

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Michael Stratton  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:25 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Stratton

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Stratton

### Email

mdstratton1@gmail.com

### Docket

?

### Message

We made a nearly \$100k investment in solar under the assumption that the deal we were given would be "locked in" over the 25 year period that we're paying them off.. what a scam if this changes now!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Michael Lozano  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:22 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Lozano

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Lozano

### Email

mjlozano316@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please consider rejecting this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Bradley Fisher  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bradley Fisher

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bradley Fisher

### Email

fisher.brad62@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Don't let Duke energy co. Pay us less on our solar system.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Scott Jackson  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Jackson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Scott Jackson

### Email

wsjackson@me.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Joseph Trigilio  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:07 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph Trigilio

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joseph Trigilio

### Email

jocisco@mac.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

In 2020, my family spent \$40,000 on a new solar system. In 2022, Duke is trying to undercut the net metering program for NC. The NCUC needs to investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before considering any changes to the current program. Utilities will do anything to avoid saving OPEX costs, and they only want CAPEX so they can continue to raise rates. I had 1711 kW hours banked as of last month..... Duke just zeroed it out. That was hundreds of dollars in energy that Duke gets to keep for free. They didn't have to invest in any infrastructure or cover my solar investments. However, Duke gets to use my generated power to charge customers. If this is allowed to continue, it'll kill the NC solar industry. If I had known what Duke was trying to do, I would have saved 40k.

**From:** Anthony D.Briscoe  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:03 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Anthony D. Briscoe

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Anthony D. Briscoe

### Email

dccava2000@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke should not be able to renege on the current rate for existing customers. We signed up with an understanding. Duke should look to the future instead of in arrears. Otherwise we were dealt an unfair hand and made a decision based on faulty information.

**From:** Gail Waldman  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:03 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gail Waldman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Gail Waldman

### Email

ladyvet3@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I purchased Solar panels in 2017 to be environmentally responsible AND to share the benefits of that purchase-- ie: channeling energy to the grid and also, down the road, decrease costs for someone ELSE who canNOT afford to install Solar Panels,, I COULD HAVE (and STILL COULD) install battery packs, collect and store ALL energy generated by the panels that I, as the home owner, PURCHASED and PAID FOR, and be totally off the grid and NOT share 'overflow' energy AT ALL,, Maybe I'm a sap,,,,,(?),,,I strongly encourage you and your Office to at least do the study needed!! And suggest Duke power (and ALL energy competitors) explore alternate climate protective systems rather than 'punish' consumers in our pocketbook even MORE, Thank you for your consideration.. ( I am also a registered voter who uses that right),

**From:** Ryan Fehrman  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:01 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ryan Fehrman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ryan Fehrman

### Email

fehrminator@hotmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposed rules regarding net metering for customers with solar installations. We need more incentives for solar power and the proposal would act as a disincentive to prospective solar customers and those that already have home solar. Climate change is real and our policies need to reflect the urgency of this issue.



**From:** Ziad Gellad  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:58 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ziad Gellad

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ziad Gellad

### Email

zgellad@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a current owner of rooftop solar, I am AGAINST the plan proposed by Duke Energy to change the net metering rules. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. In the least, existing customers should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan for the life of our system. More importantly however, I believe that reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult for North Carolina to meet established climate goals. How can we justify making it more difficult for residents to invest in climate friendly home improvement projects? I urge you to reject the plan as proposed. Thank you for your consideration

**From:** Deborah Crow  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:57 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Deborah Crow

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Deborah Crow

### Email

healerdeb@yahoo.com

### Docket

E100 sub180

### Message

To reduce the amount Duke pays for the solar energy which I bought and paid for the equipment, installation and maintenance. Is a total disgrace to the people trying to support cleaner energy. Duke never reduces their bills to anyone, so I vote No, to reduce Duke's bill for clean energy, that the citizens who supply energy to Duke from their solar systems are due. Pay the people for the energy they are supplying to Duke Energy.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** John Kuczynski  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:53 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Kuczynski

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Kuczynski

### Email

johkuc01@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to ask you to reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Alenda Branch  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:51 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Alenda Branch

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Alenda Branch

### Email

alenda\_branch@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a resident, tax payer and retiree I am writing you as I am concerned about my investment in our planet and cost changes to be implemented by Duke Energy. I am asking that you conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Based on this information I am asking/demanding a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar is conducted. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. Your decision will affect existing solar customers as well as future solar customers... I understand that the plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Help protect the value of solar in North Carolina, protect our planet earth, and existing customers. Thank you in advance for the full investigation.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Riley G Campbell  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:50 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Riley G Campbell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Riley G Campbell

### Email

RILEYCAMPBELL12@GMAIL.COM

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is ridiculous that Duke energy will not pay me for the Energy I contribute to the grid. they are taking what I am paying for which is stealing. they are not paying for the energy. I am the one paying for the solar panels. they are only profiting from it.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Roy Davis  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:46 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Roy Davis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Roy Davis

### Email

Roydavis238@yahoo.com

### Docket

I don't know my docket number , I have had solar panels since 2019; with net metering

### Message

From my point, Duke Energy has been the biggest winner on homes with solar energy . I had some excessive energy to give to Duke Energy, { that is, I was not paid for the excessive energy generated and taken by Duke Energy ). It's a fact, low income people are taking advantage of, large powerful companies. The GOLDEN RULE , is the one with the money, RULES Roy Davis Cove City, NC

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Rich mark  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:39 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Rich mark

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Rich mark

### Email

richardgmark@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Please reject

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Linda Cook  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:38 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Linda Cook

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Linda Cook

### Email

[linda.cook828@gmail.com](mailto:linda.cook828@gmail.com)

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

We feel that it's imperative to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. To reduce current customers investment and deter future residential solar use is the worst possible plan with the extreme climate issues we are facing. We strongly advise you to reconsider this proposal to ENCOURAGE not discourage increased solar use! PLEASE REJECT THIS PLAN.



**From:** Al Wehrsdorfer and Steve Burns  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:38 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Al Wehrsdorfer and Steve Burns

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Al Wehrsdorfer and Steve Burns

### Email

awehrsd@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We installed 32 solar panels on our home rooftop in November, 2020 and are very pleased with their performance and the benefits it provides for a greener environment. We understand that there is a proposal to change the net metering policy. Changes to the policy are complex and could negatively affect our investment that was made in good faith. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the net metering policy for existing solar customers in such a way that increases our costs and reduces the benefits of having installed the system. Any negative adjustments should be a cost borne by Duke Energy, not us. Thank you for your consideration.

**From:** Carl Slack  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:36 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Carl Slack

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Carl Slack

### **Email**

Carl.Slack@verizon.net

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

After years of thinking about and then making the investment to install solar on our home, I hope that the rules of net metering stay as they are. The way net metering is currently is why I decided to do it which it will take me approx 12 years to see any ROI. If the rules change, I think it would discourage others from installing solar as the ROI would and maybe never pay off for the life of a system which most seem to be 20-25 years. I can also tell you that since installing solar we have become much more conscious of our power usages and feel that if others decide to install solar with current rules that its a win win for everyone.

**From:** Thomas A.Rodgers  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:35 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas A. Rodgers

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Thomas A. Rodgers

### **Email**

tarodgers0627@icloud.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Please oppose Dukes plan as I'm a solar panel owner which saw the payments as an incentive. Dukes actions will lessen the value of my home which factored into my decision to go solar! Thank you. Thomas A. Rodgers

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Clyde A Keisler  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:35 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Clyde A Keisler

**Statement of Position Submitted**

**Name**

Clyde A Keisler

**Email**

eco@mindspring.com

**Docket**

E100 Sub 189

**Message**

Please reject the Duke Energy proposal to change net metering. C Keisler

**From:** David J.Framm  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:27 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David J. Framm, MD, FACC

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David J. Framm, MD, FACC

### Email

ncdoctor@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

My understanding that duke Energy has submitted a plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount that individuals are paid for the excess solar energy that they share with the grid. This seems counterintuitive and antienvironmental. It should be encouraged that individuals that generate solar power share it on the grid at an equal rate of reimbursement that the utility company would charge for that same energy. I would strongly recommend that the utility commission do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. This does not bear scrutiny

**From:** Sherry Dickstein  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:26 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sherry Dickstein

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sherry Dickstein

### Email

sadingso@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I have had solar panels attached to my house for about 20 years. We have recently added more to help the environment and our energy costs. Please oppose the request by Duke Energy to reduce the reimbursement for our energy that is returned to the grid. Decreasing the reimbursement will deter people from installing solar panels. At a time like this, with environmental change become catastrophic, we should be encouraging every household to have solar. We are at a crossroads and need to support alternative energy every way we can.

**From:** E.Doerr  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:25 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by E. Doerr

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

E. Doerr

### Email

e\_doerr@hotmail.com

### Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I added a solar panel system in 2020 on my house near Fayetteville, NC. Not a fan of Duke Energy and a proposal to reduce the value of solar installations.

**From:** Gary Feimster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:12 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Feimster

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Gary Feimster

### Email

[garyfeim@gmail.com](mailto:garyfeim@gmail.com)

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180I

### Message

I invested in solar panels to reduce my energy costs and to do a little to save life on earth. Much more needs to be done to reverse climate change and the direction we're headed. The only way that I could afford solar panels was the net metering offered by Duke at the time of installation. It would be really unfair to change the rules now and probably set our state back in the future of solar investment . Our planet cannot wait if we want to sustain life on earth as we know it. Please do not change our net metering.



**From:** Jeffery m workman  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:12 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jeffery m workman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jeffery m workman

### Email

workmanind1994@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please investigate the solar installation cost and return before changing rates with Duke Energy, mine is 10 years to break even, and if it is reduced for me or future solar families, choosing solar would be a more difficult financial expenditure reducing dramatically the number of solar installations in NC. Thank you for considering all aspects and your continuation of alternate energy promotion. Jeff Workman

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Joseph Newton  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 7:10 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joseph Newton

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joseph Newton

### Email

pathway.anime0w@icloud.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I ask that you reject the referenced proposal. In doing so existing homeowners would benefit significantly less from their investments in their panels and there would be less incentives for people to add panels to their own homes, something that we as a society do need

**From:** Abraham Prandini  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:57 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Abraham Prandini

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Abraham Prandini

### Email

abeprandini@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sun 180

### Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Help protect the value of solar in North Carolina! Docket E-100 Sub 180

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Thomas Maier  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:48 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Maier

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thomas Maier

### Email

thomasbmaier@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Uphold existing net metering contracts. My rooftop solar benefits society by hastening the energy transition.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Jose Benitez  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:43 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jose Benitez

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jose Benitez

### Email

t.benitez@me.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal. We invested a lot to have solar at our home.

**From:** Lawrence and Lee Newlin  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:40 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lawrence and Lee Newlin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lawrence and Lee Newlin

### Email

lwnewlin@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Given the threat to our grandchildren from climate change, given the American tradition of self-reliance, given that Putin is committing atrocities with impunity because he is still benefiting from worldwide demand for their oil, given that we have lost thousands of American service men and women in wars that were in part due to our dependence on foreign oil, and given that the expense of Duke's planned 50 natural gas plants on the drawing boards is exorbitant, wouldn't the utility commission want to promote rooftop solar in every way possible. If so, why would you even be considering Duke's proposal to undercut those of us who have or want to have rooftop solar. Please reject their proposal out of hand.

**From:** Krysta Kirsch  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:36 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Krysta Kirsch

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Krysta Kirsch

### Email

Krystakirsch@att.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub180

### Message

There needs to be a true investigation on solar costs and benefits before making changes to net metering in NC. I'm not surprised though, a big corporation want to make more money at the expense of the costumers.

**From:** Marsha Wolff  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:34 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Marsha Wolff

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Marsha Wolff

### Email

marsha.wolff23@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Good Morning - I am a resident of NC and had solar panels installed on our home in 2020. I am reaching out to ask that you please REJECT docket E100 Sub 180. This plan would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar. I ask that you please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you!!



**From:** andrew grant  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:33 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by andrew grant

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

andrew grant

### Email

agrant@gartenbeg.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It would have thought expected asnd efficient and responsible organisation to have notified me of the changes for which, that Duke energy is lobbying. Evidently you are not a consumer orientated organisation, despite your title and I expect that for reasons that i can only guess at, you have probably every intention of siding with Duke Energy. For the record, I strongly object to Duke's solar proposals and disappointed that they evidently believe that they have enough 'friends'. who will side with their ambitions. It represents all that is wrong with this Country; it is a poor statement by Duke on themselves and the Commission, who should have immediately notified and be looking after, the best interests of the consumer. This Country will continue to go down the 'rabbit hole' unless people like yourselves step up and take responsibility..it is called 'Leadership'. You need to get your act together and demonstrate that you actually care and can lead rather than being some pathetic organisation frightened of being called to account by a Utility company. If you don't think that you are up to the job or are concerned about losing your benefits then, you should resign.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Charles Rutt Jr  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Charles Rutt Jr

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Charles Rutt Jr

### Email

lesrutt01@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Please reject this proposal. Reversing course just to maintain profits and not benefit the people is morally wrong. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Stephen Graf  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 6:09 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stephen Graf

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stephen Graf

### Email

stephengraf1@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am speaking here against any changes to the net metering rules that would diminish the value of roof top solar to the owners who installed them. Duke energy has a long and dishonorable history of polluting North Carolina and promoting fossil fuel at all opportunities. Embracing renewable energy in the form of solar and wind is the only way to a sustainable future. The utilities commission has a responsibility to the citizens of North Carolina, not to the short term profit margin of Duke Energy. As an owner of Solar Panels on my roof, I have watched the Utilities Commission give Duke Energy benefit after benefit at the expense of the people of North Carolina. I spent a lot of money to put PV panels on my roof. Not to make a profit, but to help solve our energy problem. I have done more than my share. When will you do yours? When will you force Duke Energy to do theirs? Don't attack the citizens of North Carolina by putting more financial burdens on us. Stop putting Duke Energy before the people of our state. I can't count the number of times we have lost power because it rains. Why not ask Duke Energy why they can't keep the lights on? If Duke Energy can't handle the job of providing reliable and renewable energy to us, maybe its time to find another company that can.

**From:** John Owens  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:58 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Owens

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

John Owens

### **Email**

johco2e1@hotmail.com

### **Docket**

E100-Sub180

### **Message**

I was made aware that Duke Energy is planning to change the terms that they currently have with people who own homes with solar panels. I guess no amount of money is enough for some folks. My understanding is that we are supposed to be concerned with carbon emissions and climate change. I would think that putting less strain on the power grid would also, be beneficial to everyone. I guess these things are only important as long as they don't interfere with wealth building.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Mark Susie  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:40 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Susie

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark Susie

### Email

bigfish0602@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Chris shirley  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:38 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Chris shirley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Chris shirley

### Email

gcs1985@aol.com

### Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

reject this proposal I just installed solar for the reason of net metering and it does not need to change.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**From:** Lacey Linton  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:37 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lacey Linton

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Lacey Linton

### **Email**

laceylinton@gmail.com

### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

**From:** Keith Blake  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Keith Blake

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Keith Blake

### Email

keefblizz@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

In 2022 crude oil is seeing record breaking prices and now more than ever clean solar energy is important to the state of North Carolina. The proposal set in place by Duke Energy to devalue solar cost should be discarded with great haste. The fact that it was even considered makes me view the state very differently. It seems anything that would progress this state, they self-sabotage. Before this proposal makes it any further, there needs to be a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I'm tired of North Carolina being the last state to change what is common sense.



**From:** Tyler Thompson  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:40 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Tyler Thompson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Tyler Thompson

### Email

tythompson@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please stop the Duke Energy proposal to change solar metering

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Tim Carter  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:32 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Tim Carter

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Tim Carter

### Email

trcarternc@icloud.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject the Duke Power proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Valentina Ramirez  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:32 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Valentina Ramirez

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Valentina Ramirez

### Email

val10.vr@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

**From:** Thoi Ngo  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Thoi Ngo

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Thoi Ngo

### Email

tanareva123@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please truly investigate solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I would like to continue support the clean solar industry and hope for more people to do the same.

**From:** David Ackerman DVM  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:54 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Ackerman DVM

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Ackerman DVM

### Email

ursine52564@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

When we decided to use solar energy and worked “with” Duke Energy the agreement was a kilowatt per kilowatt exchange with an annual reset for any funds due to Duke Power giving them an advantage already and preventing us from over building and creating direct competition. With that said we agreed to the terms of the deal at the time with the understanding that that arrangements set with them would always be in place for the system set up at our residence. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the parameters of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan indefinitely or at minimum for the life of their system.

**From:** SANDEEP BUDANUR RAMANNA  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 2:31 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by SANDEEP BUDANUR RAMANNA

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

SANDEEP BUDANUR RAMANNA

### Email

brsandeep@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy's net metering rules should be fair to customers, should provide 1:1 rebate on the generated energy at least. Duke Energy doesn't have to invest their own money to install grids, instead, home owners have invested the money to install panels. Don't let Duke Energy reduce the rebate ratio for net metering.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Merline Mertus  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:08 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Merline Mertus

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Merline Mertus

### Email

Merline.Mertus@live.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke makes millions off customers and investing in solar panels helps produce clean energy. To change the value of credit individual consumers receive isn't fair after they already invested.

**From:** John de Figueiredo  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:07 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John de Figueiredo

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John de Figueiredo

### Email

jdefig@duke.edu

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The proposal to re-rate net metering is unacceptable. Homeowners, like myself, made a long-term solar investment based on the costs and benefits of making this long-term investment. The Duke Energy Proposal pushes out the breakeven a number of years, changing the rules of the game after investments have been made. This is unacceptable. In addition, the grid is a fixed (and sunk) cost by Duke Energy. They are making their proposal based on their own allocation of these costs, without a thorough review and comment on their methodology, allocation, or numbers. The NCUC should itself conduct its own cost-benefit analysis (or commission a study paid by Duke Energy) of the consumer solar production industry, and then allow public comment and reply. Please reject this proposal.



**From:** Donna McDonald  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:56 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Donna McDonald

## **Statement of Position Submitted**

### **Name**

Donna McDonald

### **Email**

macfam7379@yahoo.com

### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### **Message**

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I am against Duke Energy's net metering proposal Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Dunston, Antonia**

---

**From:** Dennis Shane White  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:54 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dennis Shane White

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dennis Shane White

### Email

shanewhite1717@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 sub180

### Message

I oppose Duke Energy changing the net metering measures

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022