -- has the knowledge of this document or can speak to it, since he didn't prepare for it and, to my knowledge, don't even know if he's ever seen it before.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. I hear your objection. I'm going to allow the questions to be asked.

We're going to head into confidential session. Please designate everyone, Mr. Ferrell, that needs to leave the room.

And, Mr. McCoy, if you could move us into confidential session.

MR. STYERS: So I believe that Mr. Woody and Ms. Joyce Fulton have not signed a confidentiality agreement and would need to leave the room, that I'm aware of.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So the parties -just to be clear for the record, there are people within the room that are not attorneys.

Are you satisfied that they have signed the appropriate documents to remain in the room.

MR. STYERS: We are.
MR. FERRELL: Yes. I believe they're all consultants or attorneys, yes.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.
(Due to the proprietary nature of the
testimony found on pages 72 to 98, it was filed under seal.)
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on your exchange with Mr. Trathen. I'd like you to -I want to be sure $I$ understand this.

Would you look at Cross Examination Number 3, which is this asset list?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you with me?
A. Yes.
Q. Are these assets that Limited is going to retain, or are these assets that would be conveyed as part of this transaction?
A. These assets are not being conveyed as part of this transaction.
Q. So --
A. These are still within Limited.
Q. I see. And, likewise, I think you mentioned like the Indigo Plantation Marina. There're other assets that Limited owns as well?
A. Correct. Presently, we own.
Q. Okay.
A. Some of them are under contract, but yes.
Q. So the sale of the ferry and the transportation operations and assets won't close out the Mitchell estate's holdings in North Carolina, will it?
A. It'll close out all the operating entities.
Q. Okay.
A. And there will be some remaining real estate entities, but the majority is being sold to SharpVue.
Q. And the real estate development entity for the Indigo Plantation Project, is that going to be --
A. That would be an outright sale to a third party. That's under contract right now.
Q. Okay. I have some questions about your amended direct testimony. Do you have a copy of that in front of you now?
A. Yes, sir. Thanks to you.
Q. Okay. Page 6, line 5. You state there that ferry ticket prices have only been raised once since -I think it says 1995. I thought it was actually 1993.
A. I think you're probably right.
Q. Since 1993. Is that because the only time BHIT ever requested -- filed a rate case or requested an increase was in 2010, after the Deep Point ferry terminal was put into service?
A. That's my -- that's my understanding, yes. Deep Point went into service, I believe, in the summer of '09. I think.
Q. Probably right. Would you agree that the
availability of the ferry service at reasonable rates was an essential component of Limited's plan for development of Bald Head Island and the sale of lots and homes on the island?
A. I think that's consistent with Mr. Mitchell's vision, yes.
Q. There's been some reference in the testimony that's been filed regarding the inability to get -- the ferry authority's inability to get LGC, Local

Government Commission, approval for the bonds that were necessary for that purchase.

You refer to that on page 11. I'm looking at line 15. Tell me when you're there.
A. I'm there. Yes, sir.
Q. Is the reason the ferry authority was unable to get LGC approval is that the LGC posed questions that either BHIT or the Authority couldn't answer to its satisfaction?

MR. STYERS: Objection. The question has asked the witness the motivation of the LGC. He does not have knowledge of why the LGC -- he has what the LGC -- he may or may not know what the LGC said or didn't say but not what motivated or what was in the minds of the LGC members.

MR. HIGGINS: Fine. I'll ask him what you understood the reason was that the LGC didn't approve -- didn't issue the requested approval. THE WITNESS: They felt that the purchase price was not thoroughly vetted by the Authority.
Q. Did they think the purchase price was too high?
A. I think there was one member of the LGC that indicated that that was her opinion. However, I believe it was that the Authority needed to substantiate better the purchase price.
Q. Okay. You say in your testimony that SharpVue has contracted with you to continue to manage -- basically continue to manage everything that you manage right now for the next three years. I'm on page 12, if you look at that.
A. Right. Just in terms of contract. I don't have a contract. I have a general understanding with SharpVue and the Mitchells that I will stay for a 36 -month period of time to manage the transition.
Q. Do you know why it is a 36-month period has been specified?
A. No. That would have come out of -- that
would have come out of the Authority transaction. But I would suggest that the items that you talked about on the previous exhibit, the remaining items, it's going to take probably 24 months to work through those 20 items.
Q. When you're -- you're talking about this list of 20 items that --
A. Correct. This list. This list. There will be -- there will be crossover obligations like I have right now between transportation and the Limited items.

It's going to take that long, so I'm going to be there. So that would kind of coincide with that period of time because it'll take probably at least 24 months to just handle this list of 20 for the Mitchells.
Q. Just to be clear, what you're saying is you're going to be there for at least 24 months doing the business of Limited --
A. Yes.
Q. -- with regard to these assets?
A. Doing the same business that I'm doing right now. Correct.
Q. Page 14, line 6. Do you see your testimony there beginning on line 6?
A. Yes.
Q. Is it still your understanding that SharpVue will not request any rate change because of the acquisition transaction and that any rate changes it pursues in the future will be unrelated to financial factors associated with the acquisition transaction?
A. No. My -- when I look at this here -- let's see here. Yes.

MR. HIGGINS: Okay. Thank you, sir.
I don't have any other questions.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Public Staff?
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CREECH:
Q. Mr. Higgins asked some of the questions that are related to what $I$ was going to ask and especially clarifying on the at-will scenario. So I'll move on to the next question I have, which relates to the residual assets that the Mitchells will own, like declarant rights or otherwise.

And can you let us know your understanding and confirm that -- that their continued use of those assets would not impact the ratepayers of the regulated utilities?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. So, Mr. Creech, I want to be very clear, as we've talked in the past. So, for example, stormwater permits and CAMA permits, they are -- they cover more than just the assets that we're talking about in this. We will have to go to those respective state agency and break them up and specifically apply those to the pieces. That's what's going to take a period of time, but that's the intent.

So it's not like parking will be left without a stormwater permit for parking. We'll have to go to the agency and take that stormwater and design it to make that permit specific to parking and specific to barge and specific to the ferry and the tram, whereas right now it covers the entire campus, to include the residential marina and the excess development land.

MR. CREECH: All right. All right.
Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Redirect?
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. STYERS:
Q. A few questions, Mr. Paul. Let me -- since we've kind of been on it, let's go ahead and stay on it.

The Exhibit 3, which is the list of properties. The Mitchells estate's intent ultimately
is to liquidate and sell and be rid of all of these properties over time. So although these are properties that are not being purchased by SharpVue, the Mitchells are still in the process of liquidating assets from Bald Head. Is that correct?
A. Yes. And some of the assets that are listed here have already been sold.
Q. And so this is an ongoing process of selling all these assets?
A. It's full liquidation.
Q. Okay. There were a number of questions, you know, pertaining to Cross Examination Exhibit 1 and Mr. Mitchell, himself, individually. And -- actually, the Wikipedia excerpt has on page 3 of 7 a discussion of the Mitchells' philanthropy.

When assets are being liquidated, you know, are the cash proceeds going into the Cynthia and George Mitchell Foundation?
A. The majority of the proceeds are going to that foundation, yes.
Q. Okay. To your knowledge, have the Mitchells' oil and gas holdings now been sold and liquidated, to your knowledge?
A. Yes, to my knowledge.
Q. Okay. Mr. Mitchell owned most of the commercial district of Downtown Galveston at one time, did he not, through various entities?
A. That's correct. He was the majority owner of Downtown Galveston.
Q. Has he now liquidated and sold, to your knowledge, all of those operational assets in Galveston that were part of the commercial district there?
A. I believe so, but I can't say every single one. I think at one time it was 21 buildings. I don't know if all of them have been sold, but the intent is to liquidate everything.
Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that the operational assets that the Mitchells' companies owned have now been liquidated and the funds transferred to the Cynthia and George Mitchell Foundation in general?
A. Yes. Operating assets, the only ones that remain are the ones on Bald Head.
Q. You were asked about the data request response in which you said that the Mitchells have no plan to discontinue service?
A. That's correct.
Q. Does the Mitchell estate have a plan for what to do with these assets if the -- this sale does not
close to SharpVue?
A. Not that they've shared with me.
Q. Okay. Are they in a position to continue to make capital investments for capital improvements to the system over time?
A. Not to my knowledge in terms of a plan, no.
Q. What have they told you about being in the position to make capital investments for the system?
A. That they're not in a position to make those investments for like new ferries, to lay up a new ferry.
Q. You had referenced the funds -- public funds received for the engine for the Ranger ferry; is that correct?
A. Correct. We received $\$ 185,600$, which I think represented about 40 percent.
Q. Just for purposes of clarification, that wasn't a DOT or infrastructure --
A. No.
Q. -- program.
A. Not DOT. It came out of -- it came out of the EPA through the infrastructure bill, correct.
Q. Okay. It was a Diesel Emissions Reduction Act, correct?
A. Right. The diesel engines that are going in there -- the current rating is a 1. They'll go to a 3. Less emissions.
Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure the record is correct. This is administered through the State of North Carolina, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So one of the assets on this list was the Bald Head Island Construction. Is that a company that will eventually be sold and no longer owned by the Mitchell estate? Is that the intent?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Also Bald Head Island Limited Real Estate Sales? That company is also intended to be sold?
A. The developer sales, yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Frankly, Mr. Styers, both of those will probably be wound down. They probably won't be sold.
Q. Okay. Just wound down and --
A. Wound down in the course of working through these other assets.
Q. So, long-term, there will come a point in time where the Mitchells will have no more interest in

Bald Head Island; is that correct?
A. The Mitchell estate.
Q. The Mitchell estate. Excuse me.
A. There are -- there are some children that
have --
Q. Houses.
A. -- homes on the island, yes.
Q. But the Mitchell estate from a business perspective will, long-term, no longer have any operations, any assets as a business enterprise in and around Brunswick County, North Carolina; is that correct?
A. In all of North Carolina that I'm aware of.
Q. Okay. And I think you've probably answered this sufficiently in response to Mr. Higgins' questions, but you have represented to SharpVue your intentions to stay on for 36 months?
A. Up to 36 months.
Q. Okay.

MR. STYERS: No further questions.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Commission questions?

Commissioner McKissick.
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER McKISSICK:
Q. This is just for point of clarification, and this is dealing with that document that's been referred to as Exhibit 3, Village Cross Direct Exhibit 3.

And there's been considerable time going down this list of assets that continue to be owned by the Mitchell estate. I think you've identified some that have been sold. Like I think under Number 2, you indicated that three of those lots were sold?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And under Number 3 --
A. One of those has been sold.
Q. One sold, one pending?
A. Yes.
Q. And under Number 1, three sold?
A. I think it's five --
Q. Five sold?
A. -- Mr. McKissick.
Q. Okay. Now, let me ask you this. Under Number 10, why would they be selling that?
A. This -- this list is about what would be remaining that's not being sold to SharpVue.
Q. Oh. Right. Right.
A. Right. So most of those purchase-money
mortgages have left -- less than five years left on
them. These are -- will be left over.
Q. Right.
A. Not sold. So --
Q. They would retain those. There's no reason --
A. They would retain those. There's no -there's no -- the context of this 20 is that there's -- there's -- there are issues and entanglements associated with these 20 that make it difficult to go and sell it to a third party outright without disentangling. And the purchase-money notes primarily are with the club --
Q. Got it.
A. -- and they're entangled.

So I think there's no intent to sell those notes. I think that the estate will just keep that working through with the club.
Q. That's why $I$ was wanting to clarify that. Because when it was stated that at some point all of this would be sold, it occurred to me that that's one that likely would not be sold.
A. It'll burn out. It'll burn out. And like I said to Mr. Styers, we'll probably end up just shutting down in the construction business and shutting down the
developer sales business.
Q. Okay. So that's an asset that would remain. There would be no purpose or intent?
A. Correct.
Q. And the rest of these, they would work through whatever the entanglements are --
A. Correct.
Q. -- with the intent goal of selling them if there's a willing buyer to purchase?
A. Or, for example --
Q. Yeah.
A. -- Number 5, finding the right home. Which might be the conservancy. It might be the State Property Office. It might be, you know, the land trust on the non-buildable pieces.
Q. And I don't want to get into the details of Number 14. That's clearly an entanglement situation.
A. That is a significant entanglement situation.
Q. And that's not really something that can be sold?
A. It can be solved. It takes time.
Q. Really.
A. So, for example --
MR. STYERS: I think -- just want to
make sure $I$ understand. Did you say "sold" or
"solved"?
THE WITNESS: Solved. I thought I heard
"solved." I'm sorry.
COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: Yeah. I think it can be solved.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. But you wouldn't be selling it?
A. No. You can't really sell those.
Q. Exactly.
A. Right.
Q. You can't sell it.
A. Right.
Q. I just want to clarify.
A. Yes, sir.
Q. That's another aspect.
A. No. That would be --
Q. It can't be sold.
A. So somebody buying a piece of property would need to have their share of that as a condition, correct.
Q. I understand.
A. Right.
Q. That's why I just wanted to clarify. Certain
things here can't -- cannot be sold.
A. Can't be sold, correct. This list is what's left over --
Q. Right.
A. -- after SharpVue does it, and we'll have -some will be sold off, some will be wound down, and some will be transferred.
Q. Exactly. And here it would just be untangled?
A. Correct.
Q. So that the recipient would be able to continue current operations?
A. Absolutely. In terms of the discussion
here --
Q. Yes.
A. -- that is the intent.

COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Got it. I just
want to make sure for the record. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Other questions? (No response.)

EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
Q. So I have just an couple.

On page 6 of your testimony, you're talking about the assets. Lines 12 and 13, you talked about
each of the trucks and each of the passenger trailers.
And it's just a general question about what's
the status of each of those trucks and passenger trailers. Are they near end of life?
A. So it's -- so, for example, I think, if I'm not mistaken -- Ms. Mayfield can confirm exactly. But I believe we have four new trucks coming on board right now.

We pretty much bring on three to four new trucks a year and cycle off three to four trucks a year. And that process is about a 7- to 10-year period of time.
Q. Okay. Thank you for that. And then --
A. But definitely ask her, Ms. Duffley. I think it's four this year, but it might be three.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then these Bald Head Island-operated shuttle for the contractor services. Those are different vehicles --
A. No.
Q. -- than the 23 trams?
A. It used to be -- it used to be busses.
Q. Uh-huh.
A. But they were big and they were problematic,
so what we did is we bought trams for them --
Q. Okay.
A. -- and we flagged them. They have a red canvas.
Q. But they're inclusive of these 23 --
A. Yes, they are. They're inclusive.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then on page 10, you talk about how historically you've kept different financial books for the different entities.

Do you plan on keeping the financial books separate pending the appeal?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And then my last question is on page 14. You and Ms. Mayfield have this 36 months.

Have you created a succession plan after the 36 months, or do you think you have enough time to do that?
A. I think we have enough time to do that. We haven't created a succession plan.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you.
Those are all my questions.

```
Questions on Commission questions?
MR. TRATHEN: I do not.
```

MR. HIGGINS: I do not.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Paul. You can step down just temporarily. You may call your next witness. MS. HEDRICK: Thank you, Commissioner. We would call Shirley Mayfield to the stand. Whereupon, SHIRLEY MAYFIELD, having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Before we start, were there motions that anyone wanted to make? MR. STYERS: Yes. Let me go ahead. Thank you, Commissioner. It's an excellent point. First of all, to the extent it hasn't been moved into evidence, I'd like first -- I have three motions -- that the prefiled testimony of Mr. Paul and the summary be moved and accepted into the record as evidence at this time.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: You have moved that, and those have been accepted and received into evidence.

MR. STYERS: I would like to also, while

I'm at this point in time, I'd like to go ahead and move the amended application from -- what's the date? I'll look at -- I want to confirm the date. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: January 24, 2023? MR. STYERS: That's correct. The amended application filed on January 24th, I'd like to go ahead and move that. That was verified by Mr. Paul. I'd like to go ahead and move that into the record at this time as well, as well as the exhibits. Exhibits E and F remain confidential, but I'd like to go ahead and get them into the record as evidence at this time.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Without objection, the amended application filed on January 24, 2023, will be received into evidence as well as Exhibit E and F, which will be designated as confidential.
(BHIT Amended Application to Transfer Common Carrier Certificate and Exhibit E and $F$ (Confidential filed under seal), was admitted into evidence.)

MR. STYERS: Now, Exhibit E, which is the APA, was voluminous. And if you went through Exhibit E, you realized that even more voluminous
were all the disclosure schedules.
They were not attached. All the schedules and all the exhibits were, but the disclosure schedules, 4.1, et cetera, the disclosures were not part of that.

We would ask that the Commission receive that, the disclosure schedules, as a late-filed exhibit to make sure the record is complete with not only the schedules' exhibits, but also the disclosures.

And we don't think that they're necessarily, you know, pertinent to any issues on the docket but, on the other hand, we want to make sure the record is complete, which would include the disclosures as a late-filed exhibit.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Creech?
MR. CREECH: Presiding Chair Duffley, I've been alerted to this by Mr. Styers. And the Public Staff would ask the ability to -- for the parties to conduct any discovery, if needed, on these as well as the ability to file supplemental testimony if necessary.

I've only glanced at them momentarily. I think we have a lot of this information already.

But I just haven't had the opportunity to look it at it, so I just don't know.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Styers, your response?

MR. STYERS: No objection.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Seeing no objection, that the disclosure schedules that are part of Exhibit $E$ and related to Exhibit E shall be filed as a late-filed exhibit within --

MR. STYERS: A week.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- one week of the end of the hearing.

MR. STYERS: Correct.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And the Public Staff will have the opportunity to potentially file discovery and supplemental testimony on those exhibits.

MR. CREECH: Commissioner Duffley, would it be possible for those to be filed sooner than that? I mean, really, as soon as possible? And we would want the ability for all of -- I mean, we're not the only group that will be looking at these, and so I don't know whether other folks would want the ability.

MR. STYERS: We'll file those
disclosures tomorrow.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. The disclosure schedules will be filed tomorrow as a late-filed exhibit.

MR. HIGGINS: And -- excuse me, Commissioner Duffley, and to Mr. Creech's point, would, at least theoretically, other party intervenors be able to pose discovery as well with regard to those?

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yes.
MR. STYERS: Sure. No objection.
And, finally, there is a new -- my understanding is there is a new spoil survey updating the exact capacity as of, I think, the last survey in December, and we'll also file that this week, the new spoils all-out survey. That was not filed at the public hearing, but we want it to be part of the record, and that will be filed this week as well.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Without
objection, the spoils survey will be filed -MR. STYERS: This week.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- by Friday --

MR. STYERS: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- of this
week --
MR. STYERS: Correct.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- as part of the record.

MR. TRATHEN: Madam Chair, I would like to move into evidence the four cross examination exhibits offered by the Village.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: So without objection, the Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 1, Village Paul Cross Exhibit 2 will be introduced into evidence.

Village Paul Direct -- without
objection, Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 3 and Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibit 4 both have been marked as confidential, and those will be so marked in the record and will be received into evidence.
(Village Paul Direct Cross Exhibits 1
through 3 and Confidential Village Paul
Cross Exhibit 4 were admitted into evidence.)

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Now I think we're ready to begin.

MS. HEDRICK: Thank you.
DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HEDRICK:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, will you please state your name, address, and position of employment for the record.
A. Shirley Mayfield. My address is 6 Marina Wynd, Bald Head Island. That's my registered business address. My position is chief financial officer.
Q. And chief financial officer, just so the record's clear, for whom?
A. I'm sorry. For Bald Head Island Limited, LLC.
Q. Okay. Have you caused to be prefiled in this Docket direct testimony consisting of five pages in question-and-answer form?
A. Yes.
Q. And was that testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
A. It was.
Q. If you were asked those same questions today, now that you're here under oath, would you provide the same answers as in your prefiled testimony?
A. Yes, I would.
Q. All right. Do you have any corrections or
additions to your testimony?
A. No, I do not.

MS. HEDRICK: At this time,
Commissioner, I would move into admission into evidence the prefiled direct testimony consisting of five pages in question-and-answer format.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: The direct prefiled testimony of Shirley Mayfield will be received into evidence and treated as if given orally from the stand.
(Whereupon, the prefiled direct
testimony of Shirley Mayfield was copied into the record as if given orally from the stand.)
Q. Please state your name, occupation, and business address.
A. My name is Shirley Mayfield. I am the Chief Financial Officer of Bald Head Island Limited LLC ("BHIL") as well as for Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. ("BHIT"). My business address is 6 Marina Wynd, Bald Head Island, North Carolina 28461-5073.

## Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.

A. I have a B.S. degree in accounting and Master of Business Administration degree from the University of North Carolina at Wilmington. I am a Certified Public Accountant and have been licensed in the State of North Carolina since 1988. I have worked for BHIL for 21 years. Prior to that, I worked as the Controller for The Bonita Bay Group, a prominent developer in Southwest Florida, for three years and for Landfall Associates in Wilmington, North Carolina, for nine years before that.
Q. In your work for BHIL, do you also have any involvement with Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc.?
A. Yes. BHIT is a wholly-owned subsidiary of BHIL. As Chief Financial Officer for BHIL, I also have oversight over and responsibility for the finances of BHIT. Additionally, I serve as Secretary and Treasurer for both BHIL and BHIT.
Q. Have you testified before the North Carolina Utilities Commission before?
A. Yes, I testified in the general rate case for BHIT, Docket No. A-41, Sub 7, in 2010, and in the complaint case brought by the Village, Docket No. A-41, Sub 21, in 2022.

## Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe the financial condition of BHIT and BHIL, how the sale of BHIT's and BHIL's assets (the "SharpVue Transaction") to an affiliate of SharpVue Capital, LLC, ("SharpVue") will affect the financial feasibility of operations, and why the SharpVue Transaction is necessary for the stability and continued viability of BHIT's ferry and tram services.

## Q. Please describe the SharpVue Transaction.

A. BHIL has been gradually selling their assets since George Mitchell died in 2013. In order to facilitate settlement and closure of Mr. Mitchell's Estate, they have now agreed to sell nearly all of their remaining operating assets to SharpVue for $\$ 67.2$ million. These assets include significant real property holdings, vehicles, vessels, contracts, and a variety of other personal and intangible property.
Q. Are you aware that the Commission's recent decision concluded that the parking facilities and barge/tugboat operations are regulated by the Commission?
A. Yes . Until recently, I had understood that only the ferry and tram services were regulated by the Commission and had maintained all accounting records and the allocation of costs accordingly. However, I have read the Commission's recent order stating that the parking and barge operations are also subject to the same Certificate of Common Carrier Authority pursuant to which BHIT has been operating the ferry and tram services.
Q. Does the SharpVue Transaction affect those transportation operations?


#### Abstract

A. Yes. Subject to Commission approval, SharpVue is acquiring the operating rights under the Certificate of Common Carrier Authority, the ferries, the trams, the leases for the passenger terminals in Southport and on the island, the parking lots adjacent to Deep Point Marina, and the barge and tugboat. However, while the ownership of these operations will change, no significant changes to the day-to-day operations affecting passengers' experiences are expected. Q. Will SharpVue acquire all of the assets necessary to continue operations? A. Yes. Q. What due diligence did BHIL and BHIT do on SharpVue to determine whether it was capable of operating the services utilizing the ferries, trams, parking facilities and barge/tugboat assets?

As explained in more detail in the Direct Testimony of Mr. Chad Paul, we conducted due diligence on SharpVue and its management team to ensure that they had the financial ability to maintain operations following completion of the transaction.


Q. Have the services utilizing those assets been financially stable?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you believe that the SharpVue Transaction is necessary for continued financial stability?
A. Yes. BHIT and BHIL must be wound down to facilitate the settlement and closure of Mr. Mitchell's Estate. In order to ensure that the services utilizing the assets to be transferred continue to operate and that residents, employees, and the public at
large continue to have safe, reliable, and readily available access to the island, it is essential that the operations be sold to a fit, willing, and able buyer with the financial resources to continue operations. SharpVue is a good fit as purchaser of the operations.
Q. Based on your familiarity with the terms of the SharpVue Transaction and the financial feasibility of the operations utilizing the assets to be transferred, do you believe a rate increase will be necessary as a result of the sale?
A. No, not as the result of the sale, but as the Commission stated in its December 30 Order in Docket A-41, Sub 21, there will be many issues yet to be determined if the Commission were to set rates for the barge/tugboat operations and the parking. The consequences of how those issues might be resolved are completely unknown at this time. That will be true, however, regardless of whether SharpVue, or another private owner purchases the assets.
Q. Will you have any continuing role in the finances for the operations after the Transaction is closed?
A. Yes. I plan to continue working with SharpVue as Chief Financial Officer and manager for at least thirty-six (36) months.
Q. From BHIT's perspective, what benefits will there be to the public if the SharpVue Transaction is approved?

First and foremost, the Transaction will ensure that the ferry, tram, parking, and barge services continue uninterrupted in the same cost-effective, safe, and reliable manner which the public has come to expect.
Q. From a financial perspective, will the customers who rely on the services provided by the transferred assets be harmed in any way as a result of the SharpVue transaction?
A. No; not as a result of the SharpVue transaction. Services will continue at the current rates until the next rate case, and SharpVue's financial resources will enable it to continue operations, address growth, prepare for contingencies, and make capital investments as needs arise, ensuring the long-term viability of the ferry and tram services.
Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
A. Yes, at this time.
Q. Ms. Mayfield, have you prepared a summary that's been filed in this Docket?
A. Yes.

MS. HEDRICK: All right. Commissioner, we passed out a copy of that to everyone, and we would ask that her summary be filed in the record in this docket, and we can make that filing this evening.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. The summary will be received into the evidence.
(Whereupon, the prefiled summary of testimony of Shirley Mayfield was copied into the record as if given orally from the stand.)

# Shirley A. Mayfield Testimony Summary 

## Docket No. A-41, Sub 22

My name is Shirley A. Mayfield. I am the Chief Financial Officer of Bald Head Island Limited LLC ("BHIL") and Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. ("BHIT"). In that role, I have oversight and responsibility for the finances of BHIT and serve as its Secretary/Treasurer.

I am familiar both with the financial condition of BHIT and BHIL and how the sale of their assets (the "SharpVue Transaction") to affiliates of SharpVue Capital, LLC ("SharpVue") will affect the financial feasibility of operations.

Subject to Commission approval, SharpVue is acquiring the operating rights under the Certificate of Common Carrier Authority, the ferries, the trams, the leases for the passenger terminals in Southport and on the island, the parking lots adjacent to Deep Point Marina, and the barge and tugboat. However, the SharpVue Transaction should not significantly change the day-to-day operations affecting passenger and customer experiences.

Prior to reaching agreement on the SharpVue Transaction, BHIL and BHIT conducted due diligence on SharpVue and its management team to ensure they have the financial ability to maintain operations. It is essential that the operations be sold to a fit, willing, and able buyer with the financial resources to continue operations. As CFO of BHIL for over 21 years, and based upon my knowledge of the financial performance of the assets being sold, I believe that SharpVue has the financial resources to continue operations, address growth, prepare for contingencies, and make capital investments as needed. I believe that this transaction provides significant benefits to the residents, employees, and the public at large because it will ensure continued cost-effective, safe, reliable, and readily available access to the island.

From a financial perspective, the acquisition by SharpVue of these asset will not have an adverse impact on the rates and services in any way. Services will continue at the current rates after closing, and a rate increase will not be necessary as a result of the sale. Although there are unresolved issues arising from the Commission's Order in Docket A-41, Sub 21, that could impact rates in the future, this is true whether SharpVue purchases the assets or they remain owned by BHIL. Rates will not go up because of the merger.

The very real, and substantial, benefit of this acquisition to the ratepayers is that there will be an interested, engaged owner who is well capitalized and committed to make the investments that may be needed in the future. That benefit should not be understated.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing Testimony Summary of Shirley A. Mayfield has been served by electronic mail, hand delivery, or by depositing a copy of same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, properly addressed to parties and counsel of record as shown on the Commission's Service List in docket A-41, Sub 22, and has also been provided to Commission's Counsel and to the appropriate members of the NC Public Staff.

This 7th day of March, 2022.

## /s/ M. Gray Styers. Or.

M. Gray Styers, Jr.

Counsel for
Bald Head Island Transportation, Inc. and Bald Head Island Limited, LLC

MS. HEDRICK: And the witness is
available for cross examination.
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TRATHEN:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Mayfield. Marcus Trathen for the Village of Bald Head Island.

So my understanding is that you have a handshake agreement to stay with SharpVue for three years if the transaction is consummated. Is that right?
A. I have indicated I would stay, yes.
Q. Okay. And -- but this isn't -- there's no written agreement?
A. No, sir.
Q. And so I'm going to ask you some of the same questions that I asked Mr. Paul, so this won't be a surprise.

Will you receive a bonus or any incentive compensation from Limited if this transaction is consummated?
A. That would be a decision Limited would still need to make.
Q. Okay. All right. And with respect to SharpVue, the same question. Do you anticipate receiving any sort of bonus from SharpVue with respect
to the closing of this transaction?
A. In all honesty, it would be way premature for me to say yes or no. I have had no discussions whosoever.
Q. Okay. And there have been various investor presentations, and you may have heard some questioning in -- with respect to Mr. Paul, that discuss management's intention to invest in the transaction alongside co-investors.

So my question to you is: Have you communicated an intention to invest in the transaction?
A. No, sir.
Q. With respect to your employment -- I think I know the answer to this. But have you discussed your participation in SharpVue and your employment and participation and receipt of performance fees or carried interest or sponsor equity, anything like that?
A. No, sir. I have not.
Q. Okay. And have you seen draft operating agreements for SVC Pelican Partners with a placeholder for -- with your name on it for capital contribution and carried interest percentages?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So the management case -- you were
here for the cross examination of Mr. Paul?
A. I was.
Q. And that was a confidential discussion. I just want to ask a quick question. I don't think it'll elicit confidential information, but have your antenna up.

Are you familiar with the management case that we were discussing with Mr. Paul?
A. Not to the detail that Mr. Paul knows.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't have the definite amount like he does.
Q. Okay. Were you part of the management that proposed this business case?
A. Yes. Well, you're talking specifically about the business case in SharpVue's document?
Q. Yes.
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay.

MR. TRATHEN: If we could hand out an exhibit, please.

I note, Mr. Styers, that this is labeled confidential. It's a Limited production, and so I think it falls within historic information.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Trathen, do you want to identify the document?

MR. TRATHEN: Yes. I'd like to identify this as Village Mayfield Cross Examination Exhibit Number 1.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: And the confidential designation?

MR. STYERS: This is not. We will waive that confidential designation for these questions.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. The document at the top that states "Village of Bald Head Island Data Request Number 1 Request Number 12D" shall be identified as Village Mayfield Direct Cross Exhibit 1.
(Village Mayfield Direct Cross Exhibit
1, was marked for identification.)
Q. Ms. Mayfield, I represent to you that this is a data request response that we received from Limited and Transportation in Sub 21 case.

I'm guessing that you may have prepared this.
Do you recognize this?
A. Yes, sir. I do.
Q. Okay. And just this question asked for the cost basis in the land at the -- that's used for the

Deep Point parking lot, am I correct that the figure that's stated here with respect to that cost basis is $\$ 325,126 ?$
A. Related to the parking lot, yes, sir.
Q. Exclusively related to the parking lot?
A. Uh-huh. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. That's all I've got for that document.

I would like to ask you some questions relating to Exhibit A1 to the transfer application. And I have copies here. It does not look like you've got a copy of it.

MR. TRATHEN: Madam Chair, should I just go ahead and hand it out and treat it as a new exhibit or --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Why don't you
hand it to the witness so that she has it. But we'll not introduce it as a separate exhibit.

MR. TRATHEN: That's fine.
Q. All right. Ms. Mayfield, do you recognize this document, Exhibit A1, to be the transfer application?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And this is the Bald Head Island Transportation financial report from December 31, 2021.

I just have some -- a few kind of specific questions relating to this.

If you would go to page 4, please. And I direct your attention to the management fees line showing for 2021 the amount of $\$ 146,976$.

Do you see that?
A. Yes, sir. I do.
Q. Can you tell me what that is -- what that figure represents?
A. I can. Not all of the overhead costs related to the support of the landlord campus -COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Ms. Mayfield -THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: -- can you speak into the microphone.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I'm sorry. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Sorry. Sorry. Not all of the landlord costs related to the entire campus are direct-billed to the various users of the campus. The same thing occurs with employee time. So there are employees who are not directly related but yet provide support for the campus. And that would be human resources, IT,
perhaps in-house legal. It could be construction. It could be any number of various positions in accounting.

So what we do during the budget, we determine the management fee budget. We take in all of those costs. I do a time request every two years to have those -- the times looked at by the individuals involved. And then on a percentage basis, there's a salary and a benefits cost that gets transferred over as a management fee, but it goes through two more iterations.

There is next compared-to-market. So once I know what internally it costs us to support an area, it is compared to what market might cost to offer that same support.

And then, particularly with
transportation, there are credits given against that number because of marine maintenance. There's support with some of the facilities in the area.

But that's what that number is.
Q. Okay.
A. It's outlying support.
Q. All right. Thank you.

So I'm trying to figure out what the
relationship between this entry, if any -- and it may be that there isn't any relationship. -- the relationship between this and the affiliate agreement, which was filed with the Commission and is labeled a Transportation Management Agreement, which was originally valued at $\$ 68,000 i s h$.

Can you speak to the difference between this number and the management agreement, which is an affiliate agreement?
A. I'm not sure I know which agreement you're talking about, Mr. Trathen.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Okay. Are you -- you're familiar generally with the affiliate agreements? There's a lease agreement for the lease property?
A. Are we talking about the Deep Point allocation agreement?
Q. That is one of them.
A. Okay.
Q. That is one of them. There's several leases which were filed as between Transportation and the Limited in Docket 841, Sub 7, back in 2011. That's -they were amended in 2011.
A. Oh, in 2011. That may be -- and I'd have to look back at those agreements to be sure. But in 2011, Transportation did not own the vessels. And the vessels were leased to Transportation, and there was also an operator that was leased.
Q. I think this is different. The management agreement covers business -- general business management, budgeting, handling employment, contracting, supplies and maintenance, permits, handling money, preparing financial statements --
A. Okay. That's --
Q. -- obtaining insurance.
A. I'm sorry. That would be the same as this -this would be the same as this management agreement.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.
Q. All right. So we're talking about the same thing?
A. Yeah.
Q. This figure is the management agreement?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. And so I'm just puzzled as to the amounts that differ between what was filed and what's on here. Do you have any --
A. The management agreement is renewed each year annually depending on what type of services are currently being provided, what the costs are. So it's updated on an annual basis.
Q. Okay. And what is your understanding with respect to who will be performing these services if this transaction is approved?
A. A number of these transaction services will actually go with the transaction, for example, accounting staff, HR staff. They'll be actually supported within the transaction. And certainly my -my assistance will be part of the service side.
Q. And is it your understanding that the existing management agreement will be assumed in connection with this transaction?
A. There will probably be some type of transition agreement with Limited during the two- or three-year period, yes. But the intent is that once the transaction occurs, once we work through the transition, then the transaction operations will be able to sustain themselves completely.
Q. And I'm -- you're using the term "transaction operations." Help me. What do you mean by that?
A. I'm talking about -- it would not just be

Transportation, although that's what we're addressing specifically here. In the transaction agreement, it would also provide transition assistance to parking, barge, to the marinas. There would be an overall transition opportunity.
Q. Okay. Okay. So what I'm trying to better understand is, will the existing financial arrangement with respect to management services, all those category of items which are specified in the agreement, will those continue to remain as they are after the transaction? I think maybe the answer is yes, but you tell me.
A. I would say that they were definitely going to resemble the transaction. I don't think it's been defined in detail yet.

So there will be -- some of the same assistance that's involved here will be in that upcoming transaction.

But, for example, the -- perhaps the human resource work or the accounting work may go direct with the transaction. It would no longer be included in this transaction, in this allocation agreement -- or management agreement.
Q. Okay. So if you would turn to page 6,
please. And the item -- I don't think it's highlighted in your copy -- "Advances from related party." Are those -- do you see that?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Is this the advances from Limited?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So these are the monies coming in essentially to balance the books?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. If you would turn to page 9, please. So this $\$ 19$ million of intracompany debt, what is that for?
A. That is the funding that Limited has provided to Transportation over the years to support the operation.
Q. Okay. And that is being written off? If this transaction closes, that debit is being written off?
A. Yes.
Q. With respect to a couple of paragraphs there, this -- we're looking in the auditor's notes here -- it says that the company is depending upon its parent to fund future operations.

It says:
"Management anticipates the parent will
continue to fund future operations sufficient
to meet obligations."
Is that your understanding? Is that
statement accurate?
A. It does.
Q. So with respect to the last rate case, the 2010 rate case, there was an amount which was deemed to be imputed from parking in that case.

You're familiar with that?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. I believe it's $\$ 523,000$ ?
A. Thereabouts. Yes, sir.
Q. Is that figure reflected in these financial statements?
A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. And why is that?
A. On -- the parking imputation on the rate case was included solely to settle the rate case at a $\$ 23$ ticket. It is not a computation that we carry forward every month.
Q. Okay. Is -- is the Transportation operation, is it -- I assume you have a budget?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And is there a line item for capital
improvements in that budget?
A. In the capital budget. Yes, sir.

MR. TRATHEN: Okay. That's all I have.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Higgins?
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGGINS:
Q. Hi, Ms. Mayfield. Dan Higgins for the BHI Club. Good afternoon.

How long have you been the CFO for BHI
Limited?
A. Twenty-one years.
Q. Okay. First, since we're on it, let me ask you some questions about the things Mr. Trathen just asked you about.

Look at page 6 of the financial statement. I'm sorry. Page 4.
A. Okay.
Q. And so your -- if I correctly understood your response to Mr . Trathen, the imputation that was provided from the 2010 rate case is not reflected in this calculation, correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. So if the imputed amount was shown, there would have been, theoretically, a small profit, correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Also, on -- further up on that page 4, there is, I believe, a second entry in the column is rent of \$1.25 million. That's the payment for rent for the use of what?
A. That includes the Deep Point Marina landing, the Bald Head Island Marina landing, some portion of all the roads coming in and out, the infrastructure on the roads, the maintenance building, the maintenance pier, the contractor landing.

Basically, what that lease covers is the portion of the entire campus that was determined to be used by Transportation.
Q. Does it cover everything but the parking lots?
A. Does it cover everything? No, sir. It does not cover shipping and receiving. It does not cover common areas. It does not cover portions within the Deep Point terminal.
Q. In the building itself?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Some of those were devoted real estate sales or other focuses?
A. At that time, they were. But some of them
were also considered common area that could be used by anyone, other than Transportation.
Q. And the shipping and receiving, is that over where the -- connecting with the barge operation?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You testified in the Sub 21 docket last fall, didn't you?
A. Yes, sir. I did.
Q. The ferry operation was characterized in that docket as operating at a loss.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you recall that testimony?
A. I do.
Q. And is -- I'm looking now at your testimony, your original testimony, page 3.

Do you have your testimony?
A. No, sir. I don't.
Q. Okay. Perhaps your counsel can provide it.

For how many years has the ferry, to your knowledge, operated at a loss?
A. To my knowledge, I know that it's operated at a loss for the last 21 years.
Q. And how many of those years since the 2010 rate case would it have not operated at a loss if the
imputed dollars from the parking operation had been included in the financial statement?
A. I actually can't answer that for you because in the most recent financials, those losses have been substantially higher in past years.
Q. Okay.
A. As activity for the ferry increased, those losses would've gone down. But it -- that's probably not -- never mind. I'm not going to go into that.
Q. You state on page 3 -- I'm looking at page 3, line 7 -- that the ferry and the tram services have been financially stable, and your answer is yes.

And yet, you've testified that -- you just told me that they operated at a loss for the last 21 years.
A. I must not have the same -- we're talking about page 3, line 7.
Q. Page 3 of your direct testimony. Not your amended direct.
A. Amended. Oh. I have amended direct.

MR. STYERS: Oh, okay. I gave her the amended direct. I'm sorry.

I'm sorry. I don't have that with me,
Mr. Higgins.

$\square$
MR. HIGGINS: May I approach? May I approach and show the --

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yes.
Q. Ms. Mayfield, if you'll accept, subject to check, that that's page 3 from your amended [sic] direct testimony.

Now that I don't have it, would you read the question and answer --

MR. STYERS: So just to make sure the record is clear, so you were asking her amended direct or the original direct?

MR. HIGGINS: It's the original direct.
MR. STYERS: Thank you.
MR. HIGGINS: If I said "amended," I've fallen victim to what's on here.
Q. Would you read that question for me, please?
A. Yes, sir.
"Have the ferry and tram services been
financially stable?"
Q. And your answer?
A. It was yes.
Q. Okay. And that's your answer even though it's operated at a loss, you consider, for the last 21 years?
A. I -- yes, sir.
Q. And has the ferry -- was the ferry service effectively a loss leader or subsidized by Limited in order to facilitate its development of the island?
A. No, sir. I don't believe that. And we attempted in the rate case to correct that subsidy, but we were not successful doing so in that 2010 rate case or 2009 rate case.
Q. So because you were not successful, Limited has continued to subsidize the ferry operation; is that correct?
A. (No audible response.)
Q. And the ferry operation is -COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Ms. Mayfield and Mr. Higgins, if you-all could both-THE WITNESS: Look your way? COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Yeah. THE WITNESS: Or at least talk into -COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Or get up closer to the microphones, please. THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. MR. HIGGINS: Did you -- did the last exchange come through?

COURT REPORTER: I don't believe there
was a verbal response to that question. THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.

Would you repeat the question, please?
MR. HIGGINS: I'll move on.
Actually, I don't have any further
questions for you, Ms. Mayfield. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Public Staff?
MR. CREECH: Yes. Thank you.
CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. CREECH:
Q. Hey, Ms. Mayfield. I just want to confirm several quick things, if $I$ could.

We've been told in discovery and I think you indicated just now that the 19 million so-called loan will be written off at closing. I just want to reconfirm: No ifs, ands, or buts, that will be -- that will not be --
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay.
A. No ifs, ands, or buts.
Q. Second, imputation of parking revenue. If the appeal by the applicants results in the parking not being regulated for some reason, it is your -- is it your understanding that the new owners intend to continue that imputation?
A. It's my understanding the new owners have agreed to continue the imputation to the extent that it was imputed earlier.
Q. Okay. And your testimony indicates that you-all did due diligence on SharpVue and their management team to ensure financial liability and stability. Is that still the case?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Did you -- and your -- and your findings on that are still the case, that they are financially stable?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And just final question relates to the so-called transition agreement or other agreements, maybe a shared service agreement, et cetera.

Is it your -- what is your understanding about any impact that those agreements would have on ratepayers?
A. The transition agreement would be related solely to the transition of Limited to Transportation. It would not be -- been passed on to the ratepayer at that point.

MR. CREECH: All right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Redirect?

MS. HEDRICK: Yes. Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. HEDRICK:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, just a few questions.

Do you have Village Mayfield Direct Cross
Exhibit 1 in front of you?
A. Village Mayfield Direct Cross Exhibit 1.
Q. That's --
A. Oh. Yes, I do. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Okay.
Q. Mr. Trathen asked you a number of questions about this document, specifically focused on the land basis parking lots of $\$ 325,000$ or so, right?
A. Uh-huh. Yes.
Q. Can you tell us what time period the information on this sheet covers?
A. I can. This is -- this was developed from the initial purchase price from April of 1996, and most of the transactions fall through '96.

And then there was some development work done in '09. There was a minor amount of Deep Point subdivision work done in '18. And then the survey where we divided the campus for this transaction and to prepare, actually, for the Authority in ' 20.
Q. So when you talk about the land basis parking lots, where was the terminal at the point that this --
A. In '96, the terminal did not exist.
Q. Okay. Where -- was the property zoned for commercial operations in 1996?
A. I would assume so, but I don't know that. I wasn't here in '96, and I'm not sure.
Q. Fair enough. To your knowledge, were there any environmental permits in 1996?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. All right. And to your knowledge, was there any improvements on the land in 1996?
A. I don't believe in 1996 there were.
Q. So it was just the raw land that was purchased; is that right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay.
A. I'm sorry. Yes.
Q. Let's see. Mr. Trathen asked you number of questions about management functions.

Do you remember that?
A. I do.
Q. Has it been determined yet which management or accounting functions will eventually be performed by

SharpVue after closing?
A. Currently, I can tell you what accounting functions are performed now, and they will be absorbed into SharpVue. But there is fixed asset work that we do. There's general ledger work. Financial statements. There's, in some cases, billing. Cash receipts. Cash disbursements. Accounts payable.
Q. Okay. But that will be done by SharpVue going forward?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. All right.
A. Subsequent to the transition agreement. It won't be the very next day.
Q. Okay. Mr. Higgins asked you some questions about the rent that Transportation has recorded on its books.

So that covers the rent of properties that were determined to be used by transportation in the last rate case; is that right?
A. Correct.
Q. And that's -- so that was approved by the Commission at that time.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Correct?
A. That's true, yes.
Q. All right. And then you were asked some
questions about the capital budget. Is that a one-year and a two-year budget?
A. It's a one-year budget.
Q. Okay. So that's not a long-term budget?
A. Not -- well, we also have -- we actually have three capital budgets. We have R\&M capital, we have FFE capital, and we have what we call "development capital." And the R\&M capital typically can be a two-year process.
Q. Okay.
A. And it's determined which one is most urgent for this year and what items are we putting on the radar for next year.

MS. HEDRICK: Nothing further at this
time.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Commissioner
questions?
Chair Mitchell?
EXAMINATION BY CHAIR MITCHELL:
Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Mayfield.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Just to -- just one question for
clarification.
You indicated the new owner -- in response to
Mr. Creech, the new owner intends to continue the imputation of parking revenues to the extent that it was imputed -- that those revenues had been imputed earlier. Just explain what you meant by that response.
A. It's my understanding -- and I hope I don't have this wrong. Mr. Paul can probably clarify this.

It was my understanding that Mr. Roberts has agreed -- and I don't know the time period -- that he would allow the imputation of parking to remain.
Q. Okay. And so what did you mean that to the extent they were imputed earlier?
A. In the initial -- or not the initial. In our 2009 rate case, to settle the rate case, when we -- we had originally asked for a $\$ 28$ ticket. We were approved to get a $\$ 23$ ticket. We then had to make adjustments to have the income statement turn out to be the 8.33 percent return.

When we did that, we first took dollars out of expenses, and then dollars were imputed from parking revenue. And in the very end, there was an amount of, I think, \$147 that was added as intervenor's settlement to settle the rate case.
Q. Okay. All right. So -- but your understanding is that parking revenues annually have been imputed to --
A. Not on an annual basis. If we were looking at another rate case, they would be considered in that initially.

But I'm not sure. I should ask for clarification on that. I don't know.
Q. Okay. Well, I'll ask Mr. Paul --
A. Okay.
Q. -- that question when he's back up on rebuttal.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. Thank you,
Ms. Mayfield.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Commissioner
Clodfelter?
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, one very, very quick thing for you.

Mr. Trathen was asking you questions about the exhibit A1 to the application. Do you still have that there in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Yeah. And he was asking you -- on page 4, he
was asking you about the operating expense item titled "Management Fees"?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Well, something else caught my eye on that page.
A. Okay.
Q. Since he was asking you about it, I was looking at the page, and I'm just curious. It just really -- to satisfy my curiosity.

Up under the "Revenue" item, there is a line titled "Transportation Revenue-Affiliates." What does that item represent?
A. The auditors choose to put the intercompany transactions between Limited and Transportation in that line item.
Q. What would those be? Would those be, like, the maintenance expense on the barge?
A. No. Predominantly, those are ferry tickets for employees or for the employees of Limited. Because they're related parties, they're reported that way.
Q. Would that -- thank you. I understand what you just said.

Would that item also include, for example, charges for maintenance work performed by

Transportation on the barge?
A. No, sir. There's a --
Q. Or not?
A. No. No, sir. There's a credit taken against the management fee calculation for the work done on the barge and tug.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Thank you very
much. It helps me --
THE WITNESS: Okay.
COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: -- understand
the document better. I appreciate it.
THE WITNESS: Okay.
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
Q. So following up on Chair Mitchell's question about the imputation of the $\$ 523,000$, is that -- let's assume it is an annual contribution. Is that in the Transportation revenues in that 6.7 million?
A. No. These -- the books that are completed here are GAAP audits, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, and those imputations are not here.
Q. Okay. And you're familiar with

Docket A-41, Sub 7A?
A. Yes.
Q. And you probably make those fillings for the
company?
A. I do.
Q. And so in February of each year, you make a filing and it shows the full year prior?
A. In the annual report?
Q. In the annual report. Correct?
A. I review that, yes.
Q. Okay. And so would you agree subject to check that in 20- -- for year 2022, the ferry's net loss was 1.3 million--
A. Yes.
Q. -- approximately? And in 2021, the net loss

```
was 400,000 --
```

A. Right.
Q. -- approximately?

And then in 2020, the net loss was around 985,000?
A. I'm not sure about 2020, but I believe so.
Q. Subject to check?
A. Yes.
Q. And in 2018, the net loss was $\$ 942,000$ ?
A. Yes, ma'am.
Q. And then we'll do two more. 2017, 368,000
A. Subject to check.
Q. -- approximately?

And then 2016, approximately $\$ 500,000$ net
loss?
A. Correct.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Those are my only questions.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Questions on
Commissioner questions?
Yes. Commissioner McKissick?
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER McKISSICK:
Q. Let me ask you this. There was an exhibit that was introduced earlier when Mr. Paul was up for cross examination. It's called "Village Direct Paul Cross Exhibit Number 2." I'm just curious as to whether you're familiar with that particular document.
A. I am not sure I remember which document that was, Mr. McKissick.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Ms. Mayfield, we'll get someone -- your attorney to hand it to you.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
Q. Are you familiar with the figures reflected
in this document?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. You are. Okay. Now -- and, of course, it's showing -- okay. From what $I$ understand, this is about income and expenses for the ferry and the barge. Would that be correct?
A. Just one second.
Q. But not including the parking?
A. Right. No. Well, it does say parking.
Q. It does include the parking.
A. I think so.
Q. I think earlier they indicated it would also. It does have income for parking.

Now, let me ask you this. Do these figures all appear to be pretty accurate, to your recollection?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And when it comes to, I guess, the bottom line in terms of net income in 2020, it looks like it's $\$ 1,036,453$; is that correct?
A. I believe.
Q. That's the column all the way to the far right.
A. Right. But these were the P\&Ls that were used in the forecasting, I believe, for 2020 and
budgeted 2021.
Oh. I'm sorry. I misspoke. Because these are all three. These are transportation, parking, and barge. Yes, these are correct.
Q. Transportation, parking and barge?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. So this would be an accurate reflection for 2020 where you end up with -- it looks as if total revenue that year was $\$ 10,403,294$, and total expenses were $\$ 7,091,900$ and then there were other expenses of $\$ 2,098,369$.
A. Correct.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Now, it does indicate under "Notes" -- if you go to Notes, do you see Note E?

It says:
"Hurricane Florence, September 2018, had a significant impact on tug and freight and barge operations."

It looks like that's from fourth quarter of 2018 to second quarter of 2019 .
A. Correct.
Q. Would you happen to know how much difference
that might have made?
A. Not off the top of my head, Mr. McKissick.
Q. Okay.
A. But I do know there was -- we did have substantial impact from the hurricane because we had to significantly -- well, for a 30 -day period, we reduced service to the island altogether.
Q. Altogether. So it would have been a substantial impact?
A. Yes, sir. And may $I$ clarify one thing real quick here?
Q. Sure.
A. This is not one of Bald Head Island's documents. This is a SharpVue document.
Q. Right.
A. So this is not something I prepared, just so that I'm clear on that.
Q. Sure.
A. Okay.
Q. I appreciate that clarification.

Now, let me also ask you about one other item here. Under "Notes," you see Item G? It says Bald

Head Island Transportation was the beneficiary of a $\$ 496,300$ PPP loan from the SVA they received on

May 4, 2020, that was completely forgiven on
December 9, 2020.
Now, is that reflected in the totals under
"Other Revenue" which is shown for 2020 where it has the 510,000?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And is that why that number is higher for that year?
A. It is.
Q. Now, are you aware of any other loans that have been forgiven during the timeframe shown on this document?
A. No, sir.
Q. Not aware of any. But I think you indicated in responding to the Public Staff's attorney that it's likely to be 19 million in loans forgiven as a part of this transaction.
A. Correct.
Q. Is that correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. But those would be the only other debt that you're familiar with that would likely be cancelled or forgiven?
A. Correct. That's the sole debt on the balance
sheet.
COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Thank you. I
don't have any further questions.
EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, I just have a couple of more going back to those quarterly financial reports. And you might not be the right person, and you just let me know if you are not.

But, you know, we've heard testimony about the on-time departure percentage rates. And if you look at those year-end reports, it looks like, and subject to check, that in 2016 the on-time percentage was 89 percent; in 2017 it was 85 percent; 2018, 87 percent; 2019, 86 percent; 202084 percent. And in 2021 it drops to 66 percent, and then in 2022 it's at 68 percent.

And so my question to you -- first of all, does that sound accurate to you?
A. It does.
Q. And so just in your opinion, why did it -why has it taken a deep dive in 2021 and 2022?
A. And let me say that I am not the best person to answer this question.
Q. Okay. Who do I need to ask?
A. Mr. Bion Stewart --
Q. Okay.
A. -- or Mr. Paul. Either one.
Q. Okay.

MR. STYERS: And Mr. Stewart will be
testifying later in this hearing.
Q. Okay. I will save that for Mr. Stewart unless you want to offer up your opinion.
A. I'm happy to give you my opinion, but Mr. Stewart is going to be the best person to have the actual technical response to that.
Q. Okay.
A. Part of -- I think it's -- there are several impactful situations with that. One is the amount of baggage and the baggage that we started carrying and handling. And as the ridership increased, so did baggage.

The other problem -- and we went to the Corps of Engineers to ask that they station their dredge work elsewhere because it's a no-wake zone as they set up in the river, which significantly slows down our transport.

The Corps indicated they were not able to do that. So part of it is the traffic as well and the
requirements on the ferries for no wake.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Thank you for that answer, Ms. Mayfield.

Questions on Commission questions?
MR. TRATHEN: Yes.
EXAMINATION BY MR. TRATHEN:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, Commissioner McKissick asked you a few questions with regards to the consolidated historical income statement. Do you still have that?
A. Yes, sir. I do.
Q. Two quick questions flowing from that.

Right above Net Income, there's a figure for Other Expense. Do you happen to know what that is?
A. That would be any of the operating expenses. Not specifically salaries and wages. It would be supplies, fuel, office expense, training and education, uniforms. There's a substantial list of expenses in that line.
Q. Okay. And with respect to Footnote C -- and I understand that this is a SharpVue document.

The note $C$ states that the facilities lease expense of $\$ 1.25$ million and all the underlying real estate with a lease is being purchased, so that expense will be extinguished at closing.

Do you read that to say that the plan as of this statement was that there would not be a lease because -- with respect to the real estate because all the ownership would be consolidated in SharpVue?

MS. HEDRICK: I'm just going to object to asking the witness to speculate as to what the author of that comment meant.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Trathen?
MR. TRATHEN: I'm asking her what her understanding of this note is in the financial statement.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Just answer as best you can. If you cannot speculate, you cannot speculate. But answer to what you know.

THE WITNESS: I believe this footnote is related, indeed, to the lease that currently exists between Limited and Transportation.
Q. Okay.
A. Does that --
Q. That's fine. That's fine.

MR. TRATHEN: That's all I have. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Higgins?
EXAMINATION BY MR. HIGGINS:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, with regard to Commissioner Duffley's questions where she read you some of the results of annual reports indicating operating losses over a period of years, do you recall that?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. And do those reports -- I don't have them with me. Do those reports reflect the imputation of the $\$ 523,000$ imputation from parking revenues?
A. No, sir. No, sir.
Q. So where in the financials is the $\$ 523,000$ imputation reflected?
A. On the monthly financials and on the annual financials, the parking imputation is not reflected.
Q. Is it reflected anywhere?
A. No, sir. Other than in the rate case settlement because it was an amount chosen for the settlement.

I bet you can't hear me, can you? I'm sorry.
Other than in the rate case settlement because it is an amount that was part of just the settlement.
Q. Does that mean that the $\$ 523,000$ imputation has not been imputed each and every year since the rate case?
A. Into the final financials, that audit has not been.
Q. Has it been imputed anywhere?
A. No, sir.

MR. HIGGINS: Thank you.
EXAMINATION BY MR. CREECH:
Q. Ms. Mayfield, I just have one follow-up question.

You were asked about Hurricane Florence and the impact on the net income, I believe. And I just wanted -- and the SVA -- the PPP loan.

Was there also business interruption insurance proceeds that were received, I think around 500,000? Does that sound familiar?
A. There were -- there was business interruption insurance that was received. Off the top of my head, I don't remember right now what it is. I didn't think it was as high as 500.

MR. CREECH: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Redirect?
MS. HEDRICK: Nothing further.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Motions?
MR. TRATHEN: Yes. I would ask that the
Village Cross Examination Exhibit 1 be entered into
evidence.
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Without
objection, your motion is approved.
(Village Mayfield Direct Cross Exhibit
1, was admitted into evidence.)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Ms. Mayfield, you're excused. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: Shall I just leave these right here?

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: You can leave those there.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
MR. HIGGINS: Ms. Mayfield, can I get my pages of testimony back, please? Thank you.

MR. STYERS: So Mr. -- as by agreement with the parties, Mr . Roberts is actually teaching at the Duke University Sanford School of Public Policy and has a weekly obligation to teach as an adjunct professor at the Sanford School.

So he was not available. He would normally be our next witness. He would be available to be called tomorrow, and that is what -- and so if the Commission wanted to continue with the hearing, what we'd agreed to is that they
would continue now with the next witness of the Village.

COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Okay. Let's go off the record for five minutes.
(Recess was taken from 4:58 p.m. to
4:59 p.m.)
COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Let's go back on the record.

In discussion with my colleagues, let's keep the case intact. So we're going to recess for the day, and we'll come back on the record tomorrow at 9:30 a.m. and we'll have Mr. Roberts at 9:30 tomorrow morning.
(Hearing recessed at 4:59 p.m. and set to reconvene at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, March 8, 2023.)
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