

Berry, Taylor

From: Abraham Palmer <abraham@boxturtlebakery.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 10, 2022 3:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100 Sub 180CS

I am firmly against the proposal as written. Duke Energy takes the potential problem of rooftop solar generation not matching up with demand and creates a series of cumbersome, complex, and punitive rules for their customers. We can use batteries (either centralized or distributed in EVs) to help shift some production and also demand-side management. We need to get to a 100% renewable energy system and we will certainly have challenges along the way, but simply avoiding the problem is not an option. Fossil fuels are becoming enormously expensive when you include their full impacts and solar energy is only going to go down in cost/impact. Solar generators are already not being fairly reimbursed for the power they are putting on the grid and the replacement set of rules makes it far worse.

--Abraham Palmer

121 Circadian Way; Chapel Hill, NC 27516

--

<http://boxturtlebakery.com>

(919)357-6034

abraham@boxturtlebakery.com

OFFICIAL COPY

May 10 2022