

Dunston, Antonia

From: Greg Goianovich
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Greg Goianovich

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Greg Goianovich

Email

ggojanovich@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC! NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Brandi Edwards
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Brandi Edwards

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brandi Edwards

Email

branditedwards@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am deeply concerned about this proposition by Duke Energy. I think it is important to have documented proof regarding their claims of detriment by solar power for residential use. It's interesting that recent programs from Duke have been publicized about their own initiatives to impact the environment yet they choose to single out solar customers who are taking their own form of responsibility to create impact. If harm were truly being caused, solar would not be accessible or legal in the state. Additionally, I have yet to see any opportunity for customers to be incentivized to remain with Duke amid continuously rising energy costs. For me, that was a major selling point to know that my household expenses would remain static for years to come and this particular bill would even go away after the panels are completely paid for. I have been a Duke customer for years and each year am amazed at what feels like a monopoly that customers cannot get around with soaring annual costs. I'm disappointed in this tactic - which seems very calculated - to try to scare and even more importantly impact customers with the onset of a recession and the continued navigation of the effects of a public health crisis. Duke needs to "read the room" and come with more factual evidence and opportunity to off-set this proposition to be more palatable if the governor sided with them. I would hope that the environment remains a priority for our state leadership and they make the best decision for the constituents vs the big corporation that has chosen to discriminate to recoup a few dollars.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Henrik Pedersen
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Henrik Pedersen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Henrik Pedersen

Email

henrik@hostserver.nu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before making any decisions on this matter. Solar is good for the consumer, the state and the planet. We refuse to have our net metering plan changed after the fact. The plan should stay the same for the entire life of our solar panel system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Colleen Boll
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Colleen Boll

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Colleen Boll

Email

bcboll@frontier.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I waited a long time to pay for solar panels on my home. It is an expensive investment and I made it for the benefit of the planet. Sun shines on my home a lot - I am lucky to be able to provide energy to Duke and to my home's usage. Please do not let Duke reduce the value given to me for the power I provide them! This is a predictable greed based move. They have also "placed me on a waiting list twice so far for any rebate they have offered. After 6 months they wipe the list clean and start again fresh with a very limited lottery system. Please don't let this mega company do this to individuals!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: igor klem
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by igor klem

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

igor klem

Email

igorklem@duke.edu

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Good afternoon, we just finished instal of a roof solar system, because we strongly believe that steps to reduce emissions that impact climate change is among the top priorities for society in general. We have incurred substantial investment to accomplish that, and we have been quite unsettled hearing about plans of Duke energy to metering rues that would aversely affect consumers like us who invested significant in solar systems. We therefore request the state authorities to have in mind consumer interests and the climate protective effects of alternative energy, and continue to support those individuals who want to contribute to a common goal of alleviating the disatrous economic and ecologic effects climate change will have on all of us. We strongly believe that this common goal can only be achieved by strong support from regulatory authorties of individual consumers vs large energy corporations, and therefore request to consider consumer interest in any proposed changes to regulations for solar energy metering. Sincerely, Igor Klem
Associate Professor of Medicine Duke University Medical Center

Dunston, Antonia

From: Benjamin Douglas
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Benjamin Douglas

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Benjamin Douglas

Email

bdouglasv@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub180

Message

Please conduct a full review prior to authorization of Duke's proposal. Today's environmental concerns warrant increased rather than decreased incentives for renewable energy. Encouragement of new and sustained programs for current solar customers would be prudent. I am for rejecting Duke's proposal and strengthening incentives.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Josh Woll
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Josh Woll

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Josh Woll

Email

joshwoll81@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Key arguments against Duke Energy's net metering proposal include: NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for your time.

Dunston, Antonia

From: William Barfield
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William Barfield

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William Barfield

Email

wb2678@att.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Power are not trust worthy company and still have not cleaned up and compensated for the coal ash fiasco.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Amber Rigsbee
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Amber Rigsbee

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Amber Rigsbee

Email

nurseamber14@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal. It will cause Duke Energy to slow the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please also do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Matt Keys
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Matt Keys

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matt Keys

Email

me@mattkeys.me

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a Duke Energy customer with solar installed on my rooftop. The solar I overproduce is sent back to Duke Energy and I receive a credit for that energy equal to what I would pay to purchase it. Duke Energy wants to reduce the amount that it pays for this excess solar production. I oppose this for a variety of reasons. 1) I already am losing out on MUCH of the value of this solar overproduction based on how Duke Energy 'resets' any solar production credits in my account each year. Every year on the May-June bill, Duke Energy does a "Carried Forward Reset" which sets my credits down to ZERO. This year it wiped out 760kWh, enough energy to run my home for an entire month. Last year it was even greater, closer to 1000kWh. The timing of this reset is no mistake, it wipes out all of the excess generation from my home leading up to the summer solstice where generation was highest and temperatures were cool. Right before I could use that excess energy to cool my home in the summer. Because of this reset, Duke Energy is already paying MUCH less to purchase energy from me, than I pay to purchase it from them. 2) Speaking more broadly, we need to do what we can to encourage and incentivize more people to generate electricity from renewable sources. The change proposed here would have the opposite effect.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Maureen c Hayes
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Maureen c Hayes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Maureen c Hayes

Email

mchayes720@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 sub 180

Message

I have solar panels on my 1200 sq ft home. I am retired and by no means wealthy. I respectfully request that you do not let Duke Energy continue to pull North Carolina down in terms of renewable energy growth, especially solar energy. Please decide against further gas/oil/carbon fuels projects and decide For renewable energy. That's what North Carolina needs. Maureen Hayes

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Troy D Colon
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Troy D Colon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Troy D Colon

Email

troy@oldmountainllc.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Jillian Van Belle
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jillian Van Belle

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jillian Van Belle

Email

kpjvkv@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to document my opposition to Duke Energy's net metering proposal. A true investigation of solar costs and benefits needs to be done before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy is just trying to slow the growth of solar in North Carolina at a time when our planet needs more people to invest in solar power before it is too late for our planet. NCUC needs to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar to prove that solar customers pay their fair share for using the grid and providing energy to the grid. Time-of-use billing and peak rates need to coincide with Duke's actual peak demand not intentionally set when little solar power is produced. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Reject Duke Energy's proposal and perform a full cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering. Thank you Jillian Van Belle Apex, NC

Dunston, Antonia

From: Skye C Israel
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Skye C Israel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Skye C Israel

Email

malenchite@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I just heard that Duke Energy is submitting a plan to remove net metering for solar power. I am strongly opposed to this plan. Myself and others I know have relied in net metering to make solar a worthwhile investment. We need to fully investigate the actual cost and benefits of the net metering program.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Dheeraj Kumar Ghattepally
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dheeraj Kumar Ghattepally

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dheeraj Kumar Ghattepally

Email

nutrias-scoop.0l@icloud.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject the Duke Energy's proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Brad Hager
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Brad Hager

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Brad Hager

Email

hager1bj@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Other utilities in other states are offering much better incentives than Duke Energy to expand renewable energy usable.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Tatiana Height
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tatiana Height

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tatiana Height

Email

theight2.unl@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar owner in NC, a voter, a tax payer, and a conservation professional, I urge you to REJECT Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering policy in NC. This would harmfully limit Solar growth in our state and go against the governor's goal of being a net zero state! NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Further Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of anyone's solar investment decision after the fact.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Juan Ramos
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Juan Ramos

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Juan Ramos

Email

juanramospe@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

The fact that Duke is so concerned with something like this (solar power) when it should be more concerned with an aging infrastructure, an aging grid, & increased cyber attacks shows a side of corporate greed that is short-sighted. Instead of focusing on alleviating the stress of energy demands by deregulating solar energy, it appears that they want to jeopardize jobs, decrease the net effect we were promised as homeowners, & increase its profit at our expense. Please stop this move against solar energy. Thank you.

Dunston, Antonia

From: James Everson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Everson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Everson

Email

jeverson.biz@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not consider Duke Energy's request to hurt consumers by reducing what they pay us for supplying them with energy at our own cost. Our costs don't go down, so neither should theirs. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Michael Stvartak
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael Stvartak

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael Stvartak

Email

michael.stvartak@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We must not allow duke energy to reduce compensation for net metering. We cannot punish people for investing in clean energy when Duke energy has failed to adequately do so for decades. We also have solar industry that we should be supporting not punishing. Duke energy continues to burdens consumers with both internal and external problems they encounter. The government must step in.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Paul Khawaja
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paul Khawaja

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paul Khawaja

Email

paulkwj@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's new net metering proposal. Their motivation is greed, not any genuine issue with solar costs, as they continue to pass on the cost of their mistakes to consumers. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Philip Fong
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Philip Fong

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Philip Fong

Email

philip.a.fong@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To whom it may concern, My name is Philip Fong and I live at 145 Victorian Oaks Dr Durham, NC. I was informed that there is a proposal regarding changes to the net metering for people like me who have installed solar panels. I oppose this and request a full cost benefit analysis of rooftop solar panels.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Pablo E Priu
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Pablo E Priu

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Pablo E Priu

Email

ppriu@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly oppose Duke Energy's proposal to create new restrictions on net metering. When I invested in my solar rooftop, I made cost-benefit calculations using the current rules, and there was no indication that these rules would be changed. To change them now would be unfair to all the families who are trying to help the environment in an economically responsible manner. The current rules are fair and appropriate. Don't let Duke Energy's greed hurt current and future residential solar customers. We need to encourage the use of solar in this state, not make it more difficult and costly to consumers.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Joshua Walton
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joshua Walton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joshua Walton

Email

joshuapwalton@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

This is the wrong move for NC. We are at a time that we need to be encouraging alternative energy more than ever. We are witnessing right now the risks of depending too much on fossil fuels with the costs increasing significantly due to geopolitical events. Please keep net metering in tact as is, and do more to encourage our utilities to move away from coal or other non-renewable/non-nuclear options. Gutting net metering will discourage adoption just as its done in other states. NC is positioned well to be a leader in solar, but we aren't going to get there without proper incentives.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Darren Shopbell
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Darren Shopbell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Darren Shopbell

Email

dshopbell@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to duke energy changing rules. I purchased solar on good faith that my price of purchase shows a reasonable ROI. If duke decides to change those rules, existing customers should be compensated for false pretense of sales.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Bobby Wilkes
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Bobby Wilkes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bobby Wilkes

Email

bwilkes44@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal from Duke Energy. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you, Bobby Wilkes

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jason Wallace
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Wallace

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Wallace

Email

jasonv.wallace@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge you to reject this proposal! I ask that you perform a full cost-benefit study on rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Son Hoang
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Son Hoang

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Son Hoang

Email

sonnyhoang89@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Zach Brown
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Zach Brown

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Zach Brown

Email

zachmbrown@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please make policy that benefit and support home owners instead of harming them. I love this NC and want to see it remain an attractive place for people to live and work. Duke Energy policies that put consumer interests behind their own thwart community engagement and cause people to reconsider their investments here.

Dunston, Antonia

From: A Leslie Morrow
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by A Leslie Morrow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

A Leslie Morrow

Email

morrowlih@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge you NOT to allow Duke Energy to change the net metering for solar customers in a manner that reduces the growth of solar energy in NC. Duke Energy takes credit for all the solar panels on customer property, but now wants to take these credits at our expense. This is wrong. Duke Energy is a profitable business and should not be allowed to set these terms that are so detrimental to customers and to our State. Please do your job and protect the people of NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Deanna Welch
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Deanna Welch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Deanna Welch

Email

deanna.welch@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 80

Message

We paid a lot of money for our solar system, ad we oppose hanging our rates. We put up \$50k for panels and we are still averaging \$60+ a month for our electric bill. It's no wonder more people don't go solar with numbers like that.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Dr.Jenny Billings
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dr. Jenny Billings

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dr. Jenny Billings

Email

billje2@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100, Sub 180

Message

Please do not alter the way excess solar is calculated/metered from our grids. We invested a lot of time and money into saving money for ourselves long-term and creating a cleaner, renewable energy for others here in NC. - Dr. Jenny Billings

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: LUCAS AGRICOLA
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by LUCAS AGRICOLA

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

LUCAS AGRICOLA

Email

luke.agricola@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I was so thrilled to see the net metering program in place in NC, and my family decided to purchase solar panels in large part due to the net metering program. Along with thousands of other solar users we are helping reduce Duke's need for energy production, while providing free energy to their customers and paying the connection fees to do so. I believe the grid connection fee makes sense, but paying more to provide Duke with energy is a poor policy that does nothing but harm solar owners and enable Duke. Please don't let that happen!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Lynn Burkhart
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lynn Burkhart

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lynn Burkhart

Email

lynn@lynnburkhart.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are against Duke Energy proposal to lessen solar energy exchange ratios as is currently approved. Duke has demonstrated in the past their carelessness in tending to the environment by directly causing a significant discharge of polluted water directly caused by them. They knew about it but deliberately chose to ignore the danger. Now they want to be rewarded after citizens have invested 10s of thousands of dollars to help reduce their energy production costs. Good neighbors? I scarcely think so. Don't let them do this!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Laurel Elam
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Laurel Elam

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Laurel Elam

Email

laurelelam@yahoo.com

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal since it will have a large impact on solar producers.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Skyler Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Skyler Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Skyler Johnson

Email

Srj8202@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

You need to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Solar energy is remarkably efficient and should be encouraged, not throttled.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Shane Joyce
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Shane Joyce

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Shane Joyce

Email

shanejoycenc@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. As a citizen and solar owner, I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of North Carolinians' solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Dominic Mikel
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dominic Mikel

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dominic Mikel

Email

dm1999gp@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Allowing Duke to alter or change net metering will be detrimental to the reason why I chose to place Solar panels on my home. Duke shouldn't be allowed to extort customers that are providing power from Solar back to the grid. If NCUC allows this to pass they are merely saying it's ok to steal energy and money from the citizens. NCUC should incentivize NC residents to install clean/renewable/alternative energy sources not penalize, which allowing a change to net metering would be.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Stefan DiMauro
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stefan DiMauro

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stefan DiMauro

Email

scdimauro@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Thank you,

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Roger D.Quiring
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roger D. Quiring

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roger D. Quiring

Email

rdquiring@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please deny Duke Energy the right to reduce the amount of credit they are giving me for the kWh I am generating from my roof top solar panels. I am already not happy that they are not letting me carry over excess kWh generated during the summer months. Duke Energy allows carry over in all states except for North Carolina. Thanks for listening. Roger D. Quiring 3124 Juniper Drive Burlington, NC 27215

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Joan E Gardner
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Joan E Gardner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joan E Gardner

Email

Joanegardner@charter.net

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

I have been an early supporter of solar energy. I have solar panels , an EV automobile, charging station in my garage, and would like to add more panels. I am an early adopter and as a grandmother, I am extremely concerned that we are slow in adopting technological changes that are necessary, indeed, existential for a healthy continuance of human life. I donated a \$30,000 solar system to my local Optimist Youth Sports Club. I am a very active civic person, both in my church, community and voting district. Solar energy needs more support, not less.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ann Parker-Way
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ann Parker-Way

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ann Parker-Way

Email

annbillstatements@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering rules for its residential customers in North Carolina. Duke Energy's proposal would significantly reduce the value of solar energy. I made a large investment in solar panels, and it's not fair for Duke to change the value of my investment retroactively. Moreover, they're proposing do this at a time when NC, the USA and other countries need to be installing even more solar, and this will discourage people from doing so. Before the NCUC makes any changes to net metering in NC, the NCUC should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that is required by law (HB 589). Thank you for your consideration. Ann Parker-Way Charlotte, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Hiten & Mansi Prajapati
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Hiten & Mansi Prajapati

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Hiten & Mansi Prajapati

Email

hiten@trileyecare.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We as proud users of solar energy to our residence urge the NC Utilities Commission to oppose Duke Energy's proposal to increase net metering. We recommend to please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making net metering changes in NC. Approving the proposal will reduce the value of solar and will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

Dunston, Antonia

From: Ashley Roach
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ashley Roach

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ashley Roach

Email

ashleycheshire25@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sun 180

Message

Reject proposal. That would decrease the reason for going solar. It would discourage customers from going solar. That's just like me going to Walmart asking them to sell me a loaf of bread for \$.50. I want the same FULL loaf for half the price.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Dan Schnitzer
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dan Schnitzer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dan Schnitzer

Email

daschnitzer@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to oppose and ask that you reject the Duke Energy's proposal around changes for solar energy in NC. The planet is in desperate need of action to remedy the consequences of resource overuse and pollution. Making solar LESS appealing to NC customers is not only immoral, it is contrary to our own best interests. In addition: -NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC must conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. -Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. -Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. -The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Solar customers, who are trying to do good might face higher fixed monthly fees, time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand. Compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

From: Andrew Blokzyl
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Andrew Blokzyl

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Andrew Blokzyl

Email

drew@linuxkids.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Clean energy produced by renewable sources, such as solar, need to be a priority for everyone. Thus far, humans have been terrible custodians of the planet, and we can do better. One of the most important ways we can do better is to use clean, renewable, sources of energy. We MUST ensure that the planet we leave is a better place than when we found it. For our children, our grandchildren, and countless generations beyond. Please, make it a priority to encourage clean, renewable energy sources - for our children, our nieces and nephews, their children, and so on. We are all counting on you.

Dunston, Antonia

From: Jian Gao
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jian Gao

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jian Gao

Email

linuxkid@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am an existing solar energy consumer. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thanks for your consideration to protecting consumers!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Rick Sullivan
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rick Sullivan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rick Sullivan

Email

rick@ricksullivanmedia.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a grandfather, father, and a concerned citizen of the world, I consider it a duty to do what I can to help make the world an even better place in the future. I purchased solar panels for my home and an electric car to drive cleanly. There were less expensive options, but my wife and I agree that more people like us need to be recruited to clean energy in a hurry if making the future world a better place is to be possible. Please help this cause by supporting and not deterring the use of clean energy. Reject the Duke Energy proposal to change net metering to their own financial advantage at the risk of harming the much needed move to solar by more people. Thanks you! Rick Sullivan and Lisa Petty

Dunston, Antonia

From: Lisa Rigsbee
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lisa Rigsbee

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lisa Rigsbee

Email

lisarigsbee2@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 29 2022

From: Jessica Martin
Sent: Tuesday, June 28, 2022 3:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jessica Martin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jessica Martin

Email

jessybroyles@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I am a duke net metering customer and I sincerely urge you not to allow duke to change the terms of their net metering plan. I bought solar panels under the impression that my investment in solar would last for many years. It was a large investment, with the understanding that the payoff would be reduced bills from Duke. It feels unlawful that they could change their terms so easily without considering their customers. Please do not allow them to do away with net metering or reduce the amount they pay for the excess energy they receive from their customers. Thanks!