August 30, 2016

Moore&VanAllen

James H. Jeffries IV

Attorney at Law

T 704 331 1079 F 704 339 5879 jimjeffries@mvalaw.com

Moore & Van Allen PLLC

Suite 4700 100 North Tryon Street Charlotte, NC 28202-4003

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Chief Clerk North Carolina Utilities Commission 430 N. Salisbury Street, Dobbs Building Raleigh, North Carolina 27603

Re: Docket No. E-2, Sub 1095 Docket No. E-7, Sub 1100 Docket No. G-9, Sub 682

Dear Chief Clerk:

On August 25, 2015, Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. ("Piedmont") filed a Joint Proposed Order on behalf of itself, Duke Energy Corporation ("Duke") (collectively the "Applicants") and the Public Staff. Filed with the Joint Proposed Order was the Supplemental Evidence and Conclusions Supporting Finding of Fact No. 36 which was filed solely on behalf of the Applicants. Due to an inadvertent oversight, the reference to the relevant docket numbers on the first page of the Supplemental Evidence and Conclusions was incomplete. I have attached the corrected Page 1 of the Supplemental Evidence and Conclusions and would ask that it be substituted for the previously filed incorrect page.

Thank you for your assistance with this matter. If you have any questions about this filing, you may reach me at the number shown above.

Sincerely,

/s/ James H. Jeffries IV James H. Jeffries IV

JHJ/rkg

Enclosures

cc: Parties of Record

SUPPLEMENTAL EVIDENCE AND CONCLUSIONS FOR FINDING OF FACT NO. 36

(Public Convenience and Necessity)

Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 1095, E-7, Sub 1100, G-9, Sub 682

Filed on behalf of Duke Energy Corporation and Piedmont Natural Gas

Company, Inc.

In the public witness testimony and in consumer statements of position filed in this proceeding, concerns were articulated that the merger was not or may not be in the public interest because of (i) potential negative impacts on the environment due to issues around global warming, fracking, and methane releases to the atmosphere, (ii) potential volatility of natural gas prices, (iii) the pending approval and construction of the Atlantic Coast Pipeline, (iv) the potential for future industry "deconsolidation," and (v) allegedly "secret" or "back room" settlement procedures used by the Public Staff and/or the Commission in resolving important dockets like this one. Some of these issues were also implicated by questions asked by NC WARN counsel during the hearing which were subject to objections by counsel for the Applicants and which, in this order, the Commission has sustained objections to and has stricken from the record of this proceeding.¹ Several of these matters were also the subject of testimony originally filed by NC WARN witnesses that was stricken from the record as not relevant to the legal issues before the Commission in this proceeding.

¹ The Commission did not rule on these objections at the hearing of this matter but allowed the questioning subject to objection and took the objections under advisement.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the attached is being served this date upon all of the parties to this docket electronically or by depositing a copy of the same in the United States Mail, First Class Postage Prepaid, at the addresses contained in the official service list in this proceeding.

This the 30th day of August, 2016.

/s/ Richard K. Goley
Richard K. Goley