

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 4:15 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Cathy Foister

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Cathy Foister

### Email

cmfoister@hotmail.com

### Docket

E-2, Sub 834

### Message

Dear NC Utilities commission, I am a customer who does not wish to have a smart meter. I am in the process of trying opting out of having a smart meter on my house. I am writing in to urge you to decline Duke Energy Progress's request to be able to suspend it's opt-out program in the future. There are health risks for many individuals in regard to "smart" meters. Many people, such as myself and my family, do not wish to be exposed to pulsed radio-frequency radiation (24/7) from these meters in our homes without consent of the customer. While it seems appropriate that customers would have the option choose to have smart meters if they would like one, it should, however, always remain a choice of the homeowner/ customer whether they would like this meter or not. Because of documented health risks, there should always be an opt-out option for customers. Duke should never be allowed to suspend this option, now or at any point in the future. An analogue option should also always be available for customers who do not opt for smart meters, even if the analogue option must be at the customer's expense. Analogue meters are not associated with health risks. Finally, in regard to discussions about requiring a notary requirement for an MD letter. My physician (as well as many other physician's office) do not have a notary available in their office. If a MD letter is required, I would urge that the notary requirement be dropped. NC is the only state currently requiring an MD letter. Thank you for your consideration, Cathy Foister

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 11:59 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by JAMES MEADOWS

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

JAMES MEADOWS

### Email

JLMEADOWAJR@HOTMAIL.COM

### Docket

E-2, Sub 834

### Message

I am opposed to the forced implementation of smart meters on the customers of Duke Energy. There has been much material published on the health risks associated with these meters and the elevated risks of fire damage to property and loss of life because of them. PLEASE reconsider allowing Duke energy to force its customers to accept these meters, and if you do force us to accept them, make them reduce the cost to people like me on fixed incomes, to have to pay a \$150 "set up fee" and an \$11 per month cost for someone to come read the meters. The current meters have functioned well for decades and there is no need to force people to accept the health and property risks associated with this new deadly technology.

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 11:55 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Vaidila and Holly Satvika

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Vaidila and Holly Satvika

### Email

hollysatvika@gmail.com

### Docket

E-2 Sub 834

### Message

I want an analog meter so that there is no possibility of radio frequency radiation from the meter. RFR is not necessary for me to have electricity in my home. I do not think it is right that analog meters are being discontinued when they have been working fine for such a very long time. I have multiple difficult-to-control health problems and I also have a small child in my home, whose developing brain and immune system I would like to protect. Thank you.

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 11:16 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Susan Hay

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Susan Hay

### Email

suzysunshine@charter.net

### Docket

E - 2 Sub 834

### Message

There is no guarantee that the "radio off" opt-out meters will be safe. An analogue option is needed! At least 15 US states have them, and NC should protect its citizens in this way also. Please strongly consider this option! Thank you from the bottom of my heart.

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 16, 2018 10:01 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Tuerack

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Gary Tuerack

### Email

gtuerack@societyleadership.org

### Docket

E-2, Sub 834

### Message

NC Utility Commissioners: I appreciate your work negotiating with Duke Energy Carolinas to obtain a medical opt-out for "smart" meters. Thank you. As part of the work you are doing, I wanted to share the following that are important to my family and many other families in town I have spoken with: • It's important to decline Duke Energy's request to suspend its opt-out program in the future • Removing the notary requirement for the MD letter • Adding an analogue option (it's ok if the customer has to pay for it) Thank you again. Gary Tuerack

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Monday, October 15, 2018 8:48 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Natalie Sadler

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Natalie Sadler

### Email

nataliesadler17@gmail.com

### Docket

E-2 Sub 834

### Message

Bullet points: --We need an ANALOG option. Dirty electricity from the “radio-off” smart meter Duke is offering as an opt-out makes people sick. And “radio-off” smart meters are still an invasion of privacy. See these resources for the research on the illnesses from RFR: Physicians for Safe Technology: <https://mdsafetech.org/science/> · Environmental Health Trust’s science section: <https://ehtrust.org/science/research-on-wireless-health-effects/> · BioInitiative.org: See “Summary to the public” and sections of interest. · The EMF Portal from Aachen University in Germany: <https://www.emf-portal.org/en> —We need NO notarization requirement for our doctor’s statements. Doctors don’t have notary publics on the premises and this is an undue burden on patients and doctors to coordinate the notary being present when the doctor signs the statement. It takes an additional 30 min for me to drive to a notary and back from my office to notarize a statement for my patient. —We need for the statement that Duke can suspend its opt-out program if they deem it “not feasible” to be eliminated from the language of the proposed program. They must always provide an opt-out because RF and dirty electricity are always a health problem. They can have an analog meter and average/estimate the bill checking the meter every 6 months or once a year. It is "always feasible". —and we need for Duke Energy to NOT be allowed to simply discontinue an individual’s Opt-out status after the 1st year with 30 days written notice. We need to be secure in knowing that when we opt-out, it is for good. My patients cannot just opt-out of their sensitivities, they need to know that their opt-out is forever. Please allow an ANALOG option and a forever opt out, and NO notarization on the doctor's signature. Natalie Sadler, MD

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Monday, October 15, 2018 8:00 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Pam Stevens

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Pam Stevens

### Email

ourdogwoodstudios@gmail.com

### Docket

E-2 Sub 834

### Message

To whom it may concern: ---We need an ANALOG option. Dirty electricity from the “radio-off” smart meter Duke is offering as an opt-out makes people sick. And “radio-off” smart meters are still an invasion of privacy. —We need NO notarization requirement for our doctor’s statements. Doctors don’t have notary publics on the premises and this is an undue burden on patients and doctors to coordinate the notary being present when the doctor signs the statement. —We need for the statement that Duke can suspend its opt-out program if they deem it “not feasible” to be eliminated from the language of the proposed program. They must always provide an opt-out because RF and dirty electricity are always a health problem. —and we need for Duke Energy to NOT be allowed to simply discontinue an individual’s Opt-out status after the 1st year with 30 days written notice. We need to be secure in knowing that when we opt-out, it is for good.

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Monday, October 15, 2018 7:55 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by donna hughes

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

donna hughes

### Email

donnalhughes@hotmail.com

### Docket

E-2 Sub 834

### Message

I agree with everything that Ellen Whitaker has listed below. We deserve to have healthy safe homes. Allowing Duke Energy to dirty our homes is unthinkable. Why would you agree to take peoples health away from them for the sake of making Duke Energy more wealthy? They have already been allowed to dirty our water and want us to pay to clean up their mess. Now this! ---We need an ANALOG option. Dirty electricity from the “radio-off” smart meter Duke is offering as an opt-out makes people sick. And “radio-off” smart meters are still an invasion of privacy. —We need NO notarization requirement for our doctor’s statements. Doctors don’t have a notary public on the premises and this is an undue burden on patients and doctors to coordinate the notary being present when the doctor signs the statement. —We need for the statement that Duke can suspend its opt-out program if they deem it “not feasible” to be eliminated from the language of the proposed program. They must always provide an opt-out because RF and dirty electricity are always a health problem. —and we need for Duke Energy to NOT be allowed to simply discontinue an individual’s Opt-out status after the 1st year with 30 days written notice. We need to be secure in knowing that when we opt-out, it is for good. Thanks to Ellen Whitaker for all her advocacy work and networking!

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

**Threatt, Linnetta**

---

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Monday, October 15, 2018 7:20 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Lori

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Lori

### Email

lmohr@nc.rr.com

### Docket

E-2 Sub 834

### Message

I have contacted my in State Senator to see about drafting Legislation concerning Smart Meters. I have an appt to see him Jan 2019. This must be done in order to get the Legislation on the books and written into the NC State Code. NC License Plates says "First in Freedom" ....but are we really? I would say not. Other States have beat us to the punch on that when it comes to Smart Meters and being able to have an "OPT-OUT" that really is an "OPT-OUT". Having a Smart Meter with the RF turned Off is NOT an Opt Out.....To Opt Out is to not have one at all.....I look forward to 2019 and meeting with my State Senator.

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

OFFICIAL COPY

Oct 16 2018

**From:** smtprelay  
**Sent:** Monday, October 15, 2018 5:04 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jeanice Barcelo

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jeanice Barcelo

### Email

jeanicebarcelo@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-2 Sub 834

### Message

I am writing concerning the NCUC smart meter "opt-out" currently being planned by Duke Energy Progress. This so-called "opt-out" option is not an opt-out at all as these meters are still very dangerous, even with the radio off. Not only can the transmitting radio be turned on at any time Duke Energy sees fit, but even with the radio off, these meters still pump massive amounts of dirty electricity into the home and throughout all the electrical wiring of the house. The excessive, constant, pulsing voltage is making people very sick. I am one of the people that became deathly ill from the placement of an "opt-out" digital meter on my home. In less than one year of having that meter on our home, one family member developed severe skin rashes, hair loss, chronic mouth ulcers, and twice went into respiratory failure. Another family member suffered from rapid memory loss, loss of balance, leg muscle cramping, and cancer. I, myself, suffered from "tinnitus," severe insomnia, abnormal heart function, chronic dizziness and nausea, vision problems, hearing problems, temporary loss of smell and taste, intense headaches, sharp pains and pressure in my head, joint and muscle pain, and repeated, severe bouts with "the flu." Incidentally, "the flu" and microwave illness share many of the same symptoms. You can read about that here: <http://www.radiation dangers.com/microwave-sickness/the-flu-and-microwave-sickness-share-many-of-the-same-symptoms/> The meter has since been removed and a non-transmitting analog meter put on. All symptoms have subsided, although I have developed extreme sensitivity to radiation-emitting electrical fields. This is interfering with my ability to lead to a normal life, go where I need to go, live where I want to live, and work wherever I choose. All of this has become impossible. I have become fearful of leaving my house due to extreme sensitivity. Do let Duke Energy get away with this false "opt-out" energy. They need to be held both liable and accountable for all of the illness they have already caused and all of the illness they have yet to cause. The people responsible for imposing this lethal and toxic technology on others should be imprisoned for life. And beware the trojan horse analog meters some of these wretched utility companies have invented in order to trick people. If the meter has an FCC number on it, it is a TRANSMITTING meter. This is not acceptable under and circumstances. Only a NON-TRANSMITTING ANALOG METER will do.

---

E-mail correspondence to and from this address may be subject to the North Carolina Public Records Law and may be disclosed to third parties by an authorized state official.

**OFFICIAL COPY**

**Oct 16 2018**