#### STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-2, SUB 1341

#### BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of	)	
Application of Duke Energy Progress, LLC	)	<b>DIRECT TESTIMONY</b>
Pursuant to G.S. 62-133.2 and NCUC Rule	)	OF DANA M. HARRINGTON FOR
R8-55 Relating to Fuel and Fuel-Related	)	<b>DUKE ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC</b>
Charge Adjustments for Electric Utilities	)	

1	$\sim$		STATE YOUR	ATAMATE A ATT	DITOTNIEGO	ADDDECC
		PLHASH	NIAIH VOUR		KININH	
1	Ο.		DIALE IOUN		DUBLIEDD	ADDITED:

- 2 A. My name is Dana M. Harrington, and my business address is 525 South Tryon
- 3 Street, Charlotte, North Carolina ("NC").

#### 4 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

- 5 A. I am a Rates and Regulatory Strategy Manager supporting both Duke Energy
- Progress, LLC ("DEP" or the "Company") and Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
- 7 ("DEC") (collectively, the "Companies").
- 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
- 9 **PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**
- 10 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Psychology with Honors from the University
- of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and I am a certified public accountant licensed in
- the State of North Carolina. I began my accounting career in 2005 with Greer and
- Walker, LLC as a tax accountant and later a staff auditor. From 2007 until 2010 I
- was an Accounting Analyst with Duke Energy in the Finance organization. In 2010,
- I joined the Rates Department as a Lead Rates Analyst where I spent eight years
- before being promoted to the position of Rates and Regulatory Strategy Manager.
- 17 I have served in the Rates Manager capacity since 2019.
- 18 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED OR SUBMITTED TESTIMONY
- 19 BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION?
- 20 A. Yes. I testified in DEP's 2019 fuel proceeding under Docket No. E-2, Sub 1204 and
- 21 have filed testimony or appeared before the Commission in each of DEP's annual
- fuel cost proceedings thereafter. This is my sixth time testifying or submitting
- 23 testimony before this Commission.

#### 1 Q. ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THE ACCOUNTING PROCEDURES AND

#### 2 BOOKS OF ACCOUNT OF DEP?

- 3 A. Yes. Duke Energy Progress' books of account follow the uniform classification of
- 4 accounts prescribed by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC").

#### 5 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present the information and data required by North
- 7 Carolina General Statutes ("N.C. Gen. Stat.") § 62-133.2(c) and (d) and Commission
- Rule R8-55, as set forth in Harrington Exhibits 1 through 8, along with supporting
- 9 workpapers. The test period used in supplying this information is the period of April
- 1, 2023 through March 31, 2024 ("test period"), and the billing period is December 1,
- 11 2024 through November 30, 2025 ("billing period").

#### 12 Q. WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE ACTUAL INFORMATION AND DATA

#### 13 **FOR THE TEST PERIOD?**

- A. Actual test period kilowatt hour ("kWh") generation, kWh sales, fuel-related revenues, and fuel-related expenses were taken from the Company's books and
- records. These books and records of DEP are subject to review by the appropriate
- 17 regulatory agencies in the three jurisdictions that regulate DEP's electric rates, which
- are: the North Carolina Utilities Commission, the Public Service Commission of
- South Carolina, and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. In addition, third-
- 20 party independent auditors perform an annual audit to provide assurance that, in all
- 21 material respects, internal accounting controls are operating effectively, and DEP's
- financial statements are accurate.

23

#### Q. WERE HARRINGTON EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 8 PREPARED BY YOU?

24 A. Yes, these exhibits were prepared by me and consist of the following:

- Harrington Exhibit 1: Summary Comparison of Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs
   Factors.
- Harrington Exhibits 2A and 2B: Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors reflecting a
- 4 94.99% proposed nuclear capacity factor and projected billing period megawatt
- 5 hour ("MWh") sales.
- Harrington Exhibit 3A: Calculation of Proposed Composite Experience
   Modification Factor ("EMF").
- Harrington Exhibit 3B: Calculation of Proposed EMF for Residential customers.
- Harrington Exhibit 3C: Calculation of Proposed EMF for Small General Service
   customers.
- Harrington Exhibit 3D: Calculation of Proposed EMF for Medium General Service
   customers.
- Harrington Exhibit 3E: Calculation of Proposed EMF for Large General Service
   customers.
- Harrington Exhibit 3F: Calculation of Proposed EMF for Lighting customers.
- Harrington Exhibit 4: Normalized Test Period MWh Sales, Fuel and Fuel-
- 17 Related Revenue, Fuel and Fuel-Related Expense, and System Peak.
- Harrington Exhibit 5: Nuclear Capacity Ratings in Megawatts.
- Harrington Exhibits 6A and 6B: Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors reflecting a
- 20 94.99% proposed nuclear capacity factor and normalized test period MWh sales.
- Harrington Exhibits 7A and 7B: Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors reflecting a
- 22 93.65% North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") five-year
- 23 national weighted average nuclear capacity factor for comparable units and

- 1 projected billing period MWh sales.
- Harrington Exhibit 8A: March 2024 Monthly Fuel Report, as required by NCUC
   Rule R8-52.
- Harrington Exhibit 8B: March 2024 Monthly Base Load Power Plant Performance
   Report, as required by NCUC Rule R8-53.

#### 6 O. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT IS SHOWN ON HARRINGTON EXHIBIT 1.

A. Harrington Exhibit 1 presents a summary of fuel and fuel-related cost factors, which include: (1) the currently approved fuel and fuel-related cost factors, (2) the projected fuel and fuel-related cost factor with normalized test period sales, (3) the projected fuel and fuel-related cost factors using the NERC five-year national weighted average nuclear capacity factor with projected billing period sales, and (4) the proposed fuel and fuel-related cost factors using the proposed nuclear capacity factor with projected billing period sales.

### 14 Q. WHAT FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COST FACTORS DOES DEP 15 PROPOSE FOR INCLUSION IN RATES FOR THE BILLING PERIOD?

A. The Company proposes that the fuel and fuel-related costs factors shown in the table below be reflected in rates during the billing period. The factors that DEP proposes in this proceeding utilize a 94.99% nuclear capacity factor as testified to by Company Witness Simril. The components of the proposed fuel and fuel-related cost factors by customer class, as shown on Harrington Exhibit 1 in cents per kWh, are:

		Small	Medium	Large	
		General	General	General	
	Residential	Service	Service	Service	Lighting
Description	cents/kWh	cents/kWh	cents/kWh	cents/kWh	cents/kWh
Total adjusted Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors	2.860	3.284	2.758	2.758	2.857
EMF Increment/(Decrement)	0.354	0.037	0.174	0.424	0.900
Proposed Net Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors	3.214	3.321	2.932	3.182	3.757

16

17

18

19

20

#### Q. WHAT IS THE IMPACT TO CUSTOMERS' BILLS IF THE PROPOSED

#### FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COST FACTORS ARE APPROVED BY THE

#### **COMMISSION?**

1

2

3

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A. If the proposed fuel and fuel-related cost factors are approved, there will be, an average decrease of 5.5% to Residential bills, 5.9% to Small General Service bills, 6.5% to Medium General Service bills, 2.1% to Large General Service bills, and 4.5% to Lighting bills. The table below shows both the proposed and existing fuel and fuel-related cost factors (excluding regulatory fee).

		Small	Medium	Large	
		General	General	General	
	Residential	Service	Service	Service	Lighting
Description	cents/kWh	cents/kWh	cents/kWh	cents/kWh	cents/kWh
Proposed Net Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors	3.214	3.321	2.932	3.182	3.757
Approved Net Fuel and Fuel-Related Costs Factors	4.073	4.334	3.653	3.361	5.731

### Q. HOW DOES DEP DEVELOP THE FUEL FORECASTS FOR ITS

#### 11 **GENERATING UNITS?**

A. The fuel forecast supporting the projected fuel cost for this filing was generated by an hourly stochastic dispatch model that considers the latest forecasted fuel prices that are reflective of market supply chain dynamics, planned maintenance and scheduled refueling outages at the generating plants, forced outage estimates derived from historical trends, generating unit performance parameters, and expected market conditions associated with power purchases and off-system sales opportunities. In addition, the forecasting model reflects the joint dispatch of the combined power supply resources of DEP and DEC.

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HARRINGTON EXHIBITS 2A AND 2B.

21 A. On Harrington Exhibit 2A, the prospective billing period system MWhs generated and

22 the forecasted costs to produce that generation are presented. The NC Retail

jurisdictional shares of fuel and fuel-related (non-capacity) costs and capacity costs
on power purchases from renewable and qualifying facilities are calculated separately
on Harrington Exhibit 2B, then further allocated to the NC Retail customer classes.
Finally, the proposed fuel and fuel-related cost factors are calculated on Harrington
Exhibit 2B by dividing each customer classes' allocated share of costs by each class's
projected billing period MWh sales at meter. The proposed fuel factors derived on
Harrington Exhibit 2B utilize a 94.99% nuclear capacity factor, which is further
discussed by Company Witness Simril.

# HOW ARE PROJECTED BILLING PERIOD COSTS ALLOCATED TO THE NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL JURISDICTION AND AMONG NORTH CAROLINA RETAIL CUSTOMER CLASSES?

In accordance with the Commission's Order in the Company's most recent general rate case in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1300, the Company is no longer utilizing the uniform percentage average bill adjustment method of allocating fuel costs among NC retail customer classes. Rather, the Company is following cost causation principles.

Projected system fuel and fuel-related (non-capacity) costs are allocated to the NC retail jurisdiction and among NC retail customer classes based on projected billing period MWh sales at generation (to include line losses) as shown on Harrington Exhibit 2B lines 1 through 8. Projected system purchased power capacity costs, as described in subsections (5), (6) and (10) of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.2(a1), are allocated to the NC retail jurisdiction based on the twelve-coincident peak firm demand allocation factor from the 2023 Cost of Service study and among NC retail customer classes using the Modified Average & Excess (A&E) Method as adopted by the Commission in the utility's most recently approved general rate case. The

Q.

A.

1		allocation of purchased power capacity costs is shown on Harrington Exhibit 2B lines
2		12 through 15.
3	Q.	DID YOU DETERMINE THAT DEP'S ANNUAL CHANGE IN THE
4		AGGREGATE AMOUNT OF THE COSTS IDENTIFIED IN SUBSECTIONS
5		(4), (5), (6), (10) AND (11) OF N.C. GEN. STAT. § 62-133.2(A1) DID NOT
6		EXCEED 2.5% OF ITS NC RETAIL GROSS REVENUES FOR 2023, AS
7		<b>REQUIRED BY N.C. GEN. STAT. § 62-133.2(A2)?</b>
8	A.	Yes. The Company's analysis shows that the annual change in the costs recoverable
9		under the relevant sections of the statute decreased year over year.
10	Q.	HARRINGTON EXHIBIT 3 SHOWS THE CALCULATION OF THE TEST
11		PERIOD (OVER)/UNDER RECOVERY BALANCE AND THE PROPOSED
12		EMF RATES BY CUSTOMER CLASS. HOW WAS THIS CALCULATED?
13	A	The test period (over)/under collection was determined each month by comparing the
14		actual fuel revenues collected from each customer class to actual costs allocated to
15		each customer class.
16		Actual fuel and fuel-related (non-capacity) costs were allocated to the NC
17		retail jurisdiction and among NC retail customer classes according to actual billed
18		sales at generation (to include line losses).
19		Actual purchased power capacity costs, as described in subsections (5), (6)
20		and (10) of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-133.2(a1), were allocated to the NC retail jurisdiction
21		and among NC retail customer classes according to the production demand allocation
22		factor from the prior year cost of service study, which is updated each April.
23		EMF rates by customer class are calculated on Harrington Exhibits 3B through
24		3F by dividing the EMF balance by normalized test period sales at meter (without line

losses). Finally, Harrington Exhibit 3A is a summation of Harrington Exhibits 3B through 3F.

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HARRINGTON EXHIBIT 4.

A. As required by NCUC Rule R8-55(e)(1) and (e)(2), Harrington Exhibit 4 presents test period actual MWh billed sales, the customer growth MWh adjustment, and the weather MWh adjustment. Test period MWh sales were normalized for weather using a 30-year period, consistent with the methodology utilized in DEP's most recent general rate case, which was Docket No. E-2, Sub 1300. Customer growth was determined using regression analysis for residential, small general service, and lighting classes, and a customer-by-customer analysis for medium and large general service customers. Harrington Exhibit 4 also shows the prior year twelve-coincident peak firm demand for the system, the NC retail jurisdiction, and the NC retail customer classes using the Modified Average & Excess (A&E) Method, which was adopted by the Commission in the utility's most recently approved general rate case.

#### 15 Q. PLEASE IDENTIFY WHAT IS SHOWN ON HARRINGTON EXHIBIT 5.

16 A. Harrington Exhibit 5 presents the capacity ratings for each of DEP's nuclear units, in 17 compliance with Rule R8-55(e)(12).

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN HARRINGTON EXHIBITS 6A and 6B.

A. NCUC Rule R8-55(e)(3) requires the equivalent of the proposed net fuel and fuel-related cost factors to be determined using the proposed nuclear capacity factor, based on normalized test period sales, and utilizing the same methodology adopted by the Commission in the utility's last general rate case. Harrington Exhibits 6A and 6B present these calculations. The resulting projected fuel and fuel-related cost factors are shown on Harrington Exhibit 1 Line 5.

Q.	PLEASE EXPL	AIN HARRINGTON	<b>EXHIBITS 7A and 7</b>	В
----	-------------	----------------	--------------------------	---

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

A.

2 A. NCUC Rule R8-55(d)(1) requires the equivalent of the proposed net fuel and fuel-3 related cost factors to be determined based on projected billing period sales and 4 utilizing the same methodology adopted by the Commission in the utility's last general 5 rate case except for adjusting the proposed nuclear capacity factor to the most recent 6 NERC five-year weighted average capacity factor. The most recent NERC five-year 7 weighted average capacity factor is 93.65% and is further discussed by Witness 8 Simril. Harrington Exhibits 7A and 7B present these calculations. The resulting 9 projected fuel and fuel-related cost factors following these guidelines are shown on 10 Harrington Exhibit 1 Line 6.

# Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE METHOD USED TO ADJUST MWH GENERATION AND FUEL COSTS ON HARRINGTON EXHIBITS 6 AND 7.

Harrington Exhibit 6 adjusts the coal MWh's produced by the dispatch model to account for the difference between projected system MWh sales at meter and normalized test period MWh sales at meter. The total system fuel costs are respectively adjusted at the coal price per MWh produced by the dispatch model.

Harrington Exhibit 7 decreases the nuclear generation produced by the dispatch model to account for the lower NERC five-year average nuclear capacity factor than the proposed nuclear capacity factor and increases the coal generation produced by the dispatch model respectively. The total system fuel costs are also adjusted at the nuclear and coal prices per MWh produced by the dispatch model, respectively.

#### Q. HOW DID ACTUAL FUEL EXPENSES COMPARE WITH FUEL REVENUE

#### **DURING THE TEST PERIOD?**

Harrington Exhibit 3A demonstrates that, for the test period, the Company experienced a net under-recovery of approximately \$110.9 million (before adjustments) for the combined customer classes of the NC retail jurisdiction. This twelve-month accrual of under-recoveries is significantly lower than what was proposed in prior year rates, which was \$486.0 million (before adjustments).

A.

A.

The Company typically experiences some amount of (over)/under recovery of fuel costs during a test period. The EMF provision of fuel rates was established to address the differences between fuel revenues realized and fuel costs incurred during a test period. The successful catch-up in recovery achieved during the test period is the primary driver behind the decrease in fuel rates proposed in this filing.

- Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY COST ADJUSTMENTS TO THE TWELVE-MONTH TEST PERIOD UNDER-COLLECTION BEING REQUESTED FOR COST RECOVERY IN THIS PROCEEDING THAT WERE NOT REMITTED ON THE MONTHLY FUEL REPORTS?
  - Yes. Consistent with the approach approved by the Commission in Docket No. E-2, Sub 1204, the Company is proposing to recover the related component of liquidated damages associated with the sale of by-products that were incurred in the test period on a cash basis rather than an accrual basis. To achieve this result, the North Carolina retail share of associated liquidated damages accrued during the test period has been excluded from the test period under-collection and the North Carolina retail share of the associated liquidated damages cash payment made during the test period has been included. These adjustments of approximately \$(0.9) million and \$5.3 million, respectively, are presented on Harrington Exhibit 3A and further itemized by customer class on Harrington Exhibits 3B through 3F.

The prospective North Carolina retail portion of the associated liquidated
damages cash payment to be made during the billing period of approximately \$5.1
million has also been included in projected billing period costs. This method is
consistent with the approach approved by the Commission in Docket No. E-2, Sub
1321.

## Q. DO YOU BELIEVE DEP'S FUEL AND FUEL-RELATED COSTS INCURRED IN THE TEST YEAR ARE REASONABLE?

Yes. As shown on Harrington Exhibit 8A, DEP's test year actual fuel and fuel-related costs were 2.916 cents/kWh. Key factors in DEP's ability to maintain lower fuel and fuel-related rates include its generating portfolio of diverse fuel sources, the capacity factors of its nuclear fleet, and fuel procurement strategies, which mitigate volatility in supply costs. Other key factors include DEP's and DEC's respective expertise in transporting, managing and blending fuels, procuring reagents, and utilizing purchasing synergies of the combined Company, as well as the joint dispatch of DEP's and DEC's generation resources.

Company Witness Flanagan discusses the performance of the fossil/hydro/solar fleet, as well as the chemicals that DEP uses to reduce emissions. Company Witness Swez discusses fossil fuel costs and fossil fuel procurement strategies. Company Witness Houston discusses nuclear fuel costs and nuclear fuel procurement strategies, and Company Witness Simril discusses the performance of DEP's nuclear generation fleet.

A.

1	$\mathbf{\Omega}$		ADE THE		DDIVEDO	<b>IMPACTING</b>		DDODOCED	
	()	WHAI	AKE IHE	. K H.Y	DRIVERS	IIVIPAL IIIVL	тнк.	PROPUSED	HIIH.

- 2 AND FUEL-RELATED COST FACTORS?
- 3 A. The primary driver of the fuel rate decrease is the request for collection of \$115.3
- 4 million (after adjustments) in fuel under-collections compared to the requested \$444.8
- 5 million (after adjustments) in fuel under-collections in existing rates. This decrease is
- 6 partially offset by an increase in purchased power costs for the prospective billing
- 7 period.
- 8 Q. HAS THE COMPANY FILED WORKPAPERS SUPPORTING THE
- 9 CALCULATIONS, ADJUSTMENTS, AND NORMALIZATIONS AS
- 10 **REQUIRED BY NCUC RULE R8-55(E)(11)?**
- 11 A. Yes. Workpapers supporting the calculations, adjustments, and normalizations
- 12 utilized to derive the proposed fuel factors are included with this filing.
- 13 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY?
- 14 A. Yes. It does.