From:

Allison Kiehl

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:01 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Allison Kiehl

# Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Allison Kiehl

Email

arkiehl@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

## Message

Do not allow Duke energy to adopt the proposed new net metering plan. The way they calculate solar credits and usage is already very confusing, so I don't even know if I am getting the proper amount of credits. This plan would make it even worse and would also probably cause me increases in costs and prevent others from installing new rooftop solar. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: - higher fixed monthly fees - time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand - compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From:

Alan Bernstein

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:03 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Alan Bernstein

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Alan Bernstein

#### **Email**

1946amb@gmail.com

## **Docket**

E180 sub 100

## Message

Please do not reduce any benefit homeowners may receive from their solar panels. Duke already takes back the excess in the peak month of June

From:

Richard

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 4:02 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Richard

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

Name

Richard

**Email** 

eganfamilymail@aol.com

**Docket** 

E-100 Sub 180

## Message

Investigate this. Make no changes to my current plan. I'm happy where we're at right now. Do not change my bill or plan or anything. Leave me alone.

From:

Sarah McClain

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:59 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Sarah McClain

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Sarah McClain

#### **Email**

sbatease@gmail.com

#### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

#### Message

I am writing to urge you to reject Duke Energy's Net Metering proposal. The growth and use of solar power is key for North Carolina to hit established climate goals. This is imperative for the future of our state and country. I believe solar power should be accessible to our entire community and this proposal works against that. I am demanding that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Thank you for your consideration.

From:

Jacquelin Rogers

Sent:

Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:31 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jacquelin Rogers

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

## Name

Jacquelin Rogers

## Email

1003jacquelinrogers@gmail.com

## **Docket**

E-100 Sun 180

## Message

It is not fair for Duke Energy to change the contract of my solar panels

From:

Jim Cambridge

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:19 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jim Cambridge

# Statement of Position Submitted

#### Name

Jim Cambridge

#### **Email**

4jimcambridge@gmail.com

#### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

#### Message

Please reject Docket E-100 Sub 180! Do NOT change Net Metering rules! The current rules in place today incentivized us to get solar for our home, and it will for future solar customers too. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I REALLY depend on net metering as a home solar generator to capture excess solar power when the batteries are full. I do not agree with "Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid," I pay the same \$14 residential grid "Basic Customer charge" every month after solar was installed, as I paid before it was installed. ALL homes pay the monthly fee "Renewable Energy Rider" which is a Duke Energy program covering "the costs associated with expanding the use of solar and renewable energy in North Carolina" per Duke. Do you see the irony of this E-100 Sub 180 bill being considered that does not promote expanding the use of solar? Please reject Docket E-100 Sub 180! Thank you for your kind consideration in this matter.

From:

Richard Schrum

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:23 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Schrum

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

## Name

Richard Schrum

## **Email**

Ncsubman@gmail.com

## **Docket**

100 sub 180

## Message

Duke should pay more for my solar not less

From:

Chirag Amin

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 1:38 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Chirag Amin

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

## Name

**Chirag Amin** 

## **Email**

405myhome@gmail.com

## **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

## Message

reject this proposal

From:

**Kurtis Durrett** 

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:29 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kurtis Durrett

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

**Kurtis Durrett** 

#### **Email**

ezvpn@yahoo.com

#### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

## Message

As a resident of North Carolina, I implore you to reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. This will not solve problems but create more. If Duke Energy or any other monopoly power company is able to capitalize on this proposal folks like me will just offer less power (ie batteries) back to the grid which will require sources of power to be supplied by other means. How can a company have a monopoly on the power of the sun?

From: Joan Wright

Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:32 PM

To: Statements

**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joan Wright

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Joan Wright

#### **Email**

jxwright@northstate.net

#### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

## Message

Our solar panels have been very helpful in reducing our energy costs, especially in this inflationary period. We expect that you (the state Utilities Commission) will conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are allowed.

From:

Kevin W Haberern

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:32 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin W Haberern

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

## Name

Kevin W Haberern

#### **Email**

kwhabe04@gmail.com

#### **Docket**

Docket E-100 Sub 180

## Message

I am currently using solar power that is generated from solar panels on my roof. My understanding is that Duke energy is claiming that customers are not paying their fair share for energy from the grid. This statement is in conflict with other studies suggesting the opposite is true. NCUC must do a more complete and well vetted/impartial study to understand what the reality is, as this sounds more like Duke looking to increase profits at the expense of solar power customers.

From:

**Andy Maness** 

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:37 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Andy Maness

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

**Andy Maness** 

#### **Email**

doctorpiper@aol.com

#### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 180

## Message

The most recent increase in gas prices have shown us how volatile our energy resources are. We need to encourage the use of renewable energies as much as possible. Duke Energy is wanting to change the rules on metering to increase their profits. This will have a negative effect on those wanting to purchase solar panels. Please support our future and not the short term profit goals of the wealthy.

From:

Gary Laney

Sent:

Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:46 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Laney

**Categories:** 

Blue category

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

## Name

**Gary Laney** 

#### **Email**

garylaney@charter.net

#### **Docket**

E-100 sub 180

## Message

I recently installed solar panels at a significant cost with the knowledge that duke energy was on board with net metering if this country is going to reduce pollution duke does not need to make it more difficult for people to afford my request would be reject this bill 589 thanks for any help