



Mount, Gail

FILED

From: John Duda [jrduda@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 8:13 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 83: Net Metering

APR 08 2014

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

My name is John Duda, MD. I invested in rooftop solar panels for my house in order to help with the environment and save money on energy costs for my family. I was intending to continue to save with net metering. I feel that citizens need the option to self-generate power as an alternative to reliance on a monopoly electric supplier.

I DO NOT SUPPORT changes to netmetering that will kill rooftop solar in the state of North Carolina! Please oppose changes to net metering.

Thanks, John Duda

OFFICIAL COPY
Apr 08 2014

✓ Mount, Gail

FILED

From: Jennifer Ferri [jenferrinc@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 9:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, sub 83 net metering

APR 08 2014

**Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission**

My name is Jennifer Ferri and I'm writing to let you know that I DO NOT support changes to net metering that will hurt rooftop solar in NC. A few months ago we installed rooftop solar panels at a cost of over \$30,000 believing that we would be able to net meter. Increasing the use of solar power in our state benefits everyone and we should be encouraging more people to install rooftop solar panels NOT making changes to net metering that will discourage them.

I DO NOT SUPPORT CHANGES TO NET METERING THAT WILL KILL ROOFTOP SOLAR IN NORTH CAROLINA!

Please oppose changes to net metering.
Thank you,
Jennifer Ferri
Pittsboro NC

OFFICIAL COPY
Apr 08 2014



Mount, Gail

From: Tony Cope [tony@myriadmedia.net]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 10:03 AM
To: Statements; tonycope@earthlink.net
Subject: i DO NOT support changes to new metering

FILED

APR 08 2014

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

E100 SUB 83

Hello,

My name is Tony and I am writing to protest the proposed changes to net metering. My main concern is the limiting impact it will have with what we as a society decided was important enough to give tax breaks for - new investments in clean energy. WE decided to invest in solar for our environment and now the power company wants to changes it's agreement in order to maximized it's profits...? This will minimize people's returns on investments in solar as well as discourage new investments, all for an individual company's profits.

On a personal note - I installed a 5K solar panel system on my home in Apex - at the time, I believe it was the third such home. This was an investment on a very personal level - it was something I had always wanted to do. It was an investment for my community - I have always believed in doing the most you can with what you have, and I am fortunate enough to be able to afford such an investment. It was a financial investment - the system will pay for itself in a decent amount of time and I will generate a small profit for my personal investment. I also can not ignore the investment the State of NC made in my system in the form of tax credits. Why should we allow either investment to be nullified for the simple profits of a company already flush with profits. The energy company is given a guaranteed market with no competition - they should be made to also respect our wishes and investment values. Maybe if they guaranteed to spend the new profits made from my personal system to install solely clean energy systems, then this will all stay true to OUR community goals. If not, this is only a grab for money. Greed should never trump community.

The one thing I did not invest my hard earned money for was to make profit for a wealthy energy company. They want to profit from solar, ask them to invest their own 'hard earned money!'

Sincerely,
Tony Cope

--
Tony Cope | Partner, Executive Producer | 919.332.3891

Video gets results. See how at myriadmedia.net
Clients include: IBM, BASF, Lowe's, NC State University, First Citizens Bank & more.

OFFICIAL COPY
Apr 08 2014



Mount, Gail

From: Mark Ritchie [mritchie1@carolina.rr.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 11:39 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 83: Net Metering

FILED

APR 08 2014

**Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission**

To: Chief Clerk of the Commission
From: Mark and Jane Ritchie, Salisbury, NC

As citizens of North Carolina concerned for our environment and sustainable economic development, we invested in solar electric generating panels installed on our home rooftop. We did this to reduce the CO2 emissions from burning fossil fuels required to power our home plus eliminate the energy and damage to the environment required to harvest those fossil fuels. While we are grateful for the federal and state tax credits that offset our investment cost, the savings through the net metering program will still require at least 11 years before we recover our investment.

We are strongly opposed to changes in the net metering option currently in place for homeowners. If net metering is not available, we believe it will kill rooftop solar installations in NC and only serve the interest of the power generating utilities. We hope that other homeowners will choose to invest in rooftop solar to protect our environment.

Please oppose changes to net metering and protect the interests of the citizens of our great state!

Sincerely,
Mark and Jane Ritchie

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 08 2014

✓
Mount, Gail

FILED

From: George Jones [bugsy2700@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 08, 2014 12:15 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Docket E-100, Sub 83: Net Metering

APR 08 2014

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

This is George Jones of 7805 McGee Road, Rural Hall, NC 27045. I had built last year a new home featuring solar hot water generation as well as some electrical generation. This was costly, but I knew it was the best time to do so, given the existing tax credits and net metering pricing. I am retired, and part of my thinking was to keep utility bills as low as possible in my retirement. We are told to use renewable energy generation, that it is ultimately good for the environment. Approving Duke Energy's request would be, I believe, hypocritical and a negation of your previous attempts to stimulate investment in renewables. Duke Energy is hardly bankrupt. Do they really need additional monies from this segment of their base? In addition, what of all the jobs being generated by this industry? I am told it is growing by the day. I DO NOT support changes to net metering. It will hurt me personally and, in my view, everyone else in the state (except Duke Power). Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

Apr 08 2014