

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Michael T Smith
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 3:55 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Michael T Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Michael T Smith

Email

smyramichael@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub. 180

Message

Please support this solar initiative which is best for our people but not so good for Duke Energy. They place corporate profits above ethics. Thanks, Michael Smith

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Ibrahim Barclay
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:10 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Ibrahim Barclay

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Ibrahim Barclay

Email

ibrahim_barclay@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I would like to express my strong view that Duke's proposed changes to the way that rooftop solar is billed for domestic customers. Solar continues to be a critical element of creating a sustainable power generation strategy and rooftop solar is an ideal contributor to this. It requires zero investment from Duke and rightly benefits from an offset payment system. Taking this away (or adjusting the way to operates) would very likely harm the investments of existing solar households, reduce the incentive for new household solar investment, harm existing rooftop solar businesses and place higher demand on our existing, non-sustainable power generation. I ask that you reject Duke's planned changes for all of the reasons above, as well as because the cost-benefit of Duke's proposed alternative (building even more power generation) simply does not add up. Thanks for your consideration. Best Regards, Ibrahim

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Karen Crutchfield
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:15 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Karen Crutchfield

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Karen Crutchfield

Email

kcrutch@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

In 2021, we purchased & installed solar panels on our home near Asheville, NC. Part of our decision to make this commitment to the future of the earth was Duke Energy's issuing credit for our excess energy production, using an annual cutoff date of May 1. If Duke Energy is allowed to use monthly cutoff dates, instead of annual May 1, we will lose a considerable part of our excess energy. Surely the NCUC will not allow this change to Duke Energy's billing practices. Our solar production is contributing to Dyuke Energy's supposed commitment to renewable energy. Please deny this change. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Felice Nord
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Felice Nord

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Felice Nord

Email

f_nord@msn.com

Docket

Docket No. E-100, Sub 180

Message

I'm a concerned homeowner who just installed photovoltaic panels a year ago last May, turned on in June of 2021. We're connected to Duke and supply Duke with any excess energy we produce. We receive credit each month for what we didn't use that is delivered to Duke. We installed being aware that Duke zeroes out this credit each May, though I still don't understand why Duke is not required to give us some kind of compensation for this energy they now have received from us for free where they now make a profit delivering it out on their grid. You add this amount they receive for free for all the private homes that now have solar panel installed, and they appear to be making a sizable profit on these installations, which increases in number every year. I'd also like to note that this process was done on the billing cycle from May into June, and my neighbor who also has panels believes that more energy that she produced than would have been done by 5/31/22 was taken by Duke when they zeroed out mid June on the billing cycle. So she lost and I may also have lost more because of the billing cycle. That's not clear, though. I was told recently by another neighbor who also had solar panels installed, that in South Carolina Duke is required to reimburse the homeowner. Why is that not the same process in NC? It reduces the incentive for homeowner's to install these expensive panels. It's important to our world with climate change that we encourage the direction of solar as an alternative energy source and move our reliance away from fossil fuels. Is there any recourse as a homeowner that I can take to get this changed? We have multiple homes in our neighborhood with solar panels. Will hearing from all of us make a difference? Note: the above text was sent to the energy department and I was told I could file it under this docket.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Victor Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Victor Anderson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Victor Anderson

Email

aanderjr88@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

My wife and I are in the process of adding solar to our home's roof. Do not approve Duke energy's attempt to slow roofing solar in North Carolina. Please do a thorough investigation of solar costs and benefits before changing net metering rules. Please do nothing to slow down the use of solar roof panels on residential projects. Thank you!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Guillaume Slama
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 2:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Guillaume Slama

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Guillaume Slama

Email

gslama69@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I oppose Duke Energy's proposal to change the net metering rules. Duke Energy should do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Keith Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 2:56 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Keith Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keith Johnson

Email

kmjohnso15@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

I respectfully request that the Commission perform a true investigation into the costs and benefits of solar power before making any changes to net metering.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Karen Clark
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 1:05 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Karen Clark

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Karen Clark

Email

kiClark7@gmail.com

Docket

Reference: Docket E-100 Sub 180)

Message

Reference: Docket E-100 Sub 180) Tell the Commission to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I support the legal position by Attorney General Stein and other parties – that state law requires the NCUC to conduct a cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering rules, which govern how solar homes are credited by Duke for power they feed onto the grid. Duke Energy has long fought against such a study while claiming solar households don't pay their fair share of power lines, poles, etc.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: William dolbow
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:23 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William dolbow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William dolbow

Email

wdolbow@gmail.com

Docket

E 109 sub 180

Message

Solar energy fuel costs will always be zero. Natural gas costs will increase as the gas supply will diminish

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Richard Kark
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:33 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Kark

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Kark

Email

karkra@uwec.edu

Docket

E100 Sub179

Message

The Carbon plan does not do enough to reach the carbon reduction goal. Fracking for gas should be eliminated because of its contribution to increased carbon. Much more can be done to advance clean energy in the form of solar and wind. Also more can be done to encourage energy efficiency.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mary Grace
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mary Grace

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mary Grace

Email

mgrrrace@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina; theirs is an unwise and ill-considered decision regarding E-100 Sub 180. Why not do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. I would love Duke, instead, to offer to install solar paneling for any homeowner with no cost down. Just shift our monthly payments to pay for the installation, then when we've paid it off (no interest), we are good to go. Then Duke could offer a maintenance plan for solar. We need to transition. I support Attorney General Stein's recommendation that state law require a cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering rules, which govern how solar homes are credited by Duke for power they feed onto the grid. Duke Energy has long fought against such a study while claiming solar households don't pay their fair share of power lines, poles, etc. Many of the 2,300 writers appear to be current solar homeowners concerned about changes that could harm their investments. Others are Duke customers, like me, who might wish to add solar panels. Despite continued greenwashing, Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of climate-busting methane gas – up to 11,700 megawatts, or roughly 60 power generation units, while envisioning barely any role for rooftop solar in the state's energy future. This way, Duke continues to earn profits on building dirty fossil fuel plants, while keeping a lid on clean rooftop solar controlled by its customers. I join thousands of other North Carolinians to urge Gov. Cooper to protect rooftop solar and curb the climatastrophe, which is inrceasingly linked to methane. Duke Energy leads the nation in expanding the use of methane gas. You would be wisde to address the devastating climatastrophe. Support This Work for the livelihood of our great grandchildren. Think. Consider. Act. Mary

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Chris Carlson
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Chris Carlson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Chris Carlson

Email

cmneuok@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

Please NCUC do a true investigation, as required by law, of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke's "Carbon Plan" proposes an expansion of methane gas which will expand their profits and devastate our environment and economy. Thank you, Chris Carlson, 27516

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jason Meyer
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:13 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jason Meyer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jason Meyer

Email

jason.a.meyer1@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: LAVERNE PATTERSON
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:20 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by LAVERNE PATTERSON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

LAVERNE PATTERSON

Email

Boogenshonuff1948@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: LAVERNE PATTERSON
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:21 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by LAVERNE PATTERSON

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

LAVERNE PATTERSON

Email

Boogienhonuff1948@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject proposal

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Tina Shull
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:24 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tina Shull

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tina Shull

Email

kshull1@uncc.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please say NO to Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina, and complete a full and good faith investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Gail B.Williams
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 11:48 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gail B. Williams

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gail B. Williams

Email

therapeutiks@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

You have the authority to stop Duke Energy's proposal to hinder roof top solar in North Carolina. Allow our state to be competitive with other states on this issue.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Stuart T Miles
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 12:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stuart T Miles

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stuart T Miles

Email

stuartmiles038@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, My name is Stuart Miles and I am a resident of Buncombe County, NC. My family installed a residential solar system ten months ago. We did so after much deliberation, research, and "running the numbers" to determine if the investment was a solid one for my family, including three young children. We did not make such an investment lightly, and did so with a budget understanding the policies Duke Energy had in place. I understand that Docket E-100 Sub 180, in addition to creating a very complicated regime for new residential solar customers, additionally could have radical impacts on the finances of our family's investment in residential solar, if not now, then in a few years. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Just like any financial agreement such as a bond or a Certificate of deposit, allowing the terms of the agreement to be radically changed in favor of one side (in this case, Duke) would have a detrimental effect on my family's finances. "Residential Solar" is such a broad vague term, but for current families who invested in solar, please understand that making these changes could have real impacts on families' budgets. I encourage the NCUC to reject the proposal Docket E-100 Sub 180 completely, or at a minimum allow current residential solar families to stay on the current net metering plan for the lifetime of our system. Best, Stuart Miles

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Elizabeth Rolison
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 12:27 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth Rolison

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Elizabeth Rolison

Email

rolison1960@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I'm writing in opposition to Duke Energy's request to change net metering rules for it's NC residential customers. It's not fair for Duke Energy to change the value of our rooftop solar investments retroactively. I also believe that NCUC should complete a cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar as required by law. Net metering rules should not be changed until NCUC has analyzed the benefits from rooftop solar. Homeowners who have invested in rooftop solar are making a valuable contribution to our environment and providing their excess power for the benefit of others in our state. This should be encouraged by the state.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Betty Matteson
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 12:31 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Betty Matteson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Betty Matteson

Email

ematteso@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge the Commission to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Tim Johnson
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tim Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tim Johnson

Email

Timothy1RJ@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 SUB 180

Message

In spite of the fact that we live in a nation whereby lobbyists seem to overwhelm the system (and members of state and national politics) swaying the decisions in favor of big business....doesn't it make sense to do a study to determine the affects on climate and costs associated when it comes to solar? Don't let DUKE dictate progress. Thank you

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Edward & Carolyn Serrano
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:16 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Edward & Carolyn Serrano

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edward & Carolyn Serrano

Email

edcarolyn4350@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are a Senior Citizen couple on a very limited income. Having just installed Solar Energy panels to try and save money on our power bills. Please consider leaving the net metering rules as they are. Sincerely Ed & Carolyn Serrano
Hendersonville N C

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kathleen batson
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:24 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kathleen batson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kathleen batson

Email

lulu@rumblebuss.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal that would hinder solar rooftop panel installations. Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of methane gas use which is a major contributor to global climate change—up to 11,700 megawatts, which is roughly 60 power generation units, this is poor planning and a terrible decision for my son's generation. Please take future generations of people and animals into deep consideration in your decision making process. Sincerely, Kathleen Batson

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Amidon
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:11 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Amidon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Amidon

Email

d2amidon@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

We made the decision to invest in rooftop solar, not a small step on our budget, because it would contribute to global warming reduction and save money in the long run. The installation went well and is producing most of our electricity. We were told Duke Energy Progress offered a rebate to encourage solar installation. It turned out not to be a rebate, but an "offer" to enter a lottery. We were put on a waiting list. Our application expired last week. It was no more than a PR stunt. Now to hear they are moving to add extra fees, and reduce payback for rooftop electricity generation is another "bait and switch" by Duke. I strongly object to this after-the-fact addition of cost to what was intended to be a win-win investment for the wider community. Please end this practice by Duke Energy Progress!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Keith Sexton
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:02 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Keith Sexton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keith Sexton

Email

ksexton@nccumc.org

Docket

Duke Power's plan for Solar

Message

Please don't allow Duke Energy to slow the expansion of rooftop solar in North Carolina. The changes Duke has proposed would make it harder for homeowners to choose clean energy. Enacting Duke Power's plan on solar would cost the state thousands of good paying jobs in the rooftop solar industry. It would put us behind other states competing to attract new industries, especially earth-conscious firms, looking to expand or relocate.. Bottom line Duke's proposal is bad for the economy and bad for the ecology. This impedes the climate action that you have worked so hard to advance. Please put the people of NC and the planet's health as the strongest factors in your calculus on policies for rooftop solar. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Miriam Smith-DeCoster
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 8:55 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Miriam Smith-DeCoster

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Miriam Smith-DeCoster

Email

smithdecoster@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly urge the commission to do a thorough assessment of the benefits and costs of home solar before making any changes to net metering in our state. I installed solar on my home to benefit us as a global community in a small way, not just myself, but it would be incredibly unfair for me and other homeowners who have installed solar to have to bear a financial burden simply because Duke Energy wishes for me to do so. NC, the US, and the world need cleaner energy, not punitive measures because large companies want to make more profit. Please do the work to ensure that any decisions are right for the people of North Carolina, not just the leadership of Duke Energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Steve Exum
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 8:45 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Exum

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steve Exum

Email

nimbus@exumphoto.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change Duke energy's net metering rules that would reduce the month paid to residential customers. NC should be a leader in solar energy production and should promote advantageous rules for Solar customers. Let's make FORWARD steps and not move backwards. Steve Exum

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Jade Dell
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:56 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Jade Dell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jade Dell

Email

jade.dell45@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100Sub 180

Message

Dear NCUC: Duke's plan gets a failing grade on many issues: 30 more years of coal? Not listening to the people about what they want? The ridiculous refusal to pursue solar and wind power? The huge cost of their "plan"? Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We are running out of time and I want to leave a beautiful earth for my beloved granddaughters!!!

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kalyan Boppudi
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:46 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kalyan Boppudi

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kalyan Boppudi

Email

realtorkalyanboppudi@gmail.com

Docket

986

Message

Please DO NOT change the net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mauricio Nunez
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:18 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mauricio Nunez

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mauricio Nunez

Email

mauricio.nunez@us.abb.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject the proposal to change the solar initiative!

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Rebecca Showalter
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 6:04 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rebecca Showalter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rebecca Showalter

Email

jshow@bellsouth.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing you today as a NC and global citizen, a solar panel owner, and a parent. I am writing to ask you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Rooftop solar, and other green energies, are an important tool to be able to help curb the climate devastation to communities - something that is always on my mind as I watch my children prepare to start adult lives of their own. Duke Energy has across the board been disingenuous when creating plans that could truly move us into a lower carbon existence . . . and in this case actually want to go backwards. Hold them to account. Do this investigation. Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Sam Cal Hamilton
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 5:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Sam Cal Hamilton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sam Cal Hamilton

Email

sam_cal@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the Duke Energy Net Metering proposal, as I see it as an unfair advantage for the utility company. I purchased solar panels out of concern for the environment by relying upon renewable energy which also saves me money while reducing the burden placed on the power grid. Duke energy reset the excess energy created by the solar system on 01 June of each year without any compensation, at least to me. Allowing the reduction in payment for electricity sent to the grid is paramount to theft, in my opinion.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: BRIDGET J DUNFORD
Sent: Tuesday, July 12, 2022 5:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by BRIDGET J DUNFORD

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

BRIDGET J DUNFORD

Email

purpledog@hughes.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Protect solar energy for home use. I support an substantial investigation into the solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC by Duke Power or any other electric NC company.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kevin High
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 11:21 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin High

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kevin High

Email

KevinMHigh@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

As someone who is both an investor in, and a customer of Duke Energy, I certainly understand the needs for rates to better reflect costs and how a customer with solar nullifies some of the assumptions that make the current rate system work. I will better explain below, but the synopsis of this statement is that Duke should not be able to change rates to customer on existing systems for 20 years from the installed date because that is what most consumers base the cost-benefit analysis on. If/when the rate schedule is changed and prices more accurately reflect costs, the carry forward should be more accurate for time of use, and should either not reset annually, or have a more favorable reset date (not beginning of summer, possibly beginning of spring). Adding a fee based on the KW rating of the inverter is a bad idea. KW rating of an inverter is a bad measure of residential and small scale solar production. 1) For the time frame issue, most solar is financed with a 20 year loan. If on a new home purchase it is often part of a 30-year mortgage. Most of the systems have a near 7 to 10-year payback. This is by most accounts a bad investment, and most customers have ultimately paid out of pocket to "go green". After 7-10 years being back financially back to where you started is a bad investment. You also have the risk of repairing equipment. If you invested the money in DUK stock in August 2012, 10 years ago, it would be worth 66.7% more today, and that is on a very basic stable utility stock, not more unstable stocks that usually return much higher over 10 years. When investing in solar, the cost-benefit analysis is based on much longer than 10 years. You don't start earning a return on the investment before 7 years in nearly any scenario, and after 10 years in most scenarios, but they you expect some maintenance costs too. Most solar systems have a 20-30 year life rating. Overall, with not being back to even financially for 7-10 years, equipment with a 20-30 year life, and financing it with 20-30 year loans the consume if making the financial decision typically based on 20 years. In my opinion, even if Duke needs to change rates to more accurately reflect their costs they should not be allowed to do so until at least 20 years after the installed date and that should carry over to a new user on an account at the same service address. For example if you sell a house with solar panels or rent out a home that has solar panels the new owner or tenant should get the rates for the same time period because the solar sells with the home, and the value of it is based on savings, meaning that for the owner to recover the investment they have to be able to transfer the savings) 2) Proposed rates. The first issue that I have is that they are asking to be able to charge a monthly fee based on the size of the inverter to help cover items like storm damage. That is not a good measure of power generated by solar. Many inverters are much larger than the solar system. Panels facing different directions also produce substantially different amounts. If they

need a fee based on what a customer produces they should be required to calculate estimated production based on the ROPC filed with the utilities commission. Next, if newer proposed rates more accurately reflect cost they should have more fair time of use carry over, and the carry over should either not expire, or should reset earlier in the year. Currently it resets at the beginning of summer which is the end of June. Carry over should be more favorable to the consumer if they are covering more accurate costs of power production and distribution. April and May are high solar production months, and also low demand months, as the temperatures are more mild. If you see fit to allow duke to reset the carry forward KW balance it should reset at the end of March or April. Also, the time of use carry over specifies that energy production in the month will be applied to high demand if produced during high demand, and low demand if produced during low demand, but all carry forward will be at low demand. Again, if the rates accurately reflect cost the carry forward should also be split into high demand carry forward, and low demand carry forward. As an additional thought, many systems have battery back up. Have you considered forcing duke to invest time and money into working with battery inverter manufacturers to set up where duke can remote control to have those systems generate a set amount of power/battery back to the grid? Duke has done similar with larger generators on some utility customers, and has implemented small scale controls on things like putting in water heater timers in residences to control demand. It seems like they could come up with something working with inverter manufacturers to reduce demand which I expect is a major cost contributor.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Rajeev Kotrannavar
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 11:18 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rajeev Kotrannavar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rajeev Kotrannavar

Email

rajeevsk@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is not appropriate fair for for Duke to walk back on the current approved proposal and change the value of our solar investment retroactively, They have to held accountable in relation to the HB-589 for any cost benefit analysis in relation to the legislation. In fact DuKe need to reimburse the energy at the end of may that solar customers are deprived of due to yearly reset or rollover to next year cycle.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Matthew Greenwolfe
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 10:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Matthew Greenwolfe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matthew Greenwolfe

Email

solargreen@mindspring.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke power wants to unfairly use your regulatory commission to shield themselves from competition from a superior source of electric power. Solar energy not only helps protect our environment by reducing carbon emissions, it is rapidly becoming the least expensive means of producing electrical energy. What we really need is a clean net metering bill that pays or credits PV owners for excess energy placed on the grid at the same rate we are charged when we use energy from the grid. The rules we have right now approach this standard, but fall short by placing limits on the amount of compensation. These are the rules we expected when we decided to install PV panels on our homes. The new rules would be a huge step backwards in compensation to those who already have PV, incentives to those who would like to install PV, and equity for those who currently cannot afford to do so. Please deny the proposed rule changes, and make a better decision based on the upcoming state carbon plan.

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Barton Bechard
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 10:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Barton Bechard

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barton Bechard

Email

bechard2@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Kate Elliott Grimm
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 10:15 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Kate Elliott Grimm

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kate Elliott Grimm

Email

grimmpathologist@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke energies corrupt attempts to cripple rooftop solar power in our state so they can continue to build co2 emitting power plants Soley to maximize their profits. Word is out from the documentary Power trip by Johnathan Scott and the segment by John Oliver highlighting Dukes corrupt practices in our state. Do not go down in the history books as Dukes profit patsy. Kate Grimm, MD

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Roberta Nix
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 9:48 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Roberta Nix

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roberta Nix

Email

quickbiz13@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina. You must do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: William S Jensen
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 9:38 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by William S Jensen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William S Jensen

Email

billjensen@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge the Utility Commission to reject Duke Energy's proposal to add facilities using natural gas as the energy source. While Base-Load facilities are necessary, this approach will lock-in carbon dioxide generating facilities well past 2050. The alternative of small modular nuclear reactors is a far better choice and should be promoted. Generation Three nuclear facilities are presently being proposed. These facilities should be developed to enable a conversion to Generation Four reactors. Generation four reactors are immensely more efficient than Generation three reactors, and they can utilize the waste products from Generation three, with a 90% reduction of wastes.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Richard Landes
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 9:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Landes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Landes

Email

richardlandes@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a current net metering customer of Duke Energy and strongly oppose Duke's plan to change net metering rules to exploit their net metering customers even more than they already are doing. I moved to Wilmington from another state where net metering credits were paid to the customer at the end of the year by the utility company & there was an SREC (Renewable Energy Credit) program where solar customers could be compensated to help offset their significant up front investment. Instead of reimbursing any accumulated net credits at the end of a year, I understand Duke zeros them out on May 31 just prior to peak A/C season when electricity demands typically are highest! (I haven't been able to get accurate information from Duke so far to confirm this). This is just one representation of Duke's anti-solar actions while claiming to support solar with programs like "Renewable Advantage" where a telemarketer calls customers to ask them to pay \$3/mo or more to support a solar program for which they get no benefit. Duke Energy instead should be fronting this funding for renewable energy & not the customer! I request the Commission to do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules for Duke. I thank the Commission for looking out for the citizens of North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: terry wilson
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 9:24 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by terry wilson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

terry wilson

Email

terry.94@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke is only after profit. Duke does not care about future children, grandchildren or great-grandchildren. Duke does not care about our environment - be it land, sea or air. If Duke cared for the people it serves then why doesn't Duke help average Americans to put solar on their roofs??? They could still make a small profit margin because solar is the cheapest, nonpolluting solution to energy. Serve the people is what this country was founded on, not serving corporations, save the people, not the corporations.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Maitri Meyer
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 8:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Maitri Meyer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Maitri Meyer

Email

maitrimeyer@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do everything you can to incentivize residential and commercial and industrial solar projects to be built and commissioned in North Carolina. The price of fuel and the dangerous carbon emissions should be enough reason to do the right thing: Reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina! Please conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Armstrong Hart Pillow
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 7:14 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Armstrong Hart Pillow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Armstrong Hart Pillow

Email

hart.pillow@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, ladies and gentlemen, A few years ago I looked into adding rooftop solar but was not able to reconcile the cost benefit ratio. Now, it is a different story. Many North Carolinians would like to install roof top solar. It makes sense economically and environmentally since the price of solar has fallen. Duke Energy is in the way of North Carolina going to sustainable energy. I am asking you to follow up and do this: INVESTIGATE SOLAR COSTS AND BENEFITS BEFORE CHANGING NET METERING. Thank you, AH Pillow

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Harry D.Stalls
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 6:26 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Harry D. Stalls

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Harry D. Stalls

Email

stallshd1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Despite continued greenwashing, Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of climate-busting methane gas – up to 11,700 megawatts, or roughly 60 power generation units, while envisioning barely any role for rooftop solar in the state's energy future. This way, Duke continues to earn profits on building dirty fossil fuel plants, while keeping a lid on clean rooftop solar controlled by its customers.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Charles Talley
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 6:06 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charles Talley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles Talley

Email

cjogger@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke needs to stop hurting the climate by blocking renewable energy sources.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lauren Nyland
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 5:57 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lauren Nyland

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lauren Nyland

Email

mills.lauren@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's current proposal. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering. Thank you, Lauren Nyland Carrboro

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Marvin Woll
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 5:46 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Marvin Woll

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marvin Woll

Email

mjwoll@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I want to encourage you not to make any ruling that would reduce the use of solar in North Carolina. It is critical that we do every thing in our power to slow the rate of climate change. The rising oceans will be a disaster for our North Carolina coast. The fires in the west and record heat waves are other examples of the problems we are facing and will be facing. The time is now and not 10 years from now to increase solar and hopefully slow climate change.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Betsy DiCristino
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 5:34 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Betsy DiCristino

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Betsy DiCristino

Email

betsyd50@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please stop this interference with Solar in NC. Big business is always trying to make a way to scam and over charge the consumer.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Alice Kiger
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 5:32 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alice Kiger

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alice Kiger

Email

akiger@windstream.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I believe, like Attorney General Stein, state law requires the NCUC to conduct a cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering rules. I also believe Duke Energy doesn't support Solar energy to the extent NC, the USA, and the world need it to. They have ads that say they value solar, but actions prove otherwise. An ***IMPARTIAL*** cost-benefit study should be done. The people of NC deserve a fair deal. Too long Duke Energy makes unreal profits, but avoid securing our future. The coal ash dumps are a prime example. Also the plans for the natural gas plants as their primary growth area is **COMPLETELY** opposite of what NC needs. I don't want earthquakes from fracking. Clean water is already an issue and our aquifers don't need to be ruined. NC doesn't want horrific storms wiping away lives and homes. **YOU NEED TO PROTECT OUR and OUR KIDS' FUTURE!!**

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Charles E Wilson
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 5:25 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Charles E Wilson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles E Wilson

Email

engrcwnc@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please support clean renewable energy.

OFFICIAL COPY

Jul 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Cheryl McGraw
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 5:00 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Cheryl McGraw

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Cheryl McGraw

Email

chrrlgrrl@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy is shafting its current customers and thwarting future solar customers by its constraining policies. Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of climate-busting methane gas – up to 11,700 megawatts, or roughly 60 power generation units, while envisioning barely ANY role for rooftop solar in the state's energy future. This way, Duke continues to earn profits on building dirty fossil fuel plants, while keeping a lid on clean rooftop solar controlled by its customers. METHANE is far more polluting and dangerous to North Carolinians and other United States Citizens than even other fossil fuels pollutants. PLEASE stop this super fast slide to disaster.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Paula J Stober
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:59 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Paula J Stober

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paula J Stober

Email

paulas@bucklen.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy is trying to pull a fast one on NC consumers again. Please hold them to very high standards for rooftop solar power. I have 21 panels on my Greensboro home and do not trust them.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Lori Spangler
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lori Spangler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lori Spangler

Email

spangleracs@gmail.com

Docket

E 100 sub 180

Message

Commission - please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Stuart Matthews
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stuart Matthews

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stuart Matthews

Email

stuartleencsu72@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I find it insulting that Duke Energy thinks it can dictate the solar rooftop industry in North Carolina. Duke has proven that it wants to keep consumers totally obligated to them. They could care less about climate issues as long as the money keeps flowing to them. NCUC stand up for the consumer and stop Duke's monopolistic scheme. Thank You— Stuart Matthews

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Hope Shand
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:49 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Hope Shand

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Hope Shand

Email

hope.shand@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I respectfully urge the NCUC to reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina. State law requires the NCUC to conduct a cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering rules, which govern how solar homes are credited by Duke for power they feed onto the grid. Please take steps to PROTECT rooftop solar! The NCUC should be doing everything possible to provide policy guidance that encourages decentralized, clean energy that will lessen our state's dependence on fossil fuels. Climate change is already having devastating impacts on our communities. We must do everything possible to promote a clean energy future and arrest greenhouse gas emissions. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Hope J. Shand

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Cignotti
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:50 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Cignotti

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Cignotti

Email

dcignotti@ec.rr.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina. It is crucial that a true investigation of solar costs and benefits be completed before making any changes to net metering in NC. The citizens of NC deserve clean energy that is fairly priced and protects our air and water.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Elizabeth Bechard
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2022 4:53 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth Bechard

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Elizabeth Bechard

Email

elizabeth.matteson@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, As the mother of two young children, I am deeply concerned about climate change, and aware that moving towards clean energy infrastructure as rapidly as possible is essential for preserving a safe, livable future for our children. Please ***reject*** Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina, and do a thorough investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Our children's future depends on clean energy. Thank you. -Elizabeth

OFFICIAL COPY

JUL 12 2022