

DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC 526 South Church St. Charlotte, NC 28202

Mailing Address: ECO3T / PO Box 1006 Charlotle, NC 28201-1006

LARA SIMMONS NICHOLS Associate General Counsel 704.382.9960 OFFICE 704.382.4494 FAX lara.nichols@duke-energy.com

March 9, 2010

FILED

MAR 1 0 2010

Clerk's Office

N.C. Utilities Commission

CLEFICIAL

CONTROL

Ms. Renné C. Vance, Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 4325 Mail Service Center . Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4325

RE: Docket No. E-7, Sub 831

Duke Energy Carolinas' Application for Approval of Save-a-Watt Approach, Energy Efficiency Rider and Portfolio of Energy Efficiency Programs

Dear Ms. Vance:

Enclosed for filing with the Commission are an original and 31 copies of Duke Energy Carolinas' Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration in the above-referenced matter.

Sincerely.

Lara Simmons Michols

If you have any questions, please let me know.

pa

Enclosures

Joseph Dist.

FILED

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

MAR 1 0 2010

DOCKET NO. E-7, SUB 831

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

In the Matter of:

Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for) DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC'S
Approval of Save-a-Watt Approach, Energy) MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION
Efficiency Rider and Portfolio of Energy) AND RECONSIDERATION
Efficiency Programs)
•)

Pursuant to N.C. Gen. Stat. § 62-80, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("Duke Energy Carolinas" or the "Company") hereby moves the North Carolina Utilities Commission (the "Commission") for clarification and reconsideration of its Order Approving Agreement and Joint Stipulation of Settlement Subject to Certain Commission-Required Modifications and Decisions on Contested Issues issued in this docket on February 9, 2010 ("Order"). In particular, the Company seeks clarification and reconsideration as to the Commission's modifications of Section G, the "Net Lost Revenues" section, of the Agreement and Joint Stipulation of Settlement ("Settlement Agreement") as follows:

1. The Commission modified Section G of the Settlement Agreement to add the following provision: "Programs or measures with the primary purpose of promoting general awareness and education of energy efficiency as well as research and development activities are ineligible for the recovery of net lost revenues." (Order, pp. 21-22). The Company is unable to find guidance within the Order itself, or the sources cited by the Commission in footnote 11 of the Order, as to the meaning of "[p]rograms or measures with the primary purpose of promoting general awareness and education," and what, if any, of the Company's programs or measures would qualify as such. While it is clear that the Commission has excerpted this modification from its Order Approving Agreement and Stipulation of Partial Settlement, Subject to Certain Commission-Required Modifications, in Docket No. E-2, Sub 931 ("PEC Order"), the Company

cannot find a definition of or clarification of what would qualify as "general awareness and education" programs in the PEC Order either. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests clarification of this provision from the Commission. In particular, the Company seeks guidance as to whether such "general awareness and education" programs ineligible for recovery of net lost revenues include those for which the Company can demonstrate verified kW and/or kWh reductions resulting from such programs.

2. To the extent that the Commission determines that even those education and awareness programs that the Company can show produce verified kW and/or kWh reductions are ineligible for recovery of net lost revenues, the Company seeks reconsideration. Duke Energy Carolinas should be allowed to recover measured and verified net lost revenues resulting from energy efficiency programs, as provided by Commission Rule R8-68. If the Company is not permitted to do so for certain programs for the arbitrary reason that these programs have the primary purpose of promoting general awareness and education of energy efficiency, it will lose revenue, which could discourage the Company from implementing such programs. For example, the Company plans to file a new energy efficiency program, Home Energy Comparison Report, which will assist residential customers in assessing their energy usage by supplying them with comparative usage data for similar residences in the same geographic area. The program will also provided recommendations for more efficient energy use and will identify those customers who could benefit most by investing in new energy efficiency measures and participating in other Duke Energy Carolinas programs. Absent recovery of net lost revenues, reductions in energy use resulting from Home Energy Comparison Report would impair the Company's ability to recover sufficient revenues to cover its fixed costs, creating a financial disincentive to the implementation of this beneficial program. General education and awareness programs help to

transform the market by making customers increasingly receptive to specific program offerings, as well as by providing information to customers about how they can save money on their utility bills through either specific utility programs or other energy saving activities. So long as these programs are specifically designed to result in quantified capacity and/or energy savings, there is no logical reason for their wholesale exclusion from net lost revenue recovery.

- axional serior and ser
 - 4. The Commission also modified Section G of the Settlement Agreement to read:

Utility activities shall be closely monitored by the Company to determine if they are causing a customer to increase demand or consumption, and the Company shall identify and keep track of all of its activities that cause customers to increase demand or consumption, whether or not those activities are associated with demand-side management or energy efficiency programs, as provided in the Settlement Agreement, so that they may be evaluated by the parties and the Commission for possible confirmation as "found revenues."

- (Order, p. 22). However, the Commission does not provide sufficient guidance as to what constitutes a utility activity that increases consumer demand or consumption that should offset net lost revenues. The Commission does reference the Public Staff's Responses to the Second Pre-Hearing Order Requiring Verified Information in footnote 11, but unfortunately these responses do little to clarify which utility activities would qualify. Accordingly, the Company respectfully requests clarification regarding the meaning of a utility activity that causes a customer to increase demand or consumption.
- 5. To the extent that the Commission defines "utility activities [that] shall be closely monitored by the Company to determine if they are causing a customer to increase demand or consumption" to include those activities that are independent of the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management programs, the Company requests reconsideration of this monitoring requirement. The Company also seeks reconsideration of the Commission's requirement that it identify and track changes in revenues that occur as a result of actions of customers that increase or decrease their energy use that are independent of the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management programs.
- 6. It is important to acknowledge that there are several types of changes in revenues that could be construed some erroneously as being caused by utility activities. For example, one occurs as a result of changes in the economy or actions of customers that cause them to change their energy use up or down that are independent of the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management programs. Another can originate from actions of customers that change their energy use up or down that are directly related to the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management programs. With regard to the first type, these are changes that do not result from any activity by the Company's public utility operations; they are actually

customer driven. If the Company is to count revenue changes under this first type as "found" revenues, even those that may occur from economic development actions, then it must also count customer driven decreases, such as those that occur when a plant or business closes or when a customer reduces its energy use in response to an increase in electricity price. To consider increases and decreases on a case-by-case basis on such a broad basis is incorrect and creates a tremendous administrative burden, including lengthy and contested proceedings over what constitutes "found revenues." Indeed, if all possible changes are to be considered included, the Commission might as well investigate whether it is more appropriate to institute a decoupling mechanism because every increase or decrease in revenues must be considered. Instead, a more workable application of net lost revenues would be limited to the results of those actions taken by customers in response to the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side programs. While the Company does not have energy efficiency or demand-side management programs that are designed or intended to increase energy consumption, the Company expects the measurement and verification process to identify areas where there may have been increases in energy usage, and these impacts, if any, would be used to reduce the level of lost sales and lost revenues.

7. In addition, under the Settlement Agreement, Duke Energy Carolinas is limited to recovering net lost revenues for a period of 36 months despite the fact that, absent a general rate case through which rates are set to recover those net lost revenues, the Company will continue to lose revenues beyond 36 months to the extent that successful energy efficiency programs continue to result in kilowatt-hour savings. This more limited recovery period inherently takes into account the possibility of any increase in revenues resulting from any activity of the Company that causes customers to increase demand or energy consumption. As such, issues of lost and "found" revenues should be restricted to the activities associated with the Company's

energy efficiency and demand-side management programs. Similarly, in the Company's Comments filed in Docket No. E-100, Sub 113 on March 1, 2010, Duke Energy Carolinas recommended that the definition of net lost revenues in Commission Rule R8-68 be amended to provide simply for a limited recovery of net lost revenues for thirty-six months and eliminate the complexities and burden associated with attempting to identify and track found revenues.

For the reasons set forth above, the Company requests that:

- (1) The Commission clarify the meaning of "Programs or measures with the primary purpose of promoting general awareness and education of energy efficiency as well as research and development activities [that] are ineligible for the recovery of net lost revenues";
- (2) The Commission exclude education and awareness programs that produce quantified capacity and/or energy savings from its definition of "Programs or measures with the primary purpose of promoting general awareness and education of energy efficiency as well as research and development activities [that] are ineligible for the recovery of net lost revenues";
- (3) The Commission clarify which "utility activities shall be closely monitored by the Company to determine if they are causing a customer to increase demand or consumption";
- (4) The Commission exclude from its definition of "utility activities [that] shall be closely monitored by the Company to determine if they are causing a customer to increase demand or consumption" those activities that are independent of the Company's energy efficiency and demand-side management programs;
- (5) The Commission eliminate the condition that Duke Energy Carolinas be required to identify and keep track of its activities that cause customers to increase demand or consumption that are not associated with demand-side management or energy efficiency programs.

Respectfully submitted this $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$ day of March, 2010.

Lara Simmons Nichols, Associate General Counsel

Duke Energy Corporation
Post Office Box 1006/EC03T

Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006

Telephone: 704-382-9960 lara.nichols@duke-energy.com

Robert W. Kaylor Law Office of Robert W. Kaylor, P.A. 225 Hillsborough Street, Suite 160 Raleigh, North Carolina 27603 Telephone: 919-828-5250

Molly L. McIntosh K&L Gates, LLP Hearst Tower, 47th Floor 214 North Tryon Street Charlotte, North Carolina 28202 Telephone: 704-331-7547 molly.mcintosh@klgates.com

COUNSEL FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. E-7, Sub 831

I certify that a copy of Duke Energy Carolinas' Motion for Clarification and Reconsideration was sent by regular U.S. mail or electronic distribution to the persons listed below.

Leonard G. Green Attorney General's Office - Utilities Section P. O. Box 629 Raleigh, NC 27602-0629

James H. Jeffries, IV Moore & Van Allen, PLLC 100 North Tryon Street, Suite 4700 Charlotte, NC 28202-4003

Robert F. Page Crisp, Page & Currin, LLP 4010 Barrett Drive, Suite 205 Raleigh, NC 27609-6622

Mitchell Washburn Brown Crump Vanore & Tierney 421 Fayetteville Street Mall, Suite 1203 Raleigh. NC 27601

Richard Harkrader NC Sustainable Energy Assoc. P O Box 6465 Raleigh, NC 27628-6465

Len S. Anthony Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. P. O. Box 1551 PEB 17A4 Raleigh, NC 27602-1551

Mary Lynne Grigg Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC P. O. Box 831 Raleigh, NC 27602

John D. Runkle Attorney at Law P. O. Box 3793 Chapel Hill, NC 27515 Wade Hargrove
Brooks Pierce McLendon Humphrey and Leonard
P. O. Box 180
Raleigh, NC 27602

Jane Lewis-Raymond Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. P. O. Box 33068 Charlotte, NC 28233

Candy Paton, Rates & Regulatory Administration Public Service Company of NC, Inc. 800 Gaston Road P. O. Box 1398 Gastonia, NC 28053-1398

Gudrun Thompson Southern Environmental Law Center 200 West Franklin Street, Suite 330 Chapel Hill, NC 27516

Ralph McDonald Bailey & Dixon, L.L.P. P. O. Box 1351 Raleigh, NC 27602

Sherri Zann Rosenthal City of Durham 101 City Hall Plaza Durham, NC 27701

Southern Alliance for Clean Energy 250 Arizona Ave NE Atlanta, GA 30307-2251

Craig Collins SCANA Corporation Legal Dept-130 1426 Main Street Columbia, SC 29218 Jim Warren NCWARN, Inc. P. O. Box 61051 Durham, NC 27715-1051

Kendall Bowman Progress Energy Carolinas 410 S. Wilmington Street Raleigh, NC 27602

Lisa Booth
Dominion Resources Services, Inc.
Law Dept RS-2
P. O. Box 26532
Richmond, VA 23219

Rick D. Chamberlain Behrens Taylor Wheeler & Chamberlain 6 N.E. 63rd Street, Suite 400 Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Bernard McNamee II McGuire Woods LLP One James Center 901 E. Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219

Gary Davis Gary A. Davis and Associates P. O. Box 649 Hot Springs, NC 28743

Robert B. Glenn Glenn Mills and Fisher, PA South Bank Building 400 West Main Street, Suite 709 Durham, NC 27701

James T. Selecky Brubaker & Associates, Inc. 1215 Fern Ridge Parkway, Suite 208 St. Louis, MO 63141

This the 9th day of March, 2010.

Lara Simmons Nichols
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Corporation
P. O. Box 1006, EC03T
Charlotte, North Carolina 28201-1006
704.382.9960
lara.nichols@duke-energy.com