### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1322

### BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC	)	CAROLYN T. MILLER
for Approval of Demand-Side Management	)	FOR
and Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Rider	)	<b>DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS,</b>
Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.9 and	)	LLC
Commission Rule R8-69	)	

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE
-----------------------------

- 2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND POSITION
- 3 WITH DUKE ENERGY CORPORATION.
- 4 A. My name is Carolyn Miller, and my business address is 526 South Church Street,
- 5 Charlotte, North Carolina 28202. I work for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("DEC")
- as the Manager of Rates and Regulatory Strategy, supporting both Duke Energy
- 7 Progress, LLC ("DEP" or the "Company") and DEC.
- 8 O. PLEASE BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND
- 9 **AND EXPERIENCE.**

- 10 A. I graduated from The College of New Jersey in 1994 with a Bachelor of Science degree
- in Accounting. I started my career in 1994 at Ernst & Young as a Senior Auditor.
- Subsequently, from 1997-1999, I worked for Duke Energy Global Asset Development
- as a Business Analyst. From 1999-2001, I worked for Duke Engineering & Services
- as a Senior Business Analyst. I then joined Duke Energy in 2001 and served in various
- 15 roles, including as Senior Business Analyst, Manager of General Accounting, Manager
- of Emerging Issues, and Manager of Tax Accounting. Since 2016, I have worked
- for DEC as Manager of Rates and Regulatory Strategy. As part of my role, I am
- 18 responsible for providing regulatory support and guidance on DEC and DEP, LLC's
- 19 demand-side management ("DSM") and energy efficiency ("EE") cost recovery
- process.
- 21 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED TESTIMONY IN MATTERS
- 22 BROUGHT BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?
- 23 A. Yes. I have provided testimony in support of DEC North Carolina DSM/EE Rider
- Docket No. E-7, Sub 1285, and most recently for DEP in Docket No. E-2 Sub 1206.
- 25 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES?

- 1 A. I am responsible for providing regulatory support for retail rates and providing
  2 guidance on DEC's and DEP's DSM/EE cost recovery process.
- **Q.** WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

- A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain and support DEP's proposed

  DSM/EE cost recovery rider and Experience Modification Factor ("EMF") and

  provide information required by Commission Rule R8-69.
- 7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO YOUR
  8 TESTIMONY.
  - Miller Exhibit 1 provides a summary of the proposed annual rates by customer class. Miller Exhibit 2, pages 1 through 3, shows the calculation of the EE and DSM rates for the rate period, as well as the breakdown by program of the various components of the estimated revenue requirement. Miller Exhibit 2, pages 4 through 6, presents the calculation of the EE EMF and DSM EMF rates for the test period, as well as the breakdown by program of the various components of the final revenue requirement. Adjustments resulting from Evaluation, Measurement and Verification ("EM&V") of the Company's DSM/EE programs are also presented in Miller Exhibit 2, page 7. Miller Exhibit 3, pages 1 through 4, calculates the amount of interest or return due on over- and under-collections for Vintage 2022. Exhibit 4 shows a summary of revenue collected during calendar year 2022 by program type and customer class. Miller Exhibit 5, pages 1 through 8, presents the allocation factors used in the development of the rider, including the energy allocation factors applicable to DSM and EE program costs, the North Carolina and South Carolina retail allocation factors, and the lighting allocation factors. Miller

1		Exhibit 6 includes both forecasted 2024 sales from the Spring 2023 forecast and
2		the impact of opt-outs.
3	Q.	WERE MILLER EXHIBITS 1-6 PREPARED BY YOU OR AT YOUR
4		DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION?
5	A.	Yes.
6		II. SUMMARY OF DSM/EE COSTS
7	Q.	CAN YOU PROVIDE A SUMMARY OF THE COSTS FOR WHICH DEP
8		IS REQUESTING RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
9	A.	Yes. The DSM/EE costs DEP is requesting to recover through the rates
10		proposed in this proceeding are associated with the costs incurred during the
11		test period, as well as the costs forecasted to be incurred during the rate period.
12		The test period utilized in the development of the DSM/EE EMF is January 1,
13		2022 through December 31, 2022. The North Carolina allocated share of
14		recoverable DSM/EE costs for the test period is \$151,801,256 For the rate
15		period of January 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024, the North Carolina
16		allocated share of forecasted DSM/EE costs is \$147,118,919. The total North
17		Carolina allocated share of DSM/EE costs for the test period plus the rate period
18		is \$298,920,175.
19		A summary of the costs associated with DEP's recovery request by

period and by DSM/EE program/measure is provided in the following table:

	Test Period	Rate Period
	1/1/22 through	1/1/24 through
Program/Measure	12/31/22	12/31/24
CIG DR	\$2,118,943	\$2,617,004
EnergyWise	\$16,332,470	\$17,166,763
EnergyWise for Business	\$910,922	\$1,107,847
DSDR Implementation	\$19,256,561	\$0
Residential Home Advantage	\$517	\$0
Residential Smart \$aver/Home Energy		
Improvement	\$3,581,568	\$4,444,662
Residential Low Income – NES	\$2,345,924	\$3,241,109
Energy Efficient Lighting	\$13,578,054	\$5,135,138
Appliance Recycling	\$31,968	\$7,425
My Home Energy Report	\$15,497,035	\$17,107,376
Small Business Energy Saver	\$7,583,286	\$11,585,828
Residential New Construction	\$20,701,780	\$19,840,370
Multi-Family EE	\$1,147,680	\$2,469,421
Energy Education Program for Schools	\$572,721	\$1,533,825
Save Energy & Water Kit	\$5,425,936	\$610,532
Residential Energy Assessments	\$2,708,016	\$5,890,501
Smart \$aver Prescriptive	\$408,457	\$6,849,442
Smart \$aver Custom	\$4,296,639	\$22,324,975
Smart \$aver Performance Incentive	\$15,735,706	\$7,361,363
Administrative & General Costs	\$6,069,919	\$5,480,550
Carrying Cost on Balances	\$13,309,889	\$12,518,552
Found Revenue (total)	\$(57,247)	\$(173,765)
PPI Cap Adjustment	\$244,515	
Total Cost	\$151,801,256	\$147,118,919

In addition to the summary table above, Miller Exhibit 2, page 3, and
Miller Exhibit 2, page 6, provide additional categorizations by cost element.

# Q. ARE DEP'S PROPOSED RATES DESIGNED TO RECOVER THE TOTAL NORTH CAROLINA ALLOCATED SHARE OF \$298,920,175?

A. No. Because many of the expenses incurred during the test period to develop
and implement DEP's DSM/EE programs produce benefits covering several
years, a significant portion of those expenses will be deferred and recovered
over varying amortization periods. A summary of the amortization periods for

program expenses and Program/Portfolio Performance Incentive ("PPI")<sup>1</sup> is

### 2 shown below:

	Length of Am	ortization Perio	<u> </u>	
Program Name	Program Cost – batches prior to 2023	Program Cost - 2023 - present	PPI/PRI – vintages prior to 2023	PPI/PRI - 2023 - present
CIG DR	3	3	3	3
EnergyWise	10	3	10	3
EnergyWise for Business	3	3	1	1
DSDR Implementation	10	3	N/A	N/A
Residential Home Advantage	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Residential Smart \$aver/Home Energy Improvement	10	3	10	3
Residential Low Income – NES	10	3	10	3
Energy Efficient Lighting	5	3	5	3
Appliance Recycling	10	3	10	3
My Home Energy Report	1	1	1	1
Residential New Construction	10	3	10	3
CFL Pilot	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Solar Hot Water Pilot	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Multi-Family EE	5	3	5	3
Energy Education	5	3	5	3
CIG EE	3	3	3	3
Save Water & Energy Kit	5	3	5	3
Residential Energy Assessments	5	3	5	3
Small Business Energy \$aver	3	3	3	3
Smart \$aver Prescriptive	3	3	3	3
Smart \$aver Performance	3	3	3	3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As explained further below, for vintages prior to 2016, incentives are calculated on a program basis. Pursuant to the Commission's *Order Approving Revised Cost Recovery Mechanism and Granting Waivers* issued January 20, 2015 in Docket No. E-2, Sub 931 ("Order Approving Revised Mechanism"), which applies to Vintages 2016 and forward, incentives under the Company's revised cost recovery mechanism are calculated on a portfolio basis. For ease of reference, I will refer to both incentives as "PPI."

	Length of Am	ortization Perio	d	
Program Name	Program Cost - batches prior to 2023	Program Cost - 2023 - present	PPI/PRI – vintages prior to 2023	PPI/PRI - 2023 - present
Smart \$aver Custom	3	3	3	3
Admin. & General	3	3	3	N/A

A.

In addition to the aforementioned deferrals, DEP's proposed rates include the recognition and amortization of prior period deferrals. In total, the EMF-related calculations based on test period costs reflect an estimated over-recovery of \$(24,889,788) .The DSM/EE rate calculations associated with rate period estimates are based on a revenue requirement of \$167,163,820. The rate period and EMF revenue requirements produce a combined revenue requirement of \$142,274,032. Miller Exhibit 2, pages 1 and 2, and Miller Exhibit 2, pages 4 and 5, detail the calculation of these amounts.

### III. EMF REVENUE REQUIREMENT

### Q. HOW WAS THE DSM/EE EMF OVER-RECOVERY OF (\$24,889,788)

**DETERMINED?** 

The EMF over -recovery is a function of the sum of test period costs, including amounts relating to the amortization of deferred costs from prior periods, and credits for actual DSM/EE rider revenues for the period January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022. The following table illustrates the relationship of these elements with respect to the determination of the DSM/EE EMF:

Rate Element	Amounts
Test Period Revenue Requirement	\$152,575,922
Net DSM/EE Rate Revenue	\$173,681,595
Add: Other Adjustments	\$3,784,116
Total EMF Adjustments	\$177,465,710
Adjusted DSM/EE EMF Revenue Requirement	(\$24,889,778)

Miller Exhibit 2, pages 4 through 7, provides additional details associated with the development of these amounts.

# 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE \$3,784,116 THAT HAS BEEN 5 CATEGORIZED AS "OTHER ADJUSTMENTS."

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A.

The \$3,784,116 in "Other Adjustments" is the sum of lines 2 through 11 on page 7 of Miller Exhibit 2. Lines 2 and 3 are reserved for potential prospective uncollectible allowances in DEP's DSM/EE rates and associated true-ups. DEP is not requesting a prospective uncollectible adjustment as a part of its cost recovery request in this proceeding. In addition, the Company is not requesting a true-up of the uncollectible adjustment in this proceeding as the topic of uncollectible accounts is currently pending in DEP's base rate case in Docket E-2 Sub 1300. Once the Commission issues its order in the rate case on this topic, the Company will examine uncollectible amounts for year ended 2022 and calculate an adjustment if necessary, in a future rider proceeding. In addition, the adjustments found on lines 4 through 9 reflect the true-up of PPI and net lost revenues for Vintages 2019, 2020, and 2021. The last of these adjustments, on line 10, recognizes estimated interest owed to or due from customers for over and under collections during the period extending from January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022. The Direct Testimony of Company witness Casey Q. Fields provides further detail on program-specific impacts to PPI and net lost revenues.

### IV. RATE PERIOD REVENUE REQUIREMENT

### 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BASIS FOR THE RATE PERIOD REVENUE

REQUIREMENT.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

As indicated previously, the estimated revenue requirement for the rate period is \$167,163,820. This amount reflects the anticipated costs and necessary recoveries for the rate period, which extends from January 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024. The \$167,163,820 revenue requirement includes: (1) \$28,393,238 for anticipated rate period program expenses; (2) amortizations and carrying costs associated with deferred prior period costs totaling \$81,809,519; (3) net lost revenues for the rate period totaling \$41,479,043 for vintage years 2019 through 2024; and (5) PPI totaling \$15,482,020 associated with vintage years 2015 through 2024. In addition, under the Commission's October 20, 2020 Order Approving Revisions to Demand-Side Management and Energy Efficiency Cost Recovery Mechanisms, issued by the Commission in Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 931 and E-7, Sub 1032 (the "2020 Mechanism Order"), beginning in 2022, the Income-Qualified EE and Weatherization programs are eligible to receive a Program Return Incentive ("PRI") based on shared savings achieved by these programs. Witness Fields's testimony provides additional information on this matter.

### V. <u>JURISDICTIONAL COST ALLOCATION</u>

### Q. HOW ARE DSM AND EE PROGRAM COSTS ALLOCATED TO THE

#### NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL JURISDICTION?

1	A.	DEP determines the total amount of recoverable costs and separates these costs
2		into three categories: (1) DSM-related costs, (2) EE-related costs, and (3) costs
3		that provide a system benefit in support of both DSM and EE programs. For
4		each of these categories, different allocation methods are employed to assign
5		those costs to the appropriate jurisdiction.

### 6 Q. HOW ARE COSTS IDENTIFIED AS EE-RELATED ALLOCATED TO

### NORTH CAROLINA?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

A.

Any program costs that are identified as being EE-related, including administrative and general ("A&G") costs, are allocated to the North Carolina retail jurisdiction based upon the ratio of North Carolina retail sales to DEP system retail sales at the point of generation. For calendar year test periods beginning in year 2016, the allocation percentage for the entire calendar year test period is based on the latest cost of service study available at the time of filing.

### 15 Q. HOW ARE DSM-RELATED COSTS ALLOCATED TO NORTH 16 CAROLINA?

Any program costs that are identified as being DSM-related, including A&G costs, are allocated to the North Carolina retail jurisdiction based upon the ratio of the North Carolina retail demand to the DEP system retail demand at the hour of the annual summer system coincident peak. For calendar year test periods beginning in year 2016, the allocation percentage for the entire calendar year test period is based on the latest cost of service study available at the time of filing.

1	Q.	PLEASE	ELABORATE	ON THE	METHODOLOGY	USED	TO
2		ALLOCA	TE DSM/EE CO	STS THAT	OFFER A SYSTEM	BENEFI	Г.

5

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 3 A. Certain A&G costs provide a system benefit in support of both DSM and EE programs and, therefore, are allocated in both categories. The allocation of these costs into either the DSM or EE category is based upon the percentage of 6 program costs for each type of expenditure anticipated during the next forecast calendar year. For example, if 30% of direct program costs in the forecast period are EE-related, then 30% of these A&G costs will be considered EErelated costs for allocation purposes. The use of a forecast period recognizes 10 the types of new programs DEP will offer in the immediate future that will be supported by these administrative costs. The assignment of A&G costs as either 12 DSM- or EE- related is reviewed annually based upon forecasted program costs for the next calendar year. The A&G costs in this proceeding have been assigned to these categories based upon forecasted DSM and EE costs for 2024.
  - Q. IN MILLER EXHIBIT 2, PAGE 3, AND MILLER EXHIBIT 2, PAGE 6, THE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DEMAND RESPONSE ("DSDR") PROGRAM IS **SEPARATED FROM** THE OTHER DSM/EE PROGRAMS. HOW IS THE DSDR PROGRAM CLASSIFIED?
    - A. Through 2023, the DSDR program has been classified by the Commission, for purposes of ratemaking, as an EE program. Due to the scope and nature of DSDR, its costs are being tracked separately. This separate tracking includes both direct costs and A&G costs associated with the program.

23 As part of Docket E-2 Sub 1300, the Company proposed including the 24 DSDR program as part of base rates. Therefore, the Company is not including

1	prospective DSDR costs as part of this rider filing. The Company will continue
2	to collect DSDR costs and amortizations through Vintage 2023 as part of the
3	DSM/FF Rider

### VI. UTILITY INCENTIVES AND NET LOST REVENUES

### Q. HOW ARE THE PPI AND PRI CALCULATED?

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

A. The PPI and PRI are calculated pursuant to the 2020 Mechanism Order and are based on the savings achieved by the portfolio of PPI-eligible DSM/EE programs and PRI-eligible programs. Company witness Fields further describes the specifics of the PPI and PRI calculations in his testimony. Please see Fields Exhibit 1 for additional detail by program.

### Q. HOW WERE NET LOST REVENUES DETERMINED?

The Company determines net lost revenues, which are applicable to both DSM and EE programs, by multiplying the estimated reduction in kWh sales associated with a program or measure by a margin-based net lost revenue rate. The following formula illustrates the basic components of the net lost revenue calculations: Net Lost Revenues (\$) = Lost Sales (kWh) x Net Lost Revenue Rate (\$/kWh).

Lost Sales are those sales that do not occur because of implementation of DEP DSM/EE measures. These values are initially based on engineering estimates and/or past impact evaluations. Future periods are based on updated impact evaluations resulting from EM&V activities and are applied prospectively and in conjunction with applicable net lost revenue true ups. The Net Lost Revenue rate represents the difference between the average retail rate applicable to the customer class impacted by the measure and the sum of (1) the

embedded regulatory fees, (2) the related average customer charge component
of that rate, (3) the average fuel component of the rate, and (4) the incremental
variable operations and maintenance rate as filed in DEP's last Cogeneration
and Small Power Producer tariff. When multiple customer classes are impacted
by a DSM/EE measure, as with the DSDR program, a weighted or system-wide
net lost revenue rate is employed.

Pursuant to the 2020 Mechanism Order, DEP may only recover net lost revenues for up to 36 months of an installed measure's life, and as with the PPI, recoveries are subject to true-up based on future EM&V results.

A.

### VII. COST ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY

### Q. HOW ARE DSM- AND EE-RELATED COSTS ALLOCATED TO EACH RATE CLASS?

Costs are assigned to customer classes based on program design and participation. In other words, residential program costs are allocated solely to residential customers, general service program costs are allocated solely to general service customers, and lighting program costs are allocated solely to lighting customers. Where programs benefit multiple customer groups, the costs are allocated directly to groups receiving benefits or by employing annual energy- and/or coincident peak demand-based allocation factors.

Miller Exhibit 2, pages 1 and 2, and Miller Exhibit 2, pages 4 and 5, demonstrate how the costs associated with a specific program have been assigned to customer groups.

1	Q.	HOW ARE SALES AND DEMAND ADJUSTED FOR THE IMPACT OF
2		OPT-OUT CUSTOMERS?

A.

A. Commercial customers with annual consumption of 1,000,000 kWh or greater in the billing months of the prior calendar year and all industrial customers who implement or will implement alternative DSM/EE measures may elect not to participate in DEP's DSM and/or EE programs. DEP reviewed its customer records and identified that commercial and industrial customers choosing to opt out of EE programs consumed 12,765,879,707 kWh during the year ended December 31, 2022. In addition, DEP identified that commercial and industrial customers choosing to opt out of DSM programs consumed 12,840,285,582 kWh during the year ended December 31, 2022.

DEP developed rate class allocation factors based on the assumption that customers that have elected to opt out of the Company's DSM/EE rider will remain opted out. If customers decide to change their opt-out status, revenue gains or losses will be recognized in subsequent DSM/EE EMF calculations.

Sales for the year ended December 31, 2022 for all customers electing to opt out of the DSM/EE rate are provided in Miller Exhibit 6.

# Q. THE SALES FOR OPT-OUT CUSTOMERS ARE EASILY IDENTIFIED, BUT HOW IS THE COINCIDENT PEAK OF THESE CUSTOMERS ESTIMATED?

Currently installed metering for a great number of opt-out customers does not provide sufficient detail to determine their contribution to the system coincident peak hour load. Instead, the impact is estimated based upon the ratio of opt-out sales to total sales for the rate class multiplied by the rate class peak demand.

1		This approach should accurately approximate the demand of opt-out accounts.
2		This calculation can be seen at Miller Exhibit 5, page 7.
3	Q.	AFTER ADJUSTING ENERGY AND DEMAND FOR OPT-OUT
4		CUSTOMERS, HOW ARE THE RESULTING ALLOCATION
5		FACTORS THEN USED TO DETERMINE THE REVENUE
6		REQUIREMENT FOR EACH RATE CLASS?
7	A.	Energy- and demand-based allocators are used in cases where programs or
8		measures directly benefit multiple rate groups. When a DSM or EE program
9		benefits multiple rate groups, DEP multiplies EE costs by rate class energy
10		allocation factors and multiplies any associated DSM costs by rate class demand
11		allocation factors for purposes of cost assignment.
12		Since usage for opt-out customers is not forecasted, the rate class energy
13		allocation factors were developed from the forecasted rate class usage after
14		subtracting actual sales for opt-out customers for the year ended December 31,
15		2022. Miller Exhibit 5, page 6, provides the energy allocation factors applicable
16		to each rate class based upon the forecast of rate class sales for the rate period
17		of January 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024.
18		The allocation rate class demand allocation factors are based on the
19		summer coincident peak demand for 2022 after subtracting the estimated
20		demand for opt-out customers as discussed above. The forecast does not
21		provide rate class coincident peak demands; therefore, the most recent historic
22		data was deemed to be representative of future demand impacts. Miller Exhibit
23		5, page 7, shows the demand allocation factors applicable to each rate class for

the rate period.

1 Q. WHICH OF DEP'S PROGRAMS OR MEASURES	H OF DEP'S	PROGRAMS OR MEAS	SURES BENEFIT
--	------------	------------------	---------------

#### 2 MULTIPLE CUSTOMER CLASSES?

Miller Exhibit 5, page 8.

9

24

- A. The Company's DSDR program benefits all customer classes. To allocate

  DSDR costs, DEP employs rate class energy allocation factors. These

  allocation procedures are elements of Miller Exhibit 2, pages 1 and 4. In

  addition, DEP's Energy Efficient Lighting Program provides benefits to both

  the residential and general service customer classes. These costs were allocated

  based on the bulbs provided to those classes using EM&V results as shown in
- 10 Q. HOW DOES DEP DETERMINE RATE CLASS DSM/EE RATES?
- 11 A. The calculated rate class DSM and EE revenue requirements are divided by
  12 forecasted rate class sales, after adjustment for opt-out customers, to establish
  13 the rate class DSM/EE rate. Miller Exhibit 2, page 1, provides the derivation
  14 of the EE rate. Miller Exhibit 2, page 2, provides the derivation of the DSM
  15 rate.

#### 16 Q. HOW DOES DEP DETERMINE RATES FOR THE DSM/EE EMF?

As with DSM/EE rate determination, the calculated rate class DSM and EE
EMF revenue requirements, adjusted for cost recoveries, are divided by
forecasted rate class sales, after adjustment for opt-out customers, to establish
the rate class DSM/EE EMF rate. Miller Exhibit 2, page 4, provides the
derivation of the EE EMF rate. Miller Exhibit 2, page 5, provides the derivation
of the DSM EMF rate.

### VIII. PROPOSED RATES

#### Q. WHAT RATES ARE PROPOSED FOR EACH RATE CLASS?

A. Miller Exhibit 1 is populated with the DSM/EE rates and EMF rates proposed in this proceeding. The DSM/EE rates recover costs forecasted to be incurred from January 1, 2024 through December 31, 2024. The DSM/EE EMF is a true-up mechanism recognizing costs and recoveries for the test period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022. DEP proposes the following rates, exclusive of North Carolina regulatory fees, for each rate class:

Rate Class	DSM Rate (¢/kWh)	EE Rate (¢/kWh)	DSM EMF (¢/kWh)	EE EMF Rate (¢/kWh)	DSM/EE Annual Rider (¢/kWh)
Residential	0.146	0.529	0.000	(0.047)	0.628
General Service EE		0.539		(0.182)	0.357
General Service DSM	0.051		(0.009)		0.042
Lighting		0.021		(0.021)	0.000

## 7 Q. WHAT ARE THE RATES INCLUDING NORTH CAROLINA 8 REGULATORY FEES?

9 A. The following table reflects the proposed billing rates, including North Carolina regulatory fees, for each rate class:

Rate Class	DSM Rate (¢/kWh)	EE Rate (¢/kWh)	DSM EMF (¢/kWh)	EE EMF (¢/kWh)	Annual DSM/EE Rider (¢/kWh)
Residential	0.146	0.530	0.000	(0.047)	0.629
General Service EE		0.540		(0.182)	0.358
General Service DSM	0.051		(0.009)		0.042
Lighting		0.021		(0.021)	0.000

- 1 Q. HOW WILL DEP REVISE ITS TARIFFS TO RECOVER THESE
- 2 RATES?
- 3 A. The Company will update its Annual Billing Adjustment, Rider BA, to
- 4 recognize these rates, adjusted for the North Carolina regulatory fees.
- 5 IX. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
- 6 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 7 A. Yes.