From:

Eileen M Wood

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 2:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Eileen M Wood

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

# Name

Eileen M Wood

# **Email**

emwood56@yahoo.com

# **Docket**

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

reject Duke Energy's climate-wrecking plans and pursue climate solutions that work!

From:

Eileen M Wood

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 2:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Eileen M Wood

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

# Name

Eileen M Wood

# **Email**

emwood56@yahoo.com

# **Docket**

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

reject Duke Energy's climate-wrecking plans and pursue climate solutions that work!

From:

Jim Colleran

Sent:

Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:41 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jim Colleran

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

Name

Jim Colleran

**Email** 

jim@colleran.org

**Docket** 

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

I have solar cells on my roof and a battery as a backup. However, I don't use the battery because I don't get paid to use it. Duke Energy takes my excess energy each year without any compensation. I would love to use my battery in the evening hours to compensate for the reduction in solar energy. They are doing this in Texas to reduce the need for gas peaker plants. Here in North Carolina, Duke Energy wants to add more gas plants to deal with this issue. The alternative is to use existing home batteries and to encourage people to add solar and batteries to their home. It would cost rate payers way less than funding new gas plants. I recognize that Duke Energy wants to build new plants to add to their rate of return. This is not best for everyone who buys electricity. I would ask that you put rate payers first, ahead of Duke and other utilities. Thanks, Jim Colleran

From:

Trevor W Butler

Sent:

Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:27 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Trevor W Butler

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Trevor W Butler

# **Email**

butlertw@gmail.com

#### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

Please do not accept Duke energy's proposal for solar power. It is not in the best interest of customers who already have solar systems on their houses or new people considering adding solar. Thank you, Trevor

From:

Krysta Workman

Sent:

Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:54 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Krysta Workman

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Krysta Workman

## **Email**

paramorning@gmail.com

#### **Docket**

E-100, Sub 190

# Message

Dear NCUC, As you close public comment for Duke Energy's consolidated docket E-100 Sub 190, we urge you to put communities and the climate first. Communities living in the shadow of dirty power plants have already paid dearly for our reliance on energy sources that are not clean – suffering serious harms to their health, air, and water. To ensure a just transition, a carbon plan must acknowledge the role these facilities continue to play in communities across North Carolina and ensure their voices are heard in visioning a clean energy future. Building new gas infrastructure and increasing our reliance on fracked gas to generate electricity risks climate catastrophe due to fugitive methane, threatens to saddle ratepayers with billions in stranded costs, puts us at the mercy of gas price volatility, and requires destructive pipelines that may never be permitted. We urge Commissioners to agree to a final carbon plan that is in the public interest. Please put equity, clean energy, and a swift transition away from fossil fuels at the center of the North Carolina carbon plan. Thank you.

From:

Samuel Bedinger '

Sent:

Thursday, May 23, 2024 10:48 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Samuel Bedinger

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Samuel Bedinger

#### **Email**

Sam.Bedinger@gmail.com

#### Docket

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

Before you close public comment for Duke Energy's consolidated docket E-100 Sub 190, I urge you to put communities and the climate first. Communities living in the shadow of dirty power plants have already paid dearly for our reliance on energy sources that are not clean – suffering serious harms to their health, air, and water. To ensure a just transition, a carbon plan must acknowledge the role these facilities continue to play in communities across North Carolina and ensure their voices are heard in seeing a clean energy future. Building new gas infrastructure and increasing our reliance on fracked gas to generate electricity risks climate catastrophe due to excessive methane release, and threatens to saddle ratepayers with billions in unnecessary costs, putting us at the mercy of gas price volatility, and requiring destructive pipelines that may never be permitted. I urge Commissioners to agree to a final carbon plan that is in the public interest. Please put equity, clean energy, and a swift transition away from fossil fuels at the center of the North Carolina carbon plan. Thank you for your attention to this incredibly important issue.

From:

Connie Raper

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 3:02 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Connie Raper

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

Name

Connie Raper

Email

ckrmob@gmail.com

**Docket** 

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

Dear NCUC, As you close public comment for Duke Energy's consolidated docket E-100 Sub 190, we urge you to put communities and the climate first. Communities living in the shadow of dirty power plants have already paid dearly for our reliance on energy sources that are not clean – suffering serious harms to their health, air, and water. To ensure a just transition, a carbon plan must acknowledge the role these facilities continue to play in communities across North Carolina and ensure their voices are heard in visioning a clean energy future. Building new gas infrastructure and increasing our reliance on fracked gas to generate electricity risks climate catastrophe due to fugitive methane, threatens to saddle ratepayers with billions in stranded costs, puts us at the mercy of gas price volatility, and requires destructive pipelines that may never be permitted. We urge Commissioners to agree to a final carbon plan that is in the public interest. Please put equity, clean energy, and a swift transition away from fossil fuels at the center of the North Carolina carbon plan. Thank you, Connie Raper Rougemont, NC

From:

George Parasho

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 12:42 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by George Parasho

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

George Parasho

#### **Email**

xios.ellas@gmail.com

#### Docket

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

It's a shame not to use our God given sunshine to generate the power we need to prevent further destruction of God's green earth through fossil fuel pollution just to feed the appetites of greedy power companies. Save NC for future generations by pursuing renewable energy now. It is only common sense and its time has come.

From:

SB

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 2:56 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by S B

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

Name

SB

**Email** 

ladygojira@gmail.com

Docket

100 Sub 190

# Message

Duke's plans are expensive and hypothetical, delaying the stop of coal use while proposing massive amounts of new risky long-term fossil fuel infrastructure and reliance on unproven technologies. As a monopoly in North Carolina, the regulatory model that Duke is approved to use means that they get to charge customers for whatever they build, plus a profitable rate of return. This means that the more money they spend on capital projects, the more money they make for their shareholders. Any future fuel cost burdens are carried by their customers. Duke's plans are not on track to meet state mandated requirements, and are lagging behind the curve. Methane, a greenhouse gas more potent than CO2, contributes to worsening climate disasters and puts people's health at risk. Duke's proposed methane infrastructure would force North Carolinians into decades of obligation to pay volatile fuel prices while putting us at risk for stranded asset costs, and increasing risks to health, water and air. A carbon-free grid requires a combination of diverse and complementary energy generation sources. There are more affordable options that utilize existing technology, like utility-scale solar paired with battery storage, and a greater emphasis on energy efficiency. Once wind and solar energy facilities are up and running, there is no additional fuel cost. In their modeling, Duke puts limits on new renewables and energy storage that are extremely conservative and inconsistent with national trends in the deployment of clean energy technologies. In the last carbon plan proceeding Duke omitted recommendations from a report they had commissioned from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) which found that Duke could most economically meet the carbon reduction targets by tripling the proposed solar on the grid by 2030. In their current proposed plans, Duke continues to create artificial barriers and limits to solar which delays NC from implementing solar at the pace that is needed. Wind and solar complement each other, and together have the capacity to provide power when it's needed most. The selection of offshore wind as part of the proposed resource mix is a step in the right direction to meeting the state's energy needs and carbon reduction requirements. However, offshore wind takes time to develop and Duke delaying

the acquisition request for information (ARFI) until 2025 shrinks the opportunity and again creates an artificial barrier to clean, affordable energy. Rather than request proposals from third party developers to inform development timelines and costs, Duke assumes they will do everything themselves, with a proposed development process of at least 7 years. EPA is proposing new rules that aim to limit CO2 and other greenhouse gas emissions from power generation under Section 111 of the federal Clean Air Act. Section 111 Rules would apply to Duke's coal, existing gas, and new gas resources. If implemented, Section 111 Rules could increase the cost to operate new and existing fossil plants and customer bills would skyrocket yet again.

From:

Patricia P Mauck

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 12:29 PM

To:

Statements

**Subject:** 

Statement of Position Submitted by Patricia P Mauck

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Patricia P Mauck

# **Email**

pattymauck@gmail.com

# **Docket**

E-100 sub 190

# Message

Please consider solar plus battery storage rather than climate damaging gas powered plants proposed by Duke Energy!

From:

Jancy Johnstone

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 11:56 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jancy Johnstone

# Statement of Position Submitted

#### Name

Jancy Johnstone

#### **Email**

jancyjohnstone@gmail.com

#### Docket

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

I object to Duke energy's proposal to increase cost of having solar power. 1. Duke's monthly rate is almost double what Con-Ed charges the NY City area where costs of everything are higher. 2. There should be no up-front cost for customers to add solar plus battery storage. It should be funded through the Rate System – just as we now all pay for dirty power. 3. Duke's argument that I should not be entitled to free energy is bogus. I pay for my solar panels on a monthly basis. My system send energy to the Duke grid. Duke provides me a credit for the energy I provide them. 4. If there is more solar energy there is less need for Duke to raise charges so they can expand fossil fuel production.

From:

Luke White

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 10:23 AM

To:

Statements

**Subject:** 

Statement of Position Submitted by Luke White

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

Name

Luke White

**Email** 

lukewhitertr@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

Dear N.C Utilities Commission, I'm writing to ask you to reject Duke Energy's proposed plans that would harm our climate and increase power bills. We need solutions that work for everyone, and NC WARN's Sharing Solar proposal is exactly that. This proposal eliminates the upfront cost for customers to add solar and battery storage by funding it through the Rate System. This is similar to how we currently pay for power plants, but it promotes clean energy instead. Here's why it matters: 1. It avoids the constant rate hikes tied to Duke Energy's plan to expand fossil fuels. 2. It allows local solar with storage to expand quickly and equitably across North Carolina, focusing on economically vulnerable communities. 3. It benefits all homes, businesses, and nonprofits, even those without their own solar setups. 4. It enhances energy resilience, especially during power outages, by reducing stress on the grid. 5. Experts agree that local solar is our best tool to combat the climate crisis. It provides power where it's used, cutting out delivery fees and promoting energy resilience. Please support NC WARN's proposal and help build a cleaner, more equitable energy future for North Carolina. Thank you for considering this important matter. Respectfully, Luke White Knightdale, N.C

From:

Debbie Kenyon

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 9:47 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Debbie Kenyon

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

#### Name

Debbie Kenyon

### **Email**

dcjkenyon@aol.com

#### **Docket**

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

There would be no up-front cost for customers to add solar plus battery storage. It would be funded through the Rate System – just as we now all pay for dirty power. Local solar-with-storage can expand across NC quickly, inexpensively and equitably – with a priority on disadvantaged communities. All homes, businesses, nonprofits benefit in many ways – even if they don't have solar themselves. It avoids the year-after-year rate hikes in Duke Energy's high-risk plan to keep expanding fossil fuels and building experimental nuclear reactors.

From:

Christopher A Bishopp

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 9:11 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Christopher A Bishopp

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

# Name

Christopher A Bishopp

#### **Email**

chris@gocruzin.com

#### Docket

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

We are well past the time to put an end to more and more fossil fuel energy generation and move to pursue climate solutions that work. Don't let the Duke Energy monopoly continue down the same path. SPS is good for all and should be the direction we head.

From:

Kimberly Lillig

Sent:

Friday, May 24, 2024 8:47 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kimberly Lillig

# **Statement of Position Submitted**

Name

Kimberly Lillig

**Email** 

kklillig50@gmail.com

**Docket** 

E-100 Sub 190

# Message

Dear Commissioners: Regarding Duke's consolidated Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plan (CPIRP) that will define energy policy over the next 15 years, I urge Commissioners to agree to a final carbon plan that is in the public interest, which this plan is not. To continue to build new gas infrastructure increases our reliance on fracked gas to generate electricity and risks climate catastrophe due to fugitive methane, among other problems including leakable pipelines. As a grandmother to 4 grandchildren who will be paying the price of the energy decisions we make today, I urge you to move our state towards the new energy economy of solar and wind by refusing to accept this flawed plan that takes us out 15 years, a timeline our planet cannot afford. Thank you for all your hard work and attention to these comments.