From:

Tim Johnson

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 10:00 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Tim Johnson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tim Johnson

Email

Timothy1RJ@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 SUB 180

Message

In spite of the fact that we live in a nation whereby lobbyists seem to overwhelm the system (and members of state and national politics) swaying the decisions in favor of big business....doesn't it make sense to do a study to determine the affects on climate and costs associated when it comes to solar? Don't let DUKE dictate progress. Thank you

From:

Edward & Carolyn Serrano

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:16 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Edward & Carolyn Serrano

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Edward & Carolyn Serrano

Email

edcarolyn4350@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are a Senior Citizen couple on a very limited income. Having just installed Solar Energy panels to try and save money on our power bills. Please consider leaving the net metering rules as they are. Sincerely Ed & Carolyn Serrano Hendersonville N C

From:

Kathleen batson

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:24 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kathleen batson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kathleen batson

Email

lulu@rumblebuss.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal that would hinder solar rooftop panel installations. Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of methane gas use which is a major contributor to global climate change—up to 11,700 megawatts, which is roughly 60 power generation units, this is poor planning and a terrible decision for my son's generation. Please take future generations of people and animals into deep consideration in your decision making process. Sincerely, Kathleen Batson

From:

David Amidon

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:11 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by David Amidon

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Amidon

Email

d2amidon@bellsouth.net

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

We made the decision to invest in rooftop solar, not a small step on our budget, because it would contribute to global warming reduction and save money in the long run. The installation went well and is producing most of our electricity. We were told Duke Energy Progress offered a rebate to encourage solar installation. It turned out not to be a rebate, but an "offer" to enter a lottery. We were put on a waiting list. Our application expired last week. It was no more than a PR stunt. Now to hear they are moving to add extra fees, and reduce payback for rooftop electricity generation is another "bait and switch" by Duke. I strongly object to this after-the-fact addition of cost to what was intended to be a win-win investment for the wider community. Please end this practice by Duke Energy Progress!

From:

Keith Sexton

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 9:02 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Keith Sexton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keith Sexton

Email

ksexton@nccumc.org

Docket

Duke Power's plan for Solar

Message

Please don't allow Duke Energy to slow the expansion of rooftop solar in North Carolina. The changes Duke has proposed would make it harder for homeowners to choose clean energy. Enacting Duke Power's plan on solar would cost the state thousands of good paying jobs in the rooftop solar industry. It would but us behind other states competing to attract new industries, especially earth-conscious firms, looking to expand or relocate. Bottom line Duke's proposal is bad for the economy and bad for the ecology. This impedes the climate action that you have worked so hard to advance. Please put the people of NC and the plant's health as the strongest factors in your calculus on polices for rooftop solar. Thank you.

From:

Miriam Smith-DeCoster

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 8:55 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Miriam Smith-DeCoster

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Miriam Smith-DeCoster

Email

smithdecoster@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly urge the commission to do a thorough assessment of the benefits and costs of home solar before making any changes to net metering in our state. I installed solar on my home to benefit us as a global community in a small way, not just myself, but it would be incredibly unfair for me and other homeowners who have installed solar to have to bear a financial burden simply because Duke Energy wishes for me to do so. NC, the US, and the world need cleaner energy, not punitive measures because large companies want to make more profit. Please do the work to ensure that any decisions are right for the people of North Carolina, not just the leadership of Duke Energy.

From:

Steve Exum

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 8:45 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Steve Exum

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steve Exum

Email

nimbus@exumphoto.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to change Duke energy's net metering rules that would reduce the month paid to residential customers. NC should be a leader in solar energy production and should promote advantageous rules for Solar customers. Let's make FORWARD steps and not move backwards. Steve Exum

From:

Jade Dell

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:56 AM

To:

Statements-

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Jade Dell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Jade Dell

Email

jade.dell45@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100Sub 180

Message

Dear NCUC: Duke's plan gets a failing grade on many issues: 30 more years of coal? Not listening to the people about what they want? The ridiculous refusal to pursue solar and wind power? The huge cost of their "plan"? Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. We are running out of time and I want to leave a beautiful earth for my beloved granddaughters!!!

From:

Kalyan Boppudi

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:46 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kalyan Boppudi

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kalyan Boppudi

Email

realtorkalyanboppudi@gmail.com

Docket

986

Message

Please DO NOT change the net metering rules

From:

Mauricio Nunez

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 7:18 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Mauricio Nunez

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mauricio Nunez

Email

mauricio.nunez@us.abb.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject the proposal to change the solar initiative!

From:

Rebecca Showalter

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 6:04 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Rebecca Showalter

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rebecca Showalter

Email

jbshow@bellsouth.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing you today as a NC and global citizen, a solar panel owner, and a parent. I am writing to ask you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Rooftop solar, and other green energies, are an important tool to be able to help curb the climate devastation to communities - something that is always on my mind as I watch my children prepare to start adult lives of their own. Duke Energy has across the board been disingenuous when creating plans that could truly move us into a lower carbon existence . . . and in this case actually want to go backwards. Hold them to account. Do this investigation. Thank you.

From:

Sam Cal Hamilton

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 5:44 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Sam Cal Hamilton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sam Cal Hamilton

Email

sam_cal@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the Duke Energy Net Metering proposal, as I see it as an unfair advantage for the utility company. I purchased solar panels out of concern for the environment by relying upon renewable energy which also saves me money while reducing the burden placed on the power grid. Duke energy reset the excess energy created by the solar system on 01 June of each year without any compensation, at least to me. Allowing the reduction in payment for electricity sent to the grid is paramount to theft, in my opinion.

From:

BRIDGET J DUNFORD

Sent:

Tuesday, July 12, 2022 5:43 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by BRIDGET J DUNFORD

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

BRIDGET J DUNFORD

Email

purpledog@hughes.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Protect solar energy for home use. I support an substantial investigation into the solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC by Duke Power or any other electric NC company.

From:

Kevin High

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 11:21 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin High

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kevin High

Email

KevinMHigh@gmail.com

Docket

E100 Sub 180

Message

As someone who is both an investor in, and a customer of Duke Energy, I certainly understand the needs for rates to better reflect costs and how a customer with solar nullifies some of the assumptions that make the current rate system work. I will better explain below, but the synopsis of this statement is that Duke should not be able to change rates to customer on existing systems for 20 years from the installed date because that is what most consumers base the costbenefit analysis on. If/when the rate schedule is changed and prices more accurately reflect costs, the carry forward should be more accurate for time of use, and should either not reset annually, or have a more favorable reset date (not beggining of summer, possibly beginnig of spring). Adding a fee based on the KW rating of the inverter is a bad idea. KW rating of an inverter is a bad measure of residential and small scale solar production. 1) For the time frame issue, most solar is financed with a 20 year loan. If on a new home purchase it is often part of a 30-year mortgage. Most of the systems have a near 7 to 10-year payback. This is by most accounts a bad investment, and most customers have ultimately paid out of pocket to "go green". After 7-10 years being back financially back to where you started is a bad investment. You also have the risk of repairing equipment. If you invested the money in DUK stock in august 2012, 10 year ago, it would be worth 66.7% more today, and that is on a very basic stable utility stock, not more unstable stocks that usually return much higher over 10 years. When investing in solar, the cost-benefit analysis is based on much longer than 10 years. You don't start earning a return on the investment before 7 years in nearly any scinario, and after 10 years in most scinarios, but they you expect some maintenence costs too. Most solar systems have a 20-30 year life rating. Overall, with not being back to even financially for 7-10 years, equipment with a 20-30 year life, and financing it with 20-30 year loans the consume if making the financial decision typically based on 20 years. In my opinion, even if Duke needs to change rates to more accurately reflect their costs they should not be allowed to do so until at least 20 years after the installed date and that should carry over to a new user on an account at the same service address. For example if you sell a house with solar panels or rent out a home that has solar panels the new owner or tenant should get the rates for the same time period because the solar sells with the home, and the value of it is based on savings, meaning that for the owner to recover the investment they have to be able to transfer the savings) 2) Proposed rates. The first issue that I have is that they are asking to be able to charge a monthly fee based on the size of the inverter to help cover items like storm damage. That is not a good measure of power generated by solar. Many inverters are much larger than the solar system. Panels facing different directions also produce substantially different ammounts. If they

need a fee based on what a customer produces they should be required to calculate estimated production based on the ROPC filed with the utilities commission. Next, if newer proposed rates more accurately reflect cost they should have more fair time of use carry over, and the carry over should either not expire, or should reset earlier in the year. Currently it resets at the beginning of summer which is the end of June. Carry over should be more favorable to the consumer if they are covering more accurate costs of power production and distribution. April and May are high solar production months, and also low demand months, as the temperatures are more miles. If you see fit to allow duke to reset the carry forward KW balance it should reset at the end of March or April. Also, the time of use carry over specifies that energy production in the month will be applied to high demand if produced during high demand, and low demand if produced during low demand, but all carry forward will be at low demand. Again, if the rates accurately reflect cost the carry forward should also be split into high demand carry forward, and low demand carry forward. As an additional though, many systems have battery back up. Have you considered forcing duke to invest time and money into working with battery inverter maufacturers to set up where duke can remote control to have those systems generate a set amount of power/battery back to the grid? Duke has done similar with larger generators on some utility customers, and has implimented small scale controls on things like putting in water heater timers in residences to control demand. It seems like they could come up with something working with iverter manufacturers to reduce demand which i expect is a major cost contributor.

From:

Rajeev Kotrannavar

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 11:18 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Rajeev Kotrannavar

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rajeev Kotrannavar

Email

rajeevsk@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is not appropriate fair for for Duke to walk back on the current approved proposal and change the value of our solar investment retroactively, They have to held accountable in relation to the HB-589 for any cost benefit analysis in relation to the legislation. In fact Duke need to reimburse the energy at the end of may that solar customers are deprived of due to yearly reset or rollover to next year cycle.

From:

Matthew Greenwolfe

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 10:48 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Matthew Greenwolfe

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Matthew Greenwolfe

Email

solargreen@mindspring.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke power wants to unfairly use your regulatory commission to shield themselves from competition from a superior source of electric power. Solar energy not only helps protect our environment by reducing carbon emissions, it is rapidly becoming the least expensive means of producing electrical energy. What we really need is a clean net metering bill that pays or credits PV owners for excess energy placed on the grid at the same rate we are charged when we use energy from the grid. The rules we have right now approach this standard, but fall short by placing limits on the amount of compensation. These are the rules we expected when we decided to install PV panels on our homes. The new rules would be a huge step backwards in compensation to those who already have PV, incentives to those who would like to install PV, and equity for those who currently cannot afford to do so. Please deny the proposed rule changes, and make a better decision based on the upcoming state carbon plan.

From:

Barton Bechard

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 10:25 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Barton Bechard

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Barton Bechard

Email

bechard2@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in North Carolina.

From:

Kate Elliott Grimm

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 10:15 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Kate Elliott Grimm

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Kate Elliott Grimm

Email

grimmpathologist@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke energies corrupt attempts to cripple rooftop solar power in our state so they can continue to build co2 emitting power plants Soley to maximize their profits. Word is out from the documentary Power trip by Johnathan Scott and the segment by John Oliver highlighting Dukes corrupt practices in our state. Do not go down in the history books as Dukes profit patsy. Kate Grimm, MD

From:

Roberta Nix

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 9:48 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Roberta Nix

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Roberta Nix

Email

quickbiz13@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina. You must do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From:

William S Jensen

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 9:38 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by William S Jensen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

William S Jensen

Email

billjensen@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge the Utility Commission to reject Duke Energy's proposal to add facilities using natural gas as the energy source. While Base-Load facilities are necessary, this approach will lock-in carbon dioxide generating facilities well past 2050. The alternative of small modular nuclear reactors is a far better choice and should be promoted. Generation Three nuclear facilities are presently being proposed. These facilities should be developed to enable a conversion to Generation Four reactors. Generation four reactors are immensely more efficient than Generation three reactors, and they can utilize the waste products from Generation three, with a 90% reduction of wastes.

From:

Richard Landes

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 9:32 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Richard Landes

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Richard Landes

Email

richardlandes@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am a current net metering customer of Duke Energy and strongly oppose Duke's plan to change net metering rules to exploit their net metering customers even more than they already are doing. I moved to Wilmington from another state where net metering credits were paid to the customer at the end of the year by the utility company & there was an SREC (Renewable Energy Credit) program where solar customers could be compensated to help offset their significant up front investment. Instead of reimbursing any accumulated net credits at the end of a year, I understand Duke zeros them out on May 31 just prior to peak A/C season when electricity demands typically are highest! (I haven't been able to get accurate information from Duke so far to confirm this). This is just one representation of Duke's anti-solar actions while claiming to support solar with programs like "Renewable Advantage" where a telemarketer calls customers to ask them to pay \$3/mo or more to support a solar program for which they get no benefit. Duke Energy instead should be fronting this funding for renewable energy & not the customer! I request the Commission to do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules for Duke. I thank the Commission for looking out for the citizens of North Carolina.

From:

terry wilson

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 9:24 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by terry wilson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

terry wilson

Email

terry.94@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke is only after profit. Duke does not care about future children, grandchildren or great-grandchildren. Duke does not care about our environment - be it land, sea or air. If Duke cared for the people it serves then why doesn't Duke help average Americans to put solar on their roofs??? They could still make a small profit margin because solar is the cheapest, nonpolluting solution to energy. Serve the people is what this country was founded on, not serving corporations, save the people, not the corporations.

From:

Maitri Meyer

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 8:00 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Maitri Meyer

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Maitri Meyer

Email

maitrimeyer@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please do everything you can to incentivize residential and commercial and industrial solar projects to be built and commissioned in North Carolina. The price of fuel and the dangerous carbon emissions should be enough reason to do the right thing: Reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina! Please conduct a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From:

Armstrong Hart Pillow

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 7:14 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Armstrong Hart Pillow

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Armstrong Hart Pillow

Email

hart.pillow@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, ladies and gentlemen, A few years ago I looked into adding rooftop solar but was not able to reconcile the cost benefit ratio. Now, it is a different story. Many North Carolinians would like to install roof top solar. It makes sense economically and environmentally since the price of solar has fallen. Duke Energy is in the way of North Carolina going to sustainable energy. I am asking you to follow up and do this: INVESTIGATE SOLAR COSTS AND BENEFITS BEFORE CHANGING NET METERING. Thank you, AH Pillow

From:

Harry D.Stalls

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 6:26 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Harry D. Stalls

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Harry D. Stalls

Email

stallshd1@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Despite continued greenwashing, Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of climate-busting methane gas — up to 11,700 megawatts, or roughly 60 power generation units, while envisioning barely any role for rooftop solar in the state's energy future. This way, Duke continues to earn profits on building dirty fossil fuel plants, while keeping a lid on clean rooftop solar controlled by its customers.

From:

Charles Talley

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 6:06 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Charles Talley

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles Talley

Email

cjogger@aol.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke needs to stop hurting the climate by blocking renewable energy sources.

From:

Lauren Nyland

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:57 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Lauren Nyland

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lauren Nyland

Email

mills.lauren@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's current proposal. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering. Thank you, Lauren Nyland Carrboro

From:

Marvin Woll

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:46 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Marvin Woll

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Marvin Woll

Email

mjwoll@nc.rr.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I want to encourage you not to make any ruling that would reduce the use of solar in North Carolina. It is critical that we do every thing in our power to slow the rate of climate change. The rising oceans will be a disaster for our North Carolina coast. The fires in the west and record heat waves are other examples of the problems we are facing and will be facing. The time is now and not 10 years from now to increase solar and hopefully slow climate change.

From:

Betsy DiCristino

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:34 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Betsy DiCristino

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Betsy DiCristino

Email

betsyd50@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please stop this interference with Solar in NC. Big business is always trying to make a way to scam and over charge the consumer.

From:

Alice Kiger

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:32 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Alice Kiger

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alice Kiger

Email

akiger@windstream.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I believe, like Attorney General Stein, state law requires the NCUC to conduct a cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering rules. I also believe Duke Energy doesn't support Solar energy to the extent NC, the USA, and the world need it to. They have ads that say they value solar, but actions prove otherwise. An *IMPARTIAL* cost-benefit study should be done. The people of NC deserve a fair deal. Too long Duke Energy makes unreal profits, but avoid securing our future. The coal ash dumps are a prime example. Also the plans for the natural gas plants as their primary growth area is COMPLETELY opposite of what NC needs. I don't want earthquakes from fracking. Clean water is already an issue and our aquifers don't need to be ruined. NC doesn't want horrific storms wiping away lives and homes. YOU NEED TO PROTECT OUR and OUR KIDS' FUTURE!!

From:

Charles E Wilson

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:25 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Charles E Wilson

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Charles E Wilson

Email

engrcwnc@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please support clean renewable energy.

From:

Cheryl McGraw

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 5:00 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Cheryl McGraw

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Cheryl McGraw

Email

chrrlgrrl@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy is shafting its current customers and thwarting future solar customers by its constraining policies. Duke Energy's draft Carbon Plan actually proposes a massive expansion of climate-busting methane gas — up to 11,700 megawatts, or roughly 60 power generation units, while envisioning barely ANY role for rooftop solar in the state's energy future. This way, Duke continues to earn profits on building dirty fossil fuel plants, while keeping a lid on clean rooftop solar controlled by its customers. METHANE is far more polluting and dangerous to North Carolinians and other United States Citizens than even other fossil fuels pollutants. PLEASE stop this super fast slide to disaster.

From:

Paula J Stober

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 4:59 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Paula J Stober

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Paula J Stober

Email

paulas@bucklen.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Duke Energy is trying to pull a fast one on NC consumers again. Please hold them to very high standards for rooftop solar power. I have 21 panels on my Greensboro home and do not trust them.

From:

Lori Spangler

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 4:47 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Lori Spangler

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lori Spangler

Email

spangleracs@gmail.com

Docket

E 100 sub 180

Message

Commission - please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

From:

Stuart Matthews

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 4:49 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Stuart Matthews

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stuart Matthews

Email

stuartleencsu72@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I find it insulting that Duke Energy thinks it can dictate the solar rooftop industry in North Carolina. Duke has proven that it wants to keep consumers totally obligated to them. They could care less about climate issues as long as the money keeps flowing to them. NCUC stand up for the consumer and stop Duke's monopolistic scheme. Thank You— Stuart Matthews

From:

Hope Shand

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 4:49 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Hope Shand

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Hope Shand

Email

hope.shand@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I respectfully urge the NCUC to reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina. State law requires the NCUC to conduct a cost-benefit study before making any changes to net metering rules, which govern how solar homes are credited by Duke for power they feed onto the grid. Please take steps to PROTECT rooftop solar! The NCUC should be doing everything possible to provide policy guidance that encourages decentralized, clean energy that will lessen our state's dependence on fossil fuels. Climate change is already having devastating impacts on our communities. We must do everything possible to promote a clean energy future and arrest greenhouse gas emissions. Thank you for your consideration. Sincerely, Hope J. Shand

From:

David Cignotti

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 4:50 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by David Cignotti

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Cignotti

Email

dcignotti@ec.rr.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina. It is crucial that a true investigation of solar costs and benefits be completed before making any changes to net metering in NC. The citizens of NC deserve clean energy that is fairly priced and protects our air and water.

From:

Elizabeth Bechard

Sent:

Monday, July 11, 2022 4:53 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Elizabeth Bechard

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Elizabeth Bechard

Email

elizabeth.matteson@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, As the mother of two young children, I am deeply concerned about climate change, and aware that moving towards clean energy infrastructure as rapidly as possible is essential for preserving a safe, livable future for our children. Please *reject* Duke Energy's proposal to hinder rooftop solar in North Carolina, and do a thorough investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Our children's future depends on clean energy. Thank you. -Elizabeth