

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jonas Modesto  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 4:11 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jonas Modesto

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jonas Modesto

### Email

jonas.modesto@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Solar panels with 1:1 net metering helps consumers recoup the significant investment to purchase and install. Duke energy should do more to motivate adoption of solar panels.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Darryl Peebles  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 4:22 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Darryl Peebles

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Darryl Peebles

### Email

darrylpeebles@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Stop trying to change things retroactively and follow the law...I served churches 50 years for very little money and gave up hundreds of thousands in equity by living in the parsonage and had to get out at age 71 when I retired and take out a mortgage at age 71 until I am 101...I put on solar to help make it and get rid of my power bill...Please don't mess it up...

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Trevor Cartee  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 4:43 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Trevor Cartee

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Trevor Cartee

### Email

tcartee1991@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing because I want to help defeat Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering rules for its residential customers in North Carolina. There are at least two reasons: It's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The Commission should obviously do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Please take note and do the right thing.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Heather Parton  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 4:44 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Heather Parton

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Heather Parton

### Email

heather.hazen@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a family who recently installed solar panels on our home and purchased electric vehicles, we have heavily invested in clean energy. We have put our money to good use to further this cause and would request that you do a full investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** George Fuller  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:04 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by George Fuller

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

George Fuller

### Email

george.fuller.jr@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

I know this is not capable of informing those of you making the decision. I am wanting to encourage you to act in the best interest in growing the capacity of the grid, let those who produce the energy benefit, and let Duke Energy expand clean capacities and sell to the growing number of people who need the electricity. I view the grab of the energy produced by solar system WE purchase without full compensation as evidence of greed and dishonesty in the messaging of Duke Energy. I bet the share holders would acknowledge the potential of growing clean energy, protecting the earth for future generations, and making profit as they grow. It is such an advantage to be a monopoly of an essential product and then get free products paid for by roof top solar and then charge us for using what we contribute. We pay a monthly fee to be connected and for all excess energy used. That seems fair. If you can not stop the greed, perhaps Duke Energy could donate the energy we produce and pay for to those who are poor or to not for profits who meet essential needs. I truly despise the thought of feeding greed with my monthly payment that pays for my solar panels and the energy they produce. Please consider the benefits to all involved and not just the share holders who can encourage healthy business without becoming toxic to the world and the lives of those with much less wealth to secure their futures. Thank you!  
George

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Mark C Ritchie  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:13 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Mark C Ritchie

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Mark C Ritchie

### Email

mritchie1@carolina.rr.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I strongly urge the Commission not to change the solar net metering program from Duke Energy. It is unfair to change the rules after my investment in rooftop solar has been made and just at the point in which my investment is starting to payoff. It is important to encourage additional investments in rooftop solar and the Commission should do the cost benefit analysis for rooftop solar that is required by law in HB 589 before making any net metering rule changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Paul George Kanaris  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:19 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Paul George Kanaris

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Paul George Kanaris

### Email

paulgkanaris@live.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

As a rooftop home solar consumer I am concerned about the Duke Energy proposal to further harmfully impact my solar investment and the future investment by other homeowners. For example, in June they kept almost 600 kWh of electric due to the no roll over. If they did the same to 20000 consumers you can see Duke received a significant amount of energy. I ask for an unbiased investigation into both sides of the story. How is the consumer impacted and not just Duke Energy. That investigation should be shared and allow consumer the opportunity to weigh in with feedback prior to any change that has the ramifications that the proposed change has not only on future buyers but the negative impact on individuals like myself who invested under the current plan. Thank you Paul Kanaris

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Nicole Renshaw  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:22 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Nicole Renshaw

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Nicole Renshaw

### Email

nicnsteve25@gmail.com

### Docket

"E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I do not think it is right for Duke energy to change metering rules for homeowners that have solar panels. I mean as it is there is not much from my experience for someone that just puts solar panels on their home to see any kind of cost savings. You do not really start to see any difference until you have had the panels for at least 2 years, and then it is very minimal not at all what I was told when I got the solar panels put on my home. so for Duke to change the metering rules is just wrong it is unfair and unjustly warranted. There are already trying to do rate increases for everyone. I think that there should be rate decreases due to covid and what everyone in the world has faced and suffered. My opinion is that all companies especially ones that dominate the market try to do whatever they can to stick it to the middle-class and low-class population. All that does is create problems and families seeking assistance which then who pays for that the state or the county they live in just so they do not lose their power. I urge you to not let Duke energy get away with this.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James Stewart  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James Stewart

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James Stewart

### Email

sandystewart@embarqmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It seems that Duke Energy wants to go in the opposite direction of homeowners' ownership of solar capabilities, by making it less attractive for the individuals and more lucrative for the company. I hope the NC Utilities Commission will decide in favor of the public, rather than follow the desires of the largest utility in the world (so I have heard.) Who needs a break more these days?

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jennifer Brown  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:33 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Brown

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jennifer Brown

### Email

jenniferbrown910@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is outrageous that Duke Energy would attempt to increase the fees for solar panel owners. Already, I pay them \$23/month for the "convenience" of having their energy source as a backup while they receive all of our extra output energy. I will never see a dime of their profit from the excess energy they receive from our solar panels daily; instead, I merely get to roll over some of this extra energy usage (which I have yet to use in the 14 months since we installed our solar panels and got connected to our new Duke account). Increasing the fees not only punishes solar panel owners, but it will deter many people from considering switching to solar for their energy source. We are in the midst of a climate crisis and we need to incentivize, not put greedy obstacles in place, to encourage other homeowners and businesses to make the switch to solar. Duke Energy is a highly profitable company and it is a monopoly- I don't get the choice to work with a different source if I don't like Duke Energy's spontaneous time-of-day rates and increasing convenience fees. Shame on Duke Energy for trying to stuff their pockets even further, especially during a time when many people are struggling to make ends meet.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael James  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael James

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael James

### Email

mzrjames@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It is outrageous to consider making private rooftop solar less attractive to families and homeowners in the face of climate change. it is time to take this seriously and do everything we can to get as many home as possible to install solar panels on our rooftops. Incentives should be increased not decreased. the process should be made easier and simpler NOT more complex and difficult. Why is this so hard to understand? Not to mention how unfair it is for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. This is completely unacceptable. Lastly, how about following the law? Or this too just meaningless? The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Please do what's right, there is so little faith in government as it is... take a stand for the good of everyone.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jillian Green  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jillian Green

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jillian Green

### Email

jilllain672@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I've recently learned that Duke Energy has submitted a plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount you are paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid. This is incredibly disappointing, as I consider myself an environmentalist and lover of this earth. I recently purchased solar panels to help with global warming and be a part of the solution. Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. I believe this to be incredibly important! Thank you for your time and consideration, Jillian Green

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bruce Boehm  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:39 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce Boehm

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bruce Boehm

### Email

bboehm01@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Folks: I am against Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules for its residential customers in NC. To my way of thinking, they haven't yet earned the right to charge net meter customers more. 1) Only in their marketing efforts has Duke taken renewables seriously. 2) They rely far too heavily on switching to gas in order to claim low carbon emissions. 3) They should follow the Commission guidelines only after a proper cost-benefit analysis of rooftop solar is done. 4) (This is not related to solar, net metering, or climate) but Duke does a pretty poor job on resiliency. Yesterday our power went out twice, for a total of 2 & 3/4 hours. And we were only 1 of 1000 local customers who lost power. And there wasn't a storm. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Padma Dyvine  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:51 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Padma Dyvine

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Padma Dyvine

### Email

padmadyvine@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

NCUCCommission Members, I have solar panels on my roof and am asking you to do a true investigation of of solar costs and its benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Although Duke Energy claims I pay less than my fair share for using the grid, that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Additionally, if Duke's proposal goes through, thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk. This is a time when we need more not less jobs. Duke's proposal is complex, which, as one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy and protect the consumers who are doing their best to engage in reducing the ensuing climate catastrophe. Depending on what the NCUC decides, I could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. This plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand- THIS IS NOT FAIR! Compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, I would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) This is unfriendly to those who are invested in helping our state meet their climate goals. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. I should be allowed to stay on my current net metering plan for the life of my system. Thank you for not supporting Duke's plan. Sincerely, Padma Dyvine

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Robert Sisk Robertson Jr  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:52 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Sisk Robertson Jr

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Robert Sisk Robertson Jr

### Email

jakerobertson308@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

North Carolina Utilities Commission Duke Energy has proposed to change solar energy net metering rules for its residential customers in North Carolina. Before the NC Utilities Commission considers the Duke proposal you should do the Cost-Benefit Analysis for rooftop solar that is required by law (HB 589). Furthermore, it is unconscionable for the Commission to consider changing the rules after thousands of NC homeowners have invested in rooftop solar clean energy. Duke Energy's contention that the existing net metering shifts costs onto non-solar customers has no merit. In fact, studies show that net metering provides a benefit to non-solar customers by adding low-cost power to the grid, particularly during periods of peak demand. Thank you for your consideration. Robert S. Robertson 308 Grace St. Mount Airy, NC 37030

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** DARRYL PEEBLES  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:54 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by DARRYL PEEBLES

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

DARRYL PEEBLES

### Email

DARRYLPEEBLES@GMAIL.COM

### Docket

E-100 SUB 180

### Message

I served churches as a pastor 50 years for \$6,000.00 per year and gave up \$400,000.00+ in equity, then had to move out of the church house when I retired at age 71. I took out a 30 year mortgage until I am age 101. I put solar panels on the house to do away with the electric bill and as a sound investment on my forever home. I understand that Duke Energy is trying to change the rules that I based my decision upon. Please don't allow this change and require them to follow the law to do proper studies on roof top solar. Thanks!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** James M Gearing  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 5:58 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by James M Gearing

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

James M Gearing

### Email

jgearing53@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180.

### Message

Duke Energy should not be permitted to alter the net metering rules for residential customers who have installed roof-top solar panels. The customers who installed the panels were promised a benefit, and that benefit should not be revoked. This is an attempt, under whatever name Duke Energy wants to call it, to defraud the citizens of North Carolina. Please do not allow Duke Energy to alter the net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** William Bettmann  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 6:27 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by William Bettmann

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

William Bettmann

### Email

willbett@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To the NCUC: Global warming has already begun to do incalculable damage to the world. In order to minimize the inevitable destruction, we, as a state, should be doing as much as we can to develop renewable energy resources. Changing the current net metering rules will do just the opposite. Additionally, when I installed my solar panels 2 years ago I did so under the belief that net metering rules would remain as they were. It is unfair for Duke Energy to retroactively change these rules. It is also my understanding that this commission is required by HB 589 to complete a cost benefit analysis for rooftop solar before changing the net metering rules. Has this analysis been completed? If so, can you please let me know where I can view those results? Thank you. -Will

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** George Keith  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 6:28 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by George Keith

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

George Keith

### Email

George.Keith@verizon.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Residential solar is so important. Duke Energy should not be throwing obstacles in the way. And, I believe that is exactly what this proposed tariff is doing. I understand Duke has a substantial investment in their infrastructure and they legitimately want a return on that. But if the focus is only on their existing infrastructure, then everyone is missing the big picture. Every homeowner who installs solar is diminishing the requirement to build NEW INFRASTRUCTURE. Duke is not interested in avoiding new infrastructure because the bigger their investment, the more they can argue for higher rates. But that clearly runs contrary to the needs of the consumer and what is required for the future. Just stop and think about the future. Do we want just a few residential solar installations and more power plants, or the opposite? If anything, Duke should be encouraging customers to not only install solar, but to also include battery backups (like Tesla Powerwalls), as well.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Myers  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 6:30 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Myers

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Myers

### Email

davidmyers1060@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a concerned Solar System Owner and have invested thousands of dollars to lower my energy cost and to assist Duke Power in their infrastructure and costs to supply energy to NC Residents and ease the peak demand. I respectfully ask that you reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kyle Brown  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 6:56 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kyle Brown

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kyle Brown

### Email

kgb1001001@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

The proposed plan does not address the carbon reduction needs of North Carolina or the needs of North Carolina's consumers. The amount of solar and storage in Duke's plan is increased compared to the company's latest Integrated Resource Plan (IRP), but much less than it should be. The amount of offshore wind less than in the IRP, less than the amount for which leases have already been sold, and much less than Governor Roy Cooper's target. There is no plan for net metering and rooftop solar, both of which are critical. Finally, the timeline for phasing our coal is unacceptable.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Joe Franko  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 7:01 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Joe Franko

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Joe Franko

### Email

jsf45219@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please think about the people! Energy companies need to be closely monitored. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Elia Bizzarri  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 7:21 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Elia Bizzarri

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Elia Bizzarri

### Email

elia@handtoolwoodworking.com

### Docket

number E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke energy shouldn't be allowed to lower the amount they pay for solar power retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Charles Zakaria  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 7:38 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Charles Zakaria

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Charles Zakaria

### Email

chrizakaria@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke energy needs to stay out of solar. They've already pushed the cost of the coal ash clean up into customers. On top of that they have a monopoly in large areas of NC. They can take the hit on this.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Star Harris Lyon  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 7:47 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Star Harris Lyon

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Star Harris Lyon

### Email

starbailey626@gmail.com

### Docket

SP-45773 Sub 0

### Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Clyde A Keisler  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 7:48 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Clyde A Keisler

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Clyde A Keisler

### Email

eco@mindspring.com

### Docket

Sonny Keisler

### Message

Keep the existing net metering process.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Janet Dektor  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 7:56 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Janet Dektor

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Janet Dektor

### Email

jjdek1@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub180

### Message

I have been using NET METERING for about a year. Prior to that the power was sold to the utility. To my surprise Duke changed the contract in 2017 without notifying me. Worse, the change effectively forced me - to pay Duke to provide the power!! The current proposed change will have a similar effect. The substantial cost to Netmeter will not be recovered for over two decades. I pay a monthly "transmission/connection fee". The house is energy efficient, the power consumption is low. The new fee is a significant and not anticipated. Fees are eliminating the savings. Duke deserves its reputation as a killer of individual's solar adoption.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Stephanie Milani  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:19 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Stephanie Milani

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Stephanie Milani

### Email

steph.milani@gmail.com

### Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do the right thing and propel our good state forward in the right direction by forming solid solar plans.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Gabriela Lagunez  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 9:40 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gabriela Lagunez

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Gabriela Lagunez

### Email

lgmlagugat@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It's not fair for Duke Energy to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Melissa Hancock  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 10:28 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Melissa Hancock

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Melissa Hancock

### Email

mhancock07@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

My husband and I purchased a rooftop solar system less than 10 years ago. While the rooftop solar has never provided more energy than our house consumes it does reduce the need for our reliance on fossil fuels. I understand that the economy in general has gotten more costly to produce things because wages and supplies have become more costly, but it's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively. Duke energy is not maintaining my roof, nor doing any inspections on the equipment in my garage. The only thing they do is give/take the energy and read the meter remotely. This does not result in anymore/less efforts for Duke than they provide my neighbors without rooftop solar. This proposed rate change is not only a slap in the face to me as a solar rooftop home owner but will discourage others from putting rooftop solar on their homes and in effect make solar less likely for residential communities and more dependent upon the electric grid.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Sharie LaMarche  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 10:29 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Sharie LaMarche

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Sharie LaMarche

### Email

sharie.lamarche@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear Commission Members, Duke Energy's proposal to lower our solar credits and increase fees during record high fuel prices is appalling. I am a rooftop solar owner. Not a company. A single homeowner. Solar owners provide a great service to the state. We paid for, host and manage the panels. There is no risk, no investment and no overhead to this big greedy company. Despite what they don't pay, they still want to stick to us and think they will get away with it. Duke Energy has a deplorable record on being carbon neutral. They should be investing in rooftop solar and not making it more difficult for us. Really, they are acting like corporate bullies and believe they will get away with it. Duke Energy has forgotten they serve the public and not their corporate interests. I am respectfully asking you to please reject Duke Energy's proposal to decrease solar producer credits and increase fees. Hold them in check and send a message that the utilities are a public service. Sharie LaMarche Solar rooftop owner

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Darrin Allred  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 11:01 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Darrin Allred

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Darrin Allred

### Email

onlyskid@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

I paid a lot of money to get the panel's. They were purchased to help me and my wife save money for our upcoming retirement when we will have a fixed income.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Printz Ron Jr.  
**Sent:** Friday, July 8, 2022 11:41 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Printz Ron Jr.

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Printz Ron Jr.

### Email

printzs@outlook.com

### Docket

docket number E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke's draft carbon plan (highest grade was D, overall grade: F) Duke needs to do a better job with reducing carbon foot print and promote clean energy. It needs to make it beneficial to clean energy and make people want to use solar and not cut rates. If they cut rates for putting extra clean energy back to the grid do they cut rates making energy? No they want to profit off of the energy from people that invest in clean energy. That is terrible .

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Pamela Wright-Smith  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 9, 2022 6:51 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Pamela Wright-Smith

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Pamela Wright-Smith

### Email

pamela62smith@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub180

### Message

I love love the choicel made to go solar. My bill is very low generally except when there are a lot of people in the house around the holidays. However, I have no regrets. It was the best decision I could have made

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Wade  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 9, 2022 6:53 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Wade

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Wade

### Email

dwade60@att.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I don't think it's fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively, it's never about the customer, only about how much more money they can squeeze out of us. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 11 2022