

SECONDARY WATER QUALITY TREATMENT SYSTEM REQUEST Carden's Creek Wells #1&2 NC 03-32-126 WSF ID No: P01 and P02 AQUA NORTH CAROLINA, INC.

A. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Carden's Creek (CC) Master Water System is comprised of 2 approved and active wells, Carden's Creek #1 and #2, and two points of entry (POE), PO1 and PO2. <u>The latest Mn concentration from</u> <u>Carden's Creek Well #2 is 0.401 mg/L which makes it one of Aqua's Group 1 Priority Secondary</u> <u>Water Quality Projects as per the Water Quality Plan.</u> Carden's Creek Well #2 is a Group 2 Priority Secondary Water Quality Project, but its Fe/Mn loading rate to the system's 72 customers is close to the loading from Well #1 as seen in Table (1) below. Loading rates are normalized based on a 12-hour per day well runtime.

Aqua proposes Option (1) running a 2" raw water supply main from Well #2 to Well #1 and installing a combined oxidation-filtration system at Carden's Creek Well #1 in order to remove Fe/Mn below the sMCLs for both wells. At the max well #2 production of 42 GPM over the past 12 months, the velocity in the raw water main will be 4.3 feet per second, high enough to scour the main and prevent mineral accumulation over time. Aqua plans to chlorinate the combined flow at well #1 before entering the filtration system. Two clean sources of supply are required since the connections are over 49.

Option (2) entails filtering both wells separately with no interconnection.

Capex estimates for both options are given below in Section D.2.

PROPOSED SYSTEM REQUIRING TREATMENT

1.	System Name:	<u> Carden's Creek Well #1&2</u>
2.	PWS ID:	<u>NC 03-32-126</u>
3.	No. Total Active Residential Water Connections:	72
4.	No. Total Connections Permitted Residential Connect	ions: <u>72</u>
-		

5. List of DEH/PWSS Approved Wells and Storage

	Capacity	r (GPM)	Max,	Li	atest POE	E Inorganic S	Sampling R	esults
Well Name and No.	APPC**	Max, Avg, Min from Past 12 Months	Avg., Min Pump Runtime from Past 12 Months (hrs./day)	Fe (mg/L)*	Mn (mg/L)	Fe/Mn Loading Rate (lbs./day)	Fe/Mn Loading Rate (lbs./yr.)	Average Fe/Mn Loading Rate Per Residential Customer (lbs./yr.)

Well #1	33	54	38	28	7	4	1	0.342	0.211	0.1	46	0.6
Well #2***	23	42	35	27	9	3	0.3	0.267	0.401	0.1	51	0.7

*Raw samples are taken directly at the wellhead before chemical treatment and point of entry (POE) samples are taken after chemical injection and treatment but before the tank and distribution system **APPC = Approved Pumping Capacity

Well #2 currently runs in operational lag mode and is only used in periods of peak demand *Loading calculations based on 12-hour per day well runtime

Well Name and No.	Storage D	escription	Most Recent Cleaning Date		
	Туре	Gallons	Dist. System		
Carden's Creek Well #1	Hydro	5,000	March 2020		
Carden's Creek Well #2	Hydro	5,000	March 2020		

6. Past Three (3) Years Flushing Occurrences, list month/year:

Response: February 2017, April 2018, March 2020

7. Next Planned Distribution System Flushing Occurrence:

Response: This water system will be flushed again by Dec. 2021 and on an ongoing annual basis. Disclaimer: Flushing does not completely remove the mineral accumulation in the distribution mains when utilizing water with exceptionally high levels of iron and manganese in the source water.

8. List of chemicals being used:

TABLE 3: Existing Chemicals Used at Well Site

Well Name and No.	State Approved Treatment						
Wett Nume and No.	Disinfectant Caustic		Sequestrant	Fe/Mn Filter			
Well #1	Х	Х	Х	N/A			
Well #2	Х	N/A	Х	N/A			

9 March 2021

Mar 12 2021

9. Current description of the water treatment system for each well over the past three (3) years, including specific names of chemicals and dates of changes:

Response: Harmsco cartridge filter installed at P01 in May 2018 and installed at P02 in May 2018. Started feeding Seaquest at P01 in October 2015.

10. Planned changes (if any) for chemical treatment within the next six (6) months:

Response: None.

11. Comments on Approved/Current Well Capacity.

Response: There has been no significant deviation of the average well production from the APPC for both wells.

B. CURRENT SECONDARY WATER QUALITY CONCERNS

- 1. How many wells require treatment?
- Can system operate with single well offline? <u>No*</u>
 *Per attached capacity calculations, the system requires the permitted production from both wells to meet the State min design standard of 0.555 GPM/connection.
- 3. Are combined Fe/Mn concentrations above 1 mg/L? ______No*

*The latest POE Mn concentration from P02 is over 0.3 mg/L (0.401 mg/L)

4. Date of most recent POE Fe/Mn sampling results

2/10/2021

2

TABLE 4: Past 3 Years Fe/Mn Analysis

Carden's Creek Well #1 Laboratory Analysis at POE								
Date	Ire	on (Fe), mg	/L	Manganese (Mn), mg/L				
Date	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.		
8/20/2020	0.342	< 0.022	0.342	0.219	0.211	0.008		
8/5/2020	0.3	< 0.022	0.3	0.163	0.16	0.003		
3/4/2020	0.613	< 0.022	0.613	0.207	0.109	0.098		
1/15/2020	0.149	-	-	0.129	-	-		
	Carden's Creek Well #2 Laboratory Analysis at POE							
Date	Ire	on (Fe), mg	/L	Manganese (Mn), mg/L				
Date	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.	Tot.	Sol.	Insol.		
2/10/2021	0.267	-	-	0.401	-	-		
10/6/2020	0.258	< 0.022	0.258	0.513	0.508	0.005		

Aqua North Carolina, Inc. 9 March 2021

8/20/2020	0.25	< 0.022	0.25	0.435	0.431	0.004
8/5/2020	0.504	< 0.022	0.504	0.423	0.418	0.005
3/4/2020	0.326	< 0.022	0.326	0.414	0.404	0.01
1/15/2020	0.152	-	-	0.423	-	-

5. Describe previous actions to improve secondary water quality and describe results (i.e.; installation of particulate filters and sequestering agents).

Response: Aqua flushes the water mains annually in this system. Harmsco cartridge filter installed at P01 in May 2018 and installed at P02 in May 2018. Started feeding Seaquest at P01 in October 2015.

UTILITY COMMISION REQUIRED INFORMATION

1.	Well Location Map	<u>Attached</u>
2.	DEH/PWS Approval Letter	Attached
3.	Original 24 hr. Pump Status Report	Attached
4.	Past 36 months of pump status reports	<u>Attached</u>
5.	Inorganic Analysis Report submitted to DEH for well approval	<u>Attached</u>
6.	Past 6 yrs. inorganic analysis from each wellhead	<u>Attached</u>
7.	Past 3 yrs. Fe/Mn analyses, both soluble and insoluble. <u>See</u>	<u>Table 4 Above</u>

Note: For item (6) above, provide information on baseline (w/o treatment – raw samples taken at the well head) and point of entry (after treatment).

C. CUSTOMER COMPLAINT DATA

- 1. Total number of customer complaints in past 6 months _____0
- 2. Total number of customer complaints in past 12 months
- 3. For past 6 months, do customer secondary water complaints exceed 10% of the number of active customers?
- 4. Provide 12-month list of all water quality complaints <u>Attached</u>
- 5. Provide 12-month list of all completed water quality work orders <u>Attached</u>
- 6. Describe most common customer complaint over the past 12-month period relating to secondary water quality, i.e.; discolored water, taste, or odor.

Response: Orange, yellow, brown dirty water.

D. PROPOSED SECONDARY WATER QUALITY TREATMENT

1. Proposed treatment recommendation: <u>Oxidation-Filtration Treatment System</u>

OFFICIAL COPY

1

No

2. System Capex Estimates for Option (1) and (2):

	Total design flow rate =	56	GPM				
TASK	DESCRIPTION	QTY	UNIT	UN	IT COST		TOTAL
1	Filter Equipment, no recycle, sludge management, or BW supply systems required	1	EACH	\$	102,100	\$	102,100
2	Freight (based on shipping costs of similar size filters)	1	EACH	\$	3,000	\$	3,000
3	Engineering Design, Permitting, Bidding, & CA/CO (based on design costs of similar size filters)	1	EACH	\$	50,000	\$	50,000
4	Construction Bonding, Mobilization and Demobilization	1	EACH	\$	5,000	\$	5,000
5	Site Clearing, Grubbing, Grading, Gravel, erosion control	1	EACH	\$	25,000	\$	25,000
6	Existing Well House Piping Modifications	1	EACH	\$	3,500	\$	3,500
7	Filter Equipment Installation-Including but not limited to all water piping, water treatment filter installation, and necessary appurtenances, within the existing filter building. Also includes all extension piping near filter building	1	EACH	\$	20,000	\$	20,000
8	Filter Building Construction-Including but not limited to concrete floor slab, well house erection, finishing, and necessary appurtenances	1	EACH	\$	35,000	\$	35,000
9	Yard Piping-Including but not limited to all underground pipe, fittings, and valve	1	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	15,000
10	Electrical/Controls-Including but not limited to all electrical power and controls wiring, conduit, panels, fixtures, electric heaters, thermostats, junction boxes, control equipment not provide by filter manufacturer, and miscellaneous appurtenances	1	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	15,000
11	Interconnection Construction Cost: Open cut with 2" Pipe	3300	per foot	\$	45	\$	148,500
12	Aqua Direct Cost (payroll, water quality sampling) @	3%)			\$	12,663
13	Contingencies @	5%			\$	21,738	
14	AFUDC @	2%		\$	9,13		
15		TOT	ALCAPI	TAL	COSTS:	\$	465,632
	TOTAL ESTIM	ATED P	ROJECT	cos	TS/GPM:	Ś	8,393

Mar 12 2021

_
Ň
Ø.
5
<u>N</u>
-

	DESCRIPTION			56 GPM			
		<u>QTY</u>	<u>UNIT</u>	UNIT COST		<u>TOTAL</u>	
(Cardens Creek #1 Filter skid, no recycle, sludge management, or BW supply systems required (33gpm)	1	EACH	\$	80,000	\$	80,000
	Cardens Creek #2 Filter skid, no recycle, sludge management, or BW supply systems required (23 gpm)	1	EACH	\$	70,000	\$	70,000
	Freight (based on shipping costs of similar size filters)	2	EACH	\$	2,000	\$	4,000
4	Engineering Design, Permitting, Bidding, & CA/CO (based on design costs of similar size filters)	2	EACH	\$	30,000	\$	60,000
	Construction Bonding, Mobilization and Demobilization	2	EACH	\$	5,000	\$	10,000
n	Site Clearing, Grubbing, Grading, Seeding, Gravel, Erosion Control	2	EACH	\$	25,000	\$	50,000
7	Existing Well House Piping Modifications	2	EACH	\$	3,500	\$	7,000
8	Filter Equipment Installation-Including but not limited to all water piping, water treatment filter installation, and necessary appurtenances, within the existing filter building. Also includes all extension piping near filter building	2	EACH	\$	20,000	\$	40,000
9 0	Filter Building Construction-Including but not limited to concrete floor slab, well house erection, finishing, and necessary appurtenances	2	EACH	\$	35,000	\$	70,000
10 1	Yard Piping-Including but not limited to all underground pipe, fittings, and valve	2	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	30,000
11 	Electrical/Controls-Including but not limited to all electrical power and controls wiring, conduit, panels, fixtures, electric heaters, thermostats, junction boxes, control equipment not provide by filter manufacturer, and miscellaneous appurtenances	2	EACH	\$	15,000	\$	30,000
	Aqua Direct Cost (payroll, water quality sampling) @	3%				\$	13,530
	Contingencies @	5%				\$	23,227
	AFUDC @	2%				\$	9,756
15				ΤΟΤΑΙ	LCAPITAL COSTS:	\$	497,513
	TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COSTS/GPM: \$						8,929

Option 2: All Carden's Creek Wells Filtered Separately with no interconnection

Note: The above information is for planning purposes only and is subject to change based on further engineering evaluations, water quality analyses, site conditions, and other site-specific discoveries and information

- 3. Opex Estimate for Option (1): <u>\$9,000</u>
- 4. Comments:

Aqua will use the total APPC of 56 GPM as the treatment system design (max) flow rate. Aqua proposes running a raw water supply main from Well #2 to Well #1 and installing a combined oxidation-filtration system at Carden's Creek Well #1.