

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kara Williams  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 11:15 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kara Williams

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kara Williams

### Email

kiwmonkey@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please perform an in-depth investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. The value of having solar power on our homes needs to be preserved so more people join and add solar to their homes. Thanks! Kara

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Ted Smith  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 10:54 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ted Smith

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ted Smith

### Email

ted\_smith\_615@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Please don't change the agreed solar net-metering program. Any negative change will greatly impact the goal of reducing fossil fuel use, and the switch to solar. The value to Duke Power must not be adequate, however they surely can figure a way to adopt solar without taking away the incentives to consumers.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kurt Battenberg  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 10:49 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kurt Battenberg

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kurt Battenberg

### Email

kjbatt2000@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub-180

### Message

Please perform a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Paul Domanico  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 10:30 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Domanico

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Paul Domanico

### Email

pdomanico@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello, I just learned that Duke Energy has submitted a plan to the NCUC that would change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers who rely on solar power. This change could slow the much-needed transition to cleaner sources of energy. Duke Energy's objectives are tainted and any claims made that solar panel consumers are not paying their share are a sham. The simple truth is that Duke Energy's plan increases Duke Energy's profits. As a North Carolina homeowner committed to cleaner energy and a better life for our children and grandchildren, my wife and I installed solar panels to - walk the talk. Now, I am asking the NCUC to do the same. Do the right thing for our people and our planet. Please reject Duke Energy's plan. Paul Domanico

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Larry Archibald  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 10:25 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Larry Archibald

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Larry Archibald

### Email

archlar@sbcglobal.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Leave the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers alone. The homeowners made the investment in solar and expect the return on investment as promised.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kaye Moody  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 11:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kaye Moody

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kaye Moody

### Email

kaye.moody@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

---

**From:** Richard Mucci  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 10:19 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Mucci

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Richard Mucci

### Email

rsmucci@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I believe that the action being considered to allow Duke Energy to make changes for rooftop solar customer per the above noted docket is harmful to the environmental goals of the state and for the customers who personally invested in clean energy. It essentially will have the effect of "clawing back" the subsidies earned and contracted for when household customers agreed to them. This is truly underhanded. Rather than watering down the efforts of the customers, Duke Energy should be encouraging the use of rooftop solar to underpin the grid and to lighten the need for construction and growth of traditional grid support. Please take steps to mitigate or eliminate this trend.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Anthony S Warren  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:53 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Anthony S Warren

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Anthony S Warren

### Email

42brits@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I oppose the NEM changes that Duke has recommended to the NCUC. It is clear that the complexity of their proposals deliberately hides increased costs for NEM consumers who already get a raw deal, and would slow the pace of solar adoption. Not only should the proposal be rejected but Duke should be censured for wasting time and money on such proposals.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James Woody  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:53 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Woody

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Woody

### Email

j.woo1391@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Do not allow Duke Energy to change the rules to net metering unless it is to halt the cancelation of my accrued rollover credits that disappear as soon as the Summer arrives.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Roland Draughn  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:47 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Roland Draughn

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Roland Draughn

### Email

draughn@embarqmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject the proposal to change the rules for net metering and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** John Thomas and winifred thomas  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:40 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Thomas and winifred thomas

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Thomas and winifred thomas

### Email

super\_mommy2008@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We have had Duke Energy's net metering for almost two years and they have never paid us any money for all the energy that our solar panels send to the power grid. Since Duke Energy does not pay customers for the excess energy sent to the power grid anyways, I do not think there is a need to grant them their request for a rate reduction. I also think that they should be required to pay customers for the excess energy that has already been sent to the power grid and any future energy sent to the power grid.

Taylor, Jeremy

---

**From:** Kim Baldwin  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:30 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kim Baldwin

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kim Baldwin

### Email

blueyes70@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal. A full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar needs to be conducted. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is also extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on the current net metering plan for the life of my system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** G.Todd Buker  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:14 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by G. Todd Buker

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

G. Todd Buker

### Email

gtoddbuker@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The time to increase access and reliance on solar power is yesterday. NC residents and businesses are making readily available solar power a reliable alternate source of energy, and we practice this with the same right of generating energy with our own property. Duke Energy has long opposed the individual property owner's rights to produce with our property. This corporation, no matter how deep their pockets, should not get to determine the rates or the rules for everyone - that is the sole domain of our elected representatives. We don't elect Duke officials. Therefore, they cannot change the rules and rates after the fact. At a minimum, more investigation and more public comment is needed. At a maximum, the State of North Carolina should allow its residents to have more access and autonomy over readily available energy. Thank You, G. Todd Buker

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Lindsay Hensley  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lindsay Hensley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lindsay Hensley

### Email

llaclair@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I'm writing in response to Duke Energy's plan to alter consumer billing with regards to solar net metering and requesting you reject the proposal for the reasons stated below. It's your responsibility to limit Duke Energy's attempts at overreaching and protect consumers, especially considering they have no competitors and maintain a monopoly in our region. Many thanks, Lindsay Hensley 1) NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. It is IMPERATIVE the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. 2) Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. 3) The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. 4) Unacceptable tenants of the plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees, time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand, compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) 5) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of MY solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Larry Crews  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 8:50 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Larry Crews

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Larry Crews

### Email

kdcrows@earthlink.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

One of the reasons that I signed up for solar power was to save on my Duke Energy bill and to sell my extra energy to Duke Energy. Please reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Adam Fernandez  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 7:22 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Adam Fernandez

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Adam Fernandez

### Email

adamnlaura@mac.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

NCUC please stop Duke Energy from encroaching upon our benefits as solar owners. By reducing buy back rates and assigning arbitrary peak periods in an effort to reduce incentives for proper activities in the effort to reduce homeowners cost of energy and be responsible climate stewards Duke Energy is harming North Carolina. If they want a study have them pay for it and make adjustments based on hard data from an impartial body not on supposition or monetary motivations. In the meantime also encourage them to review existing studies that show that a power grid based nearly 100% on renewables (solar, wind, battery storage) is cost neutral within our lifetimes. They should be more focused on power grid conversion than taking away the benefits to those of us taking these same actions within our individual homes at significant personal cost.



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bruce Dover  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Dover

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bruce Dover

### Email

wbrudo@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: · higher fixed monthly fees · time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand · compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bret Morgan  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:02 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bret Morgan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bret Morgan

### Email

bretmorgan1@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I oppose the move by Duke Energy and others to change the current net metering policy in North Carolina. The proposal to move away from net metering is anti-business, anti-climate, and anti common sense. • Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina’s established climate goals. • Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke’s proposal passes. • The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. • Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Gregory Miller  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 4:12 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gregory Miller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Gregory Miller

### Email

gmmiller@mail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I encourage you to grandfather in those consumers who already made enormous investments in solar with an option to remain under current policy. That was deal we entered with you. Give us 25 years.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Richard A. Willis  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 4:10 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Richard A. Willis

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Richard A. Willis

### Email

etwillis0820@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The existing solar net metering customers should be allowed to have the same pricing, or metering that they originally sign up for. Should not be reduced from 10 cents to 3 cents a kilowatt-hour. If we knew this would change, we would probably not have installed solar panels.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Randall L Wagner  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 4:07 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Randall L Wagner

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Randall L Wagner

### Email

randywagner@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I am demanding that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of residential rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Brian Kotek  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 4:02 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Kotek

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brian Kotek

### Email

brian428@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before adopting any changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David McGuirk  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:48 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David McGuirk

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David McGuirk

### Email

davmcguirk@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The proposed changes are NOT in the interest of Duke Energy customers nor other North Carolina taxpayers. It would discourage investment in residential solar which is an important contributor to reducing greenhouse gas emissions from electric generation. These changes are a bad idea and should be denied.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** William Savage  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:44 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by William Savage

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

William Savage

### Email

matt.savage@att.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Zach Johnson  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:43 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Zach Johnson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Zach Johnson

### Email

zajohnso@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a homeowner who recently installed 8 panels on my home. I have learned about the intent to mess with the net metering policies and that's very frustrating. Please do a thorough full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before moving forward any changes to this net metering policy. Net metering is important if we are to encourage the use of residential solar. Regardless of net consumption, all customers pay their base \$14/mo charges, so no one is getting out of paying for the grid maintenance. I am asking for a full review of net metering costs which includes the overwhelming value net metering provides to the overall grid stability and clean energy goals we have as a state and nation. please contact me if you want to discuss more.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Lauren Wise  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:43 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lauren Wise

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lauren Wise

### Email

lpwise@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 SUB 180

### Message

I am completely against the proposed NEM changes Duke recommended to the NCUC. You need to lock the NEM rules that were in place when the interconnection agreements were signed unless they improve for your NC residents. We need renewables now more than ever, especially with the oncoming surge of EV vehicles and thousand of people moving into the Southeast USA. We will have supply and demand issues that are going to have a harsh impact on utility rates and possibly cause longer than necessary black/brown outs. With a strong distributed energy grid we can keep businesses operating as they're supposed to be maintaining the tax revenues I'm certain the state desires. Strong solar also means strong job growth, local jobs, quality jobs, sustainable jobs for the long future. Sincerely, Lauren Wise, Brevard, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Marc Miller  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Marc Miller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Marc Miller

### Email

milltex@gvtc.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I do not typically comment on proposed legislation and this particular issue I'm very passionate about. I am against the proposed regulation submitted by Duke Energy impacting solar customers. We are new solar customers and we've made a significant investment in order to both save electricity and money as well as do our part of reducing our footprint and reduce our reliance on fossil fuels. The price of oil this year is a serious reminder how much energy costs impact every day consumers. Certainly folks like us that made a conscious decision to invest in solar energy partially made the decision based on payback from our solar investment. And, we based that decision on the rules that are currently in place with Duke Energy. To change the rules from net metering to time of use and then paying customers back at wholesale rates (cents not dollars) is a huge detrimental hit to solar customers. In this time of heightened awareness of the cost of energy, one would think incentivizing consumers to find alternative energy solutions would be applauded, not penalized. We strongly encourage you to oppose the proposed changes to solar customers and allow the current net metering solution remain in place.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jim Ward  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jim Ward

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jim Ward

### Email

jimward1028@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of residential solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy has made unsubstantiated claims that residential solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid. I respectfully demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of residential solar and be transparent with the public throughout the entire process. Duke Energy and NCUC not notifying the 36K residential solar customers of this issue is appalling, but par for the course with two 'good ole boy' entities.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** John Marriott  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:11 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by John Marriott

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

John Marriott

### Email

johnm.personal@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I made an investment in rooftop solar in 2015. My investment was based on the rules that were in place at the time and agreed to with Duke Energy, for the life of my solar system. Duke Energy should not be allowed to unilaterally change the rules during the operational life of my system. If they do change the rules, I will require compensation to make up for the difference between what I was expecting under the agree-upon rules and the results from the new rules, plus extra for my trouble.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jonathan Starr  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:09 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jonathan Starr

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jonathan Starr

### Email

jonostarr@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am against the proposed NEM changes recommended by Duke. I am a small business owner very connected to the manufacturing industry and surrounding Western North Carolina community. I have recently (March 2021) added solar panels to my residence and office in Alexander, NC in an effort to combat our high energy usage. The new rules proposed by Duke would have rendered my solar project dead on arrival. Furthermore, these changes would have me and others like me withdraw our solar upgrade applications. The bigger problem is that solar projects are expensive, and it's a risk many homeowners are willing to take considering in partnership with energy companies and local government. North Carolina is already prohibitive with respect to these kinds of projects. One needs to be overly altruistic or clever to build a win-win solar project. The industry is growing and it's great to see the progress, but accepting the proposal from Duke would absolutely put us in the wrong direction and benefit a giant corporation rather than NC Residents. Please strike down the proposal!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Deborah C Kenyon  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 3:02 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Deborah C Kenyon

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Deborah C Kenyon

### Email

dcjkenyon@aol.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for existing solar customers such as my household. North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. We could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027, and we object to these possible outcomes: \*higher fixed monthly fees \*time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand \*compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, we would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Emily Ann Yopp  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:55 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Emily Ann Yopp

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Emily Ann Yopp

### Email

emily\_wiland@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am opposing the Duke Energy attempt to adjust meter readings for Solar Customers. I Purchased Solar Panels in 2018 out of my own pocket, not only to benefit my house hold but the environment. Please do not Allow Duke Energy to change their Solar Policies. This will hurt North Carolina in moving forward with clean energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** William Bolick  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:52 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by William Bolick

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

William Bolick

### Email

unclewigglyb@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

• NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. That investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven. Some studies show the opposite. It is imperative that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before any decision is made. • Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. • Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. • The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. • If the NCUC decides that existing solar customers could be forced onto this new plan. The plan could mean: • higher fixed monthly fees • time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand • compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now Finally, Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Brian Gracely  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:51 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Gracely

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brian Gracely

### Email

bgracely@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a current Rooftop Solar homeowner, it has come to my attention that Duke Power is attempting to change the way they compensate consumers that generate electricity and give it back to the grid. I hope that you consider the future of NC as a state that has the opportunity to be a leading in clean energy creation and reject this proposal. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy already disadvantages rooftop solar residents by resetting the credit that we should be receiving for excess energy creation each June (effectively stealing the power we create without any compensation), so additional changes to the current system would amount to even more stealing by Duke Power.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** john vermeulen  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:51 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by john vermeulen

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

john vermeulen

### Email

j.vermeuln@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub180

### Message

I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar per NC House Bill 589 requirement before Duke Energy's net metering proposal is considered. I am a customer of Dominion Energy and I don't understand why they are allowed to zero out my kwh's that I have sent to them twice per year with no cost to them. They have invested nothing to support my solar system. I have invested 100% and they get to keep the KWH's at no cost this is not net metering.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sarah Barton  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:43 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sarah Barton

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sarah Barton

### Email

sarah.e.magruder@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a solar customer, I am asking that you do a true investigation into the cost and benefits of solar power before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke's current proposal to change net-metering for residential customers is anti-consumer, anti-sustainable energy, and ultimately anti-NC, if their proposal passes, the climate future of NC is at stake.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Steve Shechter  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:43 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Shechter

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Steve Shechter

### Email

stevenb4260@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am opposed to the net-metering scheme proposed by Duke Energy. I believe that it would be detrimental to the advancement of solar energy use in North Carolina and by extension the environmental goals of the current administration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Cathi Goldie  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:39 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Cathi Goldie

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Cathi Goldie

### Email

catzdg@hotmail.com

### Docket

Docekt E-100 Sub180

### Message

I do not believe what Duke has submitted is fair for anyone that already has solar in place, and plus it will discourage solar installs in the future. I recently had solar installed and it is not fair to completely change and lower the net metering advantage that we signed on for. We did our part for the environment and to lose the financial advantage for the large outlay of money is not right. Our world needs those of us that can manage to pay for solar to have it so that climate change will be helped by utilizing this solar power. Don't allow Duke to punish us for doing the right thing for people, our state and the environment.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Robert Jones  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Jones

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Robert Jones

### Email

bobnc127@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to oppose the proposed changes from Duke toward the Net Metering Solar Energy customers. If we want to support, bolster or increase solar investment in NC, you can't allow Duke to implement the changes they are proposing. All parties, North Carolinians and Duke need to be on a level playing field and not one sided in Duke's favor. They are proposing reimbursing current customers with solar at \$.03 per KWH while they charge \$.10 per KWH which would deliver energy to Duke at a 66% discount while they turn around and sell it for a 66% profit. That is absurd. They should be reimbursed the same amount that they charge which is \$.10 per KWH today. Trying to finagle this or time of use pricing is Duke's way of trying to circumvent current standards and regulations at the same time trying to profit off those homeowners who have invested in solar based upon rates and laws currently in place. Put a stop to their BS and slap them down, they are a utility, not a government entity! If a company with local competition would propose this, they would be sued and lose customers. Slap them down and ensure they keep the solar net metering program in place as agreed upon. If they need to increase the infrastructure charge, they should present it with all supporting documents. We are fortunate that we have nuclear power in NC and we are not at a total disadvantage to fossil fuels. Just say no to Duke's proposed increases regarding solar. We are bombarded daily by the climate activists, czars and septuagenarian in power that we need to increase non fossil fuels. This is not promoting solar, this detracts from solar. One last item, Duke uses my solar system in their report to the Federal Gov't regarding solar use in their utility. That should also be rescinded..

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Elizabeth S Miller  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth S Miller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Elizabeth S Miller

### Email

sassymccaw@aol.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Barry Grosman  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Barry Grosman

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Barry Grosman

### Email

bjg@pipeline.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Do not let Duke power change the net metering rules. I've done my part to help the environment by adding solar panels and should not be penalized after having done so. If anything, they should do more to save our planet!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Charlen Swanson Galbrecht  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 1:49 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Charlen Swanson Galbrecht

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Charlen Swanson Galbrecht

### Email

charlenesg@bellsouth.net

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Please do not process any act that would decrease the use of Solar in NC. We have willing shared with Duke for years and we do not want our agreement to be jeopardized. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Darrell Burnett  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 1:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Darrell Burnett

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Darrell Burnett

### Email

bigdburnett@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

If we truly want North Carolina to be a leader in solar energy production, we must have a forward thinking plan that is inclusive of individual solar energy producers. My wife and I made a financial commitment to be part of the solution for NC's future energy needs. Although retired and working with a fixed income we decided to make this work for future generations. Having said that, in order to make it work we must have excess production not expire. I'm not all that concerned about overproduction and getting paid for it, I'm just trying to have a net zero usage. In all actuality Duke should be bending over backwards to thank us for our commitment. I live in Henderson County and growth here is insane. I am opposed to nuclear and off shore wind production.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

---

**From:** Vance Reese  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 1:14 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Vance Reese

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Vance Reese

### Email

vancereese23@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Hello - Please reject the proposal from Duke that would hinder the growth of solar power usage in North Carolina! Instead, request that they find ways to encourage solar growth: Perhaps they could get into that business as well and become a "green" company rather than one that is a problem for the planet. Thank you, --Vance Reese

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael Fredericks  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 12:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Fredericks

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael Fredericks

### Email

rgbf22@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I purchased solar to reduce my monthly expenses living on Social Security. Terrible idea to take away credit.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Achyuta Nemani  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 12:11 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Achyuta Nemani

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Achyuta Nemani

### Email

achyuta@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Walker Farrell  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 12:09 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Walker Farrell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Walker Farrell

### Email

walker.farrell@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy already has a functional monopoly on electricity in this whole region. Do not let them take the (literal) power away from the people where it belongs! Stand up for us, please, and do not let this corporate money-grab pass.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** RUPA KASHYAP  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 12:03 PM  
**To:** Statements.  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by RUPA KASHYAP

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

RUPA KASHYAP

### Email

rupakarra@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I Reject Duke Energy's proposal to change Net Metering. Duke Energy is the worst energy provider in the area I wish we had another company to switch to. They only believe in making profits and not betterment of the planet. I invested in Solar out of my pocket to produce clean energy, Duke does not own this and only because I am tied to them as they are monopoly in the region. I can produce enough energy to be without Duke, so I completely oppose Duke to mandate and take away my right and incentive to produce clean energy. Duke should have not partnered with NC SOLAR if they do not support clean energy. I request the NC state to allow other power companies to compete with DUKE who support SOLAR. #SAVENC SOLAR, #OPPOSEDUKE. Please consider my vote to Reject Duke's proposal. thank you.



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sarah J Whitesides  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 12:02 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sarah J Whitesides

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sarah J Whitesides

### Email

janetwhitesides@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180.

### Message

Dear NCUC, I am a solar energy producer and I do not believe it's fair for Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. Every kW that I produce keeps Duke Power from burning coal or nuclear power to produce electricity. I admonish the Commission to use the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Sincerely, Sarah Whitesides 603 Craig St Chapel Hill NC 27516

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Shannon Norland  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 12:00 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Shannon Norland

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Shannon Norland

### Email

Artemis21@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. As a resident with solar panels, I already lose out on energy generated every year as Duke resets our generated energy contribution. The solar panels I paid for are generating a profit for the energy company. Now the company wants to make the process even more unfair? That's outrageous! The government of North Carolina should serve the residents, not the companies, especially a company that has contributed to poisoning the water of our beautiful state.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bruce McKillican  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 11:51 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce McKillican

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bruce McKillican

### Email

bpmace@bellsouth.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please thoroughly investigate the benefits of maintaining the incentives and payback for generated solar and wind power by consumers to Duke Energy. We are all consumers and pay the utilities for what power we consume at the rate(s) approved so why should we not be paid the same rate for the excess power we generate and feed back to the grid? As it stands we cannot maintain a negative use balance past the annual trigger date as Duke Energy zeroes it out. This limits greatly the amount of power we as homeowners can generate and feed into the grid and stringently maintains their monopoly on power generation and control (in violation of the Sherman anti-trust act). The need for all the alternate forms of power generation will only grow in the coming years as we move to more EV's (personal, shipping and public) and the easing of the burden on the environment they provide are paramount to our future as a nation and a society.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Douglas D Sellers  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 11:45 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Douglas D Sellers

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Douglas D Sellers

### Email

dougsell2021@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I invested a large amount of money into roof top solar energy for my home. I did it for the environment but also as a long term savings on my power bills. Even at todays rate of buy back from Duke it will take several more years to realize a savings from my investment. Please under no circumstance allow Duke energy to change the agreement with roof top solar energy customers. 1. It would not be fair to those of us that have made these investments to change the rules. 2. By reducing the value of roof top solar you would be adding to energy produced by fossil fuels and thus accelerating the problems of climate change. Please make your decisions for the people and the planet, not big energy companies like Duke.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Walt Reston  
**Sent:** Friday, July 1, 2022 1:24 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Walt Reston

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Walt Reston

### Email

wreston@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering rules for its residential customers in North Carolina should be rejected. It's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 05 2022