EXHIBIT PDK 7

Table 1
Certified States That Apply The FCC Rate To Investor-Owned Utilities

State	Pertinent Statute(s)	Key Rule(s) and/or Order(s)s	Methodology/Comments
Alaska	Alaska Stat. §§ 42.05.311, 42.05.321	Alaska Admin. Code, Title 3 § 52.900 – 940; Consideration of Rules Governing Joint Use of Utility Facilities and Amending Joint-Use Regulations Adopted Under 3 ACC 52.900 – 3 AAC 52.940, Order Adopting Regulations, 2002 Alas. PUC LEXIS 689 (2002)	FCC Rate
California	Cal. Pub. Util. Code § 767.5	Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion into Competition for Local Exchange Service, Decision 98-10-058, 1998 Cal. PUC LEXIS 879 (1998)	FCC Rate
Connecticut	Conn. Gen. Stat. §§ 16-1, 16-19, 16-332	Application of Southern New England Telephone Co. to Amend its Rates and Rate Structure, Docket No. 92-09-19, Decision, 1993 Conn. PUC LEXIS 5 (1993)	FCC Rate
Idaho	Idaho Code § 61-538	Washington Water Power Co. v. Benewah Cable Co., Case No. U-1008- 206, Order No. 19229, 1984 Ida. PUC LEXIS 100 (1984)	FCC Rate
Illinois	220 Ill. Comp. Stat. 5/7-102, 5/9- 101	83 Ill. Admin. Code § 315.10 through 315.70	FCC Rate

State	Pertinent Statute(s)	Key Rule(s) and/or Order(s)s	Methodology/Comments
Kentucky	Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 278.040 and 278.280(2)	Adoption of a Standard Methodology for Establishing Rates for CATV Pole Attachments, Case. No. 251, Order, 49 P.U.R. 4 th 127 (1982); 807 Ky. Admin. Regs. 5:006 (Sec. 21)	FCC Rate (Very close state variant)
Massachusetts	Ma. Gen. Laws ch. 166, § 25(a)	Mass. Regs. Code Title 220 § 45.00 – 45.11; Cablevision of Boston v. Boston Edison Co., DPU/DTE 97-82 (1998); Order Establishing Complaint and Enforcement Procedures to Ensure that Telecommunications Carriers and Cable System Operators Have Non- Discriminatory Access to Utility Poles, Ducts, Conduits and Rights-of-Way, DTE 98-36-A, Order Promulgating Final Regulations, 2000 Mass. PUC LEXIS 21 (2000)	FCC Rate
Michigan	Mich. Comp. Laws Stat. § 460.6g (regulating electric poles); Mich. Comp. Laws Stat. § 484.2361 (regulating telecom poles)	Application of Consumers Power Co., Case Nos. U-10741, U-10816, U-108211, Opinion and Order, 1997 Mich. PUC LEXIS 26 (1997)	FCC Rate
New Jersey	N.J. Stat. Ann. §§ 48:5A-20, 48:5A-21	N.J. Admin. Code Title 14:18 – 2.9; <i>West Jersey Tel. Co.</i> , Docket Nos. CO85121263 et al., 77 PUR 4 th 89 (1986)	FCC Rate

State	Pertinent Statute(s)	Key Rule(s) and/or Order(s)s	Methodology/Comments
New York	N.Y. Pub. Serv. Law § 119-a	Certain Pole Attachment Issues Which Arose in Case No. 94-C-0095, Opinion No. 97-10, 1997 NY PUC LEXIS 364 (1997)	FCC Rate
Ohio	Ohio Rev. Code Ann. §§ 4905.02, 4905.71	Columbus and Southern Ohio Electric Co., Case Nos. 81-1058-EL-AIR, 82- 654-EL-ATA, 50 PUR 4 th 37 (1982)	FCC Rate
Oregon	Or. Rev. Stat. § 757.270 – 290, 759.650 – 675	Or. Admin. Rule 860-028-0110 to 860-028-240; Rulemaking to Amend Oregon Admin. Rules Relating to Safety and Attachment Standards, 2001 Ore. PUC LEXIS 483 (2001)	FCC Rate
Utah	Utah Code Ann. § 54-4-13	Utah Admin. Code R. § 746-345	FCC Rate
Vermont	Vt. Stat. Ann. Title 30 §§ 225, 226	Vt. Public Service Board Rules 3.700 – 3.710	FCC Rate (very close state variant)
Washington	Wash. Rev. Code §§ 80.54.010 – 80.54.070		FCC Rate.

Table 2
<u>States That Apply The FCC Rate To Cooperatively And/Or Municipally-Owned Utilities</u>

State	Pertinent Statute(s)	Key Rule(s) and/or Order(s)s	Methodology/Comments
Alaska (coops	Alaska Stat. §§ 42.05.311,	Alaska Admin. Code, Title 3 § 52.900	FCC Rate
and munis)	42.05.321; Alaska Stat.	– 940; Consideration of Rules	
	§ 42.05.990(5)	Governing Joint Use of Utility	
		Facilities and Amending Joint-Use	
		Regulations Adopted Under 3 ACC	
		<i>52.900 – 3 AAC 52.940</i> , Order	
		Adopting Regulations, 2002 Alas. PUC	
		LEXIS 689 (2002).	
California	Cal. Pub. Util. Code § 9510	FCC Rate, 47 U.S.C. § 224(d).	FCC Rate
(munis)		Pole attachment rate disputes brought	
		to court.	
Colorado (munis)	Co. Rev. Stat. § 38-5.5-108(1)	FCC Rate, 47 U.S.C. § 224, as	FCC Rate
		amended.	
Kentucky (coops)	Ky. Rev. Stat. Ann. § 278.040	Adoption of a Standard Methodology	FCC Rate
	and 278.280(2); Ky. Rev. Stat.	for Establishing Rates for CATV Pole	(very close state variant)
	Ann. § 279.210	Attachments, Case. No. 251, Order, 49	
		P.U.R. 4 th 127 (1982); 807 Ky. Admin.	
		Regs. 5:006 (Sec. 21); Ballard Rural	
		Telephone Cooperative Corporation,	
		Inc. v. Jackson Purchase Energy Corp.,	
		Case No. 2004-00036 (2007)	

State	Pertinent Statute(s)	Key Rule(s) and/or Order(s)s	Methodology/Comments
Michigan (coops)	Mich. Comp. Laws Stat. § 460.6g (regulating electric poles); Mich. Comp. Laws Stat. § 484.2361 (regulating telecom poles); Mich. Comp. Laws Stat. § 460.6(1) (giving Mich. PSC jurisdiction over cooperatives)	Commission's Own Motion to Examine Setting Just and Reasonable Rates for Attachments to Utility Poles, Ducts and Conduits Pursuant to MCL 460.6g, Docket No. U-10831, Opinion and Order (1997); Application of Consumers Power Co., Case Nos. U- 10741, U-10816, U-108211, 1997 Mich. PUC LEXIS 26 (1997)	FCC Rate
Missouri (munis)	V.A.M.S. § 67.5104 (pole attachment fees, terms and conditions must be nondiscriminatory, just and reasonable, and in no event more than the FCC Rate)	FCC Rate, 47 U.S.C. § 224(d)	FCC Rate
New York (munis)	N.Y. Pub. Serv. Law § 119-a	Proceeding on Motion of the Commission to Regulate Pole Attachment Rates for Municipal-Owned Poles, Case 06-E-1427, Order on Municipal Pole Attachment Rates, 1997 NY PUC LEXIS 152 (2007)	FCC Rate
Oregon (coops and munis)	Or. Rev. Stat. § 757.270 – 290, 759.650 – 675; Or. Rev. Stat. § 757.276 (Or. PUC has authority to regulate pole attachment of "consumer-owned utilities"); Or. Rev. Stat. § 757.270(2) (a "consumer-owned utility" includes coops and munis)	Or. Admin. Rule 860-028-0110 to 860-028-240; Rulemaking to Amend Oregon Admin. Rules Relating to Safety and Attachment Standards, 2001 Ore. PUC LEXIS 483 (2001)	FCC Rate

State	Pertinent Statute(s)	Key Rule(s) and/or Order(s)s	Methodology/Comments
Texas (munis)	Tex. Util. Code § 54.204	Order on Certified Issues, PUC Docket	FCC Rate
		No. 36633, SOAH Docket No. 473-09-	(very close state variant)
		5470, CPS Energy v. Public Utility	•
		Commission of Texas, (June 24, 2011)	
Utah (coops)	Utah Code Ann. § 54-4-13; Utah	Utah Admin. Code R. § 746-345	FCC Rate
	Code Ann. § 54-2-1(16) (defining		
	public utilities to include coops)		
Vermont (coops	Vt. Stat. Ann. Title 30 §§ 225,	Vt. Public Service Board Rules 3.700 –	FCC Rate
and munis)	226; Vt. Stat. Ann. Title 30 § 201	3.710	(very close state variant)
	(gives Vt. PSB jurisdiction over		
	coops and munis)		