

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Brian Deem  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 12:26 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brian Deem

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brian Deem

### Email

brian.deem2174@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Tomkinson  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 12:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Tomkinson

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Tomkinson

### Email

dvdtnsn@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to inform the powers to be to vote AGAINST any Power Moves by Duke Energy to steal the power being generated by Solar Panels. I made an investment to limit my power consumption and help the "Global Warming" issues we have been led to believe are real. With a move to strip us of retail rates on power we are generating, that proves the whole thing is a hoax. Duke Energy is only concerned with lining their pockets. Maybe they need to pay for clean up of their coal ash spills instead of passing that cost on to it's consumers. There will be one heck of a lawsuit against a lot of people if this goes through. Maybe instead of using Dukes Net Metering, I will just need to invest in batteries and get off the grid all together. All they are concerned about is their own inflated pockets and egos.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Roxanne & John Snaauw  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 12:34 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Roxanne & John Snaauw

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Roxanne & John Snaauw

### Email

snbusius@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

1. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. 2. The NCUC must investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made and that investigation should be made public. 3. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals and ultimately increase the cost and decrease the availability of electricity by Duke Energy as demand increases.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Holly Reiling  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 12:35 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Holly Reiling

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Holly Reiling

### Email

tereiling@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Duke Energy has submitted a plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount solar customers are paid for the excess solar energy shared with the grid. This plan would significantly reduce the value of solar at a time when our state, country, and planet need to be placing more value than ever on solar. The most immediate threat is to customers who install solar after Jan 1, 2023, but depending on how the NCUC rules, existing solar customers might be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. Reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina! NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. A full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar must be conducted to make an informed decision! Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of a solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Barbara Phelan  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 12:58 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Barbara Phelan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Barbara Phelan

### Email

bap@snet.net

### Docket

E 100 Sub 180

### Message

I am concerned about Duke Energy's net metering proposal and request you perform due diligence in conducting a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar prior to decisionmaking. The proposed changes will result in excessive and unfair costs to the consumer including higher monthly fees, variable time of use billing and compensation both completely to the benefit of Duke. Current solar users should be allowed to keep current metering plan.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Cathy Marcinko  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 1:05 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Cathy Marcinko

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Cathy Marcinko

### Email

cathy.marcinko@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Greetings-- It is our understanding that the Commission is looking at changing net metering pursuant to a request by Duke Power Company. We are opposed to any change without a full investigation of the solar costs and benefits to both Duke Power and to the solar consumer. We made this investment to help our planet--not to help Duke Power. There should be more information and a better justification made to solar consumers before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you-- Dottie & Steve Marcinko & Cathy Marcinko 538 East Main St. Brevard NC 28712

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Lisa A Stanley  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 1:13 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lisa A Stanley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lisa A Stanley

### Email

[lisa.stanley27@gmail.com](mailto:lisa.stanley27@gmail.com)

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It's not right or fair for Duke Energy to reimburse me less for the energy my solar panels generate. I am using green power and generating more than I use...

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022