STAFF CONFERENCE

SEPTEMBER 6, 2022

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good morning. Let's come to order and go on the record please. I'm Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the Utilities Commission, and with me this morning are Commissioners Brown-Bland, Clodfelter, Hughes, McKissick, and Kemerait. In compliance with the State Government Ethics Act, I remind Members of the Commission of their duty to avoid conflicts of interest and inquire, at this time, as to whether any member of the Commission has a known conflict of interest with respect to matters coming before us this morning.

(No response)

CHAIR MITCHELL: The record will reflect that no conflicts have identified, so we'll proceed with Public Staff, Item 1.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Good morning, Commissioners. I'm Tommy Williamson with the Energy Division. Item 1 consists of an application for a CPCN, accompanied by registration, to become a new solar photovoltaic renewable energy facility. The Public Staff recommends that the Commission accept the application, issue this Certificate, and approve the registration. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of the recommendation.

```
1
                    COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                               Second.
 2
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and seconded
 3
     that the Item be approved as recommended by the Public
 4
     Staff. Are there questions or discussion on that motion?
 5
                               (No response)
 6
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Hearing none, all in favor,
 7
     indicate with an ave.
 8
                              (All Commissioners say aye)
9
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
10
                              (No response)
11
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Motion carries. Thank you,
12
     Mr. Williamson. We'll proceed now with Public Staff, Item 2.
13
                    MS. BHATTA:
                                 Good morning, everyone. I'm
14
     Shashi Bhatta, Engineer in the Public Staff Water, Sewer,
15
     and Telephone Division. Item No. 2, Docket No W-354, Sub
16
     401, on July 22nd, 2022, Carolina Water Service, Inc. of
17
     North Carolina filed a verified petition pursuant to North
18
     Carolina General Statute § 62-133.11 Requesting Authority to
19
     Amend Carolina Water's Tariff to Increase Water Utility
20
     Service for it to pass along the Increased Cost of Purchased
21
     Water from Charlotte Water at Riverpointe Service Area in
22
     Mecklenburg County, North Carolina.
23
                    On August 26, 2022, Carolina Water filed a
24
     revised verified petition Requesting Authority to Amend
```

Carolina Water's Tariff to Decrease Water Utility Service for it to pass along the Decreased Cost to Purchase Water. Revised petitions stated that Charlotte Water had changed the rate classification from residential Tier 3 to commercial effective July 1, 2021, thereby changing the usage rate from \$7.07 per thousand gallons to \$4.10 per thousand gallons. Effective July 1, 2022, Charlotte Water increased its commercial user, water usage rate to \$4.12 per thousand gallons.

The Public Staff has reviewed Charlotte Water's rates and the Company's request and recommends that the Commission approve the tariff revision at the proposed rates for service rendered on and after the date of the Commission's Order and require customer notice and customer refund, including 10 percent interest per annum. We are recommending a customer refund with 10 percent interest in accordance with N.C.G.S. § 62-130(e) and consistent with the water system improvement charge and sewer system improvement charge.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Chair, I have a couple questions.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Brown-Bland.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good morning.

MS. BHATTA: Good morning.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: In the Company's application, it proposed an interest of 7.14 percent be applied to the refund; whereas the Public Staff, as you just indicated, sought a rate of 10 percent. Did the Company agree to the Public Staff's proposed 10 percent?

MS. BHATTA: I am not aware of that. Maybe my director would be able to answer that question.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I think
Ms. Sanford wants to come forward.

MS. BHATTA: Oh. We have a representative from the Company's side.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good morning,
Ms. Sanford.

MS. SANFORD: Good morning. I'm Jo Anne Sanford, representing Carolina Water. The Company does not object to the 10 percent, and a couple of other comments. The Company was chagrined to find out this mistake had been made. Fixed it as soon as they could and proposed the interest rate that you quoted. The Public Staff proposed the 10 percent as is authorized by the statute. And we have examined this to see if we think there's a difference that was worth observing, at least for us to argue between a recent Aqua case in which there was an issue about interest rate.

```
1
                    The Company, again, is very sorry this
 2
     happened and fixed it just as soon as they found it, and so
 3
     we don't object to the 10 percent.
 4
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank
 5
     you.
 6
                    MS. SANFORD:
                                  Thank you.
 7
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I have one more
 8
     question --
9
                    MS. SANFORD:
                                  Sure.
10
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: -- so you might
11
     want to stay. So Charlotte Water began billing the Company
12
     the lower commercial tariff effective July 1st, 2021, but
13
     the proposed calculation for the customer refund accounts
14
     for service to Riverpointe customers from September 1st,
15
     2021 through August 31st, 2022. Does the Company have an
16
     overcollection from customers for service from July 1, 2021
17
     through August 31, 2021?
18
                    MS. SANFORD:
                                  I don't think so, but the
19
     better answer is I don't know, and I'll find out. Do you
20
     have any --
21
                    MS. BHATTA: I can say something about that.
22
     The July usage would be billed on August. So from August
23
     billing to the September billing, which would include the
24
     August, 2022's usage would be included in the dates that we
```

```
1
     specified in the proposed Order.
 2
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                              So there's no
 3
     separate overcollection to be collected. Is that correct?
                    MS. BHATTA: Yes. That should be everything
 4
 5
     that should -- that's overcollected and would be reimbursed.
 6
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay. Thank you.
 7
     Madam Chair. I would move that we take this matter to
 8
     Executive Conference.
9
                    COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                               Second.
10
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Anybody opposed
11
     to hearing the item in Executive Conference?
12
                              (No response)
13
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Brown-Bland's
14
     motion carries. We'll take this one up at Executive
15
     Conference. Thank you, Ms. Sanford.
16
                    MS. SANFORD:
                                  Thank you.
17
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: We'll proceed now to Public
18
     Staff, Item 3.
19
                    MS. BHATTA: Okay. Item 3, 4, and 5, I'm
20
     going to explain them together since they're very similar in
21
     nature. Filed by Carolina Water Services, Inc. of North
22
     Carolina, in Docket No. W-354, Sub 402, 404, and 405
23
     respectively, are verified petitions pursuant to the
24
     N.C.G.S. § 62-133.11 Requesting Authority to Amend the
```

```
1
    Carolina Water's Tariff to Increase Rates, to pass along the
2
    Increased Cost of Purchase Sewer Service from Two Rivers
    Utilities at Kings Grant Service Area. Increased Cost of
3
4
    Purchase Water from the City of Hendersonville in Vista --
5
    High Vista Estates Service Area. An Increased Cost of
6
    Purchase Water and Sewer Service from Johnston County
7
    Department of Public Utilities at White Oak Plantation, Lee
8
    Forest, and Winston Pointe Service Areas, and Purchased
9
    Water at Winston Plantation.
```

Please note that on the initial proposed
Order for Subs 402 and 405, my calculations to determine the
monthly sewer bill included an incorrect base facility
charge. Instead of using a base facility charge of 41.03
dollars, the monthly metered purchased sewer service
collection charge of 28.72 should have been used. Revised
proposed Orders were provided to the Commission Staff and
the Company on Friday.

The Public Staff has reviewed the petitions and recommends that the Commission issue the proposed Orders approving the tariff revisions.

COMMISSIONER HUGHES: Make a motion to approve.

COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Second.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes has

ep 15 2022

moved that Items 1 -- that Public Staff Items 3, 4, and 5 be approved as recommended by the Public Staff. That motion has been seconded. Any questions or discussion? Commissioner McKissick.

a question with Commission Staff as related to this Item, which I don't oppose that now. For the Kings Grant Service Area and other meter purchased sewer service areas, there is a \$28.72 collection charge on Carolina Water Services schedule of rates. Why is the \$41.03 sewer-based facility charge for meter sewer service used in the calculation of the average residential customer's monthly sewer bill in the proposed Order for this purchase sewer system?

MS. BHATTA: If you look at the schedule of rates that were approved for a Carolina Water under the last rate case, personally me being a new engineer, I thought that the first -- under the sewer section, the base facility charge was supposed to be for all their wastewater systems. But as I looked into it and the Commission Staff, when they reviewed the proposed Order that I had put together, that question was brought up. And I looked into it again and there's a specific area where the purchased sewer service from Johnston County Utilities, those subdivisions are outlined in a separate area with a separate charge. So I

```
1
     understood that to be the correct case, which I have
 2
     confirmed with others in my department, including my
 3
     director, and that is the correct number to use.
 4
                    COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Okay. Thank you for
 5
     that clarification.
 6
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you for your response.
 7
     Additional questions or discussion?
 8
                               (No response)
9
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: All in favor, indicate with
10
     an aye.
11
                               (All Commissioners say aye)
12
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
13
                               (No response)
14
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Motion carries. We'll
15
     proceed now to Public Staff, Item 6.
16
                    MR. HOUSER: Good morning. I'm Evan Houser,
17
     Engineer with the Public Staff Water, Sewer, and Telephone
18
     Division. Item 6, 7, 8, and 9, filed by Carolina Water
19
     Service, Inc. of North Carolina, in Docket No. W-354, Subs,
20
     407, 408, 409, and 410 respectively, are verified petitions
21
     pursuant to North Carolina General Statute § 62-133.11
22
     requesting Authority to Amend Carolina Water's Tariffs to
23
     pass along the Decreased Cost of Purchased Water from the
24
     Fayetteville Public Works Commission, the Increased Cost of
```

```
1
     Purchased Water from the Town of Franklin and the Town of
 2
     Southern Pines, and the Increased Cost of Purchased Sewer
 3
     Service from the Metropolitan Sewer District of Buncombe
 4
     County.
 5
                    The Public Staff has reviewed the petitions
 6
     and recommends that the Commission issued the proposed
 7
     Orders approving the tariff revisions.
 8
                    COMMISSIONER HUGHES:
                                          Make a motion to
9
     approve.
10
                    COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER:
                                               Second.
11
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Hughes has
12
     moved that Items 6, 7, and 8 -- 8 and 9 be approved as
13
     recommended by the Public Staff. Motion has been seconded
14
     by Commissioner Clodfelter. Questions or discussion?
15
     Commissioner Brown-Bland.
16
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Yes, just one
17
     question. With these bulk water sewer treatment rates,
18
     specifically the Fayetteville case, we normally don't see
19
     decreases in those rates from wholesale systems. Just out of
20
     curiosity, does the Public Staff know why there's been a
21
     decrease here?
22
                    MR. HOUSER:
                                 I do not.
23
                    COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay. All right.
```

24

Thank you.

```
1
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Any additional questions or
 2
     discussion?
 3
                              (No response)
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: All in favor, indicate with
 4
 5
     an aye.
 6
                              (All Commissioners say aye)
 7
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
 8
                              (No response)
                    CHAIR MITCHELL: Motion carries.
9
                                                      Thank you
10
     very much. Good job. We'll proceed now to Public Staff, Item
11
     10. Ms. Darden.
12
                    MS. DARDEN: Good morning. Lindsay Darden,
13
     Engineer of the Public Staff Water, Sewer, and Telephone
14
     Division. Presenting Agenda Item No. 10, Docket No. W-1327,
15
     Sub 2, on July 12th, 2022, Dillsboro Water Utilities filed a
16
     letter to Amend Its Tariff for the purpose of passing along
17
     to water and sewer customers an increase in the cost of
18
     purchasing water and sewer treatment service. There was a
19
     correction to the proposed Order draft that's been discussed
20
     with the Commission Staff. The base charge for Microtel was
21
     added to the schedule of rates and the customer notice.
22
                    The Public Staff recommends that the revised
23
     proposed Order be issued approving the recommended tariff
```

24

revision.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Move approval of
2	the recommendation.
3	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Second.
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: It's been moved and seconded
5	that the item be approved as recommended by the Public
6	Staff. Questions, discussion on the motion?
7	(No response)
8	CHAIR MITCHELL: All in favor, indicate with
9	an aye.
10	(All Commissioners say aye)
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?
12	(No response)
13	CHAIR MITCHELL: And the motion carries.
14	Thank you, Ms. Darden. Commission has before us for approval
15	our minutes from the August 29th Staff Conference.
16	COMMISSIONER CLODFELTER: Move approval of
17	the minutes.
18	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Second.
19	CHAIR MITCHELL: Questions or discussion?
20	(No response)
21	CHAIR MITCHELL: All in favor, indicate with
22	an aye.
23	(All Commissioners say aye)
24	CHAIR MITCHELL: Is there anyone opposed?

1	(No response)
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Our minutes are approved.
3	Any additional business for the Commission this morning,
4	before we adjourn?
5	(No response)
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: All right. Hearing none,
7	we'll be adjourned. Thank you very much, everybody. Let's go
8	off the record, please.
9	
10	WHEREUPON, this conference is adjourned
11	
12	
13	
L4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE

I, TONJA VINES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Tonja Vines