

Ladawn S. Toon Associate General Counsel

NCRH 20 / P.O. Box 1551 Raleigh, NC 27602

o: 919.546.7971

Ladawn.Toon@duke-energy.com

September 1, 2022

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. A. Shonta Dunston, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300

RE: Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Rebuttal Testimony

Docket No. E-2, Sub 1296

Dear Ms. Dunston:

Please find enclosed Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Rebuttal Testimony of Angela M. Tabor, in the above-referenced proceeding.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Sincerely,

Ladawn S. Toon

Enclosure

cc: Parties of Record

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1296

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of)	
Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC)	
Pursuant to G.S. 62-110.8 and Commission)	REBUTTAL
Rule R8-71 for Approval of CPRE)	TESTIMONY OF
Compliance Report and CPRE Cost)	ANGELA M. TABOR
Recovery Rider)	

1 O. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS AI	ADDKESS	JSINESS .	AND BU	NAME AND	TE YOUR	STAT	PLEASE	O.	1
---------------------------------------------	----------------	-----------	--------	----------	---------	------	--------	----	---

- 2 A. My name is Angela M. Tabor, and my business address is 410 South
- Wilmington Street, Raleigh, North Carolina.
- 4 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS
- 5 **PROCEEDING?**
- 6 A. Yes. I filed direct testimony in this proceeding on June 14, 2022. My direct
- 7 testimony included an exhibit, Tabor Exhibit No. 1, which presented Duke
- 8 Energy Progress, LLC's ("DEP" or the "Company") Competitive
- 9 Procurement of Renewable Energy ("CPRE") Program 2021 Compliance
- 10 Report, in accordance with North Carolina Utilities Commission (the
- "Commission") Rule R8-71(h).
- 12 Q. ARE YOU PROVIDING ANY EXHIBITS IN SUPPORT OF YOUR
- 13 REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN
- 16 THIS PROCEEDING?
- 17 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to the Affidavit of Jeff
- Thomas of the Public Staff dated August 24, 2022 alleging that DEP
- 19 unreasonably incurred network upgrade costs associated with a Tranche 2
- winning project, Marley Solar, LLC, and that such costs should therefore
- 21 not be recoverable.

1	Q.	WHY DOES PUBLIC STAFF WITNESS THOMAS ARGUE THAT
2		THE NETWORK UPGRADE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH
3		MARLEY SOLAR, LLC WERE UNREASONABLY INCURRED?
4	A.	Witness Thomas states that the cost estimates provided to Marley Solar,
5		LLC in the Interconnection Customer's Facilities Study Report and
6		Interconnection Agreement exceed the original estimate provided to Marley
7		Solar, LLC in the CPRE evaluation process by more than 25%, and are
8		therefore unreasonable. He cites to the Commission's July 2, 2019, Order
9		Modifying and Accepting CPRE Program Plan ("CPRE Program Order")
10		filed in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1159 to argue that any CPRE network upgrade
11		costs that exceed DEP's original network upgrade cost estimate provided to
12		a CPRE bid winner by more than 25% shall be considered unreasonable and
13		unauthorized for recovery in a future rate case.
14	Q.	DOES THE CPRE PROGRAM ORDER PROVIDE THE COMPANY
15		AN OPPORTUNITY TO PROVE THAT ANY NETWORK
16		UPGRADE COSTS EXCEEDING THE ORIGINAL COST
17		ESTIMATE BY MORE THAN 25% ARE IN FACT REASONABLE
18		AND PRUDENT?
19	A.	Yes. The CPRE Program Order states that the 25% limit shall be applied
20		"in the nature of a presumption," and that the "utility may rebut this
21		presumption by competent, material, and substantive evidence." CPRE
22		Program Order, at 18.

1	Q.	HAS DEP ACTUALLY INCURRED ANY NETWORK UPGRADE
2		COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH MARLEY SOLAR, LLC?
3	A.	No. The construction of Marley Solar, LLC is not yet complete, as detailed
4		in Tabor Exhibit No. 1. Accordingly, actual network upgrade costs
5		associated with this project are not yet known, and cannot be compared to
6		the original cost estimate provided to Marley Solar, LLC to confirm whether
7		or not they exceed the original cost estimate by 25% or more.
8	Q.	ARE MARLEY SOLAR, LLC'S CURRENT NETWORK UPGRADE
9		COST ESTIMATES REASONABLE, DESPITE BEING GREATER
10		THAN 25% ABOVE THE ORIGINAL COST ESTIMATE?
11	A.	Yes. However, as an initial matter, it is important to understand that cost
12		estimates evolve over time, and because Marley Solar, LLC is still under
13		construction, the actual costs of Marley Solar, LLC's network will remain
14		unknown until the project is actually completed. That said, the greater than
15		25% cost increases in the estimates provided to Marley Solar, LLC were,
16		and still are, reasonable and prudent.
17		First, the economic conditions existing when the original cost
18		estimate ¹ was provided to Marley Solar, LLC in 2020 have drastically
19		changed. Since that time, market prices and inflation have dramatically
20		increased, correspondingly causing material and labor costs for network
21		upgrades to also increase. Additionally, to account for these market

¹ Note also that this original cost estimate was based on historical market prices for network upgrade labor and materials, further leading to discrepancies in cost estimates provided in early 2020 as compared to now.

conditions, DEP has determined it reasonable and prudent to include greater construction contingencies in its network upgrade cost estimates.

Second, the nature of the interconnection study process evolves over time and requires increasingly in-depth review of projects, which can lead to additional network upgrades (and costs) being identified throughout the study process that were not originally, reasonably known, or even technologically feasible. For example, in the time between performing the Facilities Study and Marley Solar, LLC signing the Interconnection Agreement, the utilization of more technologically advanced line switches became standard practice for DEP, which in part led to increased network upgrade costs. Specifically, remote line switches became available, which allow transmission lines to be remotely sectionalized, thus minimizing the customer impact of faults as well as their impact on generation resources. This technology improves reliability for customers as well as reduces the duration that the transmission system is isolated from generation resources such as solar.

In summary, DEP has reasonably and prudently managed the ongoing construction and interconnection process of Marley Solar, LLC, and DEP's engineers have calculated increasingly refined network upgrade cost estimates based upon the best available information and equipment at the time such estimates were provided.

- 1 Q. IS DEP COMMITTED TO PROVIDING MARLEY SOLAR, LLC
- 2 REASONABLE COST ESTIMATES, AND ONLY INCURRING
- 3 REASONABLE AND PRUDENT NETWORK UPGRADE COSTS?
- 4 A. Yes. DEP is committed to monitoring the cost of construction and will
- 5 ensure that all network upgrade costs are reasonably and prudently incurred
- for Marley Solar, LLC. Moreover, Marley Solar, LLC has an assigned
- 7 project manager whose job is to specifically monitor the project's timeline,
- 8 construction, and costs. Additionally, all projects undergo a final
- 9 accounting report once construction activities are complete and the facility
- reaches commercial operation. Marley Solar, LLC will undergo this same
- exercise with the Duke Energy Technology's Business Controls team once
- completed to ensure all costs are appropriately incurred and accounted for.
- 13 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 14 A. Yes.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Rebuttal Testimony, in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1296, has been served by electronic mail, hand delivery or by depositing a copy in the United States mail, postage prepaid to the parties of record.

This the 1st day of September, 2022.

Ladawn S. Toon

Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Corporation P.O. Box 1551/NCRH 20

Jadun Jos

Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

Tel: 919.546.7971

<u>ladawn.toon@duke-energy.com</u>