

Conyers, Tamika

From: Dwight Simmons <dcsoone@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 9:30 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

I understand that Duke Energy is making an attempt to modify (and eventually get rid of) net metering. This action would greatly hamper the appeal and use of solar power in our state ...

We all know that a move away from coal and gas generated electricity is needed to slow the effects of climate change.. Solar is not the 100% answer to the problem, but will reduce carbon and make needed progress.

As I write this today in Boone, we have very high winds and driving rain. One of the best features of our property is a children's play house for our Grandkids, which was overturned this morning due to the unusual high winds. These unusual occurrences are getting more common...

We ask that you please do not allow Duke to modify or change the current net metering policies in the state.

We plan to install solar panels sometime this summer on our home ...

Thanks for your consideration!

Dwight Simmons

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: steven.newman@wellsfargo.com
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Please do not approve Duke's petition to make net metering less accessible for rooftop solar. We need to be embracing solar and incentivizing it, not finding ways to make Duke more profit. NC LOVES SOLAR!

Thanks!
Steven Newman

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Mike Head <mike_head24@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 31, 2022 3:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Thank you for receiving and reading this email.

As a voter, long time resident of NC, and with solar panels on my roof, I would like to voice my disagreement with Docket E-100, Sub 180CS.

It adversely affects payback rates, hurts low income individuals and families, and stifles the growing trend of renewables leading to more burning of fossil fuels.

Duke Energy is a huge company with lots of money and power, we all know that. After watching an interview with Lynn Good on 60-minutes years ago after the coal ash accidents, it became clear she has no intention of holding the company accountable for their own mistakes and rules like these are only beneficial to them, not us.

Thank you for your consideration and cheers to sunny days ahead!

Mike Head
Charlotte Resident

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Monique Boyer <moniqueboyer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:01 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS in the subject line

Please reject Duke's petition. We need solar to be accessible for all North Carolina homes.

Monique Boyer
1712 Commons Ford Place
Apex, NC 27539
707-205-7108

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Joe Trigilio <joecisco@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:30 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS in the subject line

Our current solar policy has helped to expand the use of solar power by homeowners in North Carolina. Duke Energy's proposed changes would make rooftop solar less affordable and more complicated at a time when we should be moving full speed toward clean energy. Please send Duke Energy back to the drawing board and insist on a rooftop solar policy that increases solar growth rather than hampering it, and makes it accessible to everyone, especially to low- and moderate-income households who struggle to pay their electricity bills.

Joe

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: neil petersen <petersenneil@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:37 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS — solar power regulation

To whom it may concern:

I wish to voice my opposition to Duke Power's requests noted in above docket as they relate to changing net metering arrangements and other aspects of individual solar power in NC. Generally speaking, as I understand it, the NCUC mandate boils down to managing the utilities that companies provide to the people of NC. That entails ensuring their viability (read profitability) while balancing value for NC public. Ever changing in scope, this means balancing short-term and long-term issues.

Climate warming due to fossil fuel burning is now a major consideration for us all. Power needs will grow in NC. The more production of power via alternative sources, the less fossil fuels will be used.

I have reviewed the Duke Power proposed changes and the NC Warn critiques and counter suggestions. I urge you to decline to raise net metering fees and to not adopt other onerous requirements that are likely to lessen the growth of individual solar power generation and onsite storage in NC.

Thank you,

Neil Petersen
6411 Mounting Rock Road
Charlotte NC 28217

Sent from my iPhone

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Stan Hills <shills1403@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:39 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Solar Power

I moved last year from Vermont to North Carolina. I had 16 solar panels on my roof and they supplied me and my family with enough energy along with net metering to basically live net zero. I am appalled at the lack of solar infrastructure in North Carolina. At the same time the outer banks and Wilmington are eventually going to become less inhabitable due to rising seas.

Now I read duke energy wants to further restrict homeowners access to solar. It is unconscionable. You should do everything in your power to foster renewable energy, Please reject their latest scam. We owe the future to our children.

Stan Hills
Raleigh, NC 27605.

PS. If we truly want to help Ukraine and stop Putin, we need to move as fast as possible off our dependence on fossil fuels from places like Russia, Saudi Arabia, and Venezuela.

Sent from my iPad

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Steve Guerrant <sguerrant49@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:41 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Please do not allow Duke energy kill the solar initiative.
Steve Guerrant
5204 Middleton Rd.
Durham, NC 27713

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Fred Watson <fnwgoog@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Net Metering Changes

Good morning,

Please consider turning down Duke Energy's plan to change the current net metering structure. Or future depends on turning to alternatives to fossil fuels. Rooftop PV is a great one that average citizens can take advantage of, given proper incentives, like the current net metering structure.

Nuclear war and climate change are the greatest existential crises that we as humans have faced. Let's do all that we can on the climate change front.

Respectfully,
Fred Watson
Resilient Builders, LLC

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Elaine Robbins <elainerobbins535@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 9:49 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

We were early adopters of solar panel technology, in the hopes of saving the planet. We are distressed at Duke's proposal to change rules for rooftop solar net metering. Not only would the proposed changes lower the value of our installation, they would also deter further adoption. This is the time to incentivize increased solar and wind energy, not to undermine them.

Please step up to save the planet.

Very truly yours,

Peter and Elaine Robbins
415 Blowhole Road
Marshall NC 28753
(828) 380-1817

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Michael Uhl <michael.s.uhl@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 10:17 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 180CS (Uhl - Resident)

To the Commission:

I am a homeowner and small business owner in North Carolina. We recently experienced the process of installing solar panels at our home. At that time, the rebates for state residents were further limited than in previous years. Later, the rebate that was promised to us never arrived, despite 6 months of Duke Energy stating "just 1-2 more weeks, but it MAY be 4 weeks". We are still waiting...

The current conditions in the Russian invasion of Ukraine and its impact on the global energy market, geopolitical risks, local cost affordability, and home resilience seems an appropriate backdrop when considering rule changes for solar PV. Why would we want to stifle the potential growth of solar PV for residential homes and non-profit organizations? Why would any state want to impair locally-generated, clean energy that minimizes global reliance on nations that don't share democratic values? Why restrict residents from enhancing their cost management and individual responsibility with their families and climate? Why restrict the evolution of our grid operators from becoming more sophisticated orchestra conductors of regional clean energy, local rooftop solar, battery storage, vehicle charging, and demand response devices (thermostats, hybrid water heaters, appliances)?

When we left Connecticut, we had retrofit our home with insulation, efficient windows, heat pumps, and solar. Now, the state offers incentives for utility customers to pair solar with energy storage and receive BOTH upfront rebates and 10-yr performance rebates to allow the grid operators to use the batteries to solve peak demand concerns every day of the summer and the more rare days of the winter. Despite living in a much colder climate in the state with some of the highest cost energy in the United States, we could live affordably, thanks to solar access and fair rules.

Duke Energy's proposal for changing rooftop solar is in stark contrast to the global, national, and local imperative to make clean energy ubiquitous. Higher fixed charges do not create value or proper incentives. Multiple daily rates are not sufficient when few, if any, of the necessary programs and controls are available for residents to control those costs automatically. Duke Energy could offer virtual power plants, third-party aggregators, shareable data access to third-parties to analyze home usage patterns, price incentives for battery storage or car charging to vary with time AND emissions, but it did not. In fact, it won't even offer standard programs across most states to townhomes and renters. Instead, Duke Energy offered to tie the hands behind the back of customers while it would make customers juggle prices at various heights every day, completely unable to focus on contributing to their job, their family, their community.

Vote NO to Duke Energy's proposal. Voting NO is a vote for NC's clean energy now.

--
Michael S. Uhl

"To be truly radical is to make hope possible, not despair convincing."
~Raymond Williams

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Mt Ulla Gardens Webster <wdonaldcjr@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 10:26 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E 100 sub 180CS

Protect solar energy projects in NC
Betsy Webster
14230 NC 801
Mount Ulla, North Carolina 28125

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Marion Holmes <holmesmarion51@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 10:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: docket E-100 sub180CS

I don't really know how to express the urgency you should feel concerning your energy policy decisions. As a citizen of this state rest assured, I know the science surrounding our anthropogenic disruption of the earth's climate. Any maneuvering that doesn't embrace alternatives to burning fossil fuels is a criminal breach (in spirit at least) of your responsibilities to the children of the state of North Carolina. I hope you have trouble sleeping at night. Make the right decisions.

Marion Holmes
Sent from Mail for Windows

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Mark Bruno
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 10:32 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Bruno

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Bruno

Email

embee1_541@hotmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100, Sub 180CS

Message

It is still so unbelievable that Duke Energy does not comply with its CUSTOMER wishes about converting to clean energy technology rather than its stockholders. I respectfully urge this Utilities Commission to not permit Duke Energy to change the rules on net metering and making solar rooftop less accessible at a time when climate SCIENTISTS say we must be moving to technology that decarbonizes.

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022

Conyers, Tamika

From: Teresa J. Ladd <teresa.j.west@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 10:54 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket-E, Sub 180CS

Dear Commissioners,

I write to respectfully ask you not to approve Duke's proposed changes to net-metering. We are in the process of having Yes Solar Solutions of Cary, NC install 18 solar panels on our roof here in Chatham County. We are surrounded by trees so the panels will not pay for themselves for approximately 19 years. Our family is making this investment not to save money on our electric bill but to do our part to save the Planet from the worst ravages of climate change. We are fortunate and privileged to have the financial means to make this long term investment.

Duke's proposed changes to net-metering will effectively make investment in residential solar a financial impossibility for middle and low-income families. One has to wonder if that is not Duke's intention; to stifle the growth of residential solar.

Thank you for your service.

Kind regards,

Teresa Ladd
601 Jamestown Rd
Pittsboro, NC 27312

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 05 2022