

ERRATA

To: Kimberley A. Campbell, Chief Clerk

From: Kim Mitchell

CC:

Date: November 24, 2020

Re: Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC

Docket Number E-7, Sub 1214 and E-2, Sub 1219, Volume 6 - CONSOLIDATED

This errata is to correct page 152, line 3, William Maness to Michael Maness.

Please see attached corrected page 152.

1 into account some of the feedback on the cost-benefit
2 analyses that it had been provided. So --

3 A. (Michael Maness) Additionally, if I could
4 also add to Mr. Thomas' answers. We would be
5 interested, when looking at these benefits, determining
6 if they can be quantified in dollar terms, and the
7 extent at which those dollar terms would be used to
8 offset the eventual recovery of deferred costs.

9 Q. Understood. And going forward, if there's
10 legislative changes, for instance, the clean energy
11 plan, or if Duke has its own internal structure, the
12 Duke's corporate clean energy goals, are -- is the
13 Public Staff prepared to re-evaluate things based upon
14 moving metrics, particularly if, you know, the cost of
15 carbon, for instance, starts to be included within
16 analyses?

17 A. Certainly. That -- Public Staff follows in
18 the interest of all the statutes, Commission rules and
19 regulations that --

20 (Reporter interruption due to feedback.)

21 THE WITNESS: The Public Staff expects
22 Duke to comply with all statutes and regulations,
23 and we would take those into account as we're doing
24 the review of actual versus projected benefits in