

1 PLACE: High Point Courthouse,
2 High Point, North Carolina
3 DATE: June 24, 2014
4 DOCKET NO.: P-100, Sub 137C
5 TIME IN SESSION: 10:00 A.M. TO 10:32 A.M.
6 BEFORE: Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Presiding
7 Commissioner Bryan E. Beatty
8 Commissioner Susan W. Rabon
9 Commissioner Don M. Bailey
10 Commissioner Jerry C. Dockham
11 Commissioner James G. Patterson
12

13 IN THE MATTER OF:
14 Area Code Relief for North Carolina's
15 336 Numbering Plan Area
16

17 VOLUME 1
18

19 A P P E A R A N C E S:

20 NEUSTAR, INC.:
21 Kimberly Miller, Esq.
22 Neustar, Inc.
23 1775 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, 4th Floor
24 Washington, D.C. 20036

1 A P P E A R A N C E S (CONTINUED):

2 FOR AT&T:

3 John Tyler, Esq.

4 AT&T

5 150 Fayetteville Street Mall, Suite 800

6 Raleigh, NC 27601

7

8

9 FOR SPRINT:

10 Mary Lynne Grigg, Esq.

11 McGuireWoods, L.L.P.

12 2100 Two Hannover Square

13 Raleigh, NC 27601

14

15

16 FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:

17 Lucy E. Edmondson, Esq.

18 Public Staff

19 North Carolina Utilities Commission

20 4326 Mail Service Center

21 Raleigh, North Carolina 27699

22

23

24

1	T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S	
2	E X A M I N A T I O N S	
3		PAGE
4	THOMAS C. FOLEY	
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Miller.....	8
6	Examination by Commissioner Beatty.....	12
7		
8	ROBERT SMITH	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Tyler.....	14
10	Cross Examination by Ms. Edmondson.....	18
11	Examination by Commissioner Beatty.....	22
12	Examination by Commissioner Brown-Bland.....	23
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

E X H I B I T S

IDENTIFIED/ADMITTED

Petitioner's Exhibit 2.....12/12
Petitioner's Exhibit 3.....12/12
Petitioner's Exhibit 4.....12/12
Petitioner's Exhibit 5.....12/12

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good morning.

3 Let's come to order and go back on the record. I am
4 Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, and I am the
5 Presiding Commissioner for this hearing. With me this
6 morning are Commissioners Bryan E. Beatty, Susan W.
7 Rabon, Don M. Bailey, Jerry C. Dockham, and James G.
8 Patterson. We are continuing the hearing in Docket
9 Number P-100, Sub 137C, In the Matter of Area Code Relief
10 for North Carolina's 336 Numbering Plan Area, or NPA,
11 resumed from yesterday.

12 This is a hearing on the petition of Neustar,
13 Incorporated, as North American Numbering Plan
14 Administrator, and acting on behalf of the North Carolina
15 Telecommunications Industry. And in its petition, it is
16 seeking approval of a consensus industry recommendation
17 that all services distributed overlay be implemented as
18 the relief plan for the 336 NPA. In its petition and
19 through subsequently filed updates, Neustar alleges that
20 in the absence of relief, central office or NXX codes for
21 the 336 NPA will exhaust during the second quarter of
22 2016.

23 As always, pursuant to G.S. 138A-15E, I remind
24 members of Commission of our duty to avoid conflicts of

1 interest, and inquire at this time as to whether any
2 Commissioner has any known conflict of interest with
3 respect to this docket?

4 (No response.)

5 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Let the record
6 reflect that no such conflicts were identified.

7 For the record, I now call upon counsel for the
8 parties to announce their appearances, beginning with the
9 Petitioner.

10 MS. MILLER: Good morning. My name is Kimberly
11 Miller. I represent Neustar, Inc., the Petitioner, and
12 my business address is 1775 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW,
13 Washington, D.C.

14 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Welcome, Ms. Miller.

15 MR. TYLER: Good morning, Madam Chairman. John
16 Tyler on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, LLC,
17 doing business as AT&T North Carolina.

18 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you.

19 MS. GRIGG: Good morning, Commissioner Brown-
20 Bland, members of the Commission. I am Mary Lynne Grigg
21 with McGuireWoods here on behalf of Sprint.

22 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good morning.

23 MS. EDMONDSON: Lucy Edmondson with the Public
24 Staff on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public.

1 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Thank you. This
2 morning we are resuming with the continuation of the
3 public hearing, and then we'll move into the evidentiary
4 portion of the hearing and receive testimony from the
5 formal parties in the docket. Ms. Edmondson, have you
6 identified any witnesses who wish to testify as public
7 witnesses in this matter?

8 MS. EDMONDSON: I have not.

9 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: For the record, is
10 there anyone in the courtroom who would like to testify
11 as a public witness in this matter?

12 (No response.)

13 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Let the record
14 reflect that no one came forward. So with that, we will
15 move into the evidentiary hearing, and the case is with
16 the Petitioner, Ms. Miller and Neustar.

17 MS. MILLER: Good morning. The Petitioner
18 would like to call Tom Foley to the stand.

19 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Mr.
20 Foley, come on around.

21 THOMAS C. FOLEY; Being first duly sworn,
22 testified as follows:

23 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. You may
24 be seated.

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MILLER:

2 Q Mr. Foley, would you state your name for the
3 record.

4 A My name is Thomas C. Foley.

5 Q And please provide us with your business
6 address.

7 A My business address is 21575 Ridge Top Circle,
8 Sterling, Virginia.

9 Q And you have a prepared statement you'd like to
10 read today?

11 A Yes, I do. Thank you, Presiding Commissioner
12 and Commissioners. I am employed with Neustar as Senior
13 Manager Data Analysis. I have been performing relief
14 planning activities since 1999 for Neustar. Before that,
15 I was employed in the telecommunications industry for
16 more than 27 years. I serve as the Numbering Plan Area,
17 NPA, Relief Planner for the southeastern United States,
18 Caribbean -- and the Caribbean. Excuse me. Neustar is
19 the North American Numbering Plan Administrator. As an
20 NPA Relief Planner, I am responsible for initiating NPA
21 relief in sufficient time -- I got lost, I'm sorry -- in
22 sufficient time to prevent NPA exhaust. The
23 responsibilities include the monitoring of CO code
24 utilization trends and collecting other information in

1 order to project the NPA exhaust, notify the industry and
2 appropriate regulatory bodies and the telecommunications
3 industries. NANPA also prepares and forwards to the
4 industry recommended relief plans to approve -- to the
5 appropriate regulatory agency and provides notification
6 of agency approval of the relief plans to the industry in
7 accordance with the NPA Code Relief Planning and
8 Notification Guidelines.

9 NANPA is the neutral third-party administrator
10 of the North American Numbering Plan, pursuant to its
11 contract with the Federal Communications Commission.
12 NANPA began planning for relief in 1999, and in 2000,
13 NANPA facilitated an industry meeting in Greensboro on
14 July 27th for the purpose of presenting NPA relief
15 alternatives to the industry and ultimately allow the
16 industry members to come to consensus on a single relief
17 plan to present to the Commission. Prior to the meeting,
18 NANPA prepared and distributed an Initial Planning
19 Document which described four relief alternatives for the
20 336 NPA, three geographic splits and a distributed
21 overlay. The industry reviewed the pros and cons of the
22 four alternatives and reached consensus to recommend that
23 the Commission issue an order to approve the alternate --
24 the alternate for the distributive overlay.

1 On September 7th, NANPA filed a petition with
2 the Commission on behalf of the industry notifying the
3 Commission of the industry's consensus to recommend the
4 overlay as its preferred method of relief for the 336
5 NPA. At that time, the 336 NPA was projected to exhaust
6 in 2002.

7 Subsequently, the exhaust date kept moving out
8 due to implementation of numbering conservation measures,
9 like thousands number block pooling, and some changes in
10 the economy. In fact, we filed two letters with the
11 Commission notifying it of changes to the exhaust
12 projections. At the time of this filing, the 336 NPA was
13 projected to exhaust during the second quarter of 2016.
14 This is within the three years, so normally NANPA, we are
15 -- as the NANPA we are required to initiate relief.
16 Because the original petition remains open, we filed with
17 the Commission notifying of the new exhaust projection
18 dates and updated projection lives of the four
19 alternatives.

20 By a consensus decision, the industry
21 recommended that all-services distributed overlay for the
22 336 NPA. This is alternate one. This means that the new
23 NPA code would be assigned to the same geographic area as
24 the existing 336 NPA. However, all existing customers

1 would retain their original number and not have to take a
2 number change. Alternative 1 had a projected life of 43
3 years. Consistent with the FCC regulations, customers
4 will have to dial 10 digits for all local calls within
5 the area. The new central office code assignments would
6 be made from the new overlay area code beginning one
7 month after mandatory 10-digit dialing begins. All toll
8 calls will be dialed 1 plus 10 digits.

9 We appreciate the Commission's time and the
10 opportunity to testify in this matter. This concludes my
11 testimony.

12 MS. MILLER: Presiding Commissioner, at this
13 time we'd like to, and with your permission, enter into
14 evidence the original petition that we filed in 2000, as
15 well as the subsequent letters that we filed to update
16 the Commission on the projected exhaust of the 336 area
17 code.

18 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. That
19 motion will be allowed, and they will be received into
20 evidence.

21 MS. MILLER: Okay. The -- the petition was
22 filed on September 7th of 2000, and then subsequently we
23 filed a letter. It's dated June 17, 2003, and it
24 announced that the exhaust date had moved to the second

1 quarter of 2009. And a letter filed -- or dated June 24,
2 2004, and it noted the projected exhaust for the second
3 quarter of 2010 at that time. And then there is the most
4 recent update that was filed on July 19, 2013, and as Mr.
5 Foley explained, the exhaust is second quarter of 2016.
6 I'd like these to be marked as Exhibits 2 through 5.

7 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. They
8 will be marked -- the Petition and the subsequent
9 updates, filings, will be marked as Petitioner's Exhibits
10 2 through 5, in the order that she listed them.

11 MS. MILLER: Thank you.

12 (Whereupon, Petitioner's Exhibit
13 Numbers 2, 3, 4 and 5 were marked
14 for identification and admitted
15 into evidence.)

16 MS. MILLER: And the witness is available for
17 cross examination.

18 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Is there
19 any cross examination for this witness?

20 (No response.)

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there any
22 questions from the Commission? Commissioner Beatty?

23 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BEATTY:

24 Q Mr. Foley, I just wanted to ask how confident

1 are you of the current projection?

2 A Commissioner, the current projection is based
3 upon information provided semiannually by the carriers
4 and also based upon any information we have concerning
5 that area. Subsequent to filing the petition, we had
6 another round of what we call the interim forecast, and
7 it moved it out one quarter, to the third quarter of
8 2016. That's not an appreciable difference. If
9 anything, it will move out. I doubt seriously that it
10 will move in. But one of the reasons is, is that the
11 carrier forecast will sometimes roll out because of
12 changed plans and things like this.

13 COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Thanks.

14 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Any
15 questions on the Commission's question?

16 (No response.)

17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Seeing no further
18 questions for this witness, you may step down.

19 MR. FOLEY: Thank you, Presiding Commissioner.

20 (Witness excused.)

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Is there
22 any further evidence from the Petitioner?

23 MS. MILLER: No, ma'am.

24 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Is there

1 any other party now who wishes to come forward?

2 MR. TYLER: Yes. To clarify the record, I'm
3 representing AT&T North Carolina. We're sponsoring a
4 witness on behalf of the Joint Carriers in this docket,
5 and in that capacity we would call Rob Smith.

6 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank
7 you, Mr. Tyler. Mr. Smith, you may come around.

8 ROBERT SMITH; Being first duly sworn,
9 testified as follows:

10 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. You may
11 be seated.

12 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. TYLER:

13 Q Mr. Smith, would you state your name, your
14 address and your business affiliation and on whose behalf
15 you're testimony today, please?

16 A Yes. Robert Smith, Director of National
17 Regulatory. My address is Greater Point Drive,
18 Charlotte, North Carolina. I am here on behalf of the
19 Joint Telecommunications Carriers. I represent --
20 specifically, I work for AT&T.

21 Q And Mr. Smith, when this docket originally
22 opened, did you serve as a witness in the same capacity?

23 A Yes.

24 Q Did you provide testimony at that time?

1 A Yes, I did.

2 Q Do you have a summary of testimony that you
3 have prepared for the Commission today?

4 A I do.

5 Q Would you provide that, please?

6 A The Joint Telecommunications Carriers support
7 the North American Numbering Plan Administrator's
8 previous filings in this docket and, more specifically,
9 we assert that NANPA's all services overlay
10 recommendation is by far the best approach to area code
11 relief for the 336 numbering plan area. An all services
12 overlay will minimize any inconvenience to consumers and
13 will align North Carolina with the continuing trend
14 throughout the United States to use the overlay method as
15 the preferred form of area code relief. As stated at
16 length in our written comments, the overlay has numerous
17 advantages over an area code split, the other alternative
18 for area code relief, including less customer impact and
19 fewer technical issues.

20 In contrast to the industry's recommended
21 overlay solution, an area code split would treat
22 telephone customers who presently have a 336 telephone
23 number unfairly. An area code split would force some
24 customers to change the area code of their 10-digit phone

1 number, thus requiring these customers to contact all of
2 their family, friends, and business associates in order
3 to provide them the new area code. Moreover, an area
4 code split could be financially burdensome to business
5 owners and operators. Because residential and business
6 customers alike have become associated with and
7 identified by their telephone numbers, an area code split
8 would be much more burdensome than it would have been a
9 decade or so ago. And the industry's experience is that
10 consumers can easily adapt to 10-digit dialing on calls
11 within the same area code as part of their everyday
12 calling patterns.

13 Another benefit of overlays over splits is that
14 the technical problems carriers experience with area code
15 splits, including local number portability issues, are
16 avoided. These issues are discussed in more detail in
17 our comments.

18 While we generally support NANPA's proposed
19 implementation schedule, we recommend some slight
20 modifications to that schedule. In its original
21 September 7, 2000 filing, NANPA outlined a 13-month
22 schedule for implementation of the recommended all
23 services overlay, with implementation beginning
24 immediately upon the issuance of a Commission order.

1 Since 2000, the Joint Telecommunications Carriers have
2 implemented numerous overlays nationwide. We have come
3 to realize that a certain amount of flexibility is very
4 helpful in establishing NANPA's general 13-month
5 implementation schedule. Accordingly, we propose that
6 NANPA's original schedule be modified so as to include an
7 initial 6-month period focused on customer education and
8 network preparation, followed by another -- another 6-
9 month period of permissive 7- or 10-digit dialing and
10 continued customer education. After the first 12 months,
11 mandatory 10-digit dialing would begin and the first code
12 activation from the new overlay area code would become
13 effective after one month of mandatory 10-digit dialing.
14 Based on the industry's collective experience with
15 overlay implementations across the country, our proposed
16 revised schedule should provide ample time for network
17 preparation and customer education, thus facilitating
18 smooth implementation of the overlay and avoiding the
19 denial or delay of service to customers because of the
20 unavailability of new central office codes.

21 In addition, we renew our previous
22 recommendation that 7-digit dialing across area code
23 boundaries be eliminated, and that the Commission require
24 10-digit dialing for local EAS and ELCS calls placed

1 across area code boundaries.

2 We very much appreciate the Commission's time
3 and attention to this important matter. This concludes
4 my testimony.

5 MR. TYLER: Madam, if it please the Commission,
6 the Joint Carriers would move that the summary testimony
7 of Rob Smith be entered into the record, subject to any
8 cross examination.

9 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Is there
10 -- is there any cross examination of this witness?

11 MS. EDMONDSON: I have a couple questions.

12 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right, Ms.
13 Edmondson.

14 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. EDMONDSON:

15 Q Mr. Smith, did you have an opportunity to
16 review the customer letters filed in the -- with the
17 Commission?

18 A I did review some of them. I did not -- I was
19 not able to review all of them.

20 Q Would you accept that there were 10 filed,
21 eight for the overlay and two who expressed -- who did
22 not want to dial 10 digits?

23 A I did.

24 Q So 10 letters in total?

1 A Yes, I do accept that.

2 Q And of the two that did not support 10-digit
3 dialing, one of them from a George Rilling asked, also,
4 do we throw in a 1 each time or just those outside the
5 designated area, and then in another one from a Stephanie
6 Reed, she had a sentence saying it also generates a toll
7 charge for each call, the 10-digit dialing. She's under
8 that impression. Do you think these types of questions
9 or maybe false impressions would be helped by this
10 additional six-month education period?

11 A Yes. Yes, without a doubt. And I will add
12 that, you know, the perception that 10-digit dialing is a
13 problem, it's very difficult for the average consumer to
14 understand those other options that are on the table, but
15 in reality, those options divide a number of counties.
16 They also divide community of interest. You're isolating
17 -- for instance, there's a donut option that Greensboro,
18 High Point, Winston-Salem, I believe, are in the middle
19 and everybody else is on the outside if you're going to
20 have cross-boundary calls, which are going to require 10-
21 digit dialing, based on the Commission's previous orders.
22 So the confusion of a split is a lot of people
23 won't understand when they're supposed to 10-digit dial
24 or 7-digit dial. And, quite frankly, after living

1 through all of those, I was regulatory for 20 years and I
2 saw a number of splits and a number of overlays, it
3 really creates a tremendous amount of confusion. And
4 those people that are 10-digit dialing do not incur a
5 toll charge, as you well know. I know AT&T and I believe
6 the other carriers -- most of the other carriers for
7 consumers have zero rate at that expanded local area, and
8 so one what was 7 digits will now be 10 digits. It
9 doesn't change any of the toll calling patterns that we
10 have.

11 Q And my other question, you were here last night
12 to hear Mr. Ayeres testify?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And do you have any comment as to the
15 workability of the proposal he made, using the star and
16 the hashtag sign?

17 A Yeah. I would say that that would require
18 significant work at the switch level to implement
19 something that is not standard throughout the industry.
20 And, also, I think it's problematic from a consumer
21 standpoint. We have to keep in mind the consumers. Over
22 90 percent -- I think the Pew study recently said over 90
23 percent of consumers have a cell phone. You 10-digit
24 dial with cell phones. And so you're now introducing,

1 with his suggestion, a brand new calling pattern that
2 nobody knows about. They're only used to using those
3 stars and pounds, quite frankly, to activate, deactivate
4 features or to respond to some type of automated system
5 at the bank or whatever.

6 And so introducing it as a specific calling
7 method is really complicated from the consumer
8 standpoint. I, quite frankly, am more concerned about it
9 from that standpoint than I am about all the work that
10 has to be done, not to underestimate that. I mean, this
11 -- this is all about what the -- how the consumers are
12 impacted, and that should be the central focus here. And
13 this is really a no-brainer when you start looking at
14 that and what is the simplest thing for people to
15 understand.

16 Q And when you said the switches have to be
17 reprogrammed, would that just be in the local area, or
18 how many switches would have to be reprogrammed?

19 A Well, if you're using a new calling pattern
20 like that, I would think that affects switches
21 nationwide. I'm not an expert. It's clearly -- you
22 know, when you do an area code change, you have to -- all
23 the carriers that are going to be receiving or placing
24 calls to those numbers, they all have to have that new

1 area code in their systems. And so if you had your --
2 you had a new pattern with a star or a pound, it seems
3 clear to me that that would involve nationwide type
4 changes to recognize that pattern.

5 Q Or even international?

6 A Yes.

7 MS. EDMONDSON: Thank you.

8 THE WITNESS: Sure.

9 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any further cross
10 examination?

11 (No response.)

12 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any questions from
13 the Commissioners for this witness? Commissioner Beatty?

14 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BEATTY:

15 Q Mr. Smith, you're familiar with the overlay in
16 the 919 area --

17 A Yes.

18 Q -- a couple years ago?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Were there any big lessons learned from that
21 experience?

22 A Yes. Don't assign any more area codes that
23 start with a 9-1. You know, besides that issue, it's
24 gone -- it's gone as smoothly as the other overlays have

1 gone. That was a unique situation I don't think that
2 anybody thought would happen, that people that were
3 trying to dial 919, particularly for a business when you
4 dial 9 - 919, that program that says dial 911, that's
5 what was happening. And I know that AT&T and other
6 companies became involved in educating, especially
7 business customers. We worked with the 911 centers to
8 identify who are the frequent problem areas as far as
9 making those calls, and they have come down quite a bit.
10 Yes, they're still having issues, but I don't think we'll
11 be assigning any more 9-1 area codes, if we can help it.

12 COMMISSIONER BEATTY: Glad to hear that.

13 THE WITNESS: Yeah.

14 EXAMINATION BY COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:

15 Q Mr. Smith, the summary that you passed out to
16 the Commission this morning had a footnote that
17 identified the Joint Telecommunications Carriers. Have
18 those carriers changed throughout the course of this
19 proceeding or do they remain the same?

20 A Well, quite frankly, I do not know.

21 Q Okay.

22 A I do not know of any changes.

23 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Tyler, do you
24 have something to add to that?

1 MR. TYLER: There have been no changes.

2 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank
3 you.

4 Q And, also, somewhat as a follow up to
5 Commissioner Beatty's question, but just in general,
6 other than the 911/919 issues that were experienced over
7 in the Raleigh calling area, are there other difficulties
8 or issues that might be expected when we move in this
9 direction with this overlay?

10 A This is by far the least disruptive to
11 consumers, to businesses and to the carriers, as we saw
12 over in the 704 area code. And, quite frankly, 919,
13 except for that -- that particular issue. The whole
14 education part is centered around making sure people
15 understand the 10-digit dialing sequence. We don't have
16 people getting their numbers changed, which creates, in
17 addition to confusion over, you know, Aunt Myrtle or
18 whoever had a different area code, today we've got all
19 the effects on smartphones and everything else, and also
20 businesses that you go to, and you give them your
21 telephone number to identify you. None of that changes,
22 which is wonderful. Consumers can still give their same
23 number. So we will focus the vast majority of education
24 on that 10-digit dialing issue.

1 Q And I guess I'm more directly asking, in your
2 experience, is there any, you know, known, identified
3 issues that we might expect just in an effort to be able
4 to have a heads up and be prepared for any specific
5 issues that might arise or are more generalized?

6 A I -- not that I'm aware of. I will tell you
7 that, you know, when we first started implementing
8 overlays, we worked through some issues with some
9 industries, for instance, alarm companies and so forth.
10 That was a long time ago. So -- and that issue centered
11 around the number that's programmed in a person's home,
12 whether it was 7 or 10 digits, to get to the alarm
13 company. As you can see, there's no alarm companies that
14 intervened in this docket, so I suspect that's not an
15 issue anymore. Back in those days we had everybody under
16 the sun intervene just to make sure that there was time
17 for implementation. The timeline that we've got laid out
18 here provides ample time for all that.

19 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Are there questions
20 on the Commission's questions?

21 (No response.)

22 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: There being no
23 questions, then you are excused, Mr. Smith.

24 MR. SMITH: Thank you.

1 (Witness excused.)

2 MR. TYLER: Madam Hearing Officer, if I may,
3 just to clarify the question that you had earlier
4 regarding the Joint Telecommunications Carriers, were you
5 talking about from the original opening of the docket or
6 since it's been reactivated?

7 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Since it's been
8 reactivated.

9 MR. TYLER: Okay. Well, then, the carriers
10 that are in footnote one are the same carriers that are
11 before you today through Rob Smith's testimony. However,
12 when the docket first was opened several years ago, the
13 Joint Carriers at that time were BellSouth, LEXCOM, North
14 State and Sprint. So you can see that either the
15 organization of some of those entities have changed or
16 there has been an addition of certain entities. So I
17 just want to be very clear that since the docket was
18 reactivated, the carriers in footnote one are still --
19 remain in the docket, but that is slightly different from
20 those who were in the docket originally.

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Thank
22 you for that, Mr. Tyler.

23 MR. TYLER: You're welcome.

24 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay. Are there any

1 other witnesses to come before us?

2 (No response.)

3 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay. Out of an
4 abundance of caution, we will receive either through
5 judicial notice and/or into evidence the prior testimony
6 in this docket, and comments from the formal parties will
7 be received into evidence going back to 2001 through the
8 present. And all of this prior testimony and comments
9 will be part of the record and considered, even though
10 none of the present serving Commissioners were members of
11 the Commission in 2001. Are there any objections to
12 that?

13 (No response.)

14 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: There being no
15 objections, the objections will be considered waived.

16 And after that, I believe we need to address
17 proposed orders. The proposed orders can be in -- or
18 they can be filed jointly, if the parties agree. If
19 those can be in within 30 days, and make sure that they
20 address the implementation schedule that's being proposed
21 for the overlay.

22 Are there any other questions or other matters
23 that you can think of that need to be addressed at this
24 time for purposes of a clear record?

1 (No response.)

2 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: That's all the
3 Commission can think of at this time. So with that,
4 nothing further to come before the Commission on this
5 matter, we are adjourned. Thank you.

6 (The hearing was adjourned.)

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF WAKE

C E R T I F I C A T E

I, Linda S. Garrett, Notary Public/Court Reporter,
do hereby certify that the foregoing hearing before the
North Carolina Utilities Commission in Docket No.
P-100, Sub 137 C, was taken and transcribed under my
supervision; and that the foregoing pages constitute a
true and accurate transcript of said Hearing.

I do further certify that I am not of counsel for,
or in the employment of either of the parties to this
action, nor am I interested in the results of this
action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my
name this 3rd day of July, 2014.



Linda S. Garrett

Notary Public No. 19971700150