OFFICIAL COPY

1	PLACE: Dobbs Building, Rabengh, North Carolina
2	DATE: Monday, August 28, 2017
3	TIME: 7:00 p.m 7:40 p.m.
4	DOCKET NO: W-354, Sub 356
5	BEFORE: Chairman Edward S. Finley, Jr., Presiding
6	Commissioner Bryan E. Beatty
7	Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
8	Commissioner Jerry C. Dockham
9	Commissioner James G. Patterson
10	SEP 1 4 2017 Commissioner Lyons Gray Clerk's Office
11	Commissioner Daniel G. Clodfelter N.C. Utilities Commission
12	
13	IN THE MATTER OF:
14	Application by Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North
15	Carolina, 5701 Westpark Drive, Suite 101, Charlotte,
16	North Carolina 28217 for Authority to Adjust and
17	Increase Rates for Water and Sewer Utility Service in
18	All of Its Service Areas in North Carolina, Except
19	Corolla Light and Monteray Shores Service Area and Elk
20	River Development.
21	
22	VOLUME: 6
23	

	ं भे सिद्धे • स्∮।
1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. OF NORTH CAROLINA:
3	Jo Anne Sanford, Esq.
4	Sanford Law Office, PLLC
5	Post Office Box 28085
6	Raleigh, North Carolina 27611
7	
8	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
9	Gina C. Holt, Esq.
10	William E. Grantmyre, Esq.
11	Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
12	4326 Mail Service Center
13	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	_
20	
21	
22	
23	
2.4	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	EXAMINATIONS
3	VINCENT P. ROY
4	Direct Statement 11
5	Examination by Ms. Holt
6	Examination by Ms. Sanford
7	
8	WILLIAM GLANCE
9	Direct Statement
10	
11	JUDITH BASSETT
12	Direct Statement 31
13	Examination by Ms. Holt
14	
15	BEN FARMER
16	Direct Statement
17	
18	
19	EXHIBITS
20	IDENTIFIED / ADMITTED
21	Roy Exhibit 1 20/28
22	
23	
24	

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Let's come to order. Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Edward Finley and with me this evening are Commissioners Bryan E. Beatty, ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Jerry C. Dockham, James G. Patterson, Lyons Gray, and Daniel G. Clodfelter.

The Commission now calls for hearing at this time for the purpose of taking non-expert, public witness testimony in Docket Number W-354, Sub 356, In the Matter of Application of Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina for Authority to Increase Rates for Water and Sewer Utility Service in Its Subdivisions in North Carolina.

On March 31, 2017, the Company filed an Application with the Commission seeking authority to increase its rates and charges for those services in the state.

On April 26, 2017, the Commission issued its Order Establishing General Rate Case and Suspending Rates. Pursuant to the Order, the Commission declared this proceeding to be a general rate case pursuant to G.S. 62-137 and suspended the proposed new rates for up to 270 days pursuant to G.S. 62-134.

We've had a number of interventions in the case.

On June 2, 2017, the Commission issued its Order Scheduling Hearing and Requiring Customer Notice which, among other things, scheduled the Application for evidentiary hearing on September 20, 2017, and scheduled this public witness testimony hearing for this date, at this time, and in this place.

On August 7, 2017, the Company filed direct -- the prefiled direct testimony of Richard Lineman in support of the Company's Application.

On August 7, 2017, the Company and the Public Staff filed a Stipulation of Settlement regarding rate of return on equity and capital structure issues.

We've had numerous Consumer Statements of Position that have been filed in this docket.

Pursuant to the State Ethics Act, I remind all members of the Commission of their duty to avoid conflicts of interest, and inquire whether any member of the Commission has a known conflict of interest with regard to the matters coming before the Commission this evening?

(No response.)

There appear to be no conflicts, so we will proceed and we'll call on the parties to announce their appearances, beginning with the Company.

MS. SANFORD: Thank you, Chairman Finley and Members of the Commission. I'm Jo Anne Sanford with Sanford Law Office representing the Applicant, Carolina Water Service of North Carolina. With me at counsel table is Matt Klein who is the State President of Carolina Water, and present in the audience and available to assist customers after the hearing are a number of representatives of the Company. And I will ask you to raise your hands, please, so people will know who you are. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Holt, if you'll identify yourself, please.

MS. HOLT: Good evening. I'm Gina Holt with the Public Staff here on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public. With me at counsel table are Public Staff Engineer Gina Casselberry and Public Staff Engineer Lindsay Quant.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Any preliminary matters?

MS. SANFORD: Brief opening statement, if we might.

Thank you, again, Chairman Finley, Members

of the Commission, and the Public Staff. We appreciate the opportunity to speak briefly to the Commission and to the customers here in the audience this evening about some of the issues that we know are of concern to you customers.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

First of all, as I discussed with Mr. Roy, we know you don't like rate cases, but they are necessary components of operating a company that is as capital intensive as are these water and wastewater companies, as well as these other regulated utilities. We want to emphasize, because we know this has been a matter of customer concern expressed in other hearings, that this Company isn't allowed to recover anything, no rate increase, unless they prove in what is essentially a court of law, and over the opposition of the consumer advocate, and of any other intervenors, unless they prove to this Commission by -- and they maintain the burden of proof, sustain it rather, that essentially and in lay terms they spent what they had to spend to do what they were required to do to be able to serve you. There are obligations of prudence, there's examination of prudence to be sure they didn't spend too much or overbuild or anything else. So that is a protection

that is afforded to you ratepayers, this burden of proof and this obligation to prove prior to getting any rate increase.

Secondly, the Company can't recover for any investment in plant unless that investment is completed - it's like a wastewater treatment plant or a well - unless it is completed, in service and audited and deemed prudent by the Commission in an Order that allows recovery. That's true whether the investment is sought to be recovered in a general rate case as we are tonight or whether it's recovered through a system improvement charge otherwise known as a WSIC or a SSIC.

Third and finally, when you hear -- and we've heard from a lot of customers who don't understand why their costs, their rates rather, are different than the rates from the various municipality or from another provider. We invite you to consider two or three factors when you think about that.

Number 1, this company recovers based upon proof of costs. Not all water and wastewater providers base their rates on the actual costs but we have to, and so whatever we prove are the costs we're entitled to recover. But I would ask you to compare the costs of

running a system like this with hundreds of wells scattered all over the state, small waste treatment facilities, and often relatively small customer bases scattered, compare that to the economies of scale that Raleigh or Charlotte or a larger city experiences when they get water out of a river or out of a big surface impoundment, their wastewater treatment facilities are often large, central facilities. There are differences in the cost attributes and that is much the explanation for why you see differences in rates.

Thank you very much for the opportunity to speak.

MS. HOLT: Good evening again. I'm Gina
Holt and I'm an attorney charged with representing you
tonight. The Public Staff - Utilities Commission is a
separate entity from the Utilities Commission and I'm
working with a team - another attorney, Bill
Grantmyre, who's in the back of the room; engineers Ms. Casselberry, Ms. Quant; financial analysts and
accountants on this case. We thoroughly audit the
filings of the Company and also follow up with a
substantial amount of data requests on what they file.
In a week or so, we'll be filing testimony which will
include our recommendations, the results of our

investigation and our recommendations regarding what we feel is a reasonable rate increase. And after that we'll have a hearing and then the Commissioners of the Utilities Commission, the Commissioners up here, will render their opinion on what they feel -- what they would like to approve.

1.4

Tonight, I will call you in the order in which you appear on my sign-in sheet, you'll be sworn, you'll -- I'll ask you a couple of identifying questions and you'll make your statement, it will be transcribed and be a part of the official record. If you have any documents that you'd like to admit into evidence, please let me know and we'll let the Company review it and hopefully it will be admitted. This is not an opportunity for you to ask questions. The Company and our Staff will be available after the hearing to ask any additional questions that you have. Thank you. Thank you for coming.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Ms. Holt, call your first witness.

MS. HOLT: Mr. Vince Roy.

VINCENT P. ROY; was duly sworn and

testified as follows:

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Have a seat and make

yourself comfortable there, Mr. Holt -- Mr. Roy. 1 2 MS. HOLT: Mr. Roy, can you please state 3 your name and address for the record? 4 THE WITNESS: My name is Vince Roy. 5 Address - 237 Lakeview Drive, Sanford in Carolina 6 Trace, which is a gated community just south of 7 Sanford in Southern Lee County. 8 MS. HOLT: Thank you. 9 THE WITNESS: If I -- do you have another 10 question? 11 No. You may proceed. 12 DIRECT STATEMENT 13 BY THE WITNESS: 14 And just for everybody's information, 15 Carolina Trace is a residential area in the part --16 southern part of Lee County with some 4,000 residents. 17 We have \$2,511 lots, a 315-acre lake, and at the 18 present time we have 1,765 of the lots developed with 19 houses on it that house the 4,000 people that live 20 there. 21 I'm the utilities representative for the 22 community. It's an unpaid position and I've been 23 doing this for 12 years. And not to eat up your time 24 with stories but I'm -- you guys are too young to

understand what the old honeymoon TV show was with Jackie Gleason and Art Carney and Jackie was the bus driver and Art Carney was the sewer guy. Well, I'm the sewer -- I'm the Art Carney of Carolina Trace and I work these things. And I've been doing this particular job for 12 years and it's my third career, and this is my fourth rate case and we've had great success. And I say without any reservation at all that it's an interesting job; it's almost a fun job.

And we, as consumers, look forward to whatever we can get in the way of help. And I personally applaud the Public Staff because they are extremely helpful in helping us understand, as consumers, what is going on in the world. And, by the same token, I have to admit that in the last several years now we have begun quarterly meetings with Utilities, Inc., CWS, and Daniel Lassiter and his crew come and they meet with us every quarter, and we're able to do a really good job in resolving resident complaints and any Company complaints, and we get a lot of stuff done that way.

What problems we have is with the headquarters in the sense that we are -- it's mandatory when we have a customer complaint that we

call the 800-number, and on a night or a weekend our major complaint is that sometimes -- I don't know who's answering the 800-number but it is not always somebody that knows what sewer and water is and so we end up with a dead end. And the other problem is typically with a water line break or we have an outage and the water is shut down and you have to boil the water, and we'll get a call from -- we'll get -somehow the word will get to Utilities, Inc., through our local rep that there's a water outage and it's shut down and then they'll start calling to notify people to boil the water, and invariably they'll call the wrong POA. We have 18 different POA's within the community which is unworkable but that's what we have. And we try to encourage the headquarters to make sure they know what POA is what POA and what streets and what people live on what streets, and we're still working that issue. And we're working hard with Danny who's here, the Regional Director that comes to our quality meetings, and we really get stuff done. I say, where we bump into things is with the headquarters, not major stuff but it's stuff that is a rock in the road.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

As I said, this is my third career.

I'm

just having fun doing it. My first career, I was in the Air Force for 25 years. I flew jet fighters, had a great time doing that 5000 hours; 450 hours of combat time over North Vietnam; finished up there as a Colonel and then I got a job working in the industry doing all international work. I represented my company with friendly and allied air forces and friendly and allied nations and I had work in Singapore, Taiwan, Egypt, then my biggest contract supporting the peace on F-15 program with the Royal Saudi Air Force. And I say that because with my employees in those countries, believe it or not, water and sewer periodically pops up as a problem, especially when you're in Saudi Arabia where they're desalinating the water and it doesn't always taste the way you'd like it to taste and it's much more difficult to handle it there than it is here where I can go to the Public Staff and get that issue resolved in a heartbeat.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

But anyway I just want to talk to you briefly and get my documentation entered into the record for evidence. And talking first about the uniform rate program that is being addressed and implemented here for us for the first time. We are

uncomfortable with the uniform rate program based on the number of communities that are accumulated in the package. It's sort of a disparate group and there's -- trying to find a thread of commonality between us all is almost difficult because of the age of the communities, the size, the distance apart, and people and what they do, and we don't -- they don't know us and we don't know them and yet we're going to all be combined together in one package, and it -- I am uncomfortable to the point that I know that it's going to happen. But what I'm recommending is I've broken down the group of communities that I know about and it's in my documentation, but I'm showing the lower -- a group of what they call the lower cost group where they pay lesser for water than the higher cost group. And we're, in my community we're right about in the center so we're not going to be impacted that much. But philosophically we felt it important to bring it to your attention that there -- to start this uniform rate program within our association, the consortium of communities, maybe there's another way to start it rather than just put us all in one lump sum to begin with. Maybe you can do it regionally, have the mountain communities versus the coastal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

communities, or you can do it by group. And in the document that I submitted I show in my opinion what the groupings should be or could be to more equitably spread the costs. Because what's going to happen with the uniform rate program is that the -- this group of 20 plus communities that are being lumped together, the lower cost communities who are paying something, maybe \$1.50, \$1.70 per 1000 gallons versus the other -- on the extreme end where they're paying over \$9.00 a 1000, these at the lower end are probably who you will get most of the complaints because they're going to see a couple hundred percent increase in their monthly bill. They probably don't have the people coming out from the upper end because they're going to get a big discount, and we're right in the middle. But I would hope that the Commissioners and the Public Staff would take another look at how this -- how this consortium of communities is broken down and maybe pair them up better and then over a period of time meld them all into one big rate case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.3

24

The second issue I wanted to talk briefly about was the base rate, the way it's being developed as in accordance with the application and what I've seen. And what's happening now as you all know is

that our base rate is being increased and our usage rate is being decreased and we feel that it discourages conservation. And even though as CWS talks about conservation on their website, it doesn't appear that they're really into that when they're developing their rate case. And the -- my conclusion there is that it doesn't appear that as they combined the different communities that there is little to no risk for Carolina Water Service. What risk is there is basically on the consumer itself and with these increased base rates, even with a total integration of services, the base rate seems to be unfair. And one of the issues I raise here in my papers, we're going to pay X-amount of dollars for water and a couple of pennies for every 1000 gallons. But what happens when a manmade or a natural disaster, and here comes one -here comes Hurricane Harvey as a good example. everything gets shut down for any period of time and there's no sewer or no water coming in, no sewer going Is there any program in being that says the base rate is suspended? Are we going to continue to pay that base rate even without any water coming into the We've had a couple of instances with a tornado coming through Sanford, Lee County, that affected us

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

for several days without any power and water. In my opinion, in our collective opinion of our community, the base rate being increased seems to us to be not justified in terms of conservation and in terms of usage whereby when it's not there you're still going to pay that amount of money.

I express a concern about the Carolina Water Service profit request. They're asking for a return of 9.75 percent and I don't know where else they're getting that but they're citing Docket W-354, Sub 344 where they got that, which is a couple of different communities than us and it doesn't appear to me to be justifiable that they should be able to cite that as an authority to get 9.75 percent and use it in our particular case. And I would ask you to take another look at whether they should get 9.75 percent or not.

I touch briefly on the subject of perceptions. And without intending to offend anybody or claim any illegal stuff going on, based on Chairman Finley's past work with Utilities, Inc., and also now Director Chris Ayers for the Public Staff, it would appear to us that it might be more appropriate if the -- if Commissioner Finley and Director Ayers recuse themselves from any negotiations regarding

Carolina Water Service. And, again, I don't say that intending to hurt anybody's feelings but when we talk about that in our private sessions at home that comes up and so I'll bring it up here.

1.1

The last thing I wanted to show was developed as part of our team -- as part of my team back home I have a Certified Public Accountant, a CPA, that's an extremely intelligent individual that worked for the Lituation (spelled phonetically) has done this kind of work for over 30 years. And in his document which we have submitted for the evidentiary record, you won't see it from there but, he shows a typical classic cost volunteer profit model where you have fixed costs and some variable costs that are pretty consistent --

MS. HOLT: Excuse me, Mr. Roy. Do you have any additional copies?

THE WITNESS: I have two more copies.

MS. HOLT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Would you like that those now?

MS. HOLT: Yes. I hate to interrupt him but I'd like to offer the summary of Mr. Roy's statement as Roy Exhibit 1 for depiction --

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: We'll mark it as Roy

Exhibit 1. 1 2 Roy Exhibit 1 3 (Identified) 4 And its been shared with Company MS. HOLT: 5 counsel. 6 MS. SANFORD: And, if I may, I have a 7 question just for clarification. I have Mr. Roy's statement and then I have two pages of graphs and then 8 9 I have another statement. 1.0 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am, that is -- what 11 I'm saying is rather than -- in the interest of time, 12 rather than talk to all of those I'm including that as 13 part of my package --14 MS. SANFORD: All as one package, okay. 15 THE WITNESS: -- as one package so that 1.6 you'll have it. It's our CPA's comments on this whole 17 thing which is very detailed and very well developed 18 and --19 MS. SANFORD: Right and so the last --20 excuse me -- and I'm just trying to clarify. 21 THE WITNESS: That's fine. 22 MS. SANFORD: So the last part of your 23 package is a statement by your CPA. 24 THE WITNESS: It's our -- it's our -- he

developed it. It's our community statement.

MS. SANFORD: I see. Okay. Thank you.

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And what I'm showing is, and you know what I'm talking about as a businessman, you start a program wherever the year begins and you start out with certain fixed rates. And as you start your sales program somewhere along that line as sales increases and your income increases you reach a breakeven point and from that point on the profit starts rolling in for the shareholders. What we see happening with the base rate, especially with the base rate program and we see that -- and the uniform rate program, the same uniform line for fixed costs. But in this particular case with Carolina Water Service, the profit starts on day one because of the base rate program. whether you're using it or not you're going to pay that money and that means that income is coming in and so what we're showing there is that, in fact, the profit line, rather than waiting for a breakeven point the profit line starts immediately. And we would prefer that you take a look at that and get them back to the standard business profile where you have to work at it and make sure that you earn what you're

getting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

And the last comment I'll make regarding the rate structure is that hopefully that the Public Staff will have some way of determining the efficiency of the operation. In a corporation when you are struggling to meet your target for your shareholders the last thing you want to do is raise the price. What you do is you look internally at your efficiencies and is everybody working to the next efficiency to make sure you're keeping your costs down. It doesn't appear to me that there's any incentive with the base rate program for that to And, secondly, there may be a program but I don't know that there's a program within the Commissioner's Staff or the Public Staff where you can look into the companies to see, in fact, they are working as efficiently as they can to justify the rate structure that you're going to approve for them.

That ends my testimony. Any questions, I'll be happy to answer.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Any questions of Mr. Roy?

EXAMINATION

-23 BY MS. HOLT:

Q Mr. Roy, I'd just like to follow up on your

statement regarding the Company sending notices to the wrong POA. How many POAs are there in your --

- A Unfortunately, we have --
- Q -- community?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Α

We have in our 2500 acres that we have, 18 POA so the developers made a great mistake in letting that happen. And at my monthly CTA meeting we have -- Carolina Trace Association, we have no authority over the 18 POAs. They come to our meetings every month as 18 sovereign nations. And it's very difficult to operate when we try to get a rate increase or buy something or spend something. And, from the point of view of CWS, they're all street addresses, of course, and we presented that stuff to -- I don't know, Danny, if we presented that all to you -- but we talked to that -- with Danny a lot when we have a power outage because who's ever making that call from UI headquarters to the homeowners that are being affected by the water, I said power but I mean water outage, they invariably don't call the right houses. I typically get a call from this old lady in the community and she says, Mr. Roy,

I just got a call to start boiling my water and nobody told me to -- or I get a call to stop boiling the water and nobody told me to start it. And she says am I going to die, and I say probably.

(Laughter)

But it's that kind of thing
that -- it's not a major earthquake kind of thing
but it's disruptive in terms of who should be
boiling water, who shouldn't be boiling water,
and when you start and when you stop. And I said
at the outset, our relationship with the working
level people like Danny and Steve Harrell from
Garner, and the people right there at Carolina
Trace is excellent. Where we bump into the
headquarters is in a situation like that with a
water outage.

MS. HOLT: Thank you.

MS. SANFORD: I have a few questions, if I

EXAMINATION

22 BY MS. SANFORD:

might.

10.

Q Mr. Roy just a few questions. First of all, has anybody from the Company discussed with you the

1 new push that the Company is making to add to its 2 communications capabilities, thinking about boil 3 water notices, anybody discussed --I don't remember it. It would have to -- it 4 Α 5 would come through Danny --6 0 Right. And it's new so you may not have heard 7 about it yet. 8 No, I don't remember that, no. Α 9 So no conversation about increasing the internet 0 10 capacity, the web-based capacity, the --11 Α No, I haven't heard that at all. 12 Two other questions. Do you -- since you seem to 13 have a lot of the institutional memory about the 14 history of these rate cases, do you recall the 15 last time Carolina Trace had a rate case? 16 Α Yes, ma'am, it was 2010. 2010. 17 0 18 And I know it's a long time ago but a couple of 19 things have happened in the meantime. After that 20 particular rate case, if I can expand on your 21 question with an answer? 22 Q Sure. We -- they were -- Utilities, Inc., was buying 23 24 their water -- 70 percent of the water from

Sanford and paying -- they were paying the same rate as the Town of Broadway which is 3000, \$0.35 a 1000. And I wrote a letter to the Commissioners asking that they encourage Utilities, Inc., to negotiate a better purchase rate from Sanford which has an abundance of water. The Commission said that they can't order them to do that but they had recommended it. my argument at that time, and it still is a sore point, that it took Utilities, Inc., their attorney and Vic Czar, he's the Director of Public Works in Sanford, Lee County, it took them 33 months to come to an agreement on the fact that they would get the same rate, purchase the water at the same rate as Broadway for \$2.21 a 1,000 and that's what they're getting it at now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And I didn't mention it earlier but since you raised the question, in looking at profit and loss and everything else as a businessman, you've got to understand that they kept our rate at \$5.07 a 1,000 for the consumer and while they -- while Utilities, Inc., got a decrease in their purchase price, they never passed that on to us. And we're burning about

1 5,000 gallons of water a month and that's about 2 \$5,000, that's about \$60,000 a year that I didn't 3 see reflected in any profit mentioned from 4 Carolina Water Service. Probably a bigger answer 5 than you wanted but I --6 Q No, I wanted to know what your answer was. 7 Α Thank you. 8 And we'll look at this ourselves so thank you. 9 One last question, with respect to your two 10 exhibits, these weren't done based upon any 11 specific information or data from Carolina Water, 12 is that right? 13 Well, they were -- no, they were done based on the information we got out of the application and 14 15 the 18-page document we received in our monthly 16 bill back in June of --17 Q Okay. And so you and the CPA developed these 18 graphs, is that right? 19 The CPA developed those and he talks a different 20 language. I have an MBA and half of a doctorate 21 but I don't understand everything he says. 22 Ι MS. SANFORD: Okay. Thank you very much. 23 have no more questions. 24 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Questions by the

Commission? Thank you, Mr. Roy, we appreciate you 1 2 coming tonight. 3 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. (The witness is excused.) 4 5 MS. HOLT: Unless there's any objection, I'd 6 like to move the admission of Roy Exhibit 1. 7 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Any objection? 8 MS. SANFORD: No objection. 9 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Admitted. MS. HOLT: Thank you. 10 11 Roy Exhibit 1 12 (Admitted) 13 MS. HOLT: Mr. William Glance. 14 WILLIAM GLANCE; was duly sworn and 15 testified as follows: MS. HOLT: Please state your name and 16 17 address for the record. 18 THE WITNESS: My name is William Glance. live in 49 Indian Trail, Sanford, North Carolina which 19 20 is in Carolina Trace. 21 MS. HOLT: Please proceed. 22 DIRECT STATEMENT 23 BY THE WITNESS: I'm a customer of the Carolina Water Service 24

and I would like to begin, excuse me, begin by saying that I believe privatization is a good public policy. I am not dissatisfied with the water and sewer rates that I have received and the proposed rate structure, if approved, would have a negligible effect on me. With that said, you must wonder why I'm here. Simply put, I believe the proposed rate structure is inconsistent with the policy of the State of North Carolina and the Mission Statement of the Utilities Commission.

Detailed written comments have previously been submitted via email to the Public Staff. These were written by Dave Turner. I would like to briefly summarize my conclusion based on the analysis from these sources. They are that the effects of the proposed rate structure, if approved, would result in the following: One, the benefits of the proposed rate structure would accrue overwhelmingly in the favor of CWSNC at the expense of the ratepayers. The shareholders of the common equity of the CWSNC would be granted an above-rate market return which is virtually risk free investment; ratepayers in one separate and distinct market will subsidize ratepayers and the other -- could subsidize the ratepayers in the

```
1
    other markets; ratepayers -- for ratepayers of a
 2
    relatively efficient, modern and low cost water and
 3
    sewer systems would also subsidize the ratepayers in
 4
    the less efficient, older and higher cost systems;
 5
    number five, ratepayers who consume less water will
    subsidize ratepayers who consume more water; and,
 6
 7
    number six, an important financial incentive to
 8
    conserve scarce natural resources, the water will be
 9
    undermined. I implore you to read and consider these
10
    comments. If my conclusions are correct, I propose
11
    that the rate structure be denied.
                                         Thank you.
12
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Glance.
13
    Are there questions for Mr. Glance?
               MS. HOLT: I have no questions.
14
15
               MS. SANFORD:
                             No questions. Thank you.
16
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
17
               CHAIRMAN FINLEY: You're not the Jackie
18
    Gleason, are you, Mr. Glance?
               THE WITNESS:
19
                             No.
20
                           (Laughter)
               THE WITNESS: No, I'm not.
21
22
                    (The witness is excused.)
               MS. HOLT: Ms. Judith Bassett.
23
24
    JUDITH BASSETT;
                            was duly sworn and
```

1 testified as follows: 2 THE WITNESS: I feel --3 MS. HOLT: Please state your name and 4 address for the record. 5 THE WITNESS: Judith Basset and my address 6 is 5721 Woof Place in Knightdale. It's in Amber Acres 7 Subdivision. MS. HOLT: 8 Thank you. 9 DIRECT STATEMENT 10 BY THE WITNESS: 11 I feel a little under-classed being here. 12 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Just relax and just tell 13 us what you want us to hear. 14 THE WITNESS: And I have never done this 15 before so please bear with me. 16 DIRECT STATEMENT BY THE WITNESS: 17 18 I was just looking at the rate increases 19 as a senior citizen and a homeowner and I feel that it 20 is going to be a hardship on me. And the people who 21 live in the subdivision that I live in are not wealthy They're, I would say, probably lower income 22 people. 23 and I think -- I'm a single person, it's going to be

hard on me, what is it going to do to the families.

24

And I would like you to look at it and make sure, if 1 2 you do grant it, that it absolutely is necessary and 3 cannot be granted at a lower level. Thank you. 4 questions I can answer? 5 EXAMINATION BY MS. HOLT: 6 7 Ms. Bassett, how long have you been a resident of 8 Amber Acres? 9 Nineteen years. 10 And have you had any service-related problems? No, I haven't. 11 12 MS. HOLT: Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Ms. Bassett. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 (The witness is excused.) 16 MS. HOLT: Mr. Ben Farmer. BEN FARMER; 17 was duly sworn and 18 testified as follows: 19 MS. HOLT: Please state your name and 20 address for the record. 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, my name is Ben Farmer. 22 I live at 6113 Jordan Woods Drive, Raleigh, North 23 Carolina. 24

DIRECT STATEMENT

BY THE WITNESS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So I'm here on behalf of the Jordan Woods Subdivision. We're a smaller community, only 25 houses or so. And I'm just here to say that when I got the letter in the mail about the rate increases, I used to be a math teacher so I did some guick calculations and saw that my rates for my base water charge were going up by 65 percent and that my charge per 1000 gallons was going up by 70 percent, and I found that to be a little bit high. I understand that companies incur costs and inflation and other things like that so anywhere from a 20 percent to a 30 percent, maybe even a 40 percent increase I wouldn't even be here, I wouldn't care, I'd just assume it was part of the business. But when it got up to those kind of levels and took a bill for me that would be a \$25.00 water bill and turned it into a \$42.00 water bill, it kind of got my attention a little bit and the same with some of my neighbors. So we were just kind of concerned with that sudden change.

And I, being the math nerd I am, went through all the other communities and saw some of the other changes. And as has been said by other

customers here tonight about how some of the changes won't affect some people very much but some of those lower income communities are going to be seeing 100 percent or more and that could really change some people's lives. I say this as somebody who is -- my wife and I do a lot of budgeting so we know exactly where every penny goes and what we have to spend on fun things or what we have to spend on utilities so when a change like this comes I quickly do the calculations, plug it into my program and see how it's going to affect our lives. For me personally it's not going to, you know, destroy our lives, it just means there's a little less money to do house repairs. for some of those -- some of those lower income customers this could be something that's very devastating to them, and I would just consider that whenever you're looking at the rate increases. that's pretty much all I have to say. Any questions? MS. HOLT: Mr. Farmer, have you had any service-related concerns? THE WITNESS: No, no, everything has been fine. MS. HOLT: Thank you. CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you, Mr. Farmer.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We're just at the request stage now, you know, and the Public Staff will audit this and sometimes, in fact, often is the case that what the Commission actually approves is something less than what the Company asks for.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Thank you for coming.

(The witness is excused.)

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Does that conclude your

list?

MS. HOLT: We have no more names on the list.

CHAIRMAN FINLEY: Is there anyone else in the hearing room that wants to be heard tonight?

(No response.)

Very well. Those of you who have testified and those of you who have come from the service areas, we appreciate you coming tonight and we'll take this testimony into consideration when the Commission deliberates on this case, along with the other evidence that we will receive later next month and what we have received already from other public hearings throughout the service territory, and we will issue a written order that will be on the web page.

And, in fact, you can follow the proceedings in this case on the Commission's web page, when the Public Staff files its testimony, and the hearing is conducted in September, if you want to come, you're welcome to come, otherwise the transcript of that proceeding will be on the web page as well. So the hearing will be adjourned. (WHEREUPON, the proceedings were adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE I, KIM T. MITCHELL, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability. Court Reporter II

FILED

SEP 14 2017

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission