BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. W-1300, SUB 60

In the Matter of
Application by Old North State Water
Company, LLC, 3212 6th Avenue
South, Suite 200, Birmingham,
Alabama 35222, for Authority to Adjust
and Increase Rates for Water Utility
Service in All Its Service Areas in North
Carolina

TESTIMONY OF
CHARLES M. JUNIS
PUBLIC STAFF – NORTH
CAROLINA UTILITIES
COMMISSION

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. W-1300, SUB 60

TESTIMONY OF CHARLES M. JUNIS

ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC STAFF - NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

FEBRUARY 8, 2022

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS, AND
- 2 PRESENT POSITION.
- 3 A. My name is Charles M. Junis. My business address is 430 North
- 4 Salisbury Street, Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina. I am the
- 5 Director of the Water, Sewer, and Telephone Division of the Public
- 6 Staff North Carolina Utilities Commission (Public Staff).

7 Q. BRIEFLY STATE YOUR QUALIFICATIONS AND DUTIES.

- 8 A. I graduated from North Carolina State University, earning a Bachelor
- 9 of Science Degree in Civil Engineering. I am a licensed Professional
- 10 Engineer in North Carolina. I have over ten years of engineering
- experience, and since joining the Public Staff in April 2013, have
- worked on general rate cases, new franchise and transfer
- applications, emergency operations proceedings, customer
- complaints, rulemakings, and other aspects of utility regulation. Prior
- to joining the Public Staff, I worked for Farnsworth Group, an
- engineering and architectural consulting firm.

Q. PLEASE STATE THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY AND PROVIDE A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THIS PROCEEDING.

A. The purpose of my testimony is to describe my investigation, findings, and recommendations concerning the general rate case application filed with the Commission by Old North State Water Company, LLC (ONSWC or the Company) on June 29, 2021, in Docket No. W-1300, Sub 60, which seeks authority to adjust and increase the Company's rates for providing water utility service in all of its service areas in North Carolina (Application).

The Application included 37 water systems. The Company does not serve any customers in Carriage Cove (Sub 53; therefore, those system costs should be excluded for ratemaking purposes. Otherwise, rates would be set unfairly if those system costs were recovered from customers served by other systems. In addition, the Company was serving customers in seven additional service areas, Arlington Manor (Sub 61), Bella Terra (Sub 67), Brook Meadow (Sub 58), Fish Hawk Ranch (Sub 65), Prescott (Sub 57), Sterling Crest Phase 2 (Sub 66), and Thatcher Woods (Sub 59), subject to pending filings with the Commission at the time the Application was filed, all of which the Commission has now approved or recognized. The Company provides water utility service to customers in 43 water systems in eight counties, which are included in the Company's

- update filings and the Public Staff's schedules and
 recommendations.
- The Application test year is the 12 months ended December 31,
- 4 2020. The test period appropriate for use in this proceeding is the
- 5 updated 12 months ended August 31, 2021.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Α.

6 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR INVESTIGATION.

In coordination with Public Staff Financial Analysts Iris Morgan and Darrell Brown, I have reviewed expenses, including maintenance and repair, electric power for pumping, chemicals for treatment, testing fees, permit fees, and purchased water treatment, and reviewed utility plant in service (UPIS) and contributions in aid of construction (CIAC). In addition, I have reviewed customer complaints and the Company's Report on Customer Comments from Public Hearing Held on October 7, 2021 that was filed on October 27, 2021 (Customer Report), North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) records, and billing determinant data and calculated revenues at present rates, Company proposed rates, and Public Staff recommended rates.

PLANT CONDITIONS AND OPERATIONS

Q. HAVE YOU INSPECTED THE COMPANY'S WATER SYSTEMS?

1	A.	No. Given the COVID-19 pandemic and the entirely remote public
2		witness hearing, the Public Staff did not conduct site visits prior to
3		the filing of its testimony.
4	Q.	HOW HAVE YOU ASSESSED THE COMPANY'S WATER
5		SYSTEMS, OPERATIONS, AND CUSTOMER SERVICE?
6	A.	I have thoroughly reviewed the six consumer statements filed in Sub
7		60CS, twelve public witness testimonies, and the Customer Report.
8		In addition, I have reviewed water quality testing results available
9		from the Drinking Water Watch online database that DEQ's Public
10		Water Supply Section maintains and communicated with DEQ staff.
11	Q.	HAS THE PUBLIC STAFF RECEIVED ANY CUSTOMER
12		STATEMENTS AS A RESULT OF THE CUSTOMER NOTICES IN
12 13		STATEMENTS AS A RESULT OF THE CUSTOMER NOTICES IN THIS PROCEEDING?
	A.	
13	A.	THIS PROCEEDING?
13 14	A.	THIS PROCEEDING? Yes. The Public Staff has reviewed 11 written customer statements of
13 14 15	A.	THIS PROCEEDING? Yes. The Public Staff has reviewed 11 written customer statements of position as of February 8, 2022. The statements were in the forms of
13 14 15 16	A.	THIS PROCEEDING? Yes. The Public Staff has reviewed 11 written customer statements of position as of February 8, 2022. The statements were in the forms of emails and website forms. Approximately six of the statements detailed
13 14 15 16 17	A.	THIS PROCEEDING? Yes. The Public Staff has reviewed 11 written customer statements of position as of February 8, 2022. The statements were in the forms of emails and website forms. Approximately six of the statements detailed issues with water quality. Three of those statements were general
13 14 15 16 17	A.	THIS PROCEEDING? Yes. The Public Staff has reviewed 11 written customer statements of position as of February 8, 2022. The statements were in the forms of emails and website forms. Approximately six of the statements detailed issues with water quality. Three of those statements were general statements that the water was not drinkable.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	THIS PROCEEDING? Yes. The Public Staff has reviewed 11 written customer statements of position as of February 8, 2022. The statements were in the forms of emails and website forms. Approximately six of the statements detailed issues with water quality. Three of those statements were general statements that the water was not drinkable. David Schnell, a customer in Mornington Estates, filed a statement

1	in the Customer Report on page 11, ONSWC states.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ONSWC received a monitoring violation and was required to send a notice to Mornington customers. The violation occurred in October of 2019 when Envirolink was the operator; ONSWC received the violation from Public Water Supply in June of 2021 and notified customers that same month. Please note this was a monitoring violation, not a water quality violation.
9	Statements provided by Cindi Treschl and Diana Needham, customers
10	in the Bingham Woods Mobile Home Park, described multiple outages
11	lasting as long as three days. Ms. Needham stated that there had no
12	been low pressure or outage events for a "few months." Kelly Germain
13	from the Rocklyn community stated she was concerned about the rate
14	increase given her already higher than average bills.
15	In the Customer Report on pages nine and ten, ONSWC states:
16 17 18 19	When ONSWC took over operations from the prior contract operator, the piping from the remote well was not in good condition.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	However, ONSWC determined that the distribution line was compromised and needed to be moved because accessing any repairs in the swamp was very difficult due to the very wet conditions. A contractor was hired to install over 1000 feet of a new 2" schedule 80 water line that did not run through the swamp. The cost of this repair was \$13,000 and the Company has not had any low water pressure issues since July 2021.
28	Melissa Bertonica from the Fish Hawk Ranch subdivision described
29	experiencing brown water with a "very bad odor" and provided pictures
30	supplementing the testimony she provided at the public hearing. Wes
31	Hohenstein from the Brook Meadow neighborhood described

experiencing brown water on a "regular basis" and included pictures of discolored water and/or residue in sinks, tubs, and a glass. Nicholas Egan from Ashcroft Park described low pressure and having a whole house water filtration system installed to address water quality and red/brown stains in his sinks and toilets. Miles Komuves from the Senter Farm neighborhood noted that his "community has experienced, on many occasions, absolutely filthy (red clay, mud, other sediment) water" and many residents, including his family, have installed home filtration systems costing nearly \$10,000. Luke Marshaus, who also lives in Senter Farm, described experiencing brown water and stated the following: "The water is putting extreme strain on filtration systems causing added cost as well as damage to toilets, sinks, appliances, and pipes." Adam Guinn from the Senter Farm neighborhood recounted that residents have "already purchased" their own water treatment systems" and relayed his concerns about rate recovery and uniform rates. Cynthia Black, who lives in the Blawell neighborhood and testified during the public hearing, described her concerns about paying "two middlemen" for water service. Almost all of the customers specifically objected to the magnitude of the proposed rate increase.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PUBLIC HEARINGS CONDUCTED IN THIS CASE.

The Commission conducted a remote hearing to receive testimony
from public witnesses. The hearing took place on October 7, 2021 at
6:30 p.m. Individuals who submitted testimony pre-registered for the
hearing and testified by calling in over the phone. Twenty-eight total
customers pre-registered to testify at the hearings and twelve
customers testified on the record during the hearing. The service
areas represented and (number of customers that testified) are Fish
Hawk Ranch (one), Mendenhall (two), Shiloh (one), Olde Mill Trace
(one), Leone Landing (one), Blawell (one), Blaney Farms (two),
Rocklyn (one), Ethan's Meadow (one), and Senter Farm (one).

Α.

Fish Hawk Ranch

Melissa Bertonica, who also submitted a written statement of position, testified that she has contacted ONSWC about water quality issues, including yellow/brown water affecting laundry and fixtures, and that she has continued to experience these issues since moving into her home in November 2020.

In the Customer Report on page two, ONSWC states:

The discoloration is due to high levels of naturally occurring iron and manganese in the ground water. The original engineering design called for the installation of three 36 x 72-inch iron and manganese filters. ONSWC was not involved in the installation, as the developer retained a third-party firm to oversee construction and operations. ONSWC took over direct operations in October 2020 and realized only two 36 x 72-inch filters were installed. ONSWC started working with the engineer and developer to correct the installation. The third filter was installed in September of 2021 and will greatly help with water quality.

The Fish Hawk Ranch water system consists of two wells interconnected for combined treatment at Well No. 2. The Public Staff reasonably expects that the installation of the third filter media vessel of the filtration system in accordance with the approved engineering plans and specifications and pH adjustment will have improved the system's water quality. In the Customer Report on page 3, ONSWC concludes as follows: "ONSWC would like to monitor the effects of the recent addition of a third filter and a change in pH to see if they adequately address the discoloration caused by iron and manganese before investing in additional remediation measures."

Mendenhall

Chuck Mosher testified that he experiences water pressure issues once or twice a month and described his concerns regarding the magnitude of the proposed rate increase in light of being retired and on a fixed income.

Mayuri Coleman testified that her main concern is the magnitude of the rate increase and she experiences temporary water pressure issues a few times a month.

In the Customer Report on pages three and four, ONSWC states it was unaware of pressure issues in the system and that "operators now check water pressure daily." In addition, pages three and four of the Customer Report recount the following changes to the

As of September 2021, if a customer calls during regular business hours and the line is busy with another customer, the caller is prompted to leave a message. ONSWC strives to return all messages within 10 minutes. Calls after business hours are routed to a phone tree. If it is an emergency situation such as an outage or water quality issue, customers are able to select their area and be routed directly the emergency operator on call. Any calls that reach a voicemail are returned or addressed by the operator. Calls that are non-emergency in nature, i.e. needing to pay a bill or start service are routed to our answering service. Calls of that nature are returned the next business day.

The Public Staff finds this policy and procedure to be reasonable and appropriate to better serve customers and respond to their concerns.

17 <u>Shiloh</u>

Brian Vervynckt testified about water quality issues affecting appliances and fixtures and concerns about the magnitude of the proposed rate increase. In addition, Mr. Vervynckt observed ONSWC employees or contractors driving too fast in the neighborhood and not wearing identification.

In the Customer Report on page four, ONSWC states:

Based on testing results, the water quality has improved since ONSWC changed from the original contract operation [Envirolink] to new personnel in October 2020. The previous contract operator was not flushing the system, and the result was sediment buildup and stains. ONSWC current operations have a regular flushing schedule. The flushing schedule has improved water quality due to the water not sitting stagnant in the water lines for long periods of time. There is an occasional low water pressure issue during the flushing period, but it is minimal and for a short period of time. The flushing is scheduled for late

1 2	morning or early afternoon to avoid peak water usage times.
3	Olde Mill Trace
4	Anthony Noto testified about experiencing water quality issues,
5	including black slime, odor, and low pressure, prior to installation of
6	a home filtration system at his own cost. He added that neighbors
7	without filter systems still have water quality issues.
8	In the Customer Report on page five, ONSWC states:
9 10 11 12 13 14	ONSWC is not aware of water quality issues due to odor of the water or brown water with black slime. Residents have not previously notified ONSWC of any such issue. ONSWC has instructed the water operator of this subdivision to investigate any potential issue. Testing currently shows compliance with regulatory standards.
16	Olde Mill Trace's most recent Inorganic Chemical Analysis (IOC)
17	results from February 17, 2021 showed the iron concentration level
18	to be 0.105 mg/L, which is below the applicable Secondary Maximum
19	Contaminant Level (sMCL) of 0.3 mg/L. The IOC analysis showed
20	the manganese level to be 0.057 mg/L, which is slightly above the
21	applicable sMCL of 0.05 mg/L. Prior IOC results from August 5, 2019
22	showed the concentration level for iron to be 0.207 mg/L and
23	manganese to be 0.067 mg/L.
24	Leone Landing
25	Thomas Flynn testified that he has experienced staining on his
26	fixtures and water pressure issues since relocating to Leone Landing

I	in July 2017 and described his concerns regarding the magnitude or
2	the proposed rate increase.
3	In the Customer Report on page five, ONSWC states:
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	This is another system where ONSWC was not aware of water discoloration that is causing stains or water pressure issues. Residents have not previously notified ONSWC of any such issues. ONSWC has instructed the water operator of this subdivision to investigate any potential issue, and the Company is not aware of any issue occurring now.
11	<u>Blawell</u>
12	Cynthia Black, who also submitted a written statement of position,
13	testified about her concerns regarding the magnitude of the
14	proposed rate increase and the present rates that are a result of
15	purchasing water from the Town of Stedman.
16	ONSWC did not specifically address Ms. Black's testimony in the
17	Customer Report.
18	Blaney Farms and Senter Farm
19	Martin Francis-Kallukalam testified that his main concern is the
20	magnitude of the rate increase and the discolored water has
21	improved over the past few months.
22	Jolieann Kilpatrick testified that she and her family have experienced
23	water quality issues, including dirty water, high chlorine residuals,
24	and manganese, since moving into the neighborhood in May 2019.
25	In addition, she described mouth sores and skin irritation that she
26	believes are from the water.

1	In the Customer Report on page six, ONSWC states:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ONSWC worked extensively with state regulators at Public Water Supply in 2020 to determine a solution for brown water in Blaney Farms. It was determined that sequestering would be the best and most cost-effective approach to correct the brown water. Sequestering was engineered and approved by Public Water Supply in the first quarter of 2021. The sequestering equipment was installed in April 2021. Since then, ONSWC has seen a 50% reduction in calls from first quarter 2021 to second quarter 2021, and there was only one customer complaint in the third quarter of 2021. ONSWC has submitted quarterly reports to Public
15	Water Supply for this system.
16	Nicholas Aronne testified about experiencing water quality issues
17	including discolored and smelly water, since moving into a new home
18	in August 2019. He had a home filtration system installed. He
19	suggested that operations improved with Dale Boyette of the Rock
20	Water Group Diversified, LLC (Rock Water Group), which replaced
21	Envirolink, Inc.
22	In the Customer Report on pages eight and nine, ONSWC states:
23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Previously, chlorination of combined iron and manganese resulted in brown water, whereas sequestering means the iron and manganese are chlorinated separately, which should correct the brown water issue. Testing shows the iron and manganese to be well below regulatory limits. If testing levels rise, the Company will consider installing filters.
30	The Blaney Farms water system consists of three active wells and

serves Blaney Farms, Blaney South, and Senter Farm (also known

as Senter Road) subdivisions. The most recent IOC results for Well

31

No. 2 from November 3, 2021 showed the concentration level for iron to be 0.095 mg/L and manganese to be 0.052 mg/L. The most recent IOC results for the combined entry point of Well Nos. 5 and 6 from November 3, 2021 showed the concentration level for iron to be 0.094 mg/L and manganese to be 0.056 mg/L. The iron concentration levels for Well Nos. 2, 5, and 6 are below the applicable sMCL, while the manganese levels are slightly above the applicable sMCL. DEQ issued a Notice of Deficiency for elevated iron and manganese concentrations on March 9, 2020.

10 Rocklyn

Mary Matton testified that she opposes the magnitude of the proposed rate increase and described hardness issues.

In the Customer Report on page seven, ONSWC states:

The water for Rocklyn is purchased from the city of Winston-Salem and distributed to customers through ONSWC lines. The Company was not previously aware of high calcium in the water or a rotten egg smell. ONSWC has notified the operator of the need to investigate this complaint. The operator did a site visit after the public hearing and did not observe any issues. ONSWC has asked Winston-Salem to check if the city has identified a problem calcium or odor in its water.

Ethans Meadow

Jeffrey Craig testified that Aqua North Carolina, Inc. (Aqua NC) serves neighboring homes and the customers there pay less. He testified that he had a whole house filtration system installed in January 2019, which was approximately one month after he moved

into his home and has since addressed most of his water quality issues.

In the Customer Report on page eight, ONSWC states that "[t]his the first time ONSWC has heard of staining from the water. An ONSWC

operator investigated after the public hearing and did not see any

6 basis for staining at that time."

<u>Conclusions</u>

It is the Public Staff's understanding that the Company's water quality generally meets the standards promulgated under the Safe Drinking Water Act and is satisfactory; however, there were multiple instances of deficient monitoring frequency, reporting, and public notification of water quality and system issues during the review period of 2019 through 2021. The Public Staff believes that, with the exception of certain systems and compliance issues resulting from its former contract operator, a majority of which the Company has addressed or is in the process of resolving, the overall quality of service is adequate. The Public Staff believes additional reporting and/or corrective action is appropriate and reasonable for certain systems and, therefore, recommends that for a period of no less than one year, ONSWC be required to file quarterly reports (including, if

¹ In the Customer Report on page nine, ONSWC states the following: "The previous contract operations firm, Envirolink, was terminated due to numerous service issues, performance issues, and customer complaints. Beginning in October 2020, ONSWC hired direct employees as operators, and as a result has seen a significant decrease in water quality complaints."

1		applicable, a customer complaint log, water quality testing analysis
2		results, and a description of actions the Company has taken and/or
3		planned) that provide updates on the water quality issues described
4		by customers in the Fish Hawk Ranch, Olde Mill Trace, and Blaney
5		Farms systems.
6	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S SERVICE TO
7		CUSTOMERS.
8	A.	Based on the quantity and nature of customer complaints,
9		information the Company has provided, the Public Staff's review of
10		available records, and records and observations from DEQ, I
11		conclude that, overall, ONSWC is providing adequate service to
12		customers.
13		<u>EXPENSES</u>
14	Q.	DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF THE OPERATING EXPENSES
15		AND YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS.
16	A.	I have analyzed the operating expenses by reviewing invoices and
17		records the Company provided and conducting discovery for
18		additional documentation. I recommend adjustments to the levels of
19		maintenance and repair, chemicals for treatment, and purchased
20		water expenses.
21		

MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Application states the Company incurred maintenance and repair expense totaling \$558,638 for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2020. In an adjusted schedule of consolidated revenues and expenses, the Company requests a pro forma maintenance and repair expense totaling \$615,758. Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1 filed on November 24, 2021 in the subject docket, indicates a per Company books maintenance and repair expense of \$452,119 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 reduced by \$233,950 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$218,169. Based upon review of the general ledgers, invoices, employee assignments, and contract operations on a going forward basis, I recommend removal of expenses for Carriage Cove, as the Company does not serve any customers there, and contract operations of systems now being operated by salaried employees, resulting in an annual maintenance and repair expense of \$162,135. This amount includes updated test year amounts of maintenance and repair, annualized contract operations by C & C Environmental Services, LLC, and annualized compliance services by Rock Water Group.

ELECTRIC POWER FOR PUMPING

The Application states the Company incurred electric power for pumping expense totaling \$58,711 for the 12-month period ending

December 31, 2020. In an adjusted schedule of consolidated revenues and expenses, the Company requests a pro forma electric power for pumping expense totaling \$63,082. Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, filed on November 24, 2021 in the subject docket, indicates a per Company books electric power for pumping expense of \$73,962 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 increased by \$3,503 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$77,465. Revised Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, provided in response to a data request, indicates a corrected per Company books electric power for pumping expense of \$66,700 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 increased by \$3,503 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$70,203. Based upon review of the general ledgers, invoices, and corrected schedules, I do not recommend any adjustments to the Company's corrected purchased power for pumping expense in the amount of \$70,203.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHEMICALS FOR TREATMENT

The Application states the Company incurred chemicals for treatment expense totaling \$11,660 for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2020. In an adjusted schedule of consolidated revenues and expenses, the Company requests a pro forma chemicals for treatment expense totaling \$12,946. Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, filed on November 24, 2021 in the subject docket, indicates a per Company books chemicals for treatment expense of

\$9,843 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 increased by \$1,027 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$10,870. Based upon review of the general ledgers and invoices, I recommend a disallowance of \$143 from the Carriage Cove system, as the Company does not serve any customers in Carriage Cove, and a growth factor adjustment in the amount of \$614 to result in an annual level of \$10,314.

TESTING FEES

The Application states the Company incurred testing fees expense totaling \$38,352 for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2020. Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, filed on November 24, 2021 in the subject docket, indicates a per Company books testing fees expense of \$95,007 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021. Based on my review of the general ledgers, invoices, required testing frequencies, and fee schedules from testing laboratories, I do not recommend any adjustments to the testing fees expense.

PERMIT FEES

The Application states the Company incurred permit fees expense totaling \$1,010 for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2020. In an adjusted schedule of consolidated revenues and expenses, the Company requests a pro forma permit fees expense totaling \$1,113. Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, filed on November 24, 2021 in the subject docket, indicates a per Company books permit fees expense of

\$8,452 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 increased by \$69 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$8,521. Based on review of the general ledgers and applicable permit fees, I do not recommend any adjustments to the permit fees expense.

PURCHASED WATER TREATMENT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Application states the Company incurred purchased water treatment expense totaling \$27,843 for the 12-month period ending December 31, 2020. In an adjusted schedule of consolidated revenues and expenses, the Company requests a pro forma purchased water treatment expense totaling \$34,476. Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, filed on November 24, 2021 in the subject docket, indicates a per Company books purchased water treatment expense of \$24,463 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 increased by \$27,776 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$52,239. Revised Exhibit I, Schedule 1-1, provided in response to a data request, indicates a per Company books purchased water treatment expense of \$24,463 for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 increased by \$25,423 of Company adjustments to an annual level of \$49,886. Non-revenue water (NRW) adjustments were not applied to the purchased water quantities for the Blawell and Rocklyn systems because the percentage of NRW did not exceed the Public Staff's recommended maximum allowance of 15% for most water systems. During the period of August 21, 2020

through August 20, 2021, ONSWC purchased 1,047,430 gallons of water from the Town of Stedman. The annual purchased water expense for the Blawell system is \$3,228 after applying a system specific growth factor of 2.71% to annualize consumption and the current usage charge of \$3.00 per 1,000 gallons. Regarding the Rocklyn system, the annual purchased water expense is \$31,947 for the updated test year consumption at the City of Winston-Salem's current rates as shown in **Junis Exhibit 1**. Based upon review of the general ledgers, invoices, proposed pro forma adjustments, and responses to data requests, I recommend an annual purchased water treatment expense of \$35,175.

UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE

- 13 Q. DESCRIBE YOUR REVIEW OF THE UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE
- 14 AND YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15 Α. I have assisted Public Staff Financial Analysts Morgan and Brown 16 with the review of UPIS, CIAC, and income tax gross-up records. 17 Based on the Public Staff's review, discrete adjustments are 18 recommended and implemented in the testimony and exhibits of 19 Public Staff witness Morgan. Furthermore, the Public Staff has identified concerns with how ONSWC has recorded UPIS and CIAC. 20 21 After a recent meeting between the Public Staff and ONSWC to 22 discuss the Company's internal accounting policies for recording 1 UPIS and CIAC, the Public Staff has come to the following conclusions:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- For new franchises and contiguous extensions, ONSWC's
 policy has been to record UPIS and CIAC, and begin
 depreciation and amortization, as of the date of a Commission
 order granting the franchise or recognizing the contiguous
 extension.
- In practice, an exception to the policy described above in item 1 has occurred when ONSWC, as part of its update, has recorded UPIS and CIAC associated with the formerly pending systems² in August 2021, the end of the update period.
- 3. ONSWC has been billing customers in the formerly pending systems for at least 12 months, and in one case over 24 months, prior to the Commission granting the franchise or recognizing the contiguous extension, with the Company's practice of billing customers in areas with pending franchise applications being the more egregious of the two.

² The formerly pending systems are Arlington Manor (Sub 61), Bella Terra (Sub 67), Brook Meadow (Sub 58), Fish Hawk Ranch (Sub 65), Prescott (Sub 57), Sterling Crest Phase 2 (Sub 66), and Thatcher Woods (Sub 59). Arlington Manor was most recently granted a franchise by order of the Commission on January 24, 2022.

In at least one instance, ONSWC recorded UPIS 27 months
 after acquiring the underlying assets by Bill of Sale and
 General Warranty Deed.

- 5. The actual in-service date, the start of depreciation, and the start of amortization for some of the contributed plant do not match, which is concerning for accounting purposes as the three should coincide with the date when the underlying asset is complete and used and useful. This lack of an accurate, actual in-service date, along with other accounting discrepancies, could impact rate base, the service revenue requirement, and ultimately rates.
- 6. Instead of recording contributed plant by asset account per the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC) Uniform System of Accounts, ONSWC has calculated a weighted average amortization rate for CIAC entries.
- ONSWC has not consistently and completely adhered to the income tax gross-up requirements set forth by the Commission in its Order Addressing Federal Income Taxes on Contributions in Aid of Construction issued August 26, 2019, in Docket No. W-100, Sub 57 (August 26 Order).

Regarding item 7 above, pursuant to the August 26 Order, a utility is required to collect income tax on CIAC from a contributor when contributions were contracted for on or after October 5, 2018.

Notwithstanding the August 26 Order, in accordance with N.C. Gen
Stat. § 62-133.12B, beginning July 2, 2021, a "water or wastewater
public utility is solely responsible for funding the income taxes or
taxable contributions in aid of construction [CIAC] and customer
advances for construction and shall record the income taxes the
water or wastewater utility pays in accumulated deferred income
taxes for accounting and rate-making purposes." Based on the
foregoing, ONSWC must collect income tax on taxable CIAC
received prior to July 2, 2021, from the contributor. Income taxes or
taxable CIAC received on or after July 2, 2021, must be handled in
accordance with N.C.G.S. § 62-133.12B.
For Arlington Manor (Sub 61, asset purchase agreement (APA)
dated May 22, 2019), Bella Terra (Sub 67, APA dated July 31, 2019)
and Brook Meadow (Sub 58, APA dated January 23, 2019), the
contributions were contracted for after October 5, 2018. CIAC
resulting from these asset purchase agreements would be subject to
the August 26 Order and N.C.G.S. § 62-133.12B. For example, the
contribution of the Arlington Manor water system assets and land
was memorialized before July 2, 2021 by Bill of Sale and Genera
Warranty Deed dated May 21, 2019. The contributed plant, net of the
purchase price, is \$132,640; therefore, the income tax gross-up
(29.65% multiplier) that should have been collected from the
contributor is \$39,328. The Public Staff recommends that any

1		uncollected income tax gross-up required by the Commission's
2		August 26, 2019, Order be imputed.
3		The Public Staff is actively working to ensure ONSWC corrects the
4		in-service dates, accumulated depreciation, accumulated
5		amortization, and rate base, and addresses the associated income
6		tax gross-up implications. The timing and nature of these corrections
7		will likely necessitate supplemental testimony from the Public Staff
8		to properly determine rate base, the service revenue requirement,
9		and recommended rates. Accordingly, the Company's proposed rate
10		base, the Public Staff's recommended rate base, and the Public
11		Staff's recommended revenue requirements and rate design, as set
12		forth in Public Staff testimony, may be subject to adjustment in such
13		supplemental testimony.
14		<u>DEPRECIATION RATES</u>
15		I do not recommend any adjustments to the estimated service lives
16		for utility plant in service as proposed by the Company and detailed
17		by witness Morgan in Morgan Exhibit I, Schedule 2-1.
18		BILLING ANALYSIS
19	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE BILLING ANALYSIS THAT
20		YOU HAVE CONDUCTED.
21	A.	I have reviewed and analyzed the Company billing data for the test
22		year ended December 31, 2020 and the updated data through

August 31, 2021. I have performed a billing analysis to determine the level of revenues produced at present and proposed rates utilizing the updated data. I have normalized the billing determinants for end of period customer counts and applied a growth factor of 6.33% to consumption. I have developed a recommended rate design to recover the revenue requirement set forth in the pre-filed testimony of Public Staff witness Morgan. The rate design includes specific usage rates for water systems that purchase and resell bulk water from a third-party provider.

10 Q. WHAT CHANGES ARE REFLECTED IN YOUR UPDATED TEST

YEAR BILLING ANALYSIS THAT ARE NOT REFLECTED IN THE

COMPANY'S APPLICATION?

Α.

Updating the test year billing data to the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021 and including the seven water systems that were being served while pending approval or recognition by the Commission, resulted in a higher level of bills than reflected in the originally filed application for the 12-month test year period ending December 31, 2020. In addition, I applied a system specific growth factor to annualize the consumption for the updated period. A two- or three-year average of consumption could not be fully evaluated and considered for potential application in this case because eight of the 43 systems do not have at least two years of billing data available and many systems have grown rapidly in the past 20 months.

	Based on the updated 12-month period ending August 31, 2021, I
	recommend a total of 1,863 customers and annual consumption of
	121.530 million gallons be utilized to calculate revenues.
	Consolidated and system specific billing determinant data, along with
	my recommended adjustments for the months of January 2020
	through August 2021 are shown in Junis Exhibit 2 as follows: pages
	1-6) the number of customer bills, end of period (EOP) annualization,
	and growth factors and pages 7-12) metered consumption, average
	monthly consumption, and EOP annualization.
•	WHAT ARE THE REAL FORMA REVENUES AT EVICTING

10 Q. WHAT ARE THE PRO FORMA REVENUES AT EXISTING 11 PRESENT RATES AND ONSWC'S PROPOSED RATES?

- 12 A. The pro forma service revenues for the 12-month period ending
 13 August 31, 2021, are \$966,960 at present rates, and \$1,611,098 at
 14 proposed rates. The detailed data supporting these levels of
 15 revenues are shown in **Junis Exhibit 2**, pages 13-18.
 - Paragraph 11 of the Application states that "[t]he proposed tariffs are designed to produce additional revenues on a company-wide basis for water systems of \$512,619.29, which is a 68% increase over the total revenue level generated by the rates currently in effect for ONSWC." Page four of the Joint Motion to Extend Testimony Filing Deadlines and Reschedule Expert Witness Hearing filed October 27, 2021 provides that "ONSWC further acknowledges that any rate

increase approved in this matter cannot result in more than \$512,619 in additional annual revenues, as that is the amount of increase sought in the Application, regardless of whether pro forma adjustments would justify a greater increase." Therefore, the maximum service revenue allowable in this proceeding is \$1,497,579, which is \$966,960 plus \$512,619.

<u>RATE DESIGN</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE WATER RATE DESIGN
 PROPOSED BY THE COMPANY.
- 10 A. The present rates result in 37.25% of service revenues being collected by fixed base charges and 62.75% by variable usage charges. The rates proposed by the Company would result in 37.47% of service revenues being collected by fixed base charges and 62.53% by variable usage charges.
- 15 Q. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC STAFF'S POSITION ON PURCHASED
 16 WATER RATES?
- A. For the purpose of matching the cost to the revenue and facilitating potential future filings pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-133.11,³ it is the Public Staff's position that purchased water expenses and pass

TESTIMONY OF CHARLES M. JUNIS PUBLIC STAFF – NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION DOCKET NO. W-1300, SUB 60

³ N.C.G.S. § 62-133.11.(a) states that "[t]he Commission shall permit a water or sewer public utility to adjust its rates approved pursuant to G.S. 62-133 to reflect changes in costs based solely upon changes in the rates imposed by third-party suppliers of purchased water or sewer service, including applicable taxes and fees."

through rates charged by the utility should reflect the current rates for all suppliers. Simply, the goal is for the incremental changes in revenue and expense due to volume to offset each other. The base charges and a reasonable amount of nonrevenue water, not to exceed 15%, are typically included in the cost of service to determine the uniform base charge. For providers with a uniform volumetric rate, the purchased water rate is set to the provider's rate, plus the Commission's regulatory fee of 0.13%. For providers with tiered rates, the purchased water rate is typically calculated as an average or set to the tiered rate that an overwhelming majority of the test year usage fell into, plus the Commission's regulatory fee of 0.13%. Setting the purchased water rate based on these principles accomplishes the intended matching and allows for more transparent pass-through tariff revisions when providers change rates. In addition, the failure to update the purchased water rates in the rate case could have a negative effect on customers or the Company. For these reasons, the Public Staff recommends that the Commission approve the purchased water rates as detailed in **Junis Exhibit 2**, pages 14 and 17.

Q. WHAT IS THE PUBLIC STAFF'S POSITION ON WATER RATE

21 **DESIGN?**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

A. The Public Staff agrees with the Commission that a balance should be struck between achieving revenue sufficiency and stability to

ensure quality, reliability, and long-term viability for properly operated
and well-managed utilities on the one hand, and setting fair and
reasonable rates that effectively promote efficiency and conservation
on the other hand. The Public Staff recommends an overall service
revenue ratio of 30%:70% (base charge: usage charges) for
ONSWC water customers.
On March 20, 2019, the Commission issued an Order, in Docket No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

W-100, Sub 59, that required the Public Staff, Carolina Water Service Inc. of North Carolina, and Aqua NC (collectively, the parties) to file initial comments that include "a discussion of rate design proposals that may better achieve revenue sufficiency and stability while also sending appropriate efficiency and conservation signals to consumers." Investigation of Rate Design for Major Water Utilities, Docket No. W-100, Sub 59, (N.C.U.C. March 20, 2019). The Order specifically instructed the parties to address in their initial comments (1) "specific objectives that could be achieved from various types of rate structures (for example, but without limitation, irrigation rates, seasonal rates, surcharges when supply is low or in a drought situation, increasing block rates, multiple rate schedules, etc.)"; (2) "the impact on customers' monthly charges"; and (3) "the anticipated impact on efficiency and conservation." On May 22, 2019, the parties filed their initial comments, and, on June 19, 2019, the parties filed their reply comments. The Public Staff incorporates by reference its

1	initial and reply comments into this testimony and requests the
2	Commission take judicial notice of these filings, specifically the
3	comments of the Public Staff filed on May 22, 2019, and the reply
4	comments of the Public Staff filed on June 19, 2019.
5	Presently, ONSWC predominantly collects a base charge of \$15.00
6	and usage charge of \$5.00 per 1,000 gallons. However, for certain
7	systems, the base charge is as high as \$34.14, with the usage
8	charges ranging from \$3.29 to \$5.03 per 1,000 gallons. The present
9	rates result in service revenues collected at a fixed/variable ratio of
10	37.25%:62.75%. The proposed uniform rates, which entail a \$27.00
11	base charge and \$8.29 per 1,000 gallons usage charge, would result
12	in a fixed/variable ratio of 37.47%: 62.53%.
13	A lower base charge reduces the cost burden on customers for
14	access to utility service before they use any service. It allows
15	customers to have greater control over their total bills by changing
16	their usage through improved efficiency and conservation.
17	The base facilities charge is a frequently discussed and highly
18	controversial issue in electric, natural gas, water, and wastewater
19	rate cases. There are advantages and disadvantages to the different
20	base to usage ratios for the Company, rate groups, and individual
21	customers. In the past decade, electric and natural gas residential
22	base facilities charges have remained in the \$10 to \$15 range, while

1		water base facilities charges have continued to increase and
2		wastewater rates have historically been a flat rate or a very high
3		percentage of the average residential bill.
4		In the 2021 North Carolina Water & Wastewater Rates Report, EFC
5		and NCLM conducted a survey with representation from 478 of 512
6		rate-charging water and wastewater utilities in North Carolina. ⁴ The
7		median monthly base charge amount was \$16.78 for water utilities
8		and \$18.50 for wastewater utilities. ⁵ In addition, the median uniform
9		volumetric rate per 1,000 gallons was \$4.99 for water and \$6.44 for
0		wastewater services.6
1		The Public Staff recommended rate design fixed/variable ratio of
2		30%:70% has been implemented in calculating the Public Staff's
3		recommended rates.
4	Q.	WHAT ARE THE PUBLIC STAFF RECOMMENDED RATES?
5	A.	The service revenue requirement of \$1,310,601 is reflected in Public
6		Staff witness Morgan's testimony. The rates reflected in Junis

1

1

1

1

1

⁴ This report is just one resource in a series on North Carolina water and wastewater rates, funded by the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Division of Water Infrastructure (DWI) and compiled by the North Carolina League of Municipalities (NCLM) and the Environmental Finance Center (EFC) at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality's Division of Water Infrastructure et al., 2021 North Carolina Water & Wastewater Rates

Report,

https://efc.sog.unc.edu/wpcontent/uploads/sites/1172/2020/04/NC WaterWastewaterRatesReport 2021.pdf.

⁵ Id. at 4.

⁶ Id. at 5.

- 1 Exhibit 2, pages 13-18, under PS Recommended Rates will achieve
- 2 these revenue levels.
- 3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 1 Page 1 of 1

Outside City					tiered rates (\$/cf)										14601011		
Bimonthly					tier 1	\$0.03264	tier 2	\$0.04848	tier 3	\$0.05397	tier 4	\$0.03281					
					usage w	usage w	0-600		601-1800		1801-40000		40000+				
month	days	ba	se charge	usage (cf)	growth ¹	growth (gal)	(cf)	tier 1 cost	(cf)	tier 2 cost	(cf)	tier 3 cost	(cf)	tier 4 cost	usage charges	t	total cost
Oct-20	63	\$	1,371.47	74,255	91,928	687,619	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	38,200	\$2,061.65	51,928	\$1,703.76	\$3,843.17	\$	5,214.64
Dec-20	69	\$	1,502.08	61,560	76,211	570,060	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	38,200	\$2,061.65	36,211	\$1,188.08	\$3,327.50	\$	4,829.58
Feb-21	53	\$	1,153.77	40,519	50,163	375,216	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	38,200	\$2,061.65	10,163	\$333.45	\$2,472.86	\$	3,626.64
Mar-21	32	\$	696.62	32,565	40,315	301,560	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	38,200	\$2,061.65	315	\$10.34	\$2,149.75	\$	2,846.37
May-21	43	\$	936.08	5,626	6,965	52,098	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	5,165	\$278.76	-	\$0.00	\$356.52	\$	1,292.60
Jun-21	46	\$	1,001.39	114,368	141,588	1,059,075	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	38,200	\$2,061.65	101,588	\$3,333.10	\$5,472.52	\$	6,473.91
Aug-21	59	\$	1,284.39	136,685	169,216	1,265,736	600	\$19.58	1,200	\$58.18	38,200	\$2,061.65	129,216	\$4,239.58	\$6,378.99	\$	7,663.38
total	365	\$	7,945.81	465,578	576,386	4,311,364	4,200		8,400		234,365		329,421		\$ 24,001.30	\$	31,947.11
\$ 8.83			8.83	monthly p	er customer										\$ 4.16	per	ccf
		\$ 5.56 per							per	kgal							

¹ The growth factor of 23.80% specific to the Rocklyn water system was applied to the updated purchased water quantities from the City of Winston-Salem for the 12-month period ending August 31, 2021.

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 1 of 18

		Asheboro County Club W-1300, Sub 26	Carriage Way W-1300, Sub 26	Kensington Place W-1300, Sub 26	Rachel's Landing W-1300, Sub 26	Spencer's Grove W-1300, Sub 26	Weatherstone Olde Forest W-1300, Sub 26	Avalyn W-1300, Sub 35	Shiloh W-1300, Sub 38
Customer Bills	Total	PA	PC	PK	PR	PS	PW	AV	SH
Jan-20	1,180		20	24	20	23	67	12	13
Feb-20	1,288	10	20	24	20	22	68	19	16
Mar-20	1,312		21	24	20	23	67	20	16
Apr-20	1,368		20	24	20	23	66	20	17
May-20	1,416	10	21	24	20	22	66	21	16
Jun-20	1,457	10	20	24	20	22	66	23	17
Jul-20	1,494	10	20	24	20	22	67	22	17
Aug-20	1,569	10	20	24	20	21	66	27	16
Sep-20	1,598	10	19	25	20	20	66	27	17
Oct-20	1,642	10	19	24	20	20	65	32	17
Nov-20	1,680	10	19	24	20	20	65	33	17
Dec-20	1,688	11	19	24	20	19	65	29	18
Jan-21	1,711	10	20	25	20	23	66	28	18
Feb-21	1,750	10	20	25	20	23	66	29	20
Mar-21	1,765	10	20	25	20	23	66	27	21
Apr-21	1,804	10	21	25	20	23	67	28	20
May-21	1,832	10	22	25	20	23	66	29	25
Jun-21	1,847	10	22	25	20	23	66	29	25
Jul-21	1,846	11	21	24	20	21	64	29	27
Aug-21	1,863	10	21	24	20	21	65	30	27
TY Ending Dec-20	17,692	121	238	289	240	257	794	285	197
Updated TY Ending									
Aug-21	21,026	122	243	295	240	259	787	350	252
EOP Annualization	22,356	120	252	288	240	252	780	360	324
Growth Factor from TY Growth Factor from	1.2636	0.9917	1.0588	0.9965	1.0000	0.9805	0.9824	1.2632	1.6447
UTY	1.0633	0.9836	1.0370	0.9763	1.0000	0.9730	0.9911	1.0286	1.2857

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 2 of 18

		Meadow Lake				Olde Mill		Blaney
		(Donnybrook)	Bailey Farms	Blawell	Senter Road	Trace	Leone Landing	Farms
		, ,	**W-1300 Sub 37;	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub	**W-1300, Sub 4;	
		W-1300, Sub 32	W-1300 Sub 73**	31	40	34	W-1300 Sub 75**	W-1300, Sub 7
Customer Bills	Total	ML	BAF	BL	SEN	OMT	LL	BF
Jan-20	1,180	3	22	39	47	91	27	33
Feb-20	1,288	3	22	39	48	97	26	33
Mar-20	1,312	3	22	38	49	104	25	33
Apr-20	1,368	4	22	38	47	114	26	33
May-20	1,416	5	22	39	60	113	27	33
Jun-20	1,457	6	28	39	58	121	28	33
Jul-20	1,494	4	31	39	73	126	27	33
Aug-20	1,569	6	34	39	74	137	27	33
Sep-20	1,598	8	38	39	70	137	28	33
Oct-20	1,642	9	50	40	73	140	29	34
Nov-20	1,680	11	52	41	71	142	29	33
Dec-20	1,688	12	53	40	73	140	28	33
Jan-21	1,711	12	53	41	72	146	28	33
Feb-21	1,750	18	54	40	72	150	29	33
Mar-21	1,765	20	55	40	73	150	28	33
Apr-21	1,804	20	61	39	73	151	29	33
May-21	1,832	24	59	40	76	157	29	33
Jun-21	1,847	23	73	39	72	156	28	33
Jul-21	1,846	24	73	39	71	155	29	33
Aug-21	1,863	25	72	41	71	159	28	34
TY Ending Dec-20	17,692	74	396	470	743	1,462	327	397
Updated TY Ending								
Aug-21	21,026	206	693	479	867	1,783	342	398
EOP Annualization	22,356	300	864	492	852	1,908	336	408
Growth Factor from TY	1.2636	4.0541	2.1818	1.0468	1.1467	1.3051	1.0275	1.0277
Growth Factor from	1.2030	4.0341	2.1010	1.0400	1.1407	1.5051	1.0275	1.0211
UTY	1.0633	1.4563	1.2468	1.0271	0.9827	1.0701	0.9825	1.0251

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 3 of 18

		Blaney	Kanata Mills		Ethans			Twin Lake
		South	(Camp Kanata)	Mendenhall	Meadows	Bella Vista	Yates Mills	Farms
		W-1300, Sub		W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub		W-1300, Sub
O (D''	.	25	W-1300, Sub 27	44	22	14	W-1300, Sub 7	10
Customer Bills	Total	BS	KM	MH	EM	BV	YME	TLF
Jan-20	1,180	52	50	16	20	41	57	84
Feb-20	1,288	52	63	15	20	43	57	82
Mar-20	1,312	53	64	26	21	44	57	81
Apr-20	1,368	52	78	27	21	45	57	81
May-20	1,416	53	78	35	20	44	57	81
Jun-20	1,457	52	78	38	20	47	57	82
Jul-20	1,494	53	80	39	21	48	57	82
Aug-20	1,569	53	83	43	20	48	57	82
Sep-20	1,598	53	82	49	20	49	58	83
Oct-20	1,642	53	79	48	20	48	57	82
Nov-20	1,680	52	93	50	20	48	57	83
Dec-20	1,688	52	95	50	20	50	57	81
Jan-21	1,711	52	98	50	20	51	57	81
Feb-21	1,750	52	110	55	20	50	57	81
Mar-21	1,765	52	107	53	20	51	57	82
Apr-21	1,804	52	132	54	20	50	57	81
May-21	1,832	52	132	51	20	50	57	81
Jun-21	1,847	52	130	51	20	51	59	81
Jul-21	1,846	52	131	50	20	55	57	81
Aug-21	1,863	53	133	50	21	58	58	82
TY Ending Dec-20	17,692	630	923	436	243	555	685	984
Updated TY Ending								
Aug-21	21,026	627	1,322	611	241	611	688	979
EOP Annualization	22,356	636	1,596	600	252	696	696	984
Growth Factor from TY Growth Factor from	1.2636	1.0095	1.7291	1.3761	1.0370	1.2541	1.0161	1.0000
UTY	1.0633	1.0144	1.2073	0.9820	1.0456	1.1391	1.0116	1.0051

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 4 of 18

		Vernon Place	Jackson Manor W-1300, Sub	Kingston Manor W-1300, Sub	Autumn Ridge W-1300, Sub	Knights Landing W-1300, Sub	Stonewood Manor W-1300, Sub	Mornington **W-1300, Sub 21;
	,	W-1300 Sub 17	18	13	48	16	45	W-1300, Sub 68**
Customer Bills	Total	VP	JM	KIN	AR	KL	SM	MOR
Jan-20	1,180	22	63	37	0	24	24	25
Feb-20	1,288	22	61	38	0	24	28	29
Mar-20	1,312	22	61	37	0	24	30	29
Apr-20	1,368	22	64	37	16	24	30	29
May-20	1,416	22	63	37	16	24	40	28
Jun-20	1,457	22	64	38	18	24	41	29
Jul-20	1,494	22	63	37	16	26	45	29
Aug-20	1,569	22	66	38	22	29	47	29
Sep-20	1,598	22	65	37	18	30	59	35
Oct-20	1,642	22	67	37	13	30	56	36
Nov-20	1,680	22	66	37	13	30	54	43
Dec-20	1,688	22	65	37	14	31	57	41
Jan-21	1,711	22	66	37	19	31	54	42
Feb-21	1,750	22	67	37	19	32	55	41
Mar-21	1,765	22	68	37	20	33	55	45
Apr-21	1,804	22	68	37	19	33	58	41
May-21	1,832	22	67	37	19	33	53	50
Jun-21	1,847	22	67	37	19	34	52	50
Jul-21	1,846	22	68	38	19	35	55	47
Aug-21	1,863	22	68	37	19	36	53	47
TY Ending Dec-20	17,692	264	768	447	146	320	511	382
Updated TY Ending								
Aug-21	21,026	264	802	445	211	388	661	518
EOP Annualization	22,356	264	816	444	228	432	636	564
Growth Factor from TY Growth Factor from	1.2636	1.0000	1.0625	0.9933	1.5616	1.3500	1.2446	1.4764
UTY	1.0633	1.0000	1.0175	0.9978	1.0806	1.1134	0.9622	1.0888

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 5 of 18

			Baileys at	Bingham	Carriage	Dogwood		Ashcroft	
		Rocklyn	Glenmoor	Woods MHP	Cove	Acres	Camberly	Park	Yardley
		W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub		W-1300, Sub				
		24	23	W-1300, Sub 46	53	50	43	39	54
Customer Bills	Total	ROC	BAG	BW	CC	DA	CE	AP	YAR
Jan-20	1,180	30	23	0	0	29	40	73	15
Feb-20	1,288	31	23	73	0	30	41	69	15
Mar-20	1,312	32	23	73	0	29	42	68	16
Apr-20	1,368	34	23	71	0	29	41	69	17
May-20	1,416	37	23	68	0	30	44	69	20
Jun-20	1,457	45	24	67	0	29	44	69	22
Jul-20	1,494	45	23	67	0	29	44	69	25
Aug-20	1,569	46	23	67	0	29	50	69	25
Sep-20	1,598	47	23	68	0	30	50	69	25
Oct-20	1,642	47	24	68	0	29	57	70	25
Nov-20	1,680	50	23	67	0	29	56	69	25
Dec-20	1,688	53	23	67	0	29	56	69	23
Jan-21	1,711	58	23	67	0	29	57	69	22
Feb-21	1,750	63	23	67	0	29	56	69	22
Mar-21	1,765	62	23	66	0	29	56	69	22
Apr-21	1,804	63	23	65	0	28	57	69	22
May-21	1,832	65	23	65	0	28	57	69	22
Jun-21	1,847	72	23	65	0	29	58	70	22
Jul-21	1,846	72	23	65	0	29	58	70	22
Aug-21	1,863	75	23	65	0	29	61	70	22
TY Ending Dec-20	17,692	497	278	756	0	351	565	832	253
Updated TY Ending									
Aug-21	21,026	727	277	795	0	347	679	832	274
EOP Annualization	22,356	900	276	780	0	348	732	840	264
Growth Factor from TY Growth Factor from	1.2636	1.8109	0.9928	1.0317		0.9915	1.2956	1.0096	1.0435
UTY	1.0633	1.2380	0.9964	0.9811		1.0029	1.0781	1.0096	0.9635

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 6 of 18

			Brook Meadow ****W-1300, Sub 58****		Prescott ****W-1300, Sub 57****	Sterling Crest II ***W-1300, Sub 49***	Bella Terra ***W-1300, Sub 67***	Fish Hawk Ranch ****W-1300, Sub 63****	Thatcher Woods ****W-1300, Sub 59****
	Customer Bills	Total	BM		PT	SC2	BT	FHR	TW
_	Jan-20	1,180	4	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Feb-20	1,288	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Mar-20	1,312	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Apr-20	1,368	5	12	0	0	0	0	0
	May-20	1,416	6	12	0	6	0	4	0
	Jun-20	1,457	5	12	0	6	0	9	0
	Jul-20	1,494	6	13	1	6	0	13	0
	Aug-20	1,569	8	19	1	10	0	29	0
	Sep-20	1,598	8	19	1	11	0	30	0
	Oct-20	1,642	10	19	12	11	0	28	12
	Nov-20	1,680	10	19	15	11	0	32	19
	Dec-20	1,688	12	19	14	11	1	36	19
	Jan-21	1,711	13	19	14	10	2	33	20
	Feb-21	1,750	12	19	16	11	2	32	22
	Mar-21	1,765	13	19	17	10	3	35	28
	Apr-21	1,804	13	19	20	10	4	37	30
	May-21	1,832	14	20	21	11	4	38	33
	Jun-21	1,847	13	20	21	12	4	37	32
	Jul-21	1,846	13	20	22	11	4	36	30
	Aug-21	1,863	14	19	22	10	4	35	29
	TY Ending Dec-20	17,692	84	144	44	72	1	181	50
	Updated TY Ending								
	Aug-21	21,026	145	231	195	129	28	409	274
	EOP Annualization	22,356	168	228	264	120	48	420	348
	Growth Factor from TY Growth Factor from	1.2636	2.0000	1.5833	6.0000	1.6667	48.0000	2.3204	6.9600
	UTY	1.0633	1.1586	0.9870	1.3538	0.9302	1.7143	1.0269	1.2701

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 7 of 18

Gallons Sold Total PA PC PK PR PS PW Olde Forest W-1300, Sub W-1300, Sub W-1300, Sub W-1300, Sub W-1300, Sub B26 W-1300, Sub W-1300, Sub W-1300, Sub B26 W-1300, Sub W-	's Spencer's Weatherstone	Spencer's	Rachel's	Kensington	Carriage	Asheboro		
Gallons Sold Total PA PC PK PR PS PW W-1300, Sub 26 W-1300, Sub 35 W-1300, Sub 35 W-1300, Sub 26 W-1300, Sub 35 W-1300, Sub 35 W-1300, Sub 26 M-140 W-1300, Sub 26 PR W-1300, Sub 26 M-140 W-1300, Sub 26 M-140	·	•	Landing		Way	County Club		
Gallons Sold Total PA PC PK PR PS W-1300, Sub 26 35 38 Jan-20 3,920,872 29,540 65,490 104,330 74,270 77,390 294,160 6,680 18 Feb-20 4,200,066 37,960 75,260 121,930 83,980 78,170 321,618 10,860 3 Mar-20 3,994,901 37,810 84,842 116,920 75,430 78,130 268,271 23,896 24 Apr-20 4,970,738 30,370 67,278 109,480 74,230 77,060 270,028 19,836 29 May-20 6,951,461 54,500 63,088 102,550 77,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 36 Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 56 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td>W-1300, Sub</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	•	W-1300, Sub	•		•	•		
Jan-20 3,920,872 29,540 65,490 104,330 74,270 77,390 294,160 6,680 18 Feb-20 4,200,066 37,960 75,260 121,930 83,980 78,170 321,618 10,860 33 Mar-20 3,994,901 37,810 84,842 116,920 75,430 78,130 268,271 23,896 24 Apr-20 4,970,738 30,370 67,278 109,480 74,230 77,060 270,028 19,836 29 May-20 6,951,461 54,500 63,088 102,550 77,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 36 Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 56 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 66 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141								
Feb-20 4,200,066 37,960 75,260 121,930 83,980 78,170 321,618 10,860 33 Mar-20 3,994,901 37,810 84,842 116,920 75,430 78,130 268,271 23,896 24 Apr-20 4,970,738 30,370 67,278 109,480 74,230 77,060 270,028 19,836 29 May-20 6,951,461 54,500 63,088 102,550 77,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 36 Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 56 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 66 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 76 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316	PS PW AV SH	PS	PR	PK	PC	PA	Total	Gallons Sold
Mar-20 3,994,901 37,810 84,842 116,920 75,430 78,130 268,271 23,896 24 Apr-20 4,970,738 30,370 67,278 109,480 74,230 77,060 270,028 19,836 29 May-20 6,951,461 54,500 63,088 102,550 77,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 30 Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 50 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 60 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 76 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228	,270 77,390 294,160 6,680 18,74	77,390	74,270	104,330	65,490	29,540	3,920,872	Jan-20
Apr-20 4,970,738 30,370 67,278 109,480 74,230 77,060 270,028 19,836 29 May-20 6,951,461 54,500 63,088 102,550 77,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 36 Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 56 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 66 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 76 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 60	,980 78,170 321,618 10,860 37,67	78,170	83,980	121,930	75,260	37,960	4,200,066	Feb-20
May-20 6,951,461 54,500 63,088 102,550 77,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 36 Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 56 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 66 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 76 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 60	,430 78,130 268,271 23,896 24,55	78,130	75,430	116,920	84,842	37,810	3,994,901	Mar-20
Jun-20 8,565,852 51,680 84,122 112,860 86,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 50 Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 60 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 73 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 60	,230 77,060 270,028 19,836 29,86	77,060	74,230	109,480	67,278	30,370	4,970,738	Apr-20
Jul-20 8,990,597 54,490 78,790 122,220 98,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 66 Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 76 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 60	,500 84,720 316,404 57,875 36,58	84,720	77,500	102,550	63,088	54,500	6,951,461	May-20
Aug-20 10,417,583 73,400 91,730 138,100 116,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 76 Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 60	,040 86,690 310,420 102,968 50,48	86,690	86,040	112,860	84,122	51,680	8,565,852	Jun-20
Sep-20 9,759,180 57,760 90,900 126,412 103,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73,733 Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63,733 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 60	,630 83,076 311,916 157,520 60,30	83,076	98,630	122,220	78,790	54,490	8,990,597	Jul-20
Oct-20 8,476,262 81,994 122,007 169,472 119,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 65 Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 66	,580 85,020 326,876 141,612 78,85	85,020	116,580	138,100	91,730	73,400	10,417,583	Aug-20
Nov-20 7,470,652 104,356 87,681 151,946 97,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 66	,210 78,940 349,316 224,410 73,64	78,940	103,210	126,412	90,900	57,760	9,759,180	Sep-20
	,632 114,125 295,228 185,966 63,58	114,125	119,632	169,472	122,007	81,994	8,476,262	Oct-20
Dec-20 6,302,870 29,169 76,462 100,308 66,229 65,276 285,736 91.980 40	,316 76,799 322,388 83,573 66,05	76,799	97,316	151,946	87,681	104,356	7,470,652	Nov-20
	,229 65,276 285,736 91,980 46,92	65,276	66,229	100,308	76,462	29,169	6,302,870	Dec-20
Jan-21 6,841,133 39,705 89,662 132,740 88,611 83,198 287,980 84,600 6	,611 83,198 287,980 84,600 61,31	83,198	88,611	132,740	89,662	39,705	6,841,133	Jan-21
Feb-21 6,171,899 31,110 79,458 132,006 90,246 81,772 315,656 96,950 5	,246 81,772 315,656 96,950 51,70	81,772	90,246	132,006	79,458	31,110	6,171,899	Feb-21
Mar-21 15,027,794 35,020 63,848 83,770 55,216 55,680 324,632 92,950 6	,216 55,680 324,632 92,950 61,70	55,680	55,216	83,770	63,848	35,020	15,027,794	Mar-21
Apr-21 6,779,685 31,270 93,170 109,800 76,350 66,500 233,376 81,470 64	,350 66,500 233,376 81,470 64,94	66,500	76,350	109,800	93,170	31,270	6,779,685	Apr-21
May-21 10,920,813 38,490 112,910 144,140 96,170 94,020 293,964 147,930 96	,170 94,020 293,964 147,930 96,96	94,020	96,170	144,140	112,910	38,490	10,920,813	May-21
Jun-21 11,746,865 68,240 92,013 127,537 106,634 82,322 313,412 128,190 96	,634 82,322 313,412 128,190 96,50	82,322	106,634	127,537	92,013	68,240	11,746,865	Jun-21
Jul-21 11,949,462 60,735 85,787 113,943 91,636 110,158 386,716 126,210 100	,636 110,158 386,716 126,210 100,68	110,158	91,636	113,943	85,787	60,735	11,949,462	Jul-21
Aug-21 12,334,703 59,760 97,740 128,533 124,810 79,510 291,720 160,270 100	,810 79,510 291,720 160,270 100,25	79,510	124,810	128,533	97,740	59,760	12,334,703	Aug-21
TY Ending Dec-20 84,021,034 643,029 987,650 1,476,528 1,073,047 985,396 3,672,361 1,107,176 58	,047 985,396 3,672,361 1,107,176 587,25	985,396	1,073,047	1,476,528	987,650	643,029	84,021,034	TY Ending Dec-20
TY Ave Usage Per Bill 4,749 5,314 4,150 5,109 4,471 3,834 4,625 3,885	,471 3,834 4,625 3,885 2,98	3,834	4,471	5,109	4,150	5,314	4,749	TY Ave Usage Per Bill
Updated TY Ending								Updated TY Ending
Aug-21 113,781,318 637,609 1,091,638 1,520,607 1,116,060 988,300 3,700,124 1,504,499 88	,060 988,300 3,700,124 1,504,499 884,29	988,300	1,116,060	1,520,607	1,091,638	637,609	113,781,318	Aug-21
UTY Ave Usage Per								UTY Ave Usage Per
Bill 5,411 5,226 4,492 5,155 4,650 3,816 4,702 4,299	,650 3,816 4,702 4,299 3,50	3,816	4,650	5,155	4,492	5,226	5,411	Bill
	,060 961,589 3,667,213 1,547,485 1,136,94	961,589	1,116,060	1,484,525		627,156	121,530,302	EOP Annualization
Consumption Factor								Consumption Factor
TY to UTY 1.1395 0.9834 1.0825 1.0089 1.0401 0.9952 1.0165 1.1065 1.	0401 0.9952 1.0165 1.1065 1.177	0.9952	1.0401	1.0089	1.0825	0.9834	1.1395	TY to UTY

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 8 of 18

		Meadow Lake				Olde Mill		Blaney
		(Donnybrook)	Bailey Farms	Blawell	Senter Road	Trace	Leone Landing	Farms
		,	**W-1300 Sub 37;	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub	**W-1300, Sub 4;	
		W-1300, Sub 32	W-1300 Sub 73**	31	40	34	W-1300 Sub 75**	W-1300, Sub 7
Gallons Sold	Total	ML	BAF	BL	SEN	OMT	LL	BF
Jan-20	3,920,872	2,850	73,950	125,581	116,443	295,820	70,320	132,970
Feb-20	4,200,066	80	79,540	137,933	107,840	294,210	81,034	130,310
Mar-20	3,994,901	160	71,100	152,135	104,120	298,369	80,070	105,120
Apr-20	4,970,738	20,280	84,090	142,561	123,670	410,051	130,110	140,045
May-20	6,951,461	2,800	99,470	169,883	185,942	595,554	195,230	178,285
Jun-20	8,565,852	5,172	97,370	154,133	288,060	732,470	203,584	155,710
Jul-20	8,990,597	10,018	103,010	157,904	426,290	723,840	186,820	136,500
Aug-20	10,417,583	39,190	99,760	117,007	380,872	734,179	184,600	138,970
Sep-20	9,759,180	70,270	108,806	176,330	357,008	691,578	184,570	16,400
Oct-20	8,476,262	68,850	139,324	167,072	319,919	602,568	144,746	130,360
Nov-20	7,470,652	24,320	118,890	98,873	304,439	544,881	154,684	118,910
Dec-20	6,302,870	18,870	124,580	105,085	270,380	456,450	115,220	113,140
Jan-21	6,841,133	32,480	141,390	134,196	195,179	858,490	158,180	151,060
Feb-21	6,171,899	42,260	165,579	177,518	306,230	505,380	94,780	131,580
Mar-21	15,027,794	52,540	129,021	103,636	248,820	613,989	116,160	137,650
Apr-21	6,779,685	64,360	211,090	164,110	276,650	701,451	131,340	164,610
May-21	10,920,813	96,560	356,730	176,250	384,724	1,067,050	195,040	169,910
Jun-21	11,746,865	133,540	319,910	172,690	426,976	1,034,270	219,710	171,090
Jul-21	11,949,462	162,060	319,520	196,632	405,579	1,043,990	217,030	193,388
Aug-21	12,334,703	131,770	397,723	140,847	421,810	1,024,920	185,240	138,130
TY Ending Dec-20	84,021,034	262,860	1,199,890	1,704,497	2,984,983	6,379,970	1,730,988	1,496,720
TY Ave Usage Per Bill	4,749	3,552	3,030	3,627	4,017	4,364	5,294	3,770
Updated TY Ending								
Aug-21	113,781,318	897,880	2,532,563	1,813,239	3,917,714	9,145,017	1,916,700	1,636,228
UTY Ave Usage Per								
Bill	5,411	4,359	3,654	3,785	4,519	5,129	5,604	4,111
EOP Annualization	121,530,302	1,307,592	3,157,481	1,862,450	3,849,933	9,786,143	1,883,074	1,677,339
Consumption Factor								
TY to UTY	1.1395	1.2270	1.2061	1.0438	1.1248	1.1753	1.0587	1.0905

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 9 of 18

	Blaney	Kanata Mills		Ethans			Twin Lake
	South	(Camp Kanata)	Mendenhall	Meadows	Bella Vista	Yates Mills	Farms
	W-1300, Sub	,	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub	W-1300, Sub		W-1300, Sub
	25	W-1300, Sub 27	44	22	14	W-1300, Sub 7	10
Gallons Sold Total	BS	KM	MH	EM	BV	YME	TLF
Jan-20 3,920,872	180,914	129,800	28,569	83,980	173,270	270,510	290,065
Feb-20 4,200,066	182,980	149,648	25,030	89,760	187,325	282,230	293,382
Mar-20 3,994,901	174,726	142,871	42,370	89,810	182,065	241,870	264,833
Apr-20 4,970,738	229,360	203,002	36,252	121,880	373,123	312,168	338,872
May-20 6,951,461	287,760	263,742	44,700	124,910	675,020	373,492	387,175
Jun-20 8,565,852	316,070	465,377	59,490	152,000	761,140	390,060	433,270
Jul-20 8,990,597	297,970	481,975	116,150	138,690	872,805	388,080	354,360
Aug-20 10,417,583	269,530	699,033	169,370	210,840	1,059,240	351,540	428,548
Sep-20 9,759,180	276,382	497,625	217,960	177,500	1,037,225	323,730	453,382
Oct-20 8,476,262	232,156	295,820	129,320	116,930	824,342	274,710	324,591
Nov-20 7,470,652	206,181	309,375	88,620	89,080	631,359	261,100	275,313
Dec-20 6,302,870	200,180	314,748	129,240	97,070	573,668	203,552	248,146
Jan-21 6,841,133	291,866	268,680	136,940	86,090	300,443	393,698	415,280
Feb-21 6,171,899	153,024	300,323	168,380	100,330	322,044	173,700	220,688
Mar-21 15,027,794	213,910	284,827	163,120	87,666	9,263,234	274,320	274,072
Apr-21 6,779,685	258,920	375,459	164,370	88,200	249,944	269,630	342,377
May-21 10,920,813	234,690	660,527	278,310	154,752	1,165,846	322,730	338,741
Jun-21 11,746,865	292,310	628,793	375,500	160,460	1,139,540	332,460	421,846
Jul-21 11,949,462	308,510	907,888	348,620	167,566	1,228,377	295,490	356,327
Aug-21 12,334,703	235,380	678,592	390,500	212,848	1,435,592	278,030	313,696
TY Ending Dec-20 84,021,034	2,854,209	3,953,016	1,087,071	1,492,450	7,350,582	3,673,042	4,091,937
TY Ave Usage Per Bill 4,749	4,530	4,283	2,493	6,142	13,244	5,362	4,158
Updated TY Ending							
Aug-21 113,781,318	2,903,509	5,522,657	2,590,880	1,538,492	18,171,614	3,403,150	3,984,459
UTY Ave Usage Per							
Bill 5,411	4,631	4,178	4,240	6,384	29,741	4,946	4,070
EOP Annualization 121,530,302	2,945,186	6,667,292	2,544,236	1,608,714	20,699,580	3,442,722	4,004,809
Consumption Factor							
TY to UTY 1.1395	1.0221	0.9754	1.7007	1.0394	2.2456	0.9225	0.9787

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 10 of 18

		Vernon Place	Jackson Manor	Kingston Manor	Autumn Ridge	Knights Landing	Stonewood Manor	Mornington
		riace			-	•		Mornington **W-1300, Sub 21;
		W-1300 Sub 17	W-1300, Sub 18	W-1300, Sub 13	W-1300, Sub 48	W-1300, Sub 16	W-1300, Sub 45	W-1300, Sub 21; W-1300, Sub 68**
Gallons Sold	Total	VP	JM	KIN	AR	KL	SM	MOR
Jan-20	3,920,872	64,730	198,740	161,880	0	89,960	58,728	73,137
Feb-20	4,200,066	65,660	219,940	162,020	0	103,690	48,960	72,020
Mar-20	3,994,901	67,240	192,664	154,160	0	77,550	58,860	74,090
Apr-20	4,970,738	107,720	278,410	201,157	0	82,780	64,567	106,030
May-20	6,951,461	154,390	323,215	299,660	0	136,950	109,704	124,730
Jun-20	8,565,852	134,220	360,571	427,970	54,370	422,901	106,228	162,810
Jul-20	8,990,597	130,630	465,200	435,580	22,700	402,196	154,453	169,910
Aug-20	10,417,583	197,140	573,100	561,910	63,930	427,426	145,220	169,690
Sep-20	9,759,180	133,980	458,118	509,740	129,460	367,304	134,086	138,620
Oct-20	8,476,262	82,410	300,944	370,150	11,824	298,228	150,232	132,880
Nov-20	7,470,652	73,880	233,918	303,190	126,607	257,077	263,539	107,816
Dec-20	6,302,870	75,880	282,120	210,400	30,245	143,068	122,597	94,914
Jan-21	6,841,133	74,560	257,568	182,380	75,921	76,196	129,640	110,486
Feb-21	6,171,899	73,610	278,373	225,841	32,038	113,160	191,120	101,794
Mar-21	15,027,794	61,530	239,191	184,639	19,129	70,690	165,477	111,800
Apr-21	6,779,685	63,790	246,593	192,250	72,895	120,977	258,773	157,070
May-21	10,920,813	115,490	396,700	606,980	54,552	199,878	382,340	220,428
Jun-21	11,746,865	94,430	333,500	519,420	48,928	406,622	336,850	237,732
Jul-21	11,949,462	77,630	315,990	432,720	49,403	418,880	305,190	185,970
Aug-21	12,334,703	104,009	423,820	629,070	52,430	441,996	348,502	190,610
TY Ending Dec-20	84,021,034	1,287,880	3,886,940	3,797,817	439,136	2,809,130	1,417,174	1,426,647
TY Ave Usage Per Bill	4,749	4,878	5,061	8,496	3,008	8,779	2,773	3,735
Updated TY Ending								
Aug-21	113,781,318	1,031,199	3,766,835	4,366,780	703,432	2,914,076	2,788,346	1,790,120
UTY Ave Usage Per								
Bill	5,411	3,906	4,697	9,813	3,334	7,511	4,218	3,456
EOP Annualization	121,530,302	1,031,199	3,832,590	4,356,967	760,107	3,244,538	2,682,887	1,949,088
Consumption Factor								
TY to UTY	1.1395	0.8007	0.9280	1.1550	1.1084	0.8556	1.5210	0.9253

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 11 of 18

		Rocklyn W-1300, Sub	Baileys at Glenmoor W-1300, Sub	Bingham Woods MHP	Carriage Cove W-1300, Sub	Dogwood Acres W-1300, Sub	Camberly W-1300, Sub	Ashcroft Park W-1300, Sub	Yardley W-1300, Sub
		24	23	W-1300, Sub 46	53	50	43	39	54
Gallons Sold	Total	ROC	BAG	BW	CC	DA	CE	AP	YAR
Jan-20	3,920,872	68,625	78,960	0	0	69,670	69,600	313,219	27,921
Feb-20	4,200,066	76,350	80,120	0	0	64,320	91,010	373,030	31,196
Mar-20	3,994,901	77,400	84,460	0	0	58,414	85,000	357,740	43,035
Apr-20	4,970,738	88,820	171,930	0	0	57,777	84,070	348,267	30,880
May-20	6,951,461	132,680	182,170	288,106	0	62,760	187,830	472,083	41,140
Jun-20	8,565,852	203,055	274,353	262,594	0	75,192	201,109	574,580	60,370
Jul-20	8,990,597	222,600	230,260	262,955	0	74,080	290,888	541,820	53,810
Aug-20	10,417,583	257,239	356,530	232,994	0	104,750	357,825	727,660	97,870
Sep-20	9,759,180	237,800	219,650	289,670	0	89,140	471,777	531,406	158,430
Oct-20	8,476,262	240,356	146,330	268,810	0	95,438	285,029	518,992	131,290
Nov-20	7,470,652	246,375	157,610	234,854	0	79,168	276,296	539,774	64,916
Dec-20	6,302,870	205,721	132,320	288,976	0	68,399	214,480	368,080	79,850
Jan-21	6,841,133	169,904	99,070	286,772	0	70,233	226,940	319,530	76,490
Feb-21	6,171,899	177,088	110,880	202,548	0	80,941	177,940	371,685	81,410
Mar-21	15,027,794	244,866	92,100	194,810	0	73,152	182,310	329,290	82,200
Apr-21	6,779,685	220,772	99,000	241,410	0	54,710	166,640	331,492	67,430
May-21	10,920,813	371,089	235,360	258,020	0	56,180	257,140	361,002	115,470
Jun-21	11,746,865	443,791	245,960	253,530	0	77,896	373,350	517,150	150,610
Jul-21	11,949,462	490,869	220,460	215,901	0	80,950	373,584	535,120	102,454
Aug-21	12,334,703	511,347	332,650	164,301	0	59,920	516,911	417,070	120,286
TY Ending Dec-20	84,021,034	2,057,021	2,114,693	2,128,959	0	899,108	2,614,914	5,666,651	820,708
TY Ave Usage Per Bill	4,749	4,139	7,607	2,816	0	2,562	4,628	6,811	3,244
Updated TY Ending									
Aug-21	113,781,318	3,559,978	2,091,390	2,899,602	0	886,127	3,522,397	5,140,591	1,230,836
UTY Ave Usage Per									
Bill	5,411	4,897	7,550	3,647	0	2,554	5,188	6,179	4,492
EOP Annualization	121,530,302	4,407,125	2,083,840	2,844,893	0	888,681	3,797,341	5,190,020	1,185,915
Consumption Factor									
TY to UTY	1.1395	1.1831	0.9926	1.2952		0.9969	1.1209	0.9072	1.3848

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 12 of 18

		Brook Meadow ****W-1300, Sub 58****	Arlington Manor ****W-1300, Sub 61****	Prescott ****W-1300, Sub 57****	Sterling Crest II ***W-1300, Sub 49***	Bella Terra ***W-1300, Sub 67***	Sub 63****	Thatcher Woods ****W-1300, Sub 59****
Gallons Sold		BM	AM	PT	SC2	BT	FHR	TW
Jan-20	3,920,872	60	0	_		_		
Feb-20	4,200,066	3,000	0			_		0
Mar-20	3,994,901	4,820	0	_		_		0
Apr-20	4,970,738	4,724	0	_	_	-		0
May-20	6,951,461	6,233	52,630	0		-		0
Jun-20	8,565,852	42,387	82,780	0	,	0	,	0
Jul-20	8,990,597	115,966	48,060	0	,	0		0
Aug-20	10,417,583	68,007	21,278	0	.,	0	116,120	0
Sep-20	9,759,180	47,513	37,747	0	- 1,	0	,	0
Oct-20	8,476,262	66,920	113,710	152,350	113,890	0	,	0
Nov-20	7,470,652	60,360	54,580	17,000	59,720	0	97,829	0
Dec-20	6,302,870	28,980	47,580	16,070	35,710	580	124,483	0
Jan-21	6,841,133	33,180	53,080	36,450	33,450	580	96,917	0
Feb-21	6,171,899	31,810	38,580	19,750	33,730	1,250	87,630	0
Mar-21	15,027,794	25,570	47,772	44,110	31,780	970	81,020	9,600
Apr-21	6,779,685	26,210	56,267	28,760	30,400	950	98,120	25,780
May-21	10,920,813	61,670	95,492	83,650	185,760	908	167,200	69,060
Jun-21	11,746,865	94,610	112,727	100,090	169,380	7,942	188,230	190,170
Jul-21	11,949,462	182,000	109,506	66,150	177,890	5,457	252,030	124,460
Aug-21	12,334,703	238,220	87,580	73,056	270,510	18,113	165,350	141,280
TY Ending Dec-20	84,021,034	448,970						0
TY Ave Usage Per Bill	4,749	5,345	3,183	4,214				0
Updated TY Ending	·	·	·	•	•		·	
Aug-21	113,781,318	897,043	854,621	637,436	1,173,523	36,750	1,512,654	560,350
UTY Ave Usage Per	-, - ,	, , , ,	,-	,	, -,-	,	,- ,	,
Bill	5,411	6,187	3,700	3,269	9,097	1,313	3,698	2,045
EOP Annualization	121,530,302	1,039,333						711,685
Consumption Factor	,000,002	.,555,566	0.0,022	352,000	.,55.,610	22,000	.,555,567	, 550
TY to UTY	1.1395	1.1575	1.1623	0.7757	2.6293	2.2629	1.2944	-

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 13 of 18

	Total	Asheboro County Club W-1300, Sub 26 PA	Carriage Way W-1300, Sub 26 PC	Kensington Place W-1300, Sub 26 PK	Rachel's Landing W-1300, Sub 26 PR	Spencer's Grove W-1300, Sub 26 PS	Weatherstone Olde Forest W-1300, Sub 26 PW	Avalyn W-1300, Sub 35 AV	Shiloh W-1300, Sub 38 SH
Present Rates									
Base Charge		17.97	17.97	17.97	17.97	17.97	17.97	15.00	15.00
Usage Charge (per		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5 .00	5.00	5.00
1,000 gal)		5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.03	5.00	5.00
Base Charge	000 400	0.450.40	4 500 44	E 47E 00	4.040.00	4.500.44	44.040.00	5 400 00	4 000 00
Revenues	360,198	2,156.40	4,528.44	5,175.36	4,312.80	4,528.44	14,016.60	5,400.00	4,860.00
Usage Charge Revenues	606,762	3,154.60	5,694.31	7,467.16	5,613.78	4,836.79	18,446.08	7,737.42	5,684.74
Total Service	606,762	3,154.60	5,694.31	7,407.10	5,613.78	4,836.79	18,446.08	1,131.42	5,084.74
Revenues	966,960	5,311.00	10,222.75	12,642.52	9,926.58	9,365.23	32,462.68	13,137.42	10,544.74
Proposed Rates									
Base Charge		27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
Usage Charge (per									
1,000 gal)		8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Base Charge									
Revenues	603,612	3,240.00	6,804.00	7,776.00	6,480.00	6,804.00	21,060.00	9,720.00	8,748.00
Usage Charge									
Revenues	1,007,486	5,199.13	9,384.85	12,306.71	9,252.14	7,971.57	30,401.20	12,828.65	9,425.30
Total Service	4 044 000	0.400.40	40 400 05	00 000 74	45 700 44	4477557	E4 404 00	00 540 05	40.470.00
Revenues	1,611,098	8,439.13	16,188.85	20,082.71	15,732.14	14,775.57	51,461.20	22,548.65	18,173.30
PS Recommended Rates									
Base Charge		17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68
Usage Charge (per									
1,000 gal)		7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Base Charge									
Revenues	395,254	2,121.60	4,455.36	5,091.84	4,243.20	4,455.36	13,790.40	6,364.80	5,728.32
Usage Charge									
Revenues	915,356	4,816.56	8,694.29	11,401.15	8,571.34	7,385.00	28,164.20	11,884.68	8,731.76
Total Service									
Revenues	1,310,610	6,938.16	13,149.65	16,492.99	12,814.54	11,840.36	41,954.60	18,249.48	14,460.08

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 14 of 18

	Meadow Lake (Donnybrook)	Bailey Farms **W-1300 Sub 37;	Blawell W-1300, Sub	Senter Road W-1300, Sub	Olde Mill Trace W-1300, Sub	Leone Landing **W-1300, Sub 4;	Blaney Farms
Total	W-1300, Sub 32 ML	W-1300 Sub 73** BAF	31 BL	40 SEN	34 OMT	W-1300 Sub 75** LL	W-1300, Sub 7 BF
Present Rates Base Charge	15.00	15.00	34.14	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
Usage Charge (per 1,000 gal)	5.00	5.00	3.29	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Base Charge							
Revenues 360, Usage Charge	98 4,500.00	12,960.00	16,796.88	12,780.00	28,620.00	5,040.00	6,120.00
Revenues 606, Total Service	762 6,537.96	15,787.41	6,127.46	19,249.67	48,930.71	9,415.37	8,386.70
Revenues 966,	960 11,037.96	28,747.41	22,924.34	32,029.67	77,550.71	14,455.37	14,506.70
Proposed Rates							
Base Charge	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
Usage Charge (per 1,000 gal)	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Base Charge	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Revenues 603,	8,100.00	23,328.00	13,284.00	23,004.00	51,516.00	9,072.00	11,016.00
Usage Charge Revenues 1.007.	10.000.04	26 175 52	15 120 71	24 045 05	04 407 40	15 610 69	13,905.14
Revenues 1,007, Total Service	10,839.94	26,175.52	15,439.71	31,915.95	81,127.12	15,610.68	13,905.14
Revenues 1,611,	18,939.94	49,503.52	28,723.71	54,919.95	132,643.12	24,682.68	24,921.14
PS Recommended Rates			purchased water				
Base Charge	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68
Usage Charge (per							
1,000 gal)	7.68	7.68	3.01	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Base Charge Revenues 395,	254 5,304.00	15,275.52	8,698.56	15,063.36	33,733.44	5,940.48	7,213.44
Usage Charge	0,0000	. 0,2. 0.02	0,000.00	. 0,000.00	00,100111	3,0 10110	.,
Revenues 915,	10,042.31	24,249.46	5,605.97	29,567.49	75,157.58	14,462.01	12,881.97
Total Service Revenues 1,310,	310 15,346.31	39,524.98	14,304.53	44,630.85	108,891.02	20,402.49	20,095.41

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 15 of 18

	Total	Blaney South W-1300, Sub 25 BS	Kanata Mills (Camp Kanata) W-1300, Sub 27 KM	Mendenhall W-1300, Sub 44 MH	Ethans Meadows W-1300, Sub 22 EM	Bella Vista W-1300, Sub 14 BV	Yates Mills W-1300, Sub 7 YME	Twin Lake Farms W-1300, Sub 10 TLF
Present Rates		45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00
Base Charge Usage Charge (per		15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
1,000 gal)		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Base Charge		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Revenues	360,198	9,540.00	23,940.00	9,000.00	3,780.00	10,440.00	10,440.00	14,760.00
Usage Charge								
Revenues	606,762	14,725.93	33,336.46	12,721.18	8,043.57	103,497.90	17,213.61	20,024.04
Total Service	000 000	04.005.00	F7 070 40	04 704 40	44 000 57	442.007.00	07.050.04	04.704.04
Revenues	966,960	24,265.93	57,276.46	21,721.18	11,823.57	113,937.90	27,653.61	34,784.04
Proposed Rates								
Base Charge		27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Base Charge								
Revenues	603,612	17,172.00	43,092.00	16,200.00	6,804.00	18,792.00	18,792.00	26,568.00
Usage Charge Revenues	1,007,486	24,415.59	55,271.85	21,091.71	13,336.24	171,599.52	28,540.16	33,199.86
Total Service	1,007,400	24,415.59	55,271.65	21,091.71	13,330.24	171,599.52	20,340.10	33,199.00
Revenues	1,611,098	41,587.59	98,363.85	37,291.71	20,140.24	190,391.52	47,332.16	59,767.86
	,- ,	,	,	- , -	-,	,	,	,
PS Recommended Rates								
Base Charge		17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Base Charge			00.04=.00	40.000.00		40.00=.00	40.00=.00	4-00-40
Revenues	395,254	11,244.48	28,217.28	10,608.00	4,455.36	12,305.28	12,305.28	17,397.12
Usage Charge Revenues	915,356	22,619.03	51,204.81	19,539.73	12,354.92	158,972.77	26,440.10	30,756.93
Total Service	313,330	22,019.03	31,204.01	19,009.73	12,334.92	130,312.11	20,440.10	30,730.93
Revenues	1,310,610	33,863.51	79,422.09	30,147.73	16,810.28	171,278.05	38,745.38	48,154.05

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 16 of 18

	Гotal	Vernon Place W-1300 Sub 17 VP	Jackson Manor W-1300, Sub 18 JM	Kingston Manor W-1300, Sub 13 KIN	Autumn Ridge W-1300, Sub 48 AR	Knights Landing W-1300, Sub 16 KL	Stonewood Manor W-1300, Sub 45 SM	Mornington **W-1300, Sub 21; W-1300, Sub 68** MOR
Present Rates								
Base Charge		15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
Usage Charge (per 1,000 gal)		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Base Charge		5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
Revenues	360,198	3,960.00	12,240.00	6,660.00	3,420.00	6,480.00	9,540.00	8,460.00
Usage Charge	•	·	·	,	·	·	·	·
Revenues	606,762	5,156.00	19,162.95	21,784.84	3,800.53	16,222.69	13,414.43	9,745.44
Total Service								
Revenues	966,960	9,116.00	31,402.95	28,444.84	7,220.53	22,702.69	22,954.43	18,205.44
Proposed Rates								
Base Charge		27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Base Charge								
Revenues	603,612	7,128.00	22,032.00	11,988.00	6,156.00	11,664.00	17,172.00	15,228.00
Usage Charge	1 007 106	0.540.64	24 772 47	26 440 26	6 201 20	26 207 22	22 244 42	16 157 04
Revenues Total Service	1,007,486	8,548.64	31,772.17	36,119.26	6,301.28	26,897.22	22,241.13	16,157.94
	1,611,098	15,676.64	53,804.17	48,107.26	12,457.28	38,561.22	39,413.13	31,385.94
	.,,	,	22,22	,	, , , , , , , ,		,	,
PS Recommended Rates								
Base Charge		17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Base Charge								
Revenues	395,254	4,667.52	14,426.88	7,849.92	4,031.04	7,637.76	11,244.48	9,971.52
Usage Charge Revenues	915,356	7,919.61	29,434.29	33,461.51	5,837.62	24,918.05	20,604.57	14,969.00
Total Service	310,000	7,515.01	23,434.23	33,401.31	3,037.02	24,910.00	20,004.57	14,303.00
	1,310,610	12,587.13	43,861.17	41,311.43	9,868.66	32,555.81	31,849.05	24,940.52

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 17 of 18

	Total	Rocklyn W-1300, Sub 24 ROC	Baileys at Glenmoor W-1300, Sub 23 BAG	Bingham Woods MHP W-1300, Sub 46 BW	Carriage Cove W-1300, Sub 53 CC	Dogwood Acres W-1300, Sub 50 DA	Camberly W-1300, Sub 43 CE	Ashcroft Park W-1300, Sub 39 AP	Yardley W-1300, Sub 54 YAR
Present Rates									
Base Charge		25.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	17.02	15.00	15.00	15.00
Usage Charge (per									
1,000 gal)		5.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00
Base Charge									
Revenues	360,198	22,500.00	4,140.00	11,700.00	-	5,922.96	10,980.00	12,600.00	3,960.00
Usage Charge									
Revenues	606,762	24,239.19	10,419.20	14,224.46	-	4,265.67	18,986.71	25,950.10	5,929.57
Total Service	000 000	10.700.10	4.4.550.00	05.004.40		10 100 00	00 000 74	00 550 40	0.000.57
Revenues	966,960	46,739.19	14,559.20	25,924.46	-	10,188.63	29,966.71	38,550.10	9,889.57
Proposed Rates									
Base Charge		27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
Usage Charge (per		27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
1,000 gal)		8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Base Charge		0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20
Revenues	603,612	24,300.00	7,452.00	21,060.00	_	9,396.00	19,764.00	22,680.00	7,128.00
Usage Charge	,-	,	,	,		-,	.,	,	,
Revenues	1,007,486	36,535.07	17,275.03	23,584.16	-	7,367.16	31,479.96	43,025.26	9,831.24
Total Service									
Revenues	1,611,098	60,835.07	24,727.03	44,644.16	-	16,763.16	51,243.96	65,705.26	16,959.24
PS Recommended Rates		purchased water							
Base Charge		17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68
Usage Charge (per									
1,000 gal)		5.57	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Base Charge									
Revenues	395,254	15,912.00	4,879.68	13,790.40	-	6,152.64	12,941.76	14,851.20	4,667.52
Usage Charge									
Revenues	915,356	24,547.69	16,003.89	21,848.77	-	6,825.07	29,163.58	39,859.35	9,107.83
Total Service		10 /					40.45-5:		
Revenues	1,310,610	40,459.69	20,883.57	35,639.17	-	12,977.71	42,105.34	54,710.55	13,775.35

Public Staff Junis Exhibit 2 Page 18 of 18

	Total	Brook Meadow ****W-1300, Sub 58****	Arlington Manor ****W-1300, Sub 61****	Prescott ****W-1300, Sub 57**** PT	Sterling Crest II ***W-1300, Sub 49*** SC2	Bella Terra ***W-1300, Sub 67*** BT	Fish Hawk Ranch ****W-1300, Sub 63**** FHR	Thatcher Woods ****W-1300, Sub 59**** TW
Present Rates								
Base Charge		15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Base Charge	000 100							
Revenues	360,198	2,520.00	3,420.00	3,960.00	1,800.00	720.00	6,300.00	5,220.00
Usage Charge	000 700	F 400 00	4.047.04	4 04 4 05	F 4F0 0F	245.00	7 700 00	2.550.42
Revenues	606,762	5,196.66	4,217.61	4,314.95	5,458.25	315.00	7,766.68	3,558.43
Total Service Revenues	966,960	7,716.66	7,637.61	8,274.95	7,258.25	1,035.00	14,066.68	8,778.43
Revenues	900,900	7,710.00	7,037.01	0,274.95	7,256.25	1,035.00	14,000.00	0,770.43
Proposed Rates								
Base Charge		27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00	27.00
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29	8.29
Base Charge								
Revenues	603,612	4,536.00	6,156.00	7,128.00	3,240.00	1,296.00	11,340.00	9,396.00
Usage Charge								
Revenues	1,007,486	8,616.07	6,992.80	7,154.19	9,049.77	522.27	12,877.16	5,899.87
Total Service								
Revenues	1,611,098	13,152.07	13,148.80	14,282.19	12,289.77	1,818.27	24,217.16	15,295.87
PS Recommended Rates								
Base Charge		17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68	17.68
Usage Charge (per								
1,000 gal)		7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68	7.68
Base Charge								
Revenues	395,254	2,970.24	4,031.04	4,667.52	2,121.60	848.64	7,425.60	6,152.64
Usage Charge	0.4-0-0					100.01		- 40 4
Revenues	915,356	7,982.07	6,478.25	6,627.77	8,383.87	483.84	11,929.63	5,465.74
Total Service	1 210 610	10.0E0.04	10 500 00	11 205 20	10 E0E 47	4 222 40	40 OFF 00	44 640 00
Revenues	1,310,610	10,952.31	10,509.29	11,295.29	10,505.47	1,332.48	19,355.23	11,618.38