From:

Connie Raper

Sent:

Sunday, May 19, 2024 11:37 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Connie Raper

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Connie Raper

Email

ckrmob@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Duke Energy's most recent Carbon Plan once again fails to create a plausible pathway to decarbonization at the speed we need. We are coming out to the NCUC hearing to demand they reject this plan create a new one that meets the requirements of the law and a livable future.

From:

Keval Kaur Khalsa

Sent:

Monday, May 20, 2024 3:49 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Keval Kaur Khalsa

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Keval Kaur Khalsa

Email

keval.khalsa@duke.edu

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

I am urging you in the strongest possible terms to reject Duke Energy's climate-wrecking carbon plan. Stop supporting Duke Energy's suppression of rooftop solar and battery storage and give serious consideration to NC WARN's Sharing Solar Proposal. Locating solar panels and storage batteries where power is used, particularly rooftops and parking areas, will generate jobs in towns and cities across the state, add protections during emergencies, and help all customers avoid annual rate increases while reducing climate pollution instead of expanding fossil fuels. Importantly, the proposal calls for prioritizing low-income customers. In this common-sense plan, the benefits of generating and storing power where it's used could begin right away, be expanded quickly and be shared statewide. We are on the brink of climate devastation. North Carolina needs to be part of the solution, not contributing more to the problem while lining the pockets of Duke Energy's upper management and shareholders.

From:

Sean L Murphy

Sent:

Monday, May 20, 2024 7:47 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Sean L Murphy

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sean L Murphy

Email

slmurphy99@aol.com

Docket

Docket Number: E-100 Sub 190

Message

Please support NC WARN proposed a major shift for the state. The costs and benefits of local solar-plus-storage (SPS) – including lower power bills – should be shared by all customers the same way we all pay for polluting power. There would be no up-front cost, plus financial incentives for adding solar power and battery storage on homes, businesses and others. Duke Energy is not heading in the right direction for Solar. We have plenty of sunny roofs and parking garages to use for solar in NC. Thank you, Sean Murphy

From:

Craig Root

Sent:

Monday, May 20, 2024 10:46 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Craig Root

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Craig Root

Email

craignc5@icloud.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Reject Duke Energy's proposal and implement NC WARN recommends.

From:

DONALD F Weber

Sent:

Monday, May 20, 2024 11:27 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by DONALD F Weber

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

DONALD F Weber

Email

don82much@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Sharing the cost of solar, just as we all share the cost of traditional carbon polluting utility plants makes great sense for the long term. Please give this great consideration and move forward with some version of the idea! Thanks, Don Weber

From:

Mark Bruno

Sent:

Monday, May 20, 2024 11:28 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Mark Bruno

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mark Bruno

Email

embee1_541@hotmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Duke Energy needs to start addressing the concerns of their customers over its share holders. It is time for Duke Energy to have a paradigm shift to renewable energy from the finite and environment destroying fossil fuels. Did Duke Energy's CEO and former governor McCrory not get the email?

From:

Sherri Zann Rosenthal

Sent:

Sunday, May 19, 2024 6:54 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Sherri Zann Rosenthal

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Sherri Zann Rosenthal

Email

szrcoho@mindspring.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Duke's Carbon Plan is not a rational response to either climate disruption or the energy economics that now favor renewables with local storage. Climate disruption is a pressing issue, and coherent action must be taken now. Instead, Duke Energy continues to propose new gas-fired generation. The Utilities Commission has in the past been a willing participant in Duke Energy's kick-the-climate-can-down-the-road strategy, and it is a strategy that has reaped large profits for Duke Energy. Please change course. Solar power and solar hot water heating have been less expensive than building new centralized power plants for years. If the good of the consuming public is the polestar for the NCUC, please adopt a Carbon Plan that puts us immediately on-course to finance distributed renewable generation with local storage on par with the way that the ratepayers have long subsidized Duke Energy's centralized generation construction through CWIP. Thank you.

From:

jeffrey dektor

Sent:

Sunday, May 19, 2024 1:01 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by jeffrey dektor

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

jeffrey dektor

Email

jjdek1@GMAIL.COM

Docket

E-100 sub 190

Message

Docket E-100 Sub 1090 should not be approved because it does not comply with the NC law HB951. HB951 requires Duke Energy to reduce emissions by 70% by 2030. That requires the generation of electricity via clean energy sources such as wind and solar NOT sources cleaner than the most polluting like coal. Once built new gas infrastructure is a decades long commitment far beyond 2023. Furthermore, the North Carolina constitution has a provision limiting the pollution of our air and water. The installation of fossil burning sources will add air pollution. Clean energy sources are readily available and economical. Please for the benefit of current and future NC residents do not accept E-100 Sub 1090. Keep our state clean, its in the law.

From:

Constance Toops "

Sent:

Sunday, May 19, 2024 12:44 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Constance Toops

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Constance Toops

Email

toopsphotoj@msn.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Please consider the fact that locating solar panels and storage batteries close to where power is used, especially on residential and business rooftops and in parking areas, will generate jobs in towns and cities across North Carolina. Additional benefits of local solar sites will add protections during emergencies, help customers avoid annual rate increases, and reduce climate pollution, instead of expanding fossil fuels. This proposal also prioritizes solar for low-income customers. The NCUC has already approved Duke Energy's plans to expand solar power many years from now. Duke assumes this would be larger-than-ever solar farms near rural communities, potentially disrupting farms and natural areas. Dukes' plan would require billions of dollars in new transmission projects that would drive up the cost of the solar. NC WARN argues that most of the expansion should be local solar power sites, where benefits of generating and storing power near where it is used could begin right away, expand quickly, and be shared statewide. NC WARN will soon file engineer Rao Konidena's testimony critical of Duke's proposed Carbon Plan for gambling on high-risk, climate-wrecking approaches for future power generation. Konidena also explains how other utility companies are using solar-plus-storage to create "virtual power plants" that help phase out polluting fossil fuels while saving millions of dollars. According to Jim Warren, director of NC WARN, polls show overwhelmingly that North Carolina's residents and businesses would rather be buying clean power. a key hindrance for expanding rooftop solar has long been the up-front cost. With emphasis on local solar installations, NC electricity users won't pay a large up-front cost to build giant fossil fuel and nuclear power plants. Instead we will all share the cost of kilowatt-hours on our monthly power bills. Warren said the new proposal will launch an innovative statewide campaign with clean energy allies to promote rooftop solar. Scores of solar companies and advocacy groups have already called to expand local solar instead of bulldozing farms and forests, as promoted in Duke's plan. I urge your commission to examine and support options for rooftop solar expansion.

From:

Robert J Harper

Sent:

Sunday, May 19, 2024 9:50 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Robert J Harper

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert J Harper

Email

rjharper1286@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Please put your full support behind the Sharing Solar proposal from NC Warn. My wife and I fully support this lower cost approach to much faster and fairer production of new clean power for North Carolina. Expanded renewable energy must take priority over expanded gas powered plants, and decentralized production is the logical and economically feasible method of doing that. We have put all of the solar panels we can fit on our house, and hope to build a garage to hold more panels. But we need to move quickly and consistently to utilizing the vast amount of space available for rooftop and parking canopy arrays with battery storage. Duke's production monopoly is in this case a hindrance to making the choice which is best for the people of North Carolina. Thank you.

From:

Harvey M Jones

Sent:

Saturday, May 18, 2024 9:17 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Harvey M Jones

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Harvey M Jones

Email

hmikejones40@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Please reject Duke Energy's plans regarding rates for local solar power. It is imperative that we cease removing fossil fuels from the ground as soon as possible. Solar, wind, hydro are all now feasible and cost effective. We subsidized the oil industry with depletion allowances for decades (and still are) to the disadvantage of all other forms of power. Time to change!

4 OFFICE

Grant, Lakisha

From:

Alice Kiger

Sent:

Saturday, May 18, 2024 1:32 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Alice Kiger

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alice Kiger

Email

akiger@windstream.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Duke Energy needs to listen to the needs of NC and the world, not themselves. Make them pay attention to climate change and ongoing economic catastrophes and losses to the general public in life and home and business stability. Putting OUR future into more fracking and methane producing methods seems crazy to me and the experts. The same with putting nuclear plants into hurricane paths, when the future predicts our weather is going to be more extreme and violent. Ask Japan how that worked out. There was a letter from 45 scientists in NC Warn, Nov 2022. That "new message to the NC governor and Duke Energy CEO is explicit: "[Duke Energy's] plans for greatly increasing the use of natural gas in coming decades to generate electricity are completely out of step with the science reflecting the urgent need to phase out fossil fuels." Duke's carbon plan projects 8,300 to 11,700 MW of new gas: p. 86, Table E-84." Listen to the experts. Build solar and wind. If they depend on them in the Arctic & Antarctic, we can too. "Several renewable energy sources can be found in the Arctic. ... sources identified are; wind, solar, hydro, geothermal and current / tidal power." (Reference from arcticyearbook.com)

From:

Bill Stowve

Sent:

Saturday, May 18, 2024 8:36 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Bill Stowve

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Bill Stowve

Email

billstowe@strattransformations.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

NC Utilities Commission - I am an individual homeowner that has usied solar energy for the last 8 years. I started with 24 solar panels and have expanded to 49 solar panels. I continue to update our system with new technology.` My objective has been to do my part in to improving our climate situation. With that, I have invested over \$150,000 which includes 30K in batteries, invested in variable speed heat pumps and I'm on my 2nd Natural Gas backup generator. The savings that Duke Energy has provided with retail pricing of a kWh when I send back excess power back to the grid. Duke Energy's proposal would discourage additional investment in solar energy technology. This would hurt solar provider companies in North Carolina thus eliminating many jobs and increasing unemployment costs to our state government.

From:

Dee Lowery

Sent:

Saturday, May 18, 2024 7:56 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Dee Lowery

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dee Lowery

Email

diedrelowery@gmail.com

Docket

Duke

Message

Good Morning I totally agree with the commercial about Duke Energy..you need to stop them. How dare they make such a profit but when my power went out around Christmas they told us a tree fell! I asked where...I wanted to go see, no response! I lost 200.00 in groceries since it was the holiday. I do not trust no power when it comes to food. What makes the CEO so great that she gets that income when we are trying to live on 70,000 a year? We the people are paying attention and sick of it. You need to work for the people not Duke

From:

Joey Werner

Sent:

Friday, May 17, 2024 9:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Statement of Position Submitted by Joey Werner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Joey Werner

Email

jwerner714@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 190

Message

Please keep solar friendly to average North Carolinians rather than beneficial solely to Duke Energy.

From: Gary Keith Smith

Sent: Monday, May 20, 2024 4:47 PM

To: Statements

Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gary Keith Smith

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gary Keith Smith

Email

smithgk@mindspring.com

Docket

E-100Sub 190CS

Message

NC HB951, passed in 2021, requires Duke Energy to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from their NC power generation by 70% relative to 2005 by 2030 and to achieve net zero by 2050. Unfortunately, Duke Energy has proposed to delay the date for 70% reduction in emissions to as late as 2035 and has proposed to dramatically increase natural gas fired power production. I ask Duke: 1. Do not compromise the original targets of HB951. a. The world is running out of time to cut emissions and the current carbon plan does not meet the moment. 2. Do not depend on natural gas to reach the 70% CO2 reduction goal. a. Gas prices are rising driving much of the price increases for Duke customers in recent years. b. Transporting gas inevitably leads to methane leaks, driving levels of this extremely powerful greenhouse gas higher. c. These gas plants will very likely become "stranded assets" which Duke customers will be paying for long after they are functioning. d. Eventual switching of the gas plants to hydrogen use will depend on an undefined source of green hydrogen, and storage, transportation and burning hydrogen at this scale is untested and contains many hurdles. 3. Do increase use of solar and wind power in the plan. a. Many homeowners and businesses are ready to help in the move from fossil fuels through rooftop or community solar, and many more could be encouraged to with effective incentive plans. However, instead of encouraging solar participation, Duke is actively discouraging solar through low pay back net metering policies and community solar policies that make them financially non-viable. b. By combining solar with battery backup makes solar dispensable at any time of the day like natural gas.