

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ian Cook
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 8:28 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ian Cook

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ian Cook

Email

ianmcook@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I own a home in North Carolina with rooftop solar. I paid a lot of money (about half of my savings at the time) to have the solar energy system installed on my house, because I was confident that it would pay off in the long run by consistently reducing my Duke Energy electric bills, under the net metering rules. I am angry that Duke Energy is now trying to change the rules and the NCUC is considering letting them do it. Solar energy is the right thing and the smart thing for NC homeowners like me and the best thing for our great state. Please don't punish homeowners and embarrass the state by letting Duke Energy change the rules.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Anna Maria Behler
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 4:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Anna Maria Behler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anna Maria Behler

Email

anna.behler@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a Solar customer in North Carolina and I am writing to ask that you REJECT this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from changing solar net metering. These changes will affect customer costs and will slow the growth of solar usage across North Carolina at a time when we desperately need more people to be using renewable energy sources!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert Abt
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 4:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Abt

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Abt

Email

rcabt@verizon.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I installed solar panels on my home to increase the use of renewable energy. Part of the economics of the decision was the ability to sell electricity back to Duke energy. I understand Duke is trying to reduce their credits for excess solar energy produced by consumers. That would be a travesty to those who are trying to make a difference by investing in renewable energy. If this is allowed to happen I will be duped twice. The first instance was the NC State tax credit that I was not given since I wasn't picked in the "lottery". What kind of fair and just process uses a lottery to provide tax credits for trying to help the planet. It should have been fairly distributed to ALL households willing to make the significant upfront investment. Please help us.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Peter Flur
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:16 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Flur

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Flur

Email

peter@flur.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. REJECT THIS PROPOSAL

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: alex rosenberg
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by alex rosenberg

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

alex rosenberg

Email

alexrose@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose any change in the terms under which Duke Energy is required to purchase excess power from users of solar panels. As it is there are not enough incentives to reduce carbon footprints. This will excaserbate matters.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Roger Vroom
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:20 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roger Vroom

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roger Vroom

Email

roger.vroom@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke to continue to erode the value of solar in NC. I urge you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Beck
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Beck

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Beck

Email

davidsbeck@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to urge NCUC to conduct a full investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jerry Hartzell
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jerry Hartzell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jerry Hartzell

Email

jerry.hartzell@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 80

Message

I invested in rooftop solar for my home at 232 East Park Drive, in Raleigh. It was not cheap, but it seemed like the right thing to do. I ask that the Commission take no action that would effectively change the economic payback for installed (existing) rooftop solar. Further, I ask that the Commission act in such a manner as to encourage future solar installations, including residential rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Scott Liu
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Scott Liu

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Scott Liu

Email

boobooobrazil@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Elizabeth Singer
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth Singer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Elizabeth Singer

Email

eksinger@roadrunner.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

NCUC needs to conduct a full cost-benefit study of solar panels on rooftops. If people aren't reimbursed fairly for their contributions to the community's electricity through individuals panels, fewer people will install solar panels and NC won't meet its climate goals. It would be unfair for Duke Energy to take existing solar panel customers off their net-metering plan and reimburse them at a lower rate or at a rate determined by the time of day.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ted Temple
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ted Temple

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ted Temple

Email

tdtemple@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Greg Warwick
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:41 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Greg Warwick

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Greg Warwick

Email

grgwarwick@gmail.com

Docket

E 100 sub 180

Message

Please do not change the incentive and deal we made for solar energy on our residence. This was a sizable investment to help the environment, that made sense. How can it now be changed to our disadvantage now, after the fact? We are also DUK stock holders and see no reason why they should not find a way to make this program work for such a sizable utility company (will be contact'g company too).

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ali Yahya
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:43 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ali Yahya

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ali Yahya

Email

amyahya@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Timothy Onyskin
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:44 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Timothy Onyskin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Timothy Onyskin

Email

tonyskin@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and to my knowledge that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Based on my understanding, depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees; time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced (this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand); and compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. It is either that or I should be able to set the rate I sell my excess electricity like Duke Energy currently does.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John P.Sorge
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:51 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John P. Sorge, MD

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John P. Sorge, MD

Email

johnppsorge@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy's net metering proposal is fabricated out of lies and deception. I strongly urge the NCUC not to adopt the new net metering proposal by Duke Energy. Duke Energy claims that residential solar energy customers do not pay their fair share for grid services. I used to get a bill with the solar data included and thus could track exactly what my panels produced and if in excess a carry over was applied. I have not received this information in months and months and the bill is incomprehensible for a solar panel owner to understand. Also, after May 31 an excess is zeroed out. Why is that other than to pocket the excess solar energy produced, which could actually be used to pay for maintaining the grid. Duke Energy can not be trusted except when they tell you what they want....to put residential solar out of business. Believe them, when that is their motivation, so the NCUC should reject outright their proposal and their lies.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Don Edwards
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Don Edwards

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Don Edwards

Email

donjuan9724@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have had 16 solar panels on my roof since 2014 and they have helped with my power bill I'm sure they have helped the environment but power bills are still outrageous so I do not think duke energy should be able to cut the cost on solar because we spent good money to install these panels and help the environment and if I can one day I would like to install more!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lori Mann
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 5:58 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lori Mann

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lori Mann

Email

Loriomann@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please perform a full investigation of the costs and benefits of solar before consider duke energy proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Thomas Christopher
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:04 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Thomas Christopher

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Thomas Christopher

Email

epdoc@windstream.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I think NC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before changing metering laws.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Lowery
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:07 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Lowery

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Lowery

Email

brianlowery@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 sub 10

Message

I am a residential solar net metering customer. We are all encouraged to use renewable resources but then Duke Energy wants to change the rules, after the fact, to in essence discourage it's use. I should "sell" electricity back to Duke at the same rate as I use it. Anything other than that is not fair to the existing net metering customer and only benefits big utility. Please investigate actual solar costs - actual- before giving Duke another reason to discourage solar. We should be increasing solar use! Thanks

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Franklin Merrell
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Franklin Merrell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Franklin Merrell

Email

fem6170@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a residential solar generator, I urge you to reject Duke Energy's proposed net metering plan to allow them to decrease the amount paid for excess electricity sent to the grid. This would have the effect of making residential solar less cost effective.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Richard Jooss
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Jooss

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Jooss

Email

joossgm@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, I believe that the current NetMetering works well to encourage the rooftop solar we want and need in the great state of NC to meet our energy needs in an environmentally responsible way. I would as that a thorough study of solar costs and benefits be done before many any any changes to net metering in NC. Thanks you, Rick Jooss 919-593-2939

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Benjamin Coates
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:22 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Benjamin Coates

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Benjamin Coates

Email

bencoates510@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to oppose changes to the current net metering standards for solar energy rooftop customers. Given the threats posed by climate change it is vital to support MORE solar installations, not disincentivize them, as the proposed changes will do. We should also be encouraging green jobs which support North Carolina workers and their families.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Steven R Abrams
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven R Abrams

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven R Abrams

Email

abramss@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Clearly Duke energy is not serious about Global warming, or reducing carbon emission unless they can make more money. Just look at their attempt for rebate on Solar sales all the money gone within hours. That was not a rebate it was so they can say they gave rebates? Follow the money

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Anthony Masciello
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Anthony Masciello

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Anthony Masciello

Email

masciello@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy would like to reduce the amount customers with solar panels are compensated for excess energy sent to the grid. I had solar panels installed to protect our environment. Solar panel installation was expensive but with time this investment would pay for itself but those estimates didn't consider Duke Energy reducing compensation. At the same time Duke wants to increase rates by 9.6%. That's rich for Duke. No good deed goes unpunished. They want to be paid more per kilowatt and want residential customers to be paid less for the same kilowatt. What message does that send to those considering solar panel installation? Renewable energy is critical to leaving the same kind of world we have enjoyed for future generations.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Amanda Cook
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:37 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Amanda Cook

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Amanda Cook

Email

amandabcook@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm writing as a residential solar energy owner in NC to urge you to reject Duke Energy's plan to change the net-metering rules. We invested in solar panels for our home with the understanding that the economics of solar energy in NC work in a certain manner, and we expect for that to continue. Why you should reject Duke Energy's plan: -NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. We demand a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. -Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. -Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. -The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. -Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: higher fixed monthly fees; time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand; compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) - Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of our solar investment decision after the fact. -Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Thank you for protecting our investment. Amanda Cook 101 Richard Dixon Court Carrboro, NC 27510

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Hendren
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 6:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Hendren

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Hendren

Email

johnhendren24@gmail.com

Docket

(specify Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Please complete a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Dwight Koeberl
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:09 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dwight Koeberl

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dwight Koeberl

Email

dwight.koeberl@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to oppose Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering for customers with solar panels. The proposed changes will discourage installation of solar panels, when everything possible should be done to encourage solar energy production. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. I demand that a complete cost-benefit analysis be done before changing the rules for reimbursement of home solar energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Barbara Wallace
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Barbara Wallace

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barbara Wallace

Email

bobbipaula@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Oppose Duke Energy's complex solar change proposals, which will massively affect global warming goals and disadvantage residential solar owners like me.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Richard Spero
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:23 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Spero

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Spero

Email

rickyspero@mac.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We have rooftop solar on our home. Duke's proposal would unfairly change the rate structure after we've made our investment. The proposed rates also seem arbitrary and cynical — things like time of use metering that doesn't even correspond to Duke's actual period of peak demand. If the utilities commission isn't going to reject this proposal outright, it should at least require detailed justification with real data

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Adam Olszak
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:40 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Adam Olszak

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Adam Olszak

Email

olz111@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing in opposition to NC House Bill 589. I am in favor that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar in North Carolina, as opposed to rubber stamping a new way for Duke Energy to increase revenues. I invested in solar in order to get away from an annual increase in power costs every year so Duke can increase stock buy backs and raise their dividend. We should be encouraging solar power not putting up more roadblocks for it to be economically viable for consumers.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Subash M Shah
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 7:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Subash M Shah

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Subash M Shah

Email

shahsubashm@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I installed a solar system on our house on the basis of old net metering regulations. If Duke Energy is allowed to change the rules then I am requesting that you support a policy of requiring Duke Energy to buy our solar system and they can then transfer the energy produced to their grid. We should not be subsidizing Duke Energy regarding this policy. It is also important NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before supporting Duke Energy. I hope that NCUC is a captured commission of Duke Energy. Subash M. Shah

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Tommy Morrison
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 8:17 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tommy Morrison

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tommy Morrison

Email

trobert1961@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please don't allow Duke Energy to change the way net metering is billed to me. I purchased a 10K system and paid a lot of money for it based on current metering policy. If this changes I may never get a return on investment in my life time which is not fair to me. I did this installation to help the planet and then get penalized is just not right. Thanks for not letting Duke bully their way to change the current policy. Thanks in advance, Tommy

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Gerard Donnelly
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 8:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gerard Donnelly

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gerard Donnelly

Email

gdonnelly54@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a Duke Energy Progress customer who installed a rooftop solar array on our home in Apex NC, I urge the North Carolina Utilities Commission to reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal. We need to make it simpler, more cost effective, and more attractive for others to install solar panels to help address the planet's climate crisis and support a more sustainable North Carolina -- not more complex and less cost effective. Duke is a public utility, and should be conducting its business in the broad interest of the public good. Please ensure that a full cost-benefit analysis of rooftop solar is conducted before making a decision on any changes in policy, as specified in NC House Bill 589.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Aspen Romeyn
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 9:28 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Aspen Romeyn

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Aspen Romeyn

Email

aspenromeyn@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 18

Message

Dear NC Utilities Commission, Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change net metering for residential solar producers. There needs to be a third-party full cost-benefit study of the value of rooftop solar before any changes are considered. Thank you, Aspen Romeyn Residential

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Geoff I Grant
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 9:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Geoff I Grant

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Geoff I Grant

Email

geoffgrant1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

The last thing North Carolina needs to be doing is discouraging residents from moving to solar power or penalizing those of us who have already done so. We have made a significant investment to be a part of the necessary shift to renewable energy, and our government should be valuing that, not allowing Duke to pad its bottom line. The new rules Duke favors are all about protecting the major utilities, allowing them to drag their feet into the future. The climate crisis demands stronger action and a society-wide move away from fossil fuels, more incentives to go solar, not more gifts to corporations so they can protect the status quo. Please reject these proposed rules and tell Duke it needs to help society adapt, not hinder it.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert Houghton
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:08 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert Houghton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert Houghton

Email

robert.houghton@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

1. Utility rate increase for solar panels is a big backward step and clearly not aggressive or creative enough to really move NC forward. 2. Let's make national news with NC innovation. Here's what would make me stand up and cheer the utility commission. As experts on utilities and utility news, I'm sure you are aware of this, but just to accent the positive "Tesla and Pacific Gas & Electric have launched a program to aggregate the storage in ratepayers' Tesla Powerwalls to provide emergency power to California's grid when intense heat drives up demand on the system this summer and the summer of 2023. Participating residential and other distributed battery owners will be paid \$2 per kWh for exporting power to the system when supplies are crimped from 4 p.m.–9 p.m. between May 1 and October 31." Let's do this instead! Thank you for working to keep NC with the best electrical power ideas possible. Robert Houghton

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Les & Karen Reinlib
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:12 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Les & Karen Reinlib

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Les & Karen Reinlib

Email

Lkkr1@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Commissioner: We are concerned about changes based on the Duke-sponsored plan to change the solar panel net metering agreements with existing customers. We urge you to reject the plan and to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you for your service Les& Karen Reinlib
DURHAM NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jerome Reiter
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jerome Reiter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jerome Reiter

Email

erinmsr@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a homeowner who has installed solar panels on my rooftop, I write to express my opposition to Duke Energy's proposed change to net metering. The current net metering policy for solar power customers in North Carolina is one reason I installed a system. It will take many years to recover my solar investment given the costs, and the proposed change is likely to increase that timeline substantially -- probably beyond the usable lifetime of the panels themselves. For homeowners like me, such a change would make solar power a fiscally bad investment, which in turn would disincentivize installation of solar panels and negatively impact our state's goal to rely more on renewable energy. Please ask the NCUC at least to study this issue, and how it would affect homeowners like me and likely impacts on future solar installations, before permitting Duke Energy to make this change. Sincerely, Jerry Reiter Durham, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Timothy D Bost
Sent: Tuesday, July 5, 2022 10:29 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Timothy D Bost

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Timothy D Bost

Email

t_bost@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I hope that you will turn down Duke Energy's efforts to raise the rates on net metering customers, like myself, who have invested tens of thousands of dollars in renewable solar energy systems. It doesn't seem fair that Duke wants to charge customers retail for energy that they supply but only want to pay wholesale for what net metering customers generate. Thanks for all you do to look out for ordinary people like myself.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: rory cummins
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 5:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by rory cummins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

rory cummins

Email

rc6260@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Seems crazy and extremely outrageous to change the rules on net metering when our planet needs all the renewable energy we can get. Duke is being extremely greedy in this and there is absolutely no reason other than that for them to want to all of a sudden 'change the rules' on what has been in place. If this ends up going through, I'll be cutting off my service to the grid, installing two Tesla batteries and also selling my stock in Duke. Hopefully they make the right decision

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Cullen
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 7:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Cullen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Cullen

Email

jcullen@ncsu.edu

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Clean energy is critical to a safe environment. It is unconscionable that you would move to make it less attractive to consumers by reducing Duke Energy's return on excess energy from people's solar panels. Protect consumers from Duke Energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sheila Lively
Sent: Monday, July 4, 2022 12:19 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sheila Lively

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sheila Lively

Email

goldensun153@gmail.com

Docket

NC House bill 589

Message

As a owner of Solar Panels, I would like you to reject this bill. As a North Caroline user of solar panels, we lose any power not used at the end of each year of our contract. Therefore Duke Power is profiting from the power we as solar panel owners are generating for them. When people think about solar power energy, myself included, they think that the panels are powering their individual house. When in fact the power is going to the power company and we are receiving power from the power company. Any the end of each month the power we use is reduced by the power we generate. So in essence the people with solar panels are generating power for the power company.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Kreamer
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 8:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Kreamer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Kreamer

Email

kashakk@yahoo.com

Docket

N/A

Message

Im against Duke Energy's plan to the North Carolina Utilities Commission (NCUC) to change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers** in a way that would reduce the amount you are paid for the excess solar energy you share with the grid.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Frank William Pierson
Sent: Wednesday, June 29, 2022 5:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Frank William Pierson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Frank William Pierson

Email

rkzslid@gmail.com

Docket

OPPOSED Net Metering Changes proposed by Duke Energy

Message

OPPOSED - I installed solar in 2018. I entered into a net metering agreement with Duke Energy at that time. I am opposed to any changes that would decrease the offset credit to my power bill per this agreement. This was calculated into my decision to invest in solar. I would consider acceptance of Duke's proposal as a breach of contract and failure of the NCUC to fulfill its fiduciary responsibility to the citizens of North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mary Lou Wright
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 8:15 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mary Lou Wright

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mary Lou Wright

Email

jaznrazmom@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

Dear Sirs: I am a 77 years of age widow, trying hard to survive on only a Social Security income. When Home Solar approached me, they promised that no longer would I have to pay Duke Energy for electric service, but they would be paying me. They promised that all installation would be free. They failed to mention that I would have to pay \$260 for the rest of my life! I am still paying Duke, for the panels were faulty, and Home Solar has been prissy footing repairing them for over 2 years since installation. Some months I have been charged over \$100 by Duke, as well as the \$260 for the faulty panels. Because of the panels being faulty, my equipment never seems to get past 99%, so I have received little to nothing from Duke to pay me for excess my panels are producing. My pittance is shrinking more every day with the lack of payment for "excess" eenergy that I was promised by slick snake oil salesman. If they ever do get around to replacing their faulty equipment, I certainly hope that you have not all but anniated the stipend that Duke Energy owes me. I am Yours Truly, Mary Lou Farmer

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Kleczewski
Sent: Wednesday, July 6, 2022 8:22 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Kleczewski

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Kleczewski

Email

john.kleczewski@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am asking you to reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. I would also ask you to perform a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 06 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mark Coughlin
Sent: Friday, July 1, 2022 1:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Coughlin

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Coughlin

Email

mcough22@morrisbb.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow Duke Energy to change the solar agreement. It is not fair or just to make changes that puts more money into Duke's pocket, and negatively impact the people who are trying to better the planet and who have already invested into a program with agreements in place.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 06 2022