

Dunston, Antonia

From: William/Polly J. Leatherwood
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William/Polly J. Leatherwood

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William/Polly J. Leatherwood

Email

pjlea@att.net

Docket

E-100, sub 180

Message

Please don't allow DUKE the proposal to change the metering process for those with solar power. This change will slow the growth of solar power in North Carolina. I have been enjoying the benefits of the "free energy from the sun" for about 3 years. I am retired and cannot keep paying high costs such as a monthly power bill. So I was very pleased when I was able to get solar power. I still have it financed, and I don't know if I could afford a higher bill if you allow this metering change. Perhaps you could show us some examples, of Duke could, of how it would affect our power bill. Thank you for your consideration of our objection.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ken Todd
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 12:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ken Todd

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ken Todd

Email

spiderweb46@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Please reject the latest net metering proposal from Duke. I'm a senior citizen on a fixed income with solar panels. I don't want Duke getting extra money from me

Dunston, Antonia

From: Tracy Eaton
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 3:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tracy Eaton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tracy Eaton

Email

teaton214@yahoo.com

Docket

Unknown

Message

I am asking that you please reject the proposal by Duke Energy. This will slow down progression of clean energy in North Carolina .

Dunston, Antonia

From: sean ryan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by sean ryan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

sean ryan

Email

sean1ryan@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's plan. They were less than helpful during the installation and registration of my solar system. This is another attempt at reducing the value of our solar systems. Lowering the production value of the energy we generate is unlikely to change the work required by Duke Energy to account for the energy produced, sold back, or rolled over and will significantly impact consumers' costs.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Domonick Jackson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Domonick Jackson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Domonick Jackson

Email

domonickj@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a current solar system customer and I am asking you to reject the proposed changes being requested by our local electric company. It is not fair to allow these changes and I hope you will reject them since it hurts current or future solar users and only benefits the power company. Thank you for your consideration.

From: Karen J Kitts
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:35 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Karen J Kitts

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Karen J Kitts

Email

karen.kitts@yahoo.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

Solar power needs to protected and expanded in NC. As a coastal state we need to further explore wind energy.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Adam Williams
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Adam Williams

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Adam Williams

Email

ncsulbos@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal from Duke Energy for net metering changes. We would not have installed solar if the numbers didn't make sense and this will not encourage others to invest in clean energy. Thank you, Adam Williams

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jonathan Greene
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:20 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jonathan Greene

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jonathan Greene

Email

jonathangreene@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello. I just finished added solar to my roof. The way that Duke has created their cooperation with the solar industry is using customers homes as power plants for them. They now want to charge more to people who are generating their power. This is unacceptable. Duke Energy consistently and constantly raises their rates, have different seasonal rates and every time they demand more rate increases North Carolina bends backwards and lets them do whatever they want. It's time for that to end. They offer for regular Duke customers to pay extra for "using" solar energy that others create. Duke Energy is no different that the big oil and gas companies. They price gouge, use fees and service fees, increase rates and poor mouth...all while posting exorbitant profits each year. It is time to put an end to corporate welfare. These companies should consider costs of business as expenses from their profits...not a reason to hike what people pay. We even were forced to pay extra in rate increases to pay for a clean up that was their fault. That...is...insane. These actions take away consequences for corporations and give them no reason to change their ways or do better. They simply know they can stick it to their customers while catering to management and shareholders pockets. That...isn't...how this should work. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed

to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Michael Frick
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Frick

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Frick

Email

ncuc@frick.dev

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please ensure Duke Energy performs a full cost-benefit analysis of rooftop solar before considering their proposal to gut the rooftop solar industry in North Carolina. Right now is not the time to undermine deployment of new solar installations nor those already installed. It should be incentivized to use solar, not penalized. Please reject Duke Energy's proposal as it stands.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Arup Mazumdar
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Arup Mazumdar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Arup Mazumdar

Email

arup.mazumdar@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: David Weikert
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Weikert

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Weikert

Email

dweikert01@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We have made our household budget based on the savings we have obtained from our solar production. A loss of these savings would be detrimental to the investment we made based off the original agreement with Duke Energy.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Mike
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mike

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mike

Email

Mikeadaniel122@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

Dunston, Antonia

From: Charlie Phillips
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 11:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charlie Phillips

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charlie Phillips

Email

jagheel@mac.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. When deciding to install my solar system in 2018 at my home in Waynesville, NC, it was done based on the economics over the projected lifetime of system. I need it to stay that way. Thank you for your consideration. Charlie Phillips

From: Jeffrey Marxreiter
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jeffrey Marxreiter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jeffrey Marxreiter

Email

jmarxreiter@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

The Ncuc should do a full cost analysis and ensure maximum value remains for solar panel owners.

Dunston, Antonia

From: William May
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William May

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William May

Email

williammay87@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

I Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before changing any regulations, laws, or procedures directly affecting the pay out of consumer produced solar energy.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Sowndar Kanagarajan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sowndar Kanagarajan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sowndar Kanagarajan

Email

sowndarr@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm having solar rooftop. Please don't change anything for solar energy house hold.

From: Cory Sessoms
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Cory Sessoms

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Cory Sessoms

Email

corysessoms@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I own 31 solar panels on my roof and generate about 13,000 kwh per year to help offset my carbon footprint. I have a close to ideal setup and I still do not break even in terms of my solar loan cost vs what I save. I do not have anything fancy like battery backups, just the panels and net metering. The main reason for me not breaking even is that my power company (Energy United) charges me an additional \$30 per month to have net metering. I am taking on the risk of having expensive equipment on my roof and paying more per month in order to help our state and country decrease energy usage and keep our planet livable. It is imperative that the state laws support adopting solar production. Reducing the benefits of net metering will hurt solar adoption and penalize customers who have already committed. I believe that we need to be making it easier for more people to adopt solar and the power companies should be focusing on ways to store excess power that solar homes produce in order to help offset peak times.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Colin T.A.Gray
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Colin T.A. Gray

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Colin T.A. Gray

Email

colinta@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Promoting solar energy use by private home owners is so obviously part of the American ideals. It celebrates capitalism by spreading energy wealth to more companies, and it encourages individualism by giving people the ability to generate their own electricity. What does it do to help Duke energy - essentially a power monopoly in NC? Does it help us, the voters? Absolutely not.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Matthew Robison
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Matthew Robison

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matthew Robison

Email

mattrob123@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I invested in a 33 unit solar panel project as a long term investment in my house. A large part of my decision was the net metering agreement with Duke Energy. I disagree with the fact that Duke Energy wipes out my annual credits at the end of May, as if they cant keep track of them. However I invested a large sum of money in my property and for my future in my solar project. I am planning on its value to help pay my electric bills post retirement. The fact that Duke Energy a publicly traded company that serves the public as the sole provider of power in the area feels that they need to change the agreement to help their profits grow while lowering the value of my investment and what they credit me for my overproduced power that they sell to my neighbors for full price is abhorrent, and should not be allowed. They are seeking to change agreements that influenced decisions from citizens to invest in our properties. These decisions to purchase and install solar helps our communities during times of peak power use and taking the strain off of the power grids which helps Duke provide power consistently to its customers. Please do not allow Duke to hurt NC Residents and reduce the value and viability of current and future solar projects here in NC. Duke provides a necessary service to our states residents, however their interest is in their profits, which this decision will only help.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Devica Urwick
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Devica Urwick

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Devica Urwick

Email

devsfinances@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Help protect the value of solar in North Carolina!

Dunston, Antonia

From: SHERIDAN VERNON
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by SHERIDAN VERNON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

SHERIDAN VERNON

Email

TAXSAVIOR@YAHOO.COM

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke energy needs to treat customers fairly!

Dunston, Antonia

From: Dr.Geoffrey Simon
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dr. Geoffrey Simon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dr. Geoffrey Simon

Email

geoff2@usa.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I understand that Duke Energy proposes to make changes in how net metering is calculated in North Carolina. The current system (I have 41 solar panels on my roofs) already favors Duke Energy because if at the end of a fiscal year I have produced more energy than I use, that overage is awarded to Duke, I receive no payment for it, and my account begins a new fiscal year. In accordance with NC law, I believe that the Utilities Commission is required to investigate the true costs and benefits of an action before making changes to the current net metering regulations. Further, should changes be made, they should not be made ex post facto. Homeowners who acquired solar energy systems should not have the rules changed on them solely because Duke Energy wants more money. Thank you for your consideration. Geoffrey Simon a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Kaushik
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kaushik

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kaushik

Email

kaushiknama@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NCUC needs to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before making any changes to the net metering rules. Also forcing current solar customers in to any new plan is NOT fair as the customer would have made significant investment based on rules at the time when the investment decision was made. As a result it is important to ensure fairness to all and not take any decisions in a retrospective manner.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Pamela Haddock
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:30 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Pamela Haddock

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Pamela Haddock

Email

pkhaddock@mac.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Five years ago we invested in solar panels. Our house is large and we are able to have 60 active panels. These panels are American made. Our power bill from May till October is minimal and to my understanding they actually sell any excess as "clean energy". We have a 6000 sq foot home in the mountains of NC. We chose to invest in solar knowing we during our lifetime would never recoup our investment. My husband and I are 72 and 68 respectively. Our investment was to reduce our CO2 footprint and encourage others to strongly consider solar. The need for clean energy is imperative. We do not deserve to be penalized for our commitment to a cleaner environment. It is not fair for Duke to change the value of your solar investment retroactively. The Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules. I would be happy to discuss my concerns personally. Thank you for considering my concerns.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Tyson Hedrick
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:13 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tyson Hedrick

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tyson Hedrick

Email

thedrick@alumni.brown.edu

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly recommend you reject the Duke Energy proposed changes to net metering for residential solar owners described in Docket E-100 Sub 180. Furthermore, I suggest you conduct a broad and independent review of the costs and benefits to homeowner owned generation before considering any recommendations from Duke Energy. In particular, rooftop solar brings an additional funding pool for new clean generation capacity that will help NC meet previously established climate goals. Moreover, when I purchased my rooftop solar system in 2019 I carefully weighed the energy consumption of my home, the costs of that energy, and the costs to install and maintain a rooftop solar system. Solar won out, but with an ~10 year payback time under the then-current net metering arrangement. Duke's efforts to change this arrangement for existing customers by 2027 would destroy my investment and my confidence that NC's regulatory authorities can establish and maintain a regime that lets homeowners make long term energy investments. Let Duke raise rates overall if their current revenues cannot support needed expansion and maintenance, don't single out those who are helping meet the state's energy needs! Sincerely, Tyson Hedrick

From: Blake Shiver
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Blake Shiver

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Blake Shiver

Email

blake.shiver@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I heard about duke energy's net metering proposal, and I strongly believe it is prudent for NCUC to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before any decision is made. The investment in solar is an expensive one for a home owner, but is critical for the long term growth and sustainability of our community. Please gather the facts from a true investigation of solar costs and benefits and don't allow the profit interest of one utility corporation to override the best interests of our precious community.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Scott E
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott E

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott E

Email

karnevil6@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject Duke energy's solar proposal

Dunston, Antonia

From: Clayton E Dewey
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Clayton E Dewey

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Clayton E Dewey

Email

cdewey967@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

A full study must be done to how home solar systems help the electrical grid. Our power bills are not cheaper the non solar houses. In fact they are higher due to the cost of the solar system. Changing how solar house are billed to benefit power companies is wrong. When people are trying to improve something we shouldn't be penalized to improve a monopoly power companies profits.

From: James Schosser
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Schosser

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Schosser

Email

schosser@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the Duke Energy proposal to do away with the existing 1-1 net metering. Many NC citizens are investing considerable amounts of money to be able to generate solar power. If these rates are reduced there will be far less incentive for homeowners to make this investment.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Alexander Dumaine
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:03 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alexander Dumaine

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alexander Dumaine

Email

xander@xdumaine.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's proposal is absolutely corrupt and should be strongly opposed. I invested my own hard earned money into solar panels and now Duke wants to value the electricity generated by my panels lower than their own. This is anti-consumer, pro-corporate-greed, and harmful to both the economy and the environment. Oppose this.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Toni Warrick
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 10:02 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Toni Warrick

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Toni Warrick

Email

twarr69@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose the Duke Energy changes to solar power charges for residential solar power customers. At a time when citizens are asked to go solar to help our environment, why would you agree to penalize solar power customers. We are already charged monthly fees to be solar with Duke Energy and Duke Progress Energy.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Gina Mauldin
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gina Mauldin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gina Mauldin

Email

gina.mauldin@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We have a solar system on our house and are concerned about this. We recommend true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We have invested in this technology and now this is threatening to weaken our investment.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Gordon Paulson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gordon Paulson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gordon Paulson

Email

gepaulson@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Please reject Duke Energy's proposal

From: Barry Moore
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Barry Moore

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barry Moore

Email

barry.i.moore@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Thaddeus Ogg
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thaddeus Ogg

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thaddeus Ogg

Email

togg82@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy deducts very little from solar contributing customers as it is now; I suspect an amount in their favor and not the customers. Duke Energy needs closer regulations to keep them in check.

From: Bruce F Whitcomb
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bruce F Whitcomb

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bruce F Whitcomb

Email

gizmo4redsox@bellsouth.net

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

Don't let Duke Energy lessen the prospects of less solar energy when we need it more than ever, by undercutting the benefits of homes installing more solar energy systems.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Robert T.Stephens
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert T. Stephens

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert T. Stephens

Email

purplergtp@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please don't let Duke Power pull the rug out from underneath us after we've invested many thousands of dollars adding solar power to our home. It's bad enough that North Carolina has ended its rebate for residential solar systems. We made careful calculations to make sure solar would save us money. Any added costs to stay connected to Duke could negate our savings without any chance to recover our investment. THAT'S JUST NOT FAIR.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Tim Laraway
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tim Laraway

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tim Laraway

Email

ttlaraway@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commissioners: I own a rooftop solar electric system for my home. I want you to reject Duke Energy's proposal to change the net energy rates and billing program that will cause a loss of energy revenues to individual producers of solar energy and cause the total cost of solar energy systems to increase. Please act at you earliest convenience to reject Duke Energy's proposal. Thanks you for your consideration. Tim Laraway

Dunston, Antonia

From: Prasenjit Duara
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:45 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Prasenjit Duara

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Prasenjit Duara

Email

pd77@duke.edu

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

The governor must oppose this bill to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers** in a way that would reduce the amount you are paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Prasenjit Duara
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Prasenjit Duara

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Prasenjit Duara

Email

pd77@duke.edu

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

The governor must oppose this bill to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers** in a way that would reduce the amount you are paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid.

Dunston, Antonia

From: William Bock
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:42 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William Bock

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Bock

Email

Wbock@carolina.rr.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

The proposal from Duke Energy is wrong and unfair in so many ways. We need to be encouraging and facilitating conversion to solar power to reduce dependence on fossil fuels NOW. Just a few months after I invested in solar, largely to benefit the environment, I learn that the terms of the deal could change. And most importantly it will disincentivize others to go solar at a time when global warming is reaching a critical point of no return. Please look at all sides of this issue and do the right thing - reward those who invest hard earned dollars in solar production.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Mike Graham
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mike Graham

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mike Graham

Email

jmikeg07@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Why should Duke change the rules? We have spent money on our systems.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Daniel Brown
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Daniel Brown

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Daniel Brown

Email

d.t.b.rosman@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Daniels
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Daniels

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Daniels

Email

mjdfiremark@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E 100 Sub 180

Message

Please oppose any changes to Duke Power's net metering system that would increase the cost to residential roof top solar producers. I currently generate significantly more kwh of power than I use on an annual basis. I lose the excess on May 31 of each year. Duke and the consumers of electric power get the benefit of this excess. Any further penalty or cost to me would be unfair and contrary to the agreement when I installed solar.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Steve Paschall
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:33 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Paschall

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steve Paschall

Email

paschallsd@bellsouth.net

Docket

E100 sub 180

Message

I am a disabled veteran who invested over \$55,000 in a solar energy project about 3 years ago. I became an energy partner with Duke Energy. Now I told they are planing to change our agreement without notifying those of us who invested. This is not an ethical business action to change or attempt to change a contract without letting investors know about their actions. Please protect NC energy comsumers.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Michael Citron MD
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Citron MD

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Citron MD

Email

mcitron@mac.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Dukes new NET METERING PROPOSAL which is anti-Solar and antithetical to a robust Solar economy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Please allow current citizens (who paid mightily for Solar) to remain on the current net metering plan for the life of the system. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, BUT that has NOT been proven, and some studies show the OPPOSITE. NCUC NEEDS to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Please be fair and just - neither of which is represented in Duke Energy proposal.

Dunston, Antonia

From: John Peck
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:30 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Peck

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Peck

Email

janpeck43@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

Any change to my original contract is illegal. Do not alter

Dunston, Antonia

From: Robert Talley
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Talley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Talley

Email

Talley.Bob@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the utility companies trying to change the net metering rules

Dunston, Antonia

From: ANTHONY BARTOLO
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by ANTHONY BARTOLO

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

ANTHONY BARTOLO

Email

afbartolo@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have invested heavily in Solar - Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Dunston, Antonia

From: michael santos
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 9:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by michael santos

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

michael santos

Email

kmegmsantos@verizon.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. I'm a retired Air Force Lt Col with 30 years of engineering experience. I believe you will find during a study that my solar generating flowing back into the grid actually relieves stress on the grid and prevents blackouts and brownouts. Duke Power is actually getting a benefit (increased power generation especially during peak usage) that cost them nothing and the entire state gets the benefit of resiliency of the grid for no cost (as homeowners bear the cost of the entire solar power system). As a disabled vet, I rely on my solar as backup for my medical equipment and wheelchair lift. The decision to install my solar was a cost benefit analysis using Duke Energy's current policy. If they were to change policy after the fact, it would change the analysis and would financially impact all residents who installed solar. At a minimum I would suggest all residents who installed prior to Duke Energy's change be Grandfathered to the previous policy. Thank you for your consideration Michael Santos, Lt Col (retired), USAF