### LAW OFFICE OF CHARLOTTE MITCHELL

PO BOX 26212 RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27611 919-260-9901 www.lawofficecm.com

November 7, 2017

J. L. Jarvis Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 430 N. Salisbury Street Raleigh, NC 27603 – 5918

Re: Reply to Charter's Opposition to Motion for Leave To Supplement Rebuttal Testimony at Hearing, NCUC Docket No. EC-23, Sub 50

Dear Ms. Jarvis:

Enclosed herewith, for filing on behalf of Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation in the above-referenced docket, please find the Reply to Charter's Opposition to Motion for Leave To Supplement Rebuttal Testimony at Hearing. Should you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to call me. Thank you in advance for your assistance and cooperation.

Regards,

/s Charlotte Mitchell

# STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

**DOCKET NO. EC-23, SUB 50** 

### BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Blue Ridge ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS PROPERTIES LLC,

Respondent.

BLUE RIDGE ELECTRIC
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION'S
REPLY TO CHARTER'S
OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT
REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AT
HEARING

Petitioner, Blue Ridge Electric Membership Corporation ("Blue Ridge"), hereby submits this reply to the Opposition to BREMC's Motion for Leave To Supplement Rebuttal Testimony at Hearing filed by Charter Communications Properties LLC's ("Charter") on November 3, 2017 (the "Opposition").

Charter, faced with its undisputed failure to file Responsive Testimony by the 5:00 p.m. October 30 deadline established under the Commission's Rules and Procedural Orders,<sup>1</sup> resorts to over-heated rhetoric, name calling, and outright misdirection to discourage any attempt to hold it to its procedural obligations. Yet, despite Charter's professed indignation, Blue Ridge's Motion is not part of any "attempt to smear and harass

See Order Establishing Procedural Schedule, entered June 7, 2017, and Order Granting Joint Motion to Modify Procedural Schedule, entered October 6, 2017.

Charter." (Opposition, at p. 3). Instead, Blue Ridge requested leave to supplement its testimony at hearing—in lieu of moving to strike Charter's testimony outright—merely to ensure it had sufficient opportunity to respond to Charter's filings, given that Blue Ridge had already agreed to limit its time to review Charter's filing and submit any rebuttal to the week immediately preceding the hearing in this matter and that Charter's failure to meet its deadlines shortened this period even further.

Charter's Opposition includes a number of misstatements, which warrant correction:

First, Charter states that it "served" its responsive testimony during the evening of October 30, and then misleadingly posits that "filings made with the clerk's office after 4:30 p.m. often-times are not processed by the clerk's office and publicly posted until the next day." But Charter did not file, much less serve, its testimony by the 5:00 p.m. deadline required under Rule R1-28(h). Indeed, in response to Blue Ridge's request about the status of Charter's filings, Charter's counsel sent an e-mail at 5:11 p.m., stating that "Charter's testimony hasn't been filed yet. I'll send them around as soon as I'm able this evening." (See E-mail from Marcus Trathen to Debbie Harden, dated 5:11 p.m., October 30, 2017, attached hereto as Exhibit A).

In fact, the date stamp on the official copy of the filing, made available on the Commission's website, is October 31, 2017. (Exhibit B, attached hereto, shows the first

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Rule R1-28(h) provides as follows:

Both paper and electronic filings must be received by the Commission by 5:00 p.m. Eastern time to be considered to be filed on that business day. A filing may be made electronically at any time, but filings submitted after 5:00 p.m. Eastern time are considered to be filed on the next business day.

page of each of the public versions of the responsive testimony filed by Charter.) Had the filing been timely, but not processed by the clerk's office until the following day, the date stamp on filing would have been October 30, 2017. *See* Rules R1-28(a) (noting that filings are deemed filed on the day received by the Commission) and R1-28(h) (providing that electronic filings submitted after 5:00 p.m. are not deemed admitted until the next day).

Ultimately, Charter did not begin serving its pre-filed until 7:53 p.m., when it served Mr. Mullins' testimony, and it did not complete service competed until 11:36 p.m. on October 30, when it finally served Netstor Martin's testimony. Thus, despite its suggestions, Charter did not file or serve its responsive testimony by the deadline imposed under the Commission's Rules.

Second, Charter, in an effort to direct blame elsewhere, inaccurately suggests that Blue Ridge did not serve its pre-filed direct testimony until October 17, 2017, the day after its deadline. (Opposition, p. 2). The truth, however, is that Blue Ridge filed and served its pre-filed testimony on October 16, 2017. When Blue Ridge learned the next day that a handful of the exhibits were not included in the secure file site provided to Charter to effectuate service, it served those materials again, and, at Charter's request, provided the exhibits separately as well. (See E-mail from Matthew Tilley to Gardner Gillespie, Exhibit C). Charter's suggestion that Blue Ridge failed to serve its pre-filed testimony until the day after it was filed is false, and stands in stark contrast to Charter's knowing failure to even file its testimony in the time required under the Rules.

Third, Charter incorrectly argues that Blue Ridge did not "give up" valuable time when it agreed to push back the procedural schedule to accommodate Charter's request to take depositions after the October 3, 2017 close of discovery. While Charter is correct that

Blue Ridge had seven days to prepare and submit rebuttal testimony under the original Procedural Order, Charter omits that Blue Ridge's rebuttal testimony would have been due on November 1, 2017, allowing an additional week following the submission of that testimony to prepare for hearing. Blue Ridge, however, gave up this time in order to accommodate Charter, which had not conducted any depositions of fact witnesses during the originally-planned discovery period.

Ultimately, Blue Ridge has requested only a modest accommodation in light of Charter's failure to comply with the deadlines to which the parties agreed and which the Commission has established under its Rules and Procedural Orders. Charter's violent reaction in opposition is revealing and indicative of a belief that rules apply only to others. At the same time, Blue Ridge acknowledges that it has been able to submit rebuttal testimony in the time allowed, and requests only that it be allowed to supplement its filings with live testimony if the need arises.

Respectfully submitted this the 7th day of November, 2017.

/s Charlotte A. Mitchell (NC Bar # 34106) Law Office of Charlotte Mitchell, PLLC PO Box 26212 Raleigh, North Carolina 27611 (919) 260-9901 cmitchell@lawofficecm.com

Debbie W. Harden (NC Bar # 10576) Matthew F. Tilley (NC Bar # 40125) Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP One Wells Fargo Center, Suite 3500 301 South College Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-6037 (704) 331-4943 Debbie.Harden@wbd-us.com Matthew.Tilley@wbd-us.com

Pressly M. Millen (NC Bar # 16178) Womble Bond Dickinson (US), LLP 555 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1100 Raleigh, North Carolina 27601 (919) 755-2135 Press.Millen@wbd-us.com

ATTORNEYS FOR BLUE RIDGE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that he has served a copy of the foregoing **BLUE RIDGE ELECTRIC MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION'S REPLLY TO CHARTER'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR LEAVE TO SUPPLEMENT REBUTTAL TESTIMONY AT HEARING** upon the parties of record in this proceeding, or their attorneys, by electronic mail as follows:

Marcus W. Trathen Brooks Pierce Wells Fargo Capital Center 150 Fayetteville Street, Suite 1700 Raleigh, N.C. 27601 (919)-839-0300 mtrathen@brookspierce.com

Gardner F. Gillespie
J. Aaron George
Carrie A. Ross
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton
2099 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 100
Washington D.C. 20006
(202)-747-1900
ggillespie@sheppardmullin.com
ageorge@sheppardmullin.com
cross@sheppardmullin.com

This the 7th day of November, 2017.

/s Charlotte A. Mitchell

# **EXHIBIT A**

# Tilley, Matthew

From: Marcus W. Trathen <MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com>

Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 5:11 PM

To: Harden, Debbie; Tilley, Matthew; Millen, Press; Charlotte Mitchell

**Subject:** RE: Charter motion for PHV admission

## EXTERNAL EMAIL: Open Attachments and Links With Caution.

Debbie (and all) – Charter's testimony hasn't been filed yet. I'll send them around as soon as I'm able this evening.

## Marcus W. Trathen



t: 919.839.0300 f: 336.232.9207

1700 Wells Fargo Capitol Center 150 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, NC 27601 P.O. Box 1800 (27602)

From: Harden, Debbie [mailto:DHarden@wcsr.com]

Sent: Monday, October 30, 2017 5:06 PM

**To:** Marcus W. Trathen <MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com>; Tilley, Matthew <Matthew.Tilley@wcsr.com>; Press Millen <pmillen@wcsr.com>; Charlotte Mitchell <cmitchell@lawofficecm.com>; Harden, Debbie <DHarden@wcsr.com>

Subject: Re: Charter motion for PHV admission

### Marcus

I have not received service copies of Charter's opposition filings that were due today. Would you please forward if Charter made such filings.

Regards,

Debbie Harden

Sent from Email+ secured by MobileIron

### **Debbie Harden | Partner**

Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP One Wells Fargo Center Suite 3500 301 South College Street Charlotte, NC 28202-6037 Office: 704-331-4943 Fax: 704-338-7813

wcsr.com







We are pleased to announce we will be changing our name to Womble Bond Dickinson (US) LLP on November 1, 2017:



<u>CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE</u>: This electronic mail transmission has been sent by, or on behalf of, a lawyer. It may contain information that is confidential, privileged, proprietary, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message, any part of it, or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please delete this message and any attachments from your system without reading the content and notify the sender immediately of the inadvertent transmission. There is no intent on the part of the sender to waive any privilege, including the attorney-client privilege, that may attach to this communication. Thank you for your cooperation.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Marcus W. Trathen" < <a href="MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com">MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com</a>>

Date: Mon, Oct 30, 2017, 4:10 PM

To: "Tilley, Matthew" < Matthew. Tilley@wcsr.com>, "Millen, Press" < PMillen@wcsr.com>, "Harden, Debbie"

<DHarden@wcsr.com>,Charlotte Mitchell <cmitchell@lawofficecm.com>

Subject: Charter motion for PHV admission

#### Counsel:

Attached is a service copy of Charter's motion for pro hac vice admission, submitted for filing this afternoon via the Commission's electronic systems.

-Best regards.

### Marcus W. Trathen



t: 919.839.0300 f: 336.232.9207

1700 Wells Fargo Capitol Center 150 Fayetteville Street Raleigh, NC 27601 P.O. Box 1800 (27602)

### Confidentiality Notice:

The information contained in this e-mail transmittal is privileged and confidential intended for the addressee only. If you are neither the intended recipient nor the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, any disclosure of this information in any way or taking of any action in reliance on this

information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the person transmitting the information immediately.

This e-mail message has been scanned and cleared by MailMarshal SMTP.

# EXHIBIT B

# BEFORE THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

Blue Ridge Electric Membership	)
Corporation,	)
Complainant,	)
V	)
V.	DOCKET NO. EC-23, SUB 50
Charter Communications Properties,	)
LLC,	)
	)
Respondent.	)

# RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY

**OF** 

# PATRICIA D. KRAVTIN

Submitted on Behalf of

**Charter Communications Properties, LLC** 

October 30, 2017

# STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

BLUE RIDGE ELECTRIC	)
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION	)
Petitioner,	)
	)
v.	DOCKET NO. EC-23, SUB 50
CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS	)
PROPERTIES LLC,	)
	)
Respondent.	)

# RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY

**OF** 

# **NESTOR MARTIN**

**Submitted on Behalf of** 

**Charter Communications Properties, LLC** 

October 30, 2017

# **PUBLIC VERSION**

# STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

BLUE RIDGE ELECTRIC	)
MEMBERSHIP CORPORATION	)
Petitioner,	)
	)
v.	DOCKET NO. EC-23, SUB 50
CHARTER COMMUNICATIONS	)
PROPERTIES LLC,	)
	)
Respondent.	)

# **RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

# MICHEAL MULLINS

**Submitted on Behalf of** 

**Charter Communications Properties, LLC** 

October 30, 2017

# EXHIBIT C

# Tilley, Matthew

From: Tilley, Matthew

Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 2:16 PM

**To:** 'Gardner Gillespie'; Aaron George; 'Marcus W. Trathen

(MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com)'; Carrie Ross

Cc: Millen, Press; Charlotte Mitchell (cmitchell@lawofficecm.com); Harden, Debbie

**Subject:** RE: BREMC Direct Testimony Filings

Gardner,

We'll do so.

Thanks, Matthew

Matthew F. Tilley
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP

Office: 704.350.6361 Fax: 704.444.9961

**From:** Gardner Gillespie [mailto:GGillespie@sheppardmullin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 2:10 PM

To: Tilley, Matthew; Aaron George; 'Marcus W. Trathen (MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com)'; Carrie Ross

Cc: Millen, Press; Charlotte Mitchell (<a href="mailto:cmitchell@lawofficecm.com">cmitchell@lawofficecm.com</a>); Harden, Debbie

Subject: RE: BREMC Direct Testimony Filings

### **EXTERNAL EMAIL: Open Attachments and Links With Caution.**

Matthew,

We have made copies of the exhibits you sent yesterday. Please send us separately only those exhibits that you failed to send yesterday so that we don't have to make copies of everything again. Thank you.

From: Tilley, Matthew [mailto:Matthew.Tilley@wcsr.com]

Sent: Tuesday, October 17, 2017 1:45 PM

To: Aaron George <a href="mailto:ageorge@sheppardmullin.com">ageorge@sheppardmullin.com</a>; 'Marcus W. Trathen (<a href="mailto:MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com">MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com</a>)'

<<u>MTRATHEN@brookspierce.com</u>>; Gardner Gillespie <<u>GGillespie@sheppardmullin.com</u>>; Carrie Ross

<CRoss@sheppardmullin.com>

**Cc:** Millen, Press < <a href="mailto:PMillen@wcsr.com">PMillen@wcsr.com</a>; Charlotte Mitchell (<a href="mailto:cmitchell@lawofficecm.com">cmitchell@lawofficecm.com</a>) < <a href="mailto:cmitchell@lawofficecm.com">cmitchell@lawofficecm.com</a>) < <a href="mailto:cmitchell@lawofficecm.com">cmitchell@lawofficecm.com</a>) <

Harden, Debbie < DHarden@wcsr.com >; Tilley, Matthew < Matthew.Tilley@wcsr.com >

**Subject:** BREMC Direct Testimony Filings

Counsel.

We learned that the secure file link shared with each of you yesterday serving BREMC's direct testimony did not include all of the exhibits to Mr. Layton and Mr. Booth's testimony that were filed with the Commission.

Ann Quinlan of our office has just sent you a link that re-serves BREMC's direct testimony, along with full sets of the exhibits, to ensure you have a complete copy of everything that was filed.

Best regards, Matthew

Matthew F. Tilley

Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP One Wells Fargo Center 301 South College Street; Suite 3500 Charlotte, NC 28202

Office: 704.350.6361 Fax: 704.444.9961

wcsr.com



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission has been sent by a lawyer. It may contain information that is confidential, privileged, proprietary, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message, any part of it, or any attachments. If you have received this message in error, please delete this message and any attachments from your system without reading the content and notify the sender immediately of the inadvertent transmission. There is no intent on the part of the sender to waive any privilege, including the attorney-client privilege, that may attach to this communication. Thank you for your cooperation.

Attention: This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.