1	PLACE: Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina
2	DATE: Monday, October 3, 2022
3	DOCKET NO. W-354, Sub 400
4	TIME: 7:00 p.m. to 7:47 p.m.
5	BEFORE: Commissioner Karen M. Kemerait, Presiding
6	Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell
7	Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland
8	Commissioner Kimberly W. Duffley
9	Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.
LO	Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes
L1	
L2	
L3	IN THE MATTER OF:
L 4	Application by
L 5	Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina,
L 6	4944 Parkway Plaza Boulevard, Suite 375
L7	Charlotte, North Carolina 28217
L 8	for Authority to Adjust and Increase Rates
L 9	for Water and Sewer Utility Service
20	in All Its Service Areas in North Carolina
21	and for Approval of a Water and Sewer Investment Plan
22	
23	VOLUME 1
2.4	

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    FOR CAROLINA WATER SERVICE, INC. OF NORTH CAROLINA:
 3
    Jo Anne Sanford, Esq.
 4
    Sanford Law Office, PLLC
 5
    721 North Bloodworth Street
 6
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27604
 7
 8
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
 9
    John D. Little, Esq.
10
    Public Staff
    North Carolina Utilities Commission
11
12
    4326 Mail Service Center
13
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
```

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	EXAMINATIONS
3	PAGE
4	DAVID SMOAK
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Little 15
6	Statement by Mr. Smoak
7	Examination by Chair Mitchell 25
8	VINCE ROY
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Little 30
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	EXHIBITS	
2		IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED
3	Smoak Exhibit 1	43
4	Roy Exhibit 1	43
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

## PROCEEDINGS

2.1

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Good evening. Let us come to order and go on the record. I am

Commissioner Karen M. Kemerait, Presiding Commissioner for this, hearing and with me this evening are Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell and Commissioners ToNola D.

Brown-Bland, Kimberly W. Duffley, Floyd B. McKissick, Jr., and Jeffrey A. Hughes. And I now call for hearing in Docket No. W-354, Sub 400 which is In the Matter of Application by Carolina Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina for Authority to Adjust and Increase Rates and Charges for Water and Sewer Utility Service in All Service Areas in North Carolina and for Approval of a three-year Water and Sewer Investment Plan.

On July 1st, 2022, Carolina Water Service,
Inc. of North Carolina -- and I'll refer to Carolina
Water Service going forward as CWSNC. CWSNC filed an
application with the Commission seeking authority to
adjust and increase its rates for providing water and
sewer utility service in all of its North Carolina
service areas, and for approval to establish and
implement a Water and Sewer Investment Plan pursuant
to North Carolina General Statute § Section

```
62-133.1(b) and also pursuant to Commission Rule R1-17(a).
```

2.1

CWSNC proposes new rates for a base year and a three-year rate years included in its Water and Sewer Investment Plan. CWSNC serves approximately 34,565 water customers and 21,469 sewer customers in 38 counties throughout the State of North Carolina. The proposed rate increase is based on a test period ending March 31st, 2022.

On July 26, 2022, the Commission issued an Order Establishing a General Rate Case and Suspending Rates.

On September 2nd, 2022, the Commission issued an Order Scheduling Hearing, establishing intervention and testimony due dates and discovery deadlines, and requiring notice. The Order scheduled hearings for the purpose of taking public witness testimony for tonight in Raleigh, as well as hearings in Boone, Jacksonville and Charlotte. There will also be a public witness hearing that will be held virtually via WebEx.

On September 15, 2022, CWSNC filed a

Certificate of Service showing that customer notice

has been provided as required. On September 19, 2022,

CWSNC filed updated information regarding its rate case application. And that brings us to the proceeding today. And pursuant to North Carolina General Statute § Section 138(a)-15(e), I remind Members of the Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of interest and inquire, at this time, as to whether any Commissioner has any known conflict of interest with respect to this Docket.

2.1

(No response)

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Let the record reflect that I have no such conflict, and that my fellow Commissioners have not identified any such conflicts.

I now call upon counsel for the parties to announce their appearance for the record, beginning with the Applicant.

MS. SANFORD: Thank you. I'm Jo Anne
Sanford of Sanford Law Office, representing Carolina
Water Service, Inc. of North Carolina. With me at
counsel table is Don Denton who is the State President
of the Company. Thank you.

MR. LITTLE: John Little, attorney with the Public Staff. Present with me tonight is Gina Holt, Manager in the Legal Division of the Public Staff,

Charles Junis, the Director of the Water, Sewer, and Telephone Division of the Public Staff, and Shashi Bhatta, Engineer with the Water and Sewer Division of the Public Staff.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Thank you. And before we get started with the hearing, do the parties have any preliminary matters that need to be addressed?

MS. SANFORD: No.

2.1

MR. LITTLE: Not from the Public Staff.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And since there are no preliminary matters to address, we'll go ahead and proceed with the hearing. But before we begin, I'd like to say a few words about the process for the hearing tonight.

First, I'd like to say that we appreciate you coming here tonight to voice your views on the supported matter, and we welcome your testimony and that we want to hear to you. In conducting this hearing, the Commission functions in a judicial capacity as we're required to do by law in North Carolina. Because the Commission functions as a court, we cannot respond to your questions. Instead, we are here to receive testimony from you in the form

of your testimony.

2.1

The Public Staff, which represents the Using and Consuming Public, is made a party to this proceeding pursuant to North Carolina General Statute S Section 62-15(d). In this proceeding, the Public Staff represents you, the Using and Consuming Public, and the Public Staff will assist you in providing your testimony tonight. In order to facilitate a full and fair opportunity for all speakers to participate, we will use the following procedures, and I recognize that there are not that many speakers here tonight, but we do have certain procedures that we will follow.

First, witnesses who wish to testify must sign up with the Public Staff. The Public Staff will call each witness in the order listed on the roster.

Second, in order to allow each person an equal amount of time, there will be a limit of three minutes for each witness to speak. Therefore, we would appreciate it if witnesses would try to avoid any cumulative, repetitive, and redundant testimony.

Third, to comply with the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, I must have each witness swear or affirm the truth of his or her testimony prior to providing that testimony. When you hear your name called,

please proceed to the witness stand and I will deliver the oath. If you would prefer to affirm your testimony, please let me know that when you come to the witness stand.

2.1

After your testimony has been sworn or affirmed, I will ask you to please state your name and address for the record, and then you can go ahead and provide your testimony.

Fourth, please refrain from offering opinions on matters which are not related to CWSNC's application which is before the Commission in this Docket. Also, please be sure to address your testimony to the Commission and not to members of the audience. In lieu of oral testimony, you may submit written testimony so long as you swear to its accuracy. Written statements must be submitted by a person under oath during this hearing.

And Fifth, counsel for any party may ask questions of the witnesses this evening. In addition, the Commissioners will have the opportunity to ask questions. This means that if you provide testimony, you might be asked questions by the attornies or by the Commissioners.

And finally Sixth, the testimony is being

recorded by a court reporter. Therefore, to ensure the accuracy of the record, we ask that you speak clearly and not engage in any unconventional modes of testimony. Additionally, please remain quiet when you're not testifying so that the court reporter will be able to hear the testifying witness.

And as a final reminder, the Commission and the attornies for parties are not allowed to respond to your questions during the hearing. However, both the Public Staff and CWSNC have representatives here who will be available after the hearing to answer any questions. And with all of that information, before we begin, do CWSNC or the Public Staff wish to provide an opening statement?

MS. SANFORD: Yes, we do. Thank you. Good evening and thank you, Commissioner Kemerait, Chair Mitchell, and Commissioners Duffley, Hughes, McKissick, and Brown-Bland. My name again is Jo Anne Sanford, and I'm the Regulatory Attorney for Carolina Water Company. We appreciate the Public Staff's participation in this process and we are here tonight for the customers, and we particularly appreciate you going to the trouble be here.

We, Carolina Water, appreciate your

business, your interest in these proceedings, and we have people here who I'll introduce in a minute who, as Commissioner Kemerait indicated, are happy to talk to you tonight or as a follow-up to the hearing.

2.1

Additionally, after this hearing, and within 20 days, which is allowed by the Utilities Commission, we'll file a report responding to your concerns as expressed here tonight. That'll be available on the Web and for you to see.

Carolina Water, as indicated, provides service across 38 counties through a very large system of wells and wastewater treatment plants. The Utility is subject to a range of Regulatory Oversight of concern to us now, and most promptly, through the Oversight of the North Carolina Utilities Commission. The Oversight also comes from the Department of Environmental Quality and various other entities that are charged with some level of regulation or oversight over the Company's business. These public hearings and the evidentiary hearing that will follow in November are a critical part of that regulatory oversight.

This Company is regulated in many respects, but principally for our purposes tonight in its rates

and with respect to its service. The Company is applying for a rate increase, and as was indicated, we're applying under a new statute that allows the Company the opportunity to request of the Utilities Commission a three-year rate plan.

2.1

As you know, you're probably long-time customers. I believe you are. The Companies, this one and others, have come in on an annual basis or on an 18-month basis. They come in more frequently because the level of investment has driven them in for a rate request. This new statute allows the opportunity, subject to decision by the Commission, to establish a three-year plan which we believe is a more efficient way to set rates and to run the operational part of the business.

Again, this is subject to a fully litigated hearing that will take place in this room in November, and the Company isn't entitled to charge any more in rates than it proves to this Commission over the strenuous participation and audit of the Public Staff, and perhaps of others. They're not allowed to recover any more in rates than they proved. We have to present evidence. It's subject to cross-examination, and that's what this process is about. Your remarks

_	_
n	ı
ñ	ı
	i
	8
€	I
_	Ь.
	8
-	•
	-
6	
	a
	3
200	ĕ.
P. 9	г
- 19-	ø
-	à.
<b>I</b> .	
	ď
_	

1	tonight will be part of that official record. So we
2	thank you for being here and we look forward to
3	talking to you afterwards, if it's helpful.
4	COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Thank you,
5	Ms. Sanford. Does the Public Staff wish to make an
6	opening statement?
7	MR. LITTLE: No. The Public Staff is ready
8	to proceed with the hearing, your Honor.
9	COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Please go ahead and
10	call your first witness.
11	MR. LITTLE: The Public Staff would call
12	David Smoak. Have I pronounced your name correctly?
13	MR. SMOAK: Yes.
14	MR. LITTLE: Thank you.
15	COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Good evening,
16	Mr. Smoak. Will you place your left hand on the bible
17	and raise your right hand. And do you wish to affirm?
18	MR. SMOAK: Swear is fine.
19	COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Okay.
20	DAVID SMOAK;
21	having been duly sworn,
22	testified as follows:
23	COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Could you state your
24	name and address for the record

```
THE WITNESS: David Smoak, S-m-o-a-k.
 1
                                                       The
 2
    address is 96 North Ridge Trail, Sanford, North
 3
    Carolina 27332.
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And you're welcome
 4
 5
    to sit down, if you would prefer.
 6
               THE WITNESS: I did prepare three copies of
 7
    my statement. I will have to edit it due to time
 8
    constraint.
 9
               MR. LITTLE: Mr. Smoak, let me interrupt
10
          I'm sorry.
    you.
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
11
12
    DIRECT-EXAMINATION BY MR. LITTLE:
13
         Before you proceed, there are a few more
14
         preliminary questions I want to ask. You said
15
          you're from Sanford, and I'm assuming you're a
         Carolina Water customer?
16
```

- 17 A Yes.
- 18 | Q And what subdivision do you live in Sanford?
- 19 A So it's grouped together as Carolina Trace
- 20 subdivision. That subdivision is subdivided
- 21 further within the north shore.
- 22 Q Carolina?
- 23 A Yes, north shore part of that.
- 24 Q And you are -- you're about to testify about the

```
1 rate increase. Is that correct?
```

A Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

22

23

- Q And you have a written document that you'd like to -- would you like to read that or would you like to enter that into the record?
- I plan on reading it. Due to the three-minute time constraint, I'll have to edit it. Part of it, though, I'll have to edit out is actually positive things I wanted to say about Carolina Water Service, so I can't represent the things that my residents wants me to say. If they ask me to read my positive comments at the end, then I'd be glad to read it into the record as well.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: I'll just interrupt for a minute. Since we only have just a few witnesses here this evening, we will give you the time that you need to read your entire statement.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am.

## 19 BY MR. LITTLE:

- Q So why don't you tell us what you'd like the Commission to hear. And then if you'd like to read your statement, you can go ahead and do that.
- 24 A I will do that by reading my statement.

Especially in this environment, I pretty much put everything I wanted to say on paper.

## STATEMENT BY MR. SMOAK:

2.1

Q Good evening, Commissioners. My name is David Smoak, as I've already introduced myself. This is my third time speaking before you about CWS's proposed rate hikes and my fourth and last year as President of Carolina Trace Association, which represents the 18 property owner associations, representing over 1600 homes in Carolina Trace and customers of CWS.

Over the years, I have learned and thought a lot about our private water and sewage utility system. It is an unusual system that most citizens are never exposed to in their lifetime. Most of our new residents express their shock and outrage on social media like next door when receiving their first bills from CWS which can easily be over \$200 when new fees and security deposits are rolled into that first bill.

Like some of you, I've even been accused of being in collusion with CWS or receiving some sort of kickbacks from them. I

have a military background in logistics and even took some classes in federal contracting. I have also become a regular consumer of the information shared on the UNC Environmental Finance Center which conducts annual analyses of state, water, and waste treatment rates, and have learned about the difference between rates charged for internal versus external customers. That's the good part.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

I deeply appreciate that CWS provides services that makes my community Nobody wants to bribe this Company inhabitable. of the necessary resources and thereby create situations like those being faced in Jackson, Mississippi. I know that CWS provided emergency services for numerous water breaks in my community, and they have been investing in numerous infrastructure projects to manage our waste, and they have recently started a rebate program to reward customers who purchase and install various home appliances to conserve During Covid times, CWS also became more flexible with residents having payment issues. And I know the number of their monthly shut-offs have decreased.

Finally, I would express gratitude to the Public Staff and you Commissioners who recently forced a large change in our monthly rate structures that pushed down the monthly base charges while increasing the variable consumption rates. I've had several owners that have split residences in different areas of the country, and their monthly bills, without any usage, dropped from around \$90 to \$65.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

I do object to the proposed rate hikes for several reasons. First of all, I see it as a way for CWS to undue what is probably a hard-one concession to recently lower our base I've already expressed my support for the recent initiatives to promote conservation. if these rate hikes are passed, our base charges will almost be back to what you just reduced them from within a few years. And edit my own writing. I understand the attraction of high base rates for providing a steady and reliable income stream to CWS, especially when they are in talks to merge with another company, but I know you-all understand how consumer activity is impacted when they don't see a reward for their

conservation. I have two suggestions on this topic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

First, I would like to see how our usage rates have been impacted under the current rate structure which does reward conservation, acknowledging that it's only been in effect for a few months. Please.

Secondly, if CWS is so determined to push up our base charges to preserve their income stream, then offset it with some sort of base usage allowance such as a thousand gallons per household, and then the variable usage rates would kick in. I object to the wording of the recent notification, just like I objected to it I understand the wisdom of a unified last time. rate increase application to conserve both CWS and State resources. I do not understand, though, why space cannot be dedicated to telling each community specifically what their proposed My own residents are upset, but increases are. don't even know what they're upset about in this recent notice.

The vast majority of my residents don't even understand this Multiyear Rate hike,

although it did help that Mr. Don Denton, their Senior VP, sent out a supplemental letter to explain their reasoning. As a fairly intelligent and experienced consumer, I still find it confusing that on page 5 of the notice, I looked for the line labeled Carolina Trace for water utility service hikes, but on the next page addressing sewer utility service, I am supposed to read the Uniform Metered Rate line.

2.1

In this eight-page notification document, there are five pages of rate information for all the communities. That's CWS services, and we customers are ultimately trying to pick through those pages to read the four to five lines of information that actually impact us. Please improve this document.

I object to any Multiyear rate agreement at this time. Once again, we all appreciate how nice it is for CWS to be able to count on a smooth, predictable rise in their income forecast. I will point out that these are very uncertain economic times, as you-all know. I understand that by locking in future rates, that there is some risk assumed by CWS if

economic conditions push up their own costs
faster than their projected increases. I also
know that if economic conditions were so bad that
they would lose money, they would simply file to
amend those rates based on exceptional
circumstances, but there is no protection for us
consumers if the opposite happens.

2.1

I would ask that their request for a Multiyear increase be denied or as a minimum, that they be tied to certain economic indicators, be they the CPI, PPI, or other inflationary statistics. I know this rate hike process is tedious and expensive, but it does remain the only real feedback that we customers do have to influence you regulators and CWS. In a sense, by keeping this a tedious and expensive process every time they ask, we could hopefully persuade CWS to pursue these rate hikes less often, which leads me to my last comment.

Finally, I would address the very nature of our relationship with CWS. I have become familiar with how so many government-funded utilities undercharge their residents by either underfunding their

infrastructure or by hiding some of those costs in their local tax structure. I've told my own residents that I would easily lower their monthly water bills by \$50 a month, and all I have to do is raise their annual assessments by \$1,000.

Maybe that's how I can get some of that kickback money they accused me of receiving. In reality, we customers generate costs to CWS that they document and present to you those costs, and according to their own letter, ask for a fair return of up to 10.7 percent.

2.1

In the federal government, we call these cost-plus types of contracts, which were usually reserved for newer risky propositions when there wasn't enough competition, experience, or time to competently bid against. By providing CWS a cost-plus type of incentive program, we are limiting the ability of a private corporation to thoughtfully and creatively innovate their way into greater profits. If CWS spends \$100,000 on emergency repairs in my community, then by their logic, we should pay a reasonable \$110,700. That model does not encourage innovative ways to avoid those costs in the first place. I know their

1	costs are scrutinzed and reviewed for being		
2	reasonable to industry standards, but I can		
3	continue to believe that this reimbursement plan		
4	has only prompted CWS to be creative and		
5	innovative in how they have filed for their rate		
6	increases. I fully admit it is a thin line to		
7	balance between putting pressure on this Company		
8	to be inventive and profitable versus motivating		
9	them to be cheap and risk the quality of their		
10	services.		
11	Thank you for your time and		
12	attention on this matter. I have submitted		
13	copies of this for your review. Thank you.		
14	MR. LITTLE: Do you have anything else you		
15	wish to say?		
16	THE WITNESS: No, sir.		
17	MR. LITTLE: The Public Staff has no		
18	questions.		
19	COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Are there any		
20	questions for CWSNC?		
21	MS. SANFORD: We have no questions. Thank		
22	you.		

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Are there any

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

23

24

questions from the Commissioners? Chair Mitchell? EXAMINATION BY CHAIR MITCHELL:

- Mr. Smoak, thank you for your testimony tonight and for all of your remarks. It's nice to hear from a customer of the Utility, and I appreciate everything that you've shared with us here. I have two questions for you. The first has to do with the public notice. So the Utility sends out one -- prepares and sends one public notice that covers all of its systems.
- A Customers, right.

2.1

- Q And I hear in your testimony tonight that that can be frustrating or difficult for a customer to understand because he or she has to pick through all of the pages and the information provided to find only that which is specific to his or her system. Is there anything else -- what recommendation, what specific recommendation can you make to the Commission about the public notice to make it more customer friendly?
- A So at the end of the rate notification document, they already break out between the uniform meter rates versus -- in both the water suppliers and the sewer suppliers, there's already a list of

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

communities that do not fall under those uniformed rates for each service. Honestly, each line -- the easiest way would just to list each community. You know, I said Carolina Trace is listed under the water rates. There should be a line under the sewer rates that says specifically Carolina Trace, you know, you follow this rate too.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

Even if it's the same thing as the uniform water rate, it's just one extra line. That's one way to do it beyond -- I did think about it a little bit, ma'am. You know, right now, the document is organized, you know, by the services they provide. You know, the other way to do it would be to organize it by, you know, the communities they service saying, you know, they would have separate sections, you know, for Carolina Trace, for Wake Forest or, you know, all these other communities, you know, existing proposed, you know. Next community, existing, proposed, you know. That's how it would be listed. Because, you know, once again, we have a very diverse community. A lot of them are elderly. They don't really know what's in the

rate document. They just see oh, you know, another rate, another rate increase. You know, they don't really know how much it is or, you know, the extent of this document, the extent of this current proposal that covers many years, I believe.

- Okay. All right. Thank you for those suggestions. The second question has to do with the changes in the rate design you spoke of. You indicated that the base charge has been reduced and the variable charge has been increased, and you discussed the increase in variable charge in the context of conservation. And then you said it would be nice to know how -- I want you to restate that portion of your testimony. Is it that you want to see how the new rate design has impacted usage in your community?
- 18 A Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q Okay.

2.1

A Right. I don't remember -- I don't know when the current rate structure went into effect. It was somewhere around March of this year. So we've had about six months of bad -- I don't know. Has our usage decreased, you know, compared to the

```
same period last year?
```

- Q Do you think that that's something that your neighbors would be interested in as well?
- 4 A Yes.

- 5 Q Has there been discussion about that among your neighbors?
  - A So among the neighbors, ma'am, there has been people that said, you know, it's just a couple people in our household, you know. We are thrifty with our water usage and our mouths are a reasonable amount, you know, 70, 90, under \$100 a month. Being with larger families, there is empathy because obviously they're using a lot more money, but part of the conversations I've seen on next door, they do say well, you know, try to take steps to find and conserve water, even in your own household. Even if it's a larger household, obviously they're going to use more water than the smaller ones.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A So I had seen more conversations on next door
  22 about conserving water under the current rate
  23 hikes --
- 24 Q Okay. And then last question for you.

```
1
    Α
          -- current rate structure.
 2
          You mentioned the rebate program where the
    Q
 3
          Company is offering a rebate for the purchase of
          certain types of fixtures or equipment. Have you
 4
 5
          taken advantage of the rebate program?
 6
          I have not personally, no, ma'am.
    Α
 7
          Do you know of whether any of your neighbors
    Q
 8
          have?
 9
          I have heard talk of it, but I have not heard of
10
          anyone taking advantage of it yet, ma'am.
11
         Okay. All right.
    0
```

THE WITNESS: Thank you, Chairwoman.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Well, thank you again for

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Mr. Smoak, thank you for your testimony. There's no further questions for you, so you may be excused. And again, thank you for coming this evening.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And the Public Staff can go ahead and call the next witness, please.

MR. LITTLE: The Public Staff would call

Mr. Roy.

your testimony.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

24

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Good evening,

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

```
Mr. Roy If you can place your left hand on the bible
 1
 2
    and raise your right hand.
 3
                           VINCE ROY;
                           having been duly sworn,
 4
                           testified as follows:
 5
 6
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And Mr. Roy, can you
 7
    state -- please go ahead and be seated. Mr. Roy, can
 8
    you state your name and address for the record,
 9
    please.
10
               THE WITNESS: Vince Roy, 237 Lakeview Drive,
11
    Sanford.
               I'm living in Carolina Trace.
12
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Mr. Little, I'll
13
    turn it over to you.
14
               MR. LITTLE:
                            Thank you.
15
    DIRECT-EXAMINATION BY MR. LITTLE:
16
         Mr. Roy, you're Carolina Trace. Are you a
17
         Carolina Water customer of Carolina Trace?
18
         Yes, I am. For 16 years, I've been the
    Α
19
         water/sewer representative for the community.
         have right at the 1,625 houses there on about
20
2.1
          another 900 undeveloped at this time, and about
22
          4,000 total residents.
23
         And you have a document, two-page typed document.
    Q
24
          Is this what you would like for the Commission to
```

read or would you like to summarize it or would you like read it into the record?

2.1

- A I'd like to submit it for the record, and I'll just summarize it for the record.
- Q Why don't you summarize it, then we'll go through the process of getting it into admitted into record.
- A Sure. We've been -- about five or six years ago,
  Carolina Water Service, in the interest of saving
  time and money on proposals for each community,
  developed the Uniformed Rate Division, and
  Carolina Trace is one of 16 in our division. We
  happen to be the largest, and that was all done
  as for the same reason they're requesting a
  Multiyear rate increase to allow them to
  facilitate all the paperwork it takes for them to
  file a rate increase. And I missed the other
  part of your question. I'm sorry.
- Q Is that what you'd like for the Commission to hear? Is there more?
- A Yes, as I submitted my two-page document, the major complaints, recommendations I should say, because I don't want to put it in the terms of complaint. From our dealings with the Carolina

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Water Service organization at Carolina Trace,
I've been there for 22 years, been doing this
project for 16 years representing the population
there, and we have a good relationship with the
working staff, all the way up into the
headquarters in Charlotte. We get things done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

For example, we had a -- we have a 315-acre lake with 18 different POAs, which makes it administratively uncomfortable to operate, but Dave Smoak is our president who was just here before me, does a good job in trying to keep everybody together. And for example, about four Fridays ago, we had a two-inch line break in both a POA on the north and another two-inch line break on the south side of the lake, and it was a good example of the comaraderie between the residents and the water company because they only man the water/sewer operation at Carolina Trace on a five-day eight-hour a day basis. And when something occurs on the weekend or at night, then you must rely on their organization out of Pinehurst to provide the manpower, and we have a -- they have a construction company called Billy Vea who's been working and doing all of the

repairs on lines for the last 30 years. And Billy Vea and his construction company know more about the sewer and water lines than anybody ever existed, and they do a good job.

about it was that the -- well, the water company first held -- they came to check. And what happened on that two-inch break on both sides of the lake simultaneously in getting the construction people out there later that evening, we saw the residents in both those communities working with the water company and directing traffic, and then guarding the workers as they started digging and trying to fix the break. And we really enjoy that relationship with the local water company, although we don't enjoy the relationship with the senior people always wanting rate increases.

- Q So fair to say that you're happy with the quality of service from Carolina Water?
- A Well, the quality of service we get, it's good.
- 22 Q Now, let's talk about the rate increase request that we're here for tonight.
  - A Yes.

2.1

You have -- in your letter, you have some items, the timing of the request.

2.1

As we look at the rate increase at this time in the United States, with the recession now in full-blown, and having three-quarters of GDP and negative, and also the high rate of cost of goods these days, it seems to be out of context with everything else going on to have the water company call -- come by and not want a rate increase in the water and sewer services. I understand -- in some raising on what I've said here -- I understand as a business man the need to make a profit.

In this particular case, I think their request for a 10.7 percent profit margin is probably a little high at this time, and that's what I'm trying to say here. That the -- as a claim in the documentation, that it's very efficient for them to be able to do this on a three-year basis rather than a 12 or 18-month basis, but that was the same rationale when they consolidated us all into a uniformed rate program. And so as I understand in talking to their people periodically, that everything is

automated in terms of database and income of funds and outgoing that I think that's not a very valid excuse to ask for a three-year rate increase.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

And secondly, when you look at the statistics that's provided in the eight-page document that we received, you see clearly that the rationale for the rate increases is to reinstate a higher base rate, and I refer to the Docket 354, Sub 384 which was issued, the final -- the results from the Commission was issued on April 8th of this year where for the first time in years, we saw a decrease in the base rate, and that -- for the conservationists that live in Carolina Trace. And I can't refer to other communities, but for our community, we have conservationists that don't hesitate to knock on my door and complain about the rate increase because we have -- you show in the documents that you submitted an average of 3,810 gallons a month for water.

At Carolina Trace, we average about 34 to 3,500 gallons a month per resident, and we have some that come and knock on my door

complaining about that because they don't use more than a thousand gallons a month. they do in the way of conservationism, they have big barrels under the downspots coming off the roof gutters, and they use that water for any water needs for around the house, not inside but outside, even washing their cars with it. they are true conservationists and they strongly object to these rate increases. And they find -they take pleasure in the fact that the rate increase we received April 8th showed a decrease in the base rates, both for water and sewer, a significant decrease, and of course they make it up by increasing utilization rate. They can't do that with the water because of Chapter 62, and it's a pasture for them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

We pay \$2.10 for a thousand gallons, but they almost triple the usage rate on the sewer part of things, but our conservationists can accept that because they can control that by the amount of water they use.

And I argue that point on their behalf, and my request in my document is that using that 354,

384 case which the Commissioners took an

extraordinarily long time on the time that they took to have their answer by February. them until April 8th to issue the document, and we applaud them though. We just wish we could have been in that room listening to all the inward fighting going on between the staff as to what is the base rate. But we applaud them and we take exception to the fact that with this Multiyear program, what you see in there is the effort to go back and increase the base rates again after the Commission did such a great job in decreasing them in the last docket case. Let me ask you. You are active in the community in Carolina Trace. Can you give us a sense for what you are hearing from other residents about the rate increase request? Yeah. Their response has been typical from the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

A Yeah. Their response has been typical from the point of view that when they go do their shopping, they find everything is going up in price from week to week or whatever the case is, and then you throw on top of them, especially the military families. We have active duty military families living in Carolina Trace. It typically is rentals because it's stationed on the Fort

Bragg which is 20 miles south of Carolina Trace on Route 87. And while us retired military don't get a pay increase with the COLA -- those of us on social security do get one, but active military people at Carolina Trace and other places in North Carolina, they're going to get a 4.6 salary increase with the NDA. That's the Congress right now, the National Defense Authorization Act. It's giving them 4.6 percent rate increase. And when they get that money into their household -- and then we got the Carolina Water Service asking for a 10.7 percent rate increase. It rubs them the wrong way, especially with everything else changing so rapidly in the country as a whole. Thank you, Mr. Roy. Is there anything else you'd

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q Thank you, Mr. Roy. Is there anything else you'd like to say tonight?
- A Well, in regard to what I just made comment about, the military people, there's not that many. And looking at the fact that North Carolina has a situation, what they call a lower income waiver where people that can prove their -- that status, they can get discounts in certain areas, I would think that the water

company would take a look at our military people, active duty living in Carolina Trace and other places, of the 38 counties they work in, and see if they can offer them a discount for their water and sewer usage.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

And they've make great strides in the way that they're shutting down water for people that have been paying their bills. A couple years ago, on a 4th of July weekend, I had a young lady knocking on my front door with four children and her sister carrying a bunch of water they had just bought at Walmart to drink because the water company had turned them to off because they hadn't paid their bill. And the problem with them was her husband was in the Army in Afghanistan and she's there with the children. And since that time, you know, Carolina Water Service has, in fact, modified and improved the methodology to use before they turn the water off on any family, and I compliment them on that. Mr. Roy, can you just give me -- bear with me for just one minute.

MR. LITTLE: Chair, Mr. Roy, I believe, would like to introduce his letter into the record.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

```
Would you like to take care of that, marking it now or after Ms. Sanford has an opportunity?
```

2.1

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: We can do it at the end of the hearing. I think there's two exhibits that will need to be introduced in the record for both witnesses.

MR. LITTLE: Yes. Okay. Public Staff has no further questions.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Does CWSNC have any questions of the witness?

MS. SANFORD: We have no questions, and thank you, Mr. Roy.

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Does the Commission have any questions?

(No response)

COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Okay. Mr. Roy, thank you very much for your testimony. We appreciate you coming this evening and you may be excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you. And again, I leave you with the final thought that take a good look at the 10 percent increase they want and do what you did for the 354, 384 docket you completed on April 8th. And if you could, you could work to where that you finish all your negotiations and come up with the

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

```
final results sometime earlier than March because
 1
 2
    March is my birthday. It's my 96th birthday -- 92nd
 3
    birthday, and that would be a great birthday present
 4
    for me.
 5
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Well happy early
 6
    birthday.
 7
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
 8
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Thank you.
 9
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Roy, just be
10
    careful. Some people trip when they -- there's a
11
    raised area.
12
               THE WITNESS: I'll try not to fall.
13
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Many people have, so
14
    I just wanted to warn you.
15
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Do you have any
16
    additional witnesses?
17
              MR. LITTLE: No. That's all the witnesses
    that the Public Staff has identified, your Honor.
18
19
              COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Okay. Thank you.
    And since we've heard from all of the witnesses, is
20
2.1
    there anything that the Public -- any motions that the
22
    Public Staff would like to make?
23
              MR. LITTLE: Only to admit the two exhibits
24
    into evidence, your Honor.
```

```
COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Both exhibits are
 1
 2
    admitted into the record. Thank you.
 3
           (Whereupon, Smoak Exhibit 1 was identified and
                   admitted into the record.)
 4
 5
           (Whereupon, Roy Exhibit 1 was identified and
 6
                  admitted into the record.)
 7
               MR. LITTLE:
                            Thank you.
 8
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Are there any
    additional matters that need to be addressed by CWSNC
 9
10
    or by the Public Staff?
11
               MS. SANFORD:
                             There is one, and I failed to
12
    do it earlier when I was supposed to. I would like to
13
    ask that the representatives of Carolina Water who are
14
    here, who I think are well-known to our witnesses, but
15
    I would like to ask them to stand. They're Tony
16
    Konsul; Deb Clark, Director of Communications; Neal
17
    Franklin, the Customer Experience Manager, Steven
18
    Harrell, Area Manager; and Jeff Cooke, Lead Operator.
19
    And thank them for being here, and these are the
20
    people who interact with our customers.
2.1
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: And I'd also like
22
    to, on behalf of the Commission, to thank
23
    representatives of CWSNC for coming to the hearing as
24
    well.
           Any additional matters that need to be
```

```
1
    addressed?
 2
               MR. LITTLE: Yes, your Honor. I apologize.
 3
    I think I kind of jumped the gun. I'm asking that the
    exhibit be admitted. I don't think they were properly
 4
    identified for the record.
 5
 6
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: So I think we will
 7
    have Mr. Smoak's statement identified as his exhibit
 8
    to his testimony, and then Mr. Roy's statement will be
 9
    identified as Roy Exhibit to his testimony as well.
10
              MR. LITTLE:
                            Thank you, your Honor.
11
               COMMISSIONER KEMERAIT: Thank you.
12
    are now at the conclusion of the hearing and the
13
    hearing's now adjourned. And again, I want to thank
14
    the witnesses for coming this evening and providing
15
    testimony, and also thank you to Carolina Water for
16
    coming as well.
17
     (Whereupon, the proceeding was adjourned at 7:47 p.m.)
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
```

## CERTIFICATE

I, TONJA VINES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Tonja Vines