Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: David Goist Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:40 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by David Goist

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Goist

Email

gocon@aol.com

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

Please protect the value of my solar panel investmemt and effort to protect the environment. I have found Duke Energy to be dishonest in how my efforts would be treated. Rather than reimburse me, as I was initially promised for excess electricity produced, at mid year, they wipe out that number, in essence stealing my product. Please do not support their proposed changes. Their greedy actions are not good for the environment.

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Wesley E Walters Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Wesley E Walters

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Wesley E Walters

Email

wewalters@gmail.com

Docket

(specify Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Reject this Duke proposal. Do what is right for the consumer!

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jennifer Kallista Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Jennifer Kallista

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jennifer Kallista

Email

jckallista@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to express my dismay at Duke Energy for attempting to undermine the benefits those of us who have invested in home rooftop solar systems. I bought the system with the knowledge of how current rates and grid use would impact my financial investment positively, and this metering proposal from Duke will impact my investment quite negatively. This proposal from Duke seems to be a way to punish those who are simply trying to do the ethically responsible, environmentally positive shift towards a cleaner form of energy production. I am disappointed in Duke Energy, and hope this proposal will be rejected.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Alexandra Masem Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Alexandra Masem

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alexandra Masem

Email

alexandra.masem@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commission, I purchased solar panels as an investment in my home and in the environment. I knew that the cost would be high but also that it would be offset by the savings in my energy bill. Allowing Duke Energy to compensate customers less for the solar energy we are creating (and they are using) would be a slap in the face to all of us who have tried to do something good and would make it much harder for more customers to invest in solar. Please do not punish us for creating energy.

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: lance Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by lance

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

lance

Email

Lancescotton@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Docket E-100 Sub 180 Deny the proposal from Duke Energy I think customers who decide to go solar should get reimbursed as much as possible due to going green and using renewable energy. Renewable energy has a great impact on the environment. In my opinion anyone willing to go solar the solar panels and all should be free especially with the government wanting to push more and more green ideas.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Brian R.Smith Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:21 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Brian R. Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brian R. Smith

Email

brianrsmith08@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have invested in a rooftop solar array for my residential home and am concerned about the impacts of Duke Energy Progress' proposition before you to change their Net Metering Rates. I invested in my solar for a long term return on the panels and it's not fair for Duke Energy Progress to retroactively change their rates retroactively as more homeowners have signed on to the program. I would request that the Commission perform the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Thank you for your consideration! Sincerely, Brian R. Smith

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Brian R.Smith Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:21 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Brian R. Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brian R. Smith

Email

brianrsmith08@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have invested in a rooftop solar array for my residential home and am concerned about the impacts of Duke Energy Progress' proposition before you to change their Net Metering Rates. I invested in my solar for a long term return on the panels and it's not fair for Duke Energy Progress to retroactively change their rates retroactively as more homeowners have signed on to the program. I would request that the Commission perform the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. Thank you for your consideration! Sincerely, Brian R. Smith

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Julia Sibley-Jones Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:21 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Julia Sibley-Jones

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Julia Sibley-Jones

Email

jsibjo@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to ask you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. As a current solar customer, I am very concerned. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on our current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Randy Stark Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:21 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Randy Stark

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Randy Stark

Email

rstark5981@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I appose this and would like you to kindly reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full costbenefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Richard D Hamer Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:21 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Richard D Hamer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard D Hamer

Email

richarddhamer@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not change the agreement we wigned with them regarding net metering rules retroactively. They should do a study and compensate all solar owners if they try to change anything.

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Greg Stone Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:21 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Greg Stone

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Greg Stone

Email

gregstone1966@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Stop Duke power from changing net metering they already get free electricity that the solar owner is uncompensated for. Don't let them screw the solar consumer anymore .

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Courtney Koch Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:20 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Courtney Koch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Courtney Koch

Email

courtneylkoch@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I implore you to reject this proposal! Allowing Duke to change their net metering will hurt NC residents as we will lose one of our key defenses against rising Duke energy costs! By going solar, I'm able to keep my household on a more consistent solar cost since I am less affected by Dukes changing solar rates due to my own contributions to the grid. This docket deincentivizes clean energy and is bad for NC!

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Girish Bhatt Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:20 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Girish Bhatt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Girish Bhatt

Email

gbhatt@att.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Strongly suggest rejecting this proposal.

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Jan-Willem van der Vaart
Sent:	Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:20 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Jan-Willem van der Vaart

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jan-Willem van der Vaart

Email

will.vandervaart@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's profiteering is disgusting. I invested in Solar for my house because it's a no-brainer, cost-effective way to deliver a better grid and energy production system for everyone. Now they want to reduce my incentives? They are cruel, mean-spirited, and out of date, and should be taken to task for it. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. And please be extremely skeptical of any changes that are proposed by Duke Energy as they have obvious conflicts of interest that are not at all aligned with the voting public. Thank you, Will

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Bennie Ellis Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:20 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Bennie Ellis

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bennie Ellis

Email

benniee@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Taylor Anthony Mucaria
Sent:	Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:20 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Taylor Anthony Mucaria

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Taylor Anthony Mucaria

Email

tmuc0515@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do not screw over citizens who have invested in Solar Panels and give all the benefits to Duke Energy. Do not change the Net Metering rules more in favor of the utilities company! Solar Panels cost a lot of money and everyone should be incentivized to get them and not the opposite in giving all the benefits to Duke Energy. It is already ridiculous that the extra energy produced is erased every May 31st.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Dmytro Norenko Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:20 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Dmytro Norenko

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dmytro Norenko

Email

dnorenko@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As the solar panels owner I insist on staying on the current rules and regulations. Duke should create a extra costs and unclear regulations for the US people trying to make extra revenues on their monopoly I made a huge investment to protect environment and cut my costs according to the current rules. I believe this is not the way the government should behave and betrade the trust of the taxpayers in this country by making the use of solar energy less attractive. Especially after people invested money

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: David Tierney Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by David Tierney

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Tierney

Email

tierney.davidm@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The future of energy in our state includes distributed solar. This reduces the need for peaker plant capacity as solar output is greatest when sthe sun is also causing increased electrical cooling demand. Please reject the plan to adversely impact consumer solar installs and corresponding net metering rules.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Joey Ni Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Joey Ni

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joey Ni

Email

joey.inmars@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hi NCUC, I am a new NC solar owner, and I read about Duke Energy's net metering proposal. From an average Joe's perspective, please consider REJECTING Duke Energy's proposal. Without net metering, I would NOT have installed solar system in the first place.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Narayan Kumar Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Narayan Kumar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Narayan Kumar

Email

kumarcvn@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please protect our roof top solar investment NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Angela Lueneburg Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Angela Lueneburg

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Angela Lueneburg

Email

aclueneb@ncsu.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. I have invested in solar to help with generating clean, renewable energy for North Carolina. I have done this on my own. I am a single white female that works for the state. I do not make much money but I try to do what I can to make North Carolina better. Thank you and I hope you reject the proposal.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Ramnikbhai Vaghani Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Ramnikbhai Vaghani

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ramnikbhai Vaghani

Email

Ramraleigh@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

PL. oppose Duke energy docket E-100 sub 180.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mark Thomas Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Thomas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Thomas

Email

mathomas7070@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please oppose this effort by Duke Energy. All of us who have made this investment in solar power are trying to protect our environment but also achieve a reasonable return on investment.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Alana Williams Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Alana Williams

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alana Williams

Email

alanakai.williams@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true cost analysis of solar costs and benefits for roof top solar. As a solar panel owner I want a full analysis before they change net metering. Solar is our energy future. Make the Earth better with solar and easier for NC residents to acquire and use rooftop solar.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Stephanie El-Hajj Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:19 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Stephanie El-Hajj

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stephanie El-Hajj

Email

stephelhajj@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This feels like a bait and switch for solar customers. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Craig Brouillette Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:18 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Craig Brouillette

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Craig Brouillette

Email

CraigBro@verizon.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Kevin Kahn Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:18 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin Kahn

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kevin Kahn

Email

nc6567@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the proposal to increase fees to Duke power customers who have solar panels and I would request that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of residential rooftop solar use and cost. The proposal as it stands has too much ambiguity and leeway for Duke power to configure fees and peak times that make residual solar use less cost effective. This change of course would likely harm consumers but also would dampen the demand for solar power at at time when we need to be increasing renewable energy rather than creating barriers to it.

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Monica Burley
Sent:	Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:17 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Monica Burley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Monica Burley

Email

Dqswim21@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Don't change the net metering for solar. This hurts consumers while big companies benefit.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: MARK YOUNG Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:17 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by MARK YOUNG

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

MARK YOUNG

Email

m.young@tomjames.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Reject this current proposal & allow me to stay on my current net metering system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jacob Myers Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:17 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Jacob Myers

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jacob Myers

Email

jacobmyers1206@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke energy is trying to stifle innovation and wants to keep their monoply on power in north carolina

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Franklin Stokes Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:17 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Franklin Stokes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Franklin Stokes

Email

FranklinStokes@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

It would be a grievous error to allow Duke to change the net metering rules for solar panel systems. At a time that they are begging customers to reduce consumption so that they can ensure supply, they also want to take a step to massively discourage implementation of solar power systems. It is clear that their only motive is purely profit - at the expense of the environment and the viability of the grid. Enough - you have the power to stop this bald faced money grab, and must do so.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Leon Traverse Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:17 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Leon Traverse

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Leon Traverse

Email

ltraverse@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

My solar panels were an investment in energy production. Duke Power shouldn't be allowed to devalue that for their sole benefit. This is not in the best interest of our community, economy, infrastructure, and our energy needs.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: ALAA G DAHIR Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:17 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by ALAA G DAHIR

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

ALAA G DAHIR

Email

alaa.dahir@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents)

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Thiyagesh Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:16 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Thiyagesh

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thiyagesh

Email

thiyagesh@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke's proposal which seems more money grabbing than science. I have put in upfront money to keep this planet green on my part.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Paul E Zaremba Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:16 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Paul E Zaremba

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul E Zaremba

Email

paul.zaremba@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal and do an investigation of solar costs/benefits before changing the net-metering rules.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Lance Lingerfelt Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:16 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Lance Lingerfelt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lance Lingerfelt

Email

lance.lingerfelt@ldlnet.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I want my investment in clean solar energy to not be tainted by Duke Energy wanting to make more money.

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Bob Berry
Sent:	Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:15 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Bob Berry

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bob Berry

Email

bsberry9297@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change the net metering rules. Net metering is what makes solar worth it to the average homeowner.

Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Blair M.Smith Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:15 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Blair M. Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Blair M. Smith

Email

smithbm71@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the proposal this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Chris Sandifer Tuesday, June 28, 2022 2:36 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Chris Sandifer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Chris Sandifer

Email

chrissandifer@embarqmail.com

Docket

Docket No. E-100, Sub 101

Message

I am trying to find the "North Carolina Interconnection Procedures ("NCIP") as directed by the North Carolina Utilities Commission ("NCUC") in its October 8, 2021 Order in Docket No. E-100, Sub 101" but entering that search criteria on the NCUC convoluted website indicates no results found. Please send a link or a .pdf of this document thank you

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mike Frost <mfrostcary@gmail.com> Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:23 AM Statements Docket E-100 Sub 180C

We ask you to reject Duke Energy's proposal to radically change the net metering reimbursement approach that current solar system owners signed up for. I can't believe that Duke would propose something that is 1) totally unfair to current solar system owners (the old bait and switch) and 2) discourage future solar system investments. Their explanation ("It's not fair to non-solar panel users") doesn't wash. With that logic, maybe we should also discourage buying EVs.

Please consider the implications of this proposed change on the state of North Carolina and its citizens.

Respectfully yours, Michael R. Frost 6723 Falconbridge Rd Chapel Hill, NC 919-247-4535 Jun 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Michael P Totten Monday, June 27, 2022 4:34 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Michael P Totten

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael P Totten

Email

totten.michael@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 179

Message

Could you please tell me if there is a template one should follow in preparing testimony to submit to the NCUC on a Docket? For instance, font type and size? margins? single or double spacing? Inclusion or exclusion of visual images? placement of footnotes? and any other format requirements? thank you, Michael

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Dhrumil Prajapati
Sent:	Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:31 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Dhrumil Prajapati

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dhrumil Prajapati

Email

dhrumil22@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, This request is in response to Docket E-100 Sub 180, where a decision is being made regarding metering rules for residents of NC having Solar Power. I would like to oppose and reject the proposal by Duke Energy and request NCUC to conduct an unbiased true investigation of solar costs before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thanks, Dhrumil.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Abhi Sindhwani Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:30 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Abhi Sindhwani

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Abhi Sindhwani

Email

sindhwani_1@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Barbara A Owens
Sent:	Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:29 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Barbara A Owens

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barbara A Owens

Email

cn2605@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy has submitted a plan to the you to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount I am paid for the excess solar energy I share with the grid.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Robert Feirstein Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:29 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Feirstein

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Feirstein

Email

robertefeirstein@gmail.com

Docket

E!OO Sub 180

Message

I DO NOT SUPPORT THE CHANGES TO NET METERING PROPOSED BY DUKE ENERGY! Please reject their proposal! I and my wife are soon to go into retirement on a fixed income. The present system is working fine for us. We have 34 Panels on our house in W. Asheville and the savings we have achieved has allowed us to spend that extra money in the marketplace. Duke needs to manage their coal /energy side better and not use the solar side of the business to make up for their coal ash mismanagement problems. Thank you, Robert Feirstein 135 Riverview Dr. Asheville, NC 28806

Dunston, Antonia

From:	Howard Koch
Sent:	Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:26 PM
То:	Statements
Subject:	Statement of Position Submitted by Howard Koch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Howard Koch

Email

how27284@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar panel owner I can tell you The solar programs as they stand are not sufficient enough to justify the cost of solar panels. If Duke is allowed to further dilute the benefits of the solar panels to their owners then it will only solidify the fact that this whole clean energy push is a scam.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Ziya Gizlice Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:24 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Ziya Gizlice

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ziya Gizlice

Email

zgizlice@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Solar energy does not just create good jobs but it is a key for the energy independence and clean environment. We are learning that in a tragic way seeing what Putin is doing to Ukraine spiking in energy prices and inflation, stunting economic growths all over the world! Duke proposal to change the rules for net metering for rooftop solar systems is a cynical design to reduce and even stop rooftop solar installations resulting in 'more coal ash', energy dependence, and more importantly well paying job losses. I strongly urge the commission to reject this short sighted, politically and ideologically backed proposal to change the rule for net metering!! This proposal is simply designed to punish those customers and employees who care about clean environment and energy independence and are proud to install rooftop solar systems.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Gary Ander Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:24 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Ander

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gary Ander

Email

garyander701@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We invested in and placed solar panels on our house as a way to do our part to combat climate change. Given Duke Energy's stated commitment to do the same in its agreement with the NC General Assembly, I would think that the expansion of rooftop solar would be a priority to Duke to meet their stated goals toward carbon reduction. We all have a part to play in reducing the devastating effects of climate change, individuals, corporations and government. Let's work together to make this happen. In addition, and this is less important but a contractual one that legally supports rooftop providers to continue the current agreements we made with Duke. I think we have every right to see this contract into perpetuity.

Dunston, Antonia

From:Jakub CalabekSent:Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:21 PMTo:StatementsSubject:Statement of Position Submitted by Jakub Calabek

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jakub Calabek

Email

jakub.calabek@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Docket E-100 Sub 180 stop allowing corporations such as Duke from taking advantage of consumers and truly conduct proper investigation of cost and benefits of solar.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sent: To: Subject: Max W Matthews Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:14 PM Statements Statement of Position Submitted by Max W Matthews

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Max W Matthews

Email

online_traffic@icloud.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatthour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.