```
PLACE:
 1
               Via Videoconference
 2
    DATE:
               Tuesday, April 23, 2024
 3
               6:34 p.m. - 7:44 p.m.
    TIME:
               E-100, Sub 190
 4
    DOCKET:
 5
    BEFORE:
               Chair Charlotte A. Mitchell
 6
               Kimberly W. Duffley
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
                        IN THE MATTER OF:
               Biennial Consolidated Carbon Plan
13
14
         and Integrated Resource Plans of Duke Energy
15
        Carolinas, LLC, and Duke Energy Progress, LLC,
16
        Pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 63-110.9 and § 62-110(c)
17
18
                             VOLUME 3
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	APPEARANCES:
2	FOR DUKE ENERGY CAROLINAS, LLC, AND DUKE ENERGY
3	PROGRESS, LLC:
4	Ladawn Toon, Esq.
5	Duke Energy Corporation
6	410 South Wilmington Street, NCRH 20
7	Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
8	
9	FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
10	James Bernier, Esq.
11	Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
12	4326 Mail Service Center
13	Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4326
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	EXAMINATIONS
3	PAGE
4	CHELSEA LYONS
5	Direct Statement14
6	JUDITH MATTOX
7	Direct Statement19
8	LISA DIETZ
9	Direct Statement22
L 0	BETTY MATTESON
11	Direct Statement26
L2	ELLEN VAN VELSOR
L3	Direct Statement30
L 4	ALISON KUBICSKO
L 5	Direct Statement33
L 6	BETH CARMICHAEL
L 7	Direct Statement37
L 8	JACOB VAN KRETSCHMAR
L 9	Direct Statement41
20	SUZANNA WATKINS
21	Direct Statement44
22	CATHY DENHAM
23	Direct Statement48
24	

1	E X A M I N A T I O N S Cont'd.:	D. C.
2	MATTHEW MAYERS	PAGE
3	Direct Statement	.50
4	JIM BRAME	
5	Direct Statement	.54
6	BETH MCKEE-HUGER	
7	Direct Statement	.59
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening. Let's come to order and go on the record, please. I'm Charlotte Mitchell, Chair of the North Carolina Utilities Commission. Joining me tonight is Commissioner Kimberly Duffley.

I now call for Hearing Docket Number E-100, Sub 190, which is the Biennial Consolidated Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plans of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC, and Duke Energy Progress, LLC, held pursuant to North Carolina General Statute § 62-110.9 and § 62-110.1(c).

Pursuant to the State Government Ethics Act,

I remind members of the Commission of our

responsibility to avoid conflicts of interest, and

inquire if any Commissioner has a known conflict of

interest with regard to matter before us this evening?

(No response.)

CHAIR MITCHELL: The record will reflect that no conflict has been identified, so we will proceed.

North Carolina General Statute § 62-110.9, which I'll refer to as the Carbon Plan Statute, directs the Commission to take all reasonable steps to

```
achieve a 70 percent reduction in carbon dioxide
emitted by electric generating facilities in this
state owned or operated by Duke Energy Carolinas and
Duke Energy Progress from 2005 levels by the year 2030
and to carbon neutrality by the year 2050, subject to
certain discretionary limitations. In accordance with
the Carbon Plan Statute, the Commission issued an
Order adopting initial Carbon Plan and providing
direction for future planning on December 30th, 2022
in Docket Number E-100, Sub 179. I'll refer to that
Order as the additional Carbon Plan.

The Carbon Plan Statute directs the
Commission to review the Plan every two years after
```

The Carbon Plan Statute directs the

Commission to review the Plan every two years after

the adoption of the Initial Carbon Plan. The Initial

Carbon Plan provided for the consolidation of the

Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plan processes and

required Duke to file its first proposed Biennial

CPIRP by no later than September 1st, 2023.

On March 15, 2023, the Commission opened this docket for the 2023 CPIRP proceeding.

On August 17, 2023, Duke filed a verified petition seeking the Commission's approval of its proposed 2023 CPIRP.

On September 21 -- on September 1 -- I'm

sorry -- 2023, Duke prefiled direct testimony and exhibits of witnesses in support of its verified petition and proposed 2023 CPIRP.

2.1

On September 21, the Commission issued an Order in this docket scheduling a Technical Conference to be held on October 12, for the purpose of receiving an oral presentation from Duke on its proposed 2023 CPIRP. That Technical Conference was held as scheduled on October 12, 2023.

On November 30, 2023, Duke made a filing, accompanied by supplemental expert witness testimony, for the purpose of updating the Commission and all parties in this docket on substantial material changes and the Company's load forecast since its preparation of the -- since its initial preparation of the CPIRP.

On December 18, 2023, by filing, Duke recommended that it was necessary to perform limited supplemental modeling and to submit additional portfolio analysis and supporting testimony in this proceeding based on its updated 2023 load -- fall load forecast.

Following the receipt and consideration of comments from interested parties regarding Duke's intent to file supplemental materials, on January 17,

2024, the Commission issued an Order scheduling public hearings, establishing interventions, and testimony due dates, and discovery guidelines, requiring public notice, and providing direction regarding Duke's supplemental modeling.

The January 17, procedural Order permitted

Duke to file supplemental modeling, additional

portfolio analysis, and supplemental testimony. It

set forth discovery guidelines, and scheduled five

Public Hearings across the State, including one to be

held remotely, scheduled the Technical Conference on

the intervenor's analysis of the CPIRP, required Duke

to provide public notice of the hearings to its

customers, and extended the intervention deadline, the

testimony prefiling deadlines, and the expert witness

hearing date.

The Public Staff, which represents the Using and Consuming Public, has been made a party to this proceeding in accordance with North Carolina General Statute § 62-15(d) and the Attorney General's Office has been allowed to intervene in this proceeding on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public in accordance with North Carolina General Statute § 62-20 and on behalf of the State and its citizens in accordance

with North Carolina § 114-2, Sub 8.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In addition to the Public Staff and the Attorney General, the following parties have petitioned to, and been allowed to, intervene in this proceeding: Appalachian Voices; Avangrid Renewables; Carolina Clean Energy Business Association; Clean Energy Buyers Association; Carolina Industrial Group for Fair Utility Rates II and III; Carolina Utility Customers Association, Inc.; Electricities of North Carolina, Inc.; North Carolina Eastern Municipal Power Agency, and North Carolina Municipal Power Agency Number 1; the Environmental Defense Fund; Fayetteville Public Works Commission; Google; NCWARN; North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation; North Carolina Sustainable Energy Association; Southern Alliance for Clean Energy, the Sierra Club and the Natural Resources Defense Council; Totalenergies; and Walmart.

That brings us to tonight. We're here tonight to hear from you, the public, regarding the contents of Duke's 2023 CPIRP. In order to facilitate a full and fair opportunity for all speakers to participant tonight, we're going to use the following procedures: First, in conducting this hearing, the

Commission functions in a judicial capacity, as we're required to under North Carolina Law. We largely follow the North Carolina Rules of Civil Procedure and the Rules of Evidence. Because the Commission functions as a Court, we cannot respond to your questions. Rather we're here to receive evidence from you in the form of your testimony. In addition, for these reasons, if you choose to testify tonight, you'll be asked to affirm to the truthfulness of your testimony before giving it; second, Public witnesses will appear by audio connection only. Commissioners and attorneys will be appearing by video and audio connection. Any public witness that wishes to view a live video of the proceeding may access it on a computer by way of Youtube, which is linked to the Commission's home page.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

However, be sure to mute your computer when you're called to testify to avoid feedback. This hearing is being transcribed by our court reporter, and it's critical that we limit interference with her ability to hear me, and to hear those of you who are going to testify tonight; third, in order to allow each person an equal amount of time, there will be a limit of three minutes for each witness to speak;

fourth, public witnesses will be called on to testify in the order that you-all have called in. When it's your turn to speak, the Webex Administrator will send you a request to unmute your line. You will hear the message, "You are being asked to unmute yourself. To unmute, press star six." It's important that you listen for this message. Once you unmute yourself, please state your name to signify that you are unmuted. At that time, I will ask you to provide an affirmation as to the truthfulness of your testimony.

Once you provided the affirmation, the attorney for the Public Staff will ask you a series of questions, and then you may begin your testimony. To ensure that this hearing runs as efficiently as possible, please pay close attention during the course of the hearing, and be ready to respond as soon as you hear the message directing you to unmute your line.

Counsel for any party may ask questions of the witnesses tonight. In addition, Commissioners may have the opportunity to ask you questions as well. This means that if you choose to provide testimony, you may be asked questions by the attorneys or by Commissioner Duffley, or by me. However, as a reminder, the Commission and the attorneys for the

parties are not allowed to respond to your questions during the course of this proceeding.

With that, we are ready to begin. I will call upon counsel now to announce their appearances for purposes of the record. We'll begin with Duke.

MS. TOON: Good evening, and apologies for

the technical issues that delayed this important matter. My name is Ladawn Toon, and I'm Associate General Counsel here on behalf of the Companies. Thank you to the Commission for this opportunity. Thank you to our Court Reporter for this evening, and certainly thank you to our customers. We look forward to hearing your comments and your perspectives on the Carbon Plan. Thank you and, again, apologies.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Toon.

Mr. Freeman.

MR. FREEMAN: Good evening. My name is Will Freeman. I am the Staff Attorney at the Public Staff on behalf of the Using and Consuming Public.

Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: With that, counsel, any preliminary matters before we get started?

MS. TOON: None from the Company.

MR. FREEMAN: None the Public Staff.

```
1
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may go ahead
 2
    and unmute the first witness, please.
 3
               THE WITNESS: Hi. I'm unmuted, and my name
 4
    is Chelsea Lyons.
 5
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Lyons.
                         CHELSEA LYONS;
 7
                    having been duly sworn,
                     testified as follows:
 8
 9
              COMMISSIONER DUFFLEY: Mr. Freeman, take it
10
    away.
11
              MR. FREEMAN: Ms. Lyons, if you could please
12
    state your name and spell your name for the Court
13
    Reporter, and then give your address.
14
              THE WITNESS: Yes, absolutely. So my name
    is Chelsea Lyons. It is spelled, C-H-E-L-S-E-A.
15
16
    name, L-Y-O-N-S. And I live at 621 Honeysuckle Road,
17
    in Madison, North Carolina.
18
              MR. FREEMAN: And if you could tell us who
19
    your electric service provider is.
20
               THE WITNESS: Duke Energy.
21
              MR. FREEMAN: And if you would please give
22
    your statement to the Commission and the attorneys
23
    present.
24
               THE WITNESS:
                             Absolutely.
```

DIRECT STATEMENT BY CHELSEA LYONS:

So first I'd like to start off by saying good evening. I want to thank you all for listening to our stories today. Like I said before, my name is Chelsea Lyons. I'm a mother, a wife, and I serve as a North Carolina field organizer for Moms Clean Air Force, a nonprofit organization comprised of over 1.5 million moms, dads, and caregivers dedicated to fighting against air pollution and climate change for the health of our kids.

In North Carolina, I serve over 75,600 members, and I'm here today to fight alongside those members at Moms Clean Air Force in opposition to Duke Energy revised Carbon Plan. I'm deeply concerned about Duke Energy's plan to continue with methane gas production for power plants rather than to move forward with more clean energy initiative. Clean energy initiative, such as solar and wind power produce less waste, less carbon emissions, and produce less health impacts to surrounding communities.

I, myself, live less than 20 minutes from Belews Creek Power Station; a coal-fired power plant that has been a pollutant into our air and waterways since they began operating in 1974. This plant has

exceeded state groundwater standards for several heavy metals, such as mercury, chromium, barium, selenium, and arsenic. In a study conducted by the Environmental Protection Agency, people with well water contaminated by arsenic, the main polluter driving coal ash, have a one in fifty chance of getting cancer.

In an additional study by Physicians for Social Responsibility, an overconsumption of selenium and chromium can cause illnesses, such as intestinal ulcers, anemia, stomach cancer, neurological effects such as impaired vision, paralysis, and even death.

Mercury, on the other hand, can harm human health in a variety of ways. It's especially dangerous for developing babies and children's brains. When pregnant women eat contaminated fish, mercury can cross the placenta and impact children's ability to walk, talk, read, and learn. It can also cause behavioral issues. And mercury is also linked to cardiovascular problems, including increased risk of heart attack.

I am concerned for my child, and all the other residents living in, and near -- around any of these stations, due to the negligence. Many power

plants can be found in low income, black/brown communities and indigenous communities, which causes a major environmental justice concern. This power station in particular has repeatedly contaminated Belews Lake, Little Belews Creek, and the Dan River.

In a Harvard study, researchers found that burning natural gas, biomass, and wood, will produce more negative health impacts than the ones I just suggested.

The Carbon Plan intends to build more gas plants throughout North Carolina because it will financially benefit Duke Energy, rather than set their focus on cleaner alternatives such as solar and wind farms. This is a terrifying scenario for the citizens of North Carolina, and we go must demand change. As a mother, I want a clean and healthy environment for my child, as well as all the children living in North Carolina; that is why I'm here today, where both things are concerned.

In recent alarming 108-page EPA study,
titled "Climate Change in Children's Health and
Wellbeing," it discusses the impacts climate change
has on our children's physical and mental health, and
it emphasizes the importance of considering our kids

```
when discussing matters such as this.
                                       Children's
developing brains and lungs should not have to
withstand this amount of pollution, let alone we have
the tools for clean energy operation.
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I am asking the NCUC to consider our children when making decisions regarding Duke Energy's Carbon Plans. We are asking for more clean energy initiatives to be implemented across North Carolina that will allow us to reach the goal of 70 percent reduction in carbon emissions by 2030 and 100 percent reduction by 2050.

I want to thank you again for listening my story and I hope to see a better Carbon Plan in the future. Thank you.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Lyons. me see if there are questions for you from counsel for the Public Staff, or the Company.

MS. TOON: No questions.

CHAIR MITCHELL: If there are no questions, shake your head. Okay. Commissioner Duffley, questions from you?

(No response.)

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Lyons, for your testimony tonight. We appreciate your time.

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute
3	the next witness.
4	THE WITNESS: Hello. I'm Judy Mattox, and
5	I'm unmuted.
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Mattox.
7	JUDITH MATTOX;
8	having been affirmed,
9	testified as follows:
10	THE WITNESS: Can you hear me adequately?
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: We can hear you, ma'am.
12	Mr. Freeman, you may take it away.
13	MR. FREEMAN: Ms. Mattox, if you would
14	please spell your name and give your address and tell
15	us your electric service provider.
16	THE WITNESS: Yes. I am Judith Mattox.
17	J-U-D-I-T-H. Mattox, M-A-T-T-O-X. Address is 15
18	Morning Star Drive, Leicester, North Carolina.
19	Leicester is L-E-I-C-E-S-T-E-R, and my provider is
20	Duke Energy Progress.
21	MR. FREEMAN: Thank you, Ms. Mattox. And
22	just for everyone on this call, I should have told you
23	that, as the attorney for the Public Staff, we
24	represent the entire Using and Consuming Public, and

```
1
    we have a team of engineers, accountants, economists,
2
    and attorneys who have analyzed the Carbon Plan and
3
    Integrated Resource Plan that Duke has and that our
    testimony will be filed on May 28, 2024, and that your
 4
5
    testimony, today, is being made part of the record
6
    that the Public Staff can use when preparing its
7
    testimony. So I know everyone on this call
8
    appreciates you and thanks you.
9
               If we could, then, Ms. Mattox, with my
10
    preamble, if you could, please, give your statement
11
    for the people on this call.
```

THE WITNESS: Yes.

DIRECT STATEMENT BY JUDITH MATTOX:

Thank you for accepting my statement.

I am very, respectfully, concerned about the plans Duke Energy has submitted. In the past, Duke has said gas prices were a strong reason for increasing energy rates, but, yet, now they are asking to raise gas usage to triple from three gigabytes to nine gigabytes. North Carolina regulations allows Duke to charge customers to build plants, infrastructure, which makes it profitable for them to request such buildings but, then, they can turn around and charge customers for the gas costs that are

required. Whereas wind and solar do not have fuel costs. These gas plants are not cost-effective for in the future, they will be outdated and inefficient.

But we, the consumers, will still be paying for them.

Gas plants emit methane, which is a much more potent greenhouse gas than CO2, this will raise the rate of climate change. Gas leaks are reported on an average of one every 40 hours in the United States and are explosive. Investing in a clean energy economy will help North Carolina be competitive into the future with opportunities for business.

House Bill 951 set a deadline, as you know, of 2030 requiring, as you know, the 70 percent carbon reduction that Duke is seeking to delay to 2035. This is unacceptable. The 2030 goal is achievable with expansion of solar, storage, and energy efficiency. Duke admitted acknowledging in its plans, recommendations from a report that they Commissioned from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory which found that Duke could most economically meet the carbon reduction target by tripling the proposed solar on the grid by 2030.

Thank you for your time and attention.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Mattox. Let

```
1
    me see if there are questions for you.
 2
                         (No response.)
 3
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley,
 4
    questions from you?
 5
                         (No response.)
 6
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Mattox, thank you very
 7
    much for your testimony tonight. We appreciate your
    time.
9
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
10
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute
11
    the next witness.
12
              THE WITNESS: Hello. This is Lisa Dietz,
13
    can you hear me?
14
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Dietz,
15
    yes, we can hear you.
16
                          LISA DIETZ;
17
                     having been affirmed,
18
                     testified as follows:
19
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
20
    it away.
21
              MR. FREEMAN:
                             Thank you, Ms. Dietz.
                                                    If you
22
    could, please, spell your name, give your address, and
23
    then tell us who your electric service provider is.
24
               THE WITNESS: Yes. Again, my name Lisa
```

```
1
    Dietz. L-I-S-A. The last name is D, as in David,
 2
    I-E-T-Z. My address is 18632 River Crossing
 3
    Boulevard, and that's in Davidson, North Carolina.
    And --
 4
 5
              MR. FREEMAN: And who's your --
 6
              THE WITNESS: Duke Energy is my -- Duke
 7
    Energy.
 8
              MR. FREEMAN:
                            Thank you. If you could
9
    please make your statement.
10
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yes.
11
    DIRECT STATEMENT BY LISA DIETZ:
12
              Thank you and good evening, esteemed
13
    Commissioners. Again, my name is Lisa Dietz. I'm
14
    proud to call Davidson, North Carolina home for the
15
    past nine years along side my family. First and
16
    foremost, I really want to extend my sincerest
17
    appreciation for the opportunity to address you
18
    tonight, and I want to think the Commissioners for all
19
    their hard work on this topic. I know you-all spend a
20
    lot of time and energy on this, and I'm very, very
21
    grateful.
22
              Now, concerning Duke Energy's current
23
    proposal for the Carbon Plan, I urge you to consider
24
```

my perspective as a North Carolinian as someone who

knows a bit about technology. I have a master's degree in chemical engineering. As also as a mom who cares about her family's future.

I have observed climate impacts and climate change just in the short time I've lived in North Carolina. And the reality is, is climate change is here now and we need to act now. I can tell you, as a mom, when I think about the future I am worried. Climate change impacts are only going to accelerate, and there are real solutions out there to give our kids that healthier, safer future. And I feel like it's past time for our officials to prioritize climate.

So I have to say, I was thrilled when I learned about House Bill 951. But, that being said, I'm not thrilled with Duke's Plan to date. The Plan that Duke Energy is proposing involves pushing back the 2030 timelines, which is meant to be a last resort in case of unforeseen obstacles. This should not be the main Plan for the State of North Carolina, and the Commission should not allow that to happen. Again, Again, it's an amazing opportunity we have right now to protect North Carolina and our kids and our future generations. I really feel strongly that we can have

safe and clean energy. So I urge you to implement a plan that prioritizes renewable energy sources and battery storage.

2.3

I urge you to implement a Plan that does not rely on old dirty polluting fossil fuel power generation. Renewables are now the cheapest way to generate electricity and renewables are absolutely the least-cost pathway for achieving the goals set fourth by House Bill 951.

I recognize that new fossil fuel power generation is likely what's in the best interest for Duke and it's shareholders, and I feel like we can see that come through in the assumptions made in the Plan. I understand that Duke has added an arbitrary 20 percent cost bump for renewables but, yet, at the same time, Duke's Plan is overly optimistic for gas and nuclear generation in terms of cost, timelines, and future fuel availability. I have to say, I don't envy you and your job. This is surely not easy trying to find the balance between the financial interest of Duke Energy and the overall public interest. I don't think it's the best business decision for North Carolina to move forward with Duke's proposal.

So I urge you, please, reject Duke's

1	proposal. Duke's proposal is not in the best interest
2	of North Carolina, and North Carolina deserves better.
3	Thanks again for your time.
4	CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Dietz. Let
5	me see if there are questions for you from counsel for
6	either of the parties.
7	(No response.)
8	CHAIR MITCHELL: No questioners.
9	Commissioner Duffley, questions from you?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Dietz, there are not
12	questions for you tonight. Thank you very much for
13	your testimony, and we appreciate your time.
14	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
15	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute
16	the next witness.
17	Good evening, Ms. Matteson.
18	BETTY MATTESON;
19	having been affirmed,
20	testified as follows:
21	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
22	it away.
23	MR. FREEMAN: Ms. Matteson, thank you for
24	attending. If you could please spell your name, give

your address and then tell us who your electric service provider is, please.

THE WITNESS: Betty Matteson. B-E-T-T-Y. M-A-T-T-E-S-O-N. My address is 5108 Fortunes Ridge Drive, in Durham, North Carolina. And my energy provider is Duke Energy.

MR. FREEMAN: Thank you, Ms. Matteson. Ιf you could please give us your statement.

> THE WITNESS: Thank you.

DIRECT STATEMENT BY BETTY MATTESON:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Good evening, and thank you for giving me the chance to speak to you. As I said, my name is Betty Matteson, and I'm a native of North Carolina. Born and raised in Wilmington and I've been a resident of Durham for more than 50 years. I'm retired from a healthcare career. More importantly, I'm a parent and a grandparent and I am deeply concerned for the health and safety of my family. I'm frightened by the potential negative effects of North Carolina's continued reliance of fossil fuels. I'm deeply concerned about how this will impact the health and safety of my family. And in my career in cardiac rehabilitation, I witnessed the impacts of cardiovascular disease here in central North Carolina.

I'm worried that degradation of air quality, due to reliance on fossil fuels, will negatively effect my health and the health of my husband, children, and their grandchildren.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Research has indicated that reducing methane emissions from the energy industry could improve public health for those at risk of cardiovascular disease. By reducing our dependence on fossil fuels, our children could enjoy a healthier future. Why is this not the number one priority of the 2024 Carbon Plan? My childhood included many happy hours at Wrightsville Beach. Our children and grandchildren want to spend time there. Scientists tell us that the warming climate will cause rising sea levels and increasingly frequent and intense hurricanes. see images on the news of beach front erosion along the Outer Banks and I wonder would sea level rise or a destructive hurricane threaten our beloved Wrightsville. I have been blessed with many forms of privilege, including that I could afford not to live where follows fuels are transported or stored. reminded of this privilege when I drive through Greensboro where tank farms are located right next to the interstate. People living in counties where

pipelines are located are at greater risk of facing boarder and air pollution and other risks associated with the pipelines.

Researchers at North Carolina State

University has shown the counties with the most socially vulnerable populations. People of color and low-wealth communities have significantly higher pipeline densities. Now Duke Energy proposes extending the pipeline into Alamance County through a highly residential area within half a half hour of Alamance Community College. How will this pipeline effect the lives of the people and, especially, children living there?

In conclusion, Duke Energy's 2024 Carbon

Plan falls short of the goals that they helped to

write in 2021. It appears that profit has been

prioritized ahead of public health and safety. This

latest proposal continues to invest in and expand on

the use of pollution-generating fossil fuels and fails

to support the expansion of safer, healthier, clean

energy resources.

Thank you for your time.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Matteson, for your testimony. Let me see if there are questions

```
1
    for you from counsel of the parties.
 2
                        (No response.)
 3
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley,
 4
    questions from you?
 5
                         (No response.)
 6
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Matteson, there are no
 7
    questions for you tonight. Thank you very much for
    your testimony and for your time, we appreciate it.
9
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
10
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
                               Mr. McCoy, you may unmute
11
    our next witness.
12
               THE WITNESS: Ellen Van Velsor.
13
                       ELLEN VAN VELSOR;
14
                     having been affirmed,
15
                     testified as follows:
16
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, take it away.
17
              MR. FREEMAN: Thank you for coming tonight.
18
    If you could, please, spell your name, give your
19
    address, and tell us your electric service provider.
20
               THE WITNESS: Yes. Ellen. E-L-L-E-N.
                                                       Van.
21
    V, as in Victor, A-N. Velsor. V, as in Victor,
22
    E-L-S-O-R. I live at 1050 Wakefield Drive, in
23
    Greensboro, and Duke Energy is my electric provider.
24
              MR. FREEMAN:
                             Thank you. If you could
```

please give your statement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

DIRECT STATEMENT BY ELLEN VAN VELSOR:

And thank you to the Commission first of all for all you do. I recognize both the importance and the difficulty of the Commission's work.

I have two requests this evening; that the Commission reject any plans from Duke Energy that do not meet the decarbonization goals of 70 percent emissions reductions by 2030 as required by law, and Duke be required to submit at least one modeled Plan that does not include new fossil fuel generation. While the law allows the Utilities Commission to authorize a two-year delay if it deems it's necessary, my understanding is that the intent of the law is not to roll back deadlines in the planning phase, but rather to do so primarily when implementing nuclear or offshore wind installation due to issues that occur in ways that are beyond Duke's ability to anticipate or I feel, at this point, there is insufficient evidence being presented to justify such an exception to the requirements of settled law.

In order to meet the goals established by law, it's critical that Duke stop building new fossil

fuel plants, places existing plants on an accelerated retirement path, and accelerates the building of clean energy facilities and grid scale storage. Therefore, I'm asking that Duke be required to present at least one Plan that prioritizes renewables. The Plans currently presented seem unrealistic in the proposed timeline for the build out of nuclear power and in the proposed dependence of near-future availability of green hydrogen. I understand the least cost solution need prioritization, but I ask that the Commission carefully scrutinize Duke's cost estimate. The plans add a 20 percent markup to the cost of renewables, while underestimating the cost for both fossil fuel and nuclear build out.

In addition, the fact that Duke is proposing to build a fossil fuel generation facility in South Carolina that will provide power to North Carolina but is not being folded into North Carolina emission's reporting is also an issue I'd like to see the Commission review.

Thank you so much this evening for your time.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Van Velsor, let me see if there are questions for you from counsel

1	for the parties.
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIR MITCHELL: There are no questions from
4	counsel. Commissioner Duffley, any questions?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Van Velsor, thank you,
7	again, for your testimony tonight. We appreciate your
8	time.
9	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
10	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may go ahead
11	and unmute our next witness.
12	THE WITNESS: This is Alison Kubicsko. Am I
13	being heard?
14	CHAIR MITCHELL: Yes. Good evening,
15	Ms. Kubicsko.
16	ALISON KUBICSKO;
17	having been affirmed,
18	testified as follows:
19	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
20	it away.
21	MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. Ms. Kubicsko, if
22	you could spell your name, give your address, and then
23	tell us your electric service provider.
24	THE WITNESS: Sure. My first name is

- 1 Allison. A-L-I-S-O-N. My last name is Kubicsko.
- 2 K-U-B-I-C-S-K-O. My address is 5416 Big Bass Drive,
- 3 | in Raleigh, 27610, and Duke Energy Progress is my
- 4 provider. 2.
- 5 MR. FREEMAN: Thank you very much for that.
- 6 If you could please make your statement or give your
- 7 comments.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 9 DIRECT STATEMENT BY ALISON KUBICSKO:
- 10 And hello. I live in Raleigh with my
- 11 husband and our dog, and I first want to thank the
- 12 Commission for taking public comments here virtually
- 13 and at the in-person hearings as well. I really
- 14 appreciate the work of the Commission in making sure
- 15 | that we have reliable, affordable energy. I recognize
- 16 the work you're doing and how consuming it must be to
- 17 listen and balance all the input from so many
- 18 stakeholders in regards to implementing the Carbon
- 19 Plan. So thank you.
- I'm testifying today in response to Duke
- 21 | Energy's proposal because I want to stress my concern
- 22 about climate change and the need to reduce our carbon
- 23 emissions now. I hope the Commission will hold Duke
- 24 | Energy accountable to North Carolina's Carbon Plan

commitment of 70 percent emissions reduction by 2030 as laid out by the law. Later is too late to reduce our emissions and to reduce our fossil fuel use.

Duke Energy extending the deadline to 2035 for really no good reason at all is really just kicking the can down the road. We know the cost of renewables have come down significantly, yet, their Plan arbitrarily inflate those costs while also underestimating the cost of fossil fuels. It shouldn't be ignored that burning fossil fuels has a cost, not just to the environment through climate change, but to our health as well through the pollution that we're all breathing in. Not to mention that fossil fuels will not last forever. Building fossil fuel plants is short-sited. Ratepayers will be the ones paying for these plants that will be obsolete in just a few short years. That is not a cost that I am looking forward to paying.

I'm especially bothered by Duke Energy's idea of building a new gas plant in South Carolina and not taking those emissions into account, which goes against what they've promise us before. It feels deceptive and dishonest. I learned about climate change in high school. I learned about how

devastating it can be, but also that there are solutions and ways that we can avoid the worst. We have innovators, inventors, advocates, public servants, and business people who would surely solve this problem quickly; but, here 15 years later, it seems like we're dancing around this issue when we have an opportunity to the face it head on in a forward-thinking way.

I recently moved here from New York, and I'm sure there are opinions about transplants, but the truth is, I could have moved anywhere, but I chose North Carolina because it is a beautiful state full of welcoming, kind, and hardworking people, full of natural places of enjoyment, and I'm sure none of us want to see the people and places we love harmed by the impacts of climate change.

I have hope that our state can be a leader in the south and in the country in this moment. We can be leaders in emission reductions and renewable energy deployment and we can reject the building of new fossil fuel plants. I ask the Commission to, please, make sure that Duke Energy stays within the Carbon Plan's 2030 goal and demand a proposal without new fossil fuel generation.

1	Thank you so much for your time.
2	CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you for your
3	testimony, Ms. Kubicsko. Let me see if there are
4	questions for you from counsel.
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: No questions from counsel
7	and Commissioner Duffley?
8	(No response.)
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: No questions from
10	Commissioner Duffley. Ms. Kubicsko, we appreciate
11	your testimony and your testimony tonight. Thank you
12	for being with us.
13	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
14	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute
15	the next witness.
16	THE WITNESS: Hi. Can everybody hear me
17	okay?
18	CHAIR MITCHELL: Yes. Could you let me know
19	your name, please.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. It's Beth Carmichael.
21	BETH CARMICHAEL;
22	having been affirmed,
23	testified as follows:
24	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, take it away.

```
1
              MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. Ms. Carmichael, if
 2
    you could please spell your name, give your address,
    and let us know your electric service provider.
 3
 4
              THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Beth
 5
    Carmichael. Its, B-E-T-H. Carmichael.
 6
    C-A-R-M-I-C-H-A-E-L. And my address is 812 Cotton
 7
    Exchange Court, Raleigh, North Carolina 27608, and I
 8
    am with Duke Energy Progress.
9
              MR. FREEMAN:
                            Thank you. If you could
10
    please make your statements.
11
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
    DIRECT STATEMENT BY BETH CARMICHAEL:
13
              So as I said, my name is Beth, and thank you
14
    so much to the Commission for allowing me the
15
    opportunity to come and speak tonight.
               I've been a North Carolina resident for the
16
17
    past 16 years, and I live in Raleigh with a brilliant,
18
    but precocious 13-year-old daughter. And I absolutely
19
    love North Carolina, and I would not want to rear my
20
    daughter anywhere else. It truly is home for me.
21
               I'd like to start by staying that I'm very
22
    grateful to the Commission for keeping electricity
23
    rates in North Carolina among the lowest in the
24
```

I, especially, want to thank you for the

country.

```
1
    recent Order establishing the PowerPair Program.
 2
    think this is a fantastic forward-looking program
 3
    because of -- you guys clearly recognize it encourages
 4
    North Carolinians to install solar panels and
 5
    batteries in their homes, and will have a beneficial
 6
    effect on our environment and on our economy. As I've
 7
    been watching the Carbon Plan process unfold, I don't
 8
    quite know how to say this but, I just -- I'm not
 9
    fully convinced that Duke Energy is playing straight
10
    with us.
              From what I can tell, it seems like they're
11
    inflating the cost of renewables while, at the same
12
    time, being overly optimistic about the cost for gas
    and nuclear generation. Duke says that renewables
13
    and, particularly, battery storage are unproven and
14
15
    not yet salable, but in their estimates, they're
16
    relying on the conversion of gas plants to hydrogen,
    which is far from proven to meet our reduction
17
18
    targets. And we don't have the infrastructure for
19
    hydrogen, and we also didn't get a hydrogen hub in the
20
    infrastructure law. So what is going to happen when
21
    the cost projections for this hydrogen plant don't pan
22
    out?
23
              Nuclear is the other big pillar of their
24
    Plan.
           And, don't get me wrong, I'm a big fan of
```

SMR's, but, as with hydrogen, it feels like we're getting -- not getting the full story here. actual cost for nuclear are much higher in the real world than what Duke is suggesting. So my question is, what are the consequences if they are wrong about all these costs? The cost estimates are so wonky that I can't help but wonder if renewable sources really are a more expensive or riskier than what they're proposing. After all, everybody knows the cost of renewables has been dropping recently and are probably pretty competitive. The thing that probably concerns me the most about Duke's Plan is that it's very suspicious to me that the gas plant they want to build in South Carolina that's supposed to provide energy to North Carolina, but Duke doesn't want to count the carbon pollutions from that plant and their numbers for the Carbon Plan. I think that contradicts what they proposed during their public input period, and I, frankly, don't understand how that's legal.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm not an energy expert, but it just feels like Duke is putting their thumb on the scale with their cost analysis. And I don't really think it's a good idea for us to bet the farm on this much uncertainty. We've all seen what happens when Duke

```
1
    has to spend money that it wasn't anticipating.
 2
    it, frankly, still bothers me that I have to pay for
 3
    that coal ash cleanup.
 4
              So as a Tar heel and a mom, I'm asking the
 5
    Commission to, please, stand with the people of North
 6
    Carolina so that we can have a healthy environment and
 7
    good prices at the same time.
 8
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Carmichael.
9
    Let me see if there are questions for you from
10
    counsel.
11
                        (No response.)
12
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley?
13
                         (No response.)
14
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Carmichael, there are
15
    no questions for you tonight. We appreciate your
16
    being with us and your testimony. Thank you very
17
    much.
18
              THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may unmute
20
    our next witness.
21
               THE WITNESS: Jacob Van Kretschmar.
22
                     JACOB VAN KRETSCHMAR;
23
                     having been affirmed,
24
                     testified as follows:
```

```
1
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
 2
    it away.
 3
              MR. FREEMAN:
                            Thank you. Mr. Kretschmar, if
 4
    you could, please, spell your name, give us your
 5
    address, and tell us your electric service provider.
 6
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. My name is Jacob
 7
    Van Kretschmar. Three words. First word, Jacob.
 8
    J-A-C-O-B. Second word, Van. V, as in Victor, A-N.
9
    Third word, Kretschmar. K, as in kitchen; R, as in
10
    raspberry; E, as in Edward; T, as in Tree; S, as in
11
    snake; C, as in cheese; H, as in Harry; M, as in Mary;
12
    A, as in apple; R, as in raspberry. I live at 111
13
    Rock Hound Road, Knightdale, 27545. My electricity
14
    provider is Duke Energy.
15
              MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. If you could,
16
    please, give us your statement.
17
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
18
    DIRECT STATEMENT BY JACOB VAN KRETSCHMAR:
19
              Good evening. I want to thank the
20
    Commission for giving me, and other North Carolinians,
21
    an opportunity to express our concerns about the
22
    proposed Carbon Plan mandated by House Bill 951.
23
              I've lived in North Carolina for 38 years.
```

I have a daughter and three nieces living in our

24

state. I'm concerned about the consequences of a warming planet on their health, safety, and financial security. Additionally, I have worked as an entomologist long enough in this state to have seen increased average annual temperatures that favor more generations of crop pests and public health pests.

HB 951 represents the will of North

Carolinians that carbon emissions that are heating the planet be reduced. The Bill represents responsive and responsible public policy and that it balances that will with the need for electricity. The Bill specifies emission reduction goals, a timeline, and a least cost approach.

The Carbon Plan that is adopted should be designed to meet those specifications. Current costs favor scale-up of available renewables and storage technologies. Proposals to increase electricity generation by increasing natural gas use fail to appreciate that natural gas is a carbon emitter, and ignore the availability of non-emitting alternatives. A carbon Plan that proposes to rely on future hydrogen production and future hydrogen infrastructure to meet the statutes timeline is not persuasive. The adopted Carbon Plan stakeholders should include consumers who

```
1
    may lack the financial means to insulate themselves
 2
    and their loved ones from the consequences of failing
 3
    to meet HB 951's goals, timeline, and least cost
 4
    approach.
 5
              Thank you for considering the Carbon Plan's
    importance, the well being of all North Carolinians.
 6
 7
    I respect the Commissioners for engaging with the
 8
    challenge of authorizing a Carbon Plan that fulfills
9
    нв 951.
10
               Thank you.
11
                               Thank you, Mr. Van
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
12
    Kretschmar. Let me see if there are questions for you
13
    from counsel.
14
                        (No response.)
15
              CHAIR MITCHELL: No questions from counsel.
16
    And from, Commissioner Duffley?
17
                         (No response.)
18
               CHAIR MITCHELL: No questions from
19
    Commissioner Duffley. Mr. Van Kretschmar, we
20
    appreciate your testimony tonight and for your time.
21
    Thank you very much.
22
              THE WITNESS: Thank you. Thank you-all.
23
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, if you would
24
    unmute our next witness.
```

```
1
               THE WITNESS: Suzanna Watkins.
 2
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Watkins.
 3
                        SUZANNA WATKINS;
 4
                     having been affirmed,
 5
                     testified as follows:
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, you may take
 7
    it away.
 8
              MR. FREEMAN:
                             Thank you. If you could
9
    please spell your name, give your address, and tell us
10
    your electric service provider.
11
               THE WITNESS: First name is Suzanna.
12
    S-U-Z-A-N-N-A. Last name Watkins. W-A-T-K-I-N-S.
13
    address is 925 Marguerite Drive, Winston Salem, North
14
    Carolina 27106, and I'm a Duke Energy customer.
15
              MR. FREEMAN:
                             Thank you. If you could,
16
    please, make your statement.
17
               THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
18
    DIRECT STATEMENT BY SUZANNA WATKINS:
19
              My name is Suzanna Watkins.
                                            I'm a North
20
    Carolina resident since 1970, and I'm extremely
21
    concerned about the climate crisis and I believe that
22
    the federal government and every state need to act
23
    quickly to reduce carbon emission using all resources
24
    at their disposal.
```

The North Carolina Utilities Commission is one of our most powerful resources, and it has done a great job keeping utility rates low and working on behalf of North Carolina citizens with innovative programs like tariffed on-bill financing for energy efficient upgrades and directing Duke Energy to speed up pilot programs that will strengthen the grid and make it more efficient. I am so worried the places I love in our state will vanish if the climate crisis is not addressed aggressively. Will rising seas drown our beach communities? Will salt water intrusion in coastal farmland displace farmers. Mountain peak leaf season has already migrated to November. Imagine it in December, and the stress that that will put on our forests.

Duke Energy's preferred Carbon Plan pushes the 70 percent decarbonization goal to 2035. We can't afford this delay. Pushing back the deadline is meant to be a last resort, not Duke's default starting place. Climate change is driven by accumulated carbon emission. So the faster we can reduce carbon, the more we will save over time in terms of climate-based remediation, health effects, insurance premiums, and so forth.

I see serious flaws in Duke's Carbon Plan.

Duke seems to be playing a shell game by planning a fossil gas generation plant in South Carolina to serve North Carolina customers, but not counting the plant's emissions toward the North Carolina Carbon Plan requirement. If we are paying for the power, the emissions need to be included in the carbon plant.

But better yet, Duke should rollback plans for new fossil fuel plants. The idea that they can be transitioned into hydrogen is a real stretch for the imagination, as there is no scalable model for green hydrogen anywhere in the world yet. Will these plants become expensive white elephants in 20 years with customers bearing the costs?

While hydrogen power is a dream, proven technologies exist at a lower cost right now to meet the decarbonization goal. Duke has inexplicitly passed on a 20 percent increase cost estimate for renewables when real market data show renewals to be the cheapest way to generate electricity.

I urge the North Carolina Utilities

Commission to reject any plans that do not meet the decarbonization goal of 70 percent emissions

reductions by 2030, as required by Statute HB 951.

1	Duke Energy has so much money and talent at its
2	disposal, all they lack is the will to develop the
3	best Carbon Plan for North Carolina. The North
4	Carolina Utilities Commission can show them the way.
5	Thank you.
6	CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Watkins, for
7	your testimony tonight. Are there questions for the
8	witness?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIR MITCHELL: No questions from counsel.
11	I see no questions from Commissioner Duffley. Ms.
12	Watkins, we appreciate your time tonight and for your
13	thoughtful comments.
14	Mr. McCoy, you may unmute your next witness.
15	THE WITNESS: Hi. I'm Kathy Denham.
16	CHAIR MITCHELL: Good evening, Ms. Denham.
17	CATHY DENHAM;
18	having been affirmed,
19	testified as follows:
20	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman.
21	MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. Ms. Denham, if you
22	could, please, spell your name, give your address, and
23	then tell us who your electric service provider is.
24	THE WITNESS: I'm Cathy Denham. Spelled,

```
C-A-T-H-Y. D-E-N-H-A-M. My address is 111 Peters
Place, Davidson, North Carolina, and my service
provider is Duke Power.
```

MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. If you could, please, make your statement.

DIRECT STATEMENT BY CATHY DENHAM:

I will be very brief. I wanted to call as a school teacher. I'm an elementary school teacher, and I would also like to agree with my fellow citizens whom I've been listening to give all -- just very convincing testimony about why Duke Power needs to meet the decarbonization goals for the future of our children and grandchildren.

I'm calling as a school teacher because I think it's good for the Commission to know that even children can see climate change happening, and they're very aware and they also are -- bear the brunt of pollution from fossil fuel energy. The coal-fired power plants all along the Catawba River are generators of a lot of air pollution.

Duke's Plan is switching to natural gas, but that is also a fossil fuel. And we have renewable and energy that is much better, as the other witnesses have said. So I'll be very brief. I just wanted to

```
1
    call on behalf of the children that I teach because
 2
    every day we experience when we're outdoors trying to
 3
    grow lettuce and it gets really hot and then it gets
 4
    really cold and then it rains really hard and then
 5
    there's blistering heat again, that our lettuce
 6
    doesn't grow well. And that also effects every farm
 7
    in North Carolina. But that is an important thing for
 8
    the Commissioners to consider as well as all of the
 9
    facts that people are giving is that this is about the
10
    future of our children. And, also, protecting wild
    life for our children's enjoyment. If it's really
11
12
    hot, then the little baby birds may die in their nest,
13
    and that's very tragic for children to see.
14
               I think I'm going to conclude there, because
15
    the other witnesses have said everything so well.
16
    Please require Duke Energy to meet the decarbonization
17
    that is in the House Bill.
18
              Thank you so much.
19
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Ms. Denham.
20
    me see if there are questions for you from counsel or,
21
    from Commissioner Duffley.
22
                         (No response.)
23
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Denham, there are no
```

questions for you tonight. We appreciate your

24

1	testimony, and we appreciate your time. Thank you
2	very much.
3	Mr. McCoy, you may go ahead with the next
4	witness.
5	THE WITNESS: Matthew Mayers.
6	MATTHEW MAYERS;
7	having been affirmed,
8	testified as follows:
9	CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Freeman, take it away.
LO	MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. If you could
L1	please spell your name, give us your address, and tell
L2	us who your electric service provider is.
L3	THE WITNESS: Matthew Mayers.
L 4	M-A-T-T-H-E-W. M-A-Y-E-R-S. I live at 2844 Wesleyan
L 5	Lane, in Winston Salem 27106, and my service provider
L 6	is Duke Energy Carolinas.
L 7	MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. If you could
L 8	please make your statement.
L 9	THE WITNESS: Absolutely.
20	DIRECT STATEMENT BY MATTHEW MAYERS:
21	And, thank you, to counsel and Commissioners
22	for this opportunity to testify this evening and for
23	all of the hard work that I know all of you put into
24	this. I'm especially thankful for the recent Order on
	onition in depositating enameral for the recent order on

24

the tariffed on-bill financing, which I think is important and it will help a lot more people be able to afford energy and efficiency upgrades, and to really help us move forward in our state. I do want to the express some concerns about the Carbon Plan, as many people have so far. Chiefly about the timeline. As others have mentioned, HB 951 called for a 70 percent decarbonization of our grid by 2030. preferred plan put forward by Duke Energy calls for pushing that back five years and, actually, if you take into account the South Carolina gas plant that has been mentioned several times before tonight, it's really more like 2037. I'd like to just remind the Commission that we keep referring to this as Duke's Plan, but really the law puts this on you. your Carbon Plan even if Duke is doing most of the modeling to create the input.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So if I were a Commissioner, I might be somewhat concerned about push back. It appears to be of questionable legality to do this push back under the circumstances because there are no unforeseen delays, as specified in the statute. And there's really only leeway for the Commission to push it back two years. I'd be concerned about push back from the

legislature or even possible legal challenges. imagine that some of you probably -- or maybe all of you -- saw, in one way or another, the meeting of the Joint Legislative Commission on Energy Policy last month. And at the beginning of that meeting, Senator Newton mentioned this requirement that the Carbon Plan meets least cost standards, which is true. right there in the statute; however, he made it sound as if least cost is the main objective and that other things come after that. And Senator Newton, as a former Duke executive, undoubtedly knows better than that because, you know, we don't say, "well, we're going to do reliability and adequacy only if it's the cheapest possible thing to do." We say, "we have And we will meet those goals in the least cost goals. possible way."

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Similarly, House Bill 951 sets out decarbonization goals that we're supposed to meet in a least cost way. We're not doing that. And we're being asked by Duke to push it back and to invest in gas plants to convert to hydrogen that nobody really knows if that's possible to invest in nuclear plants at costs that Duke is making claims about that are probably about half of reality.

1	Instead, I hope that the Commission will
2	consider looking at a recent report from Energy
3	Innovation called the title of the report is,
4	"Meeting Growing Electricity Demand Without Gas." And
5	I'll be sure to put that into written comment on your
6	website so that everyone has access to a link to that.
7	I think I'm probably already out of time, so I will
8	just say thank you, again, and I hope you will
9	consider the many, many ways there are to put more
10	renewables onto the grid and to avoid putting more
11	carbon in into our atmosphere for the sake of us all.
12	Thank you so much. Bye. Bye.
13	CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you for your
14	testimony. Let me see if there are questions for you
15	from our counsel or from Commissioner Duffley.
16	(No response.)
17	CHAIR MITCHELL: There are no questions for
18	you, Mr. Mayers. Thank you very much for your time
19	tonight and for your testimony. We appreciate your
20	being hear with us.
21	Mr. McCoy, if you would, call the next
22	witness.
23	THE WITNESS: Good evening.
24	CHAIR MITCHELL: Sir, you need to mute your

```
1
    computer.
 2
               THE WITNESS: Is that better?
 3
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Yes. That's better.
                                                       Ιf
 4
    you would, please, let us know your name.
 5
               THE WITNESS: Jim Brame.
 6
                           JIM BRAME;
 7
                     having been affirmed,
                     testified as follows:
 8
 9
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy [sic].
10
              MR. FREEMAN: Mr. Brame, if you'd please
    spell your name, give your address, and tell us who is
11
12
    your electric service provider.
13
               THE WITNESS: It's Jim Brame. B-R-A-M-E.
14
    My address is 15 Morning Star Drive, Leicester, North
15
    Carolina 28748, and my provider is Duke Progress.
16
              MR. FREEMAN:
                             Thank you. If you could,
17
    please, give us your statement.
18
    DIRECT STATEMENT BY JIM BRAME:
19
              First of all, thank you, as a Commission,
20
    for giving us the opportunity to speak to you and
21
    provide you with our perspectives on what is being
22
    proposed. I urge you to reject Duke's drafted Carbon
23
    Plan because it does not meet the objectives from the
24
    target that it's supposed to meet; and, secondly, I
```

don't feel that it's the least cost approach that could be done.

As a ratepayer, I have experienced increases passed onto me as the price of natural gas has spiked. While this doesn't impact Duke's bottom line, it certainly impacts my bill. Adding three more gas-fired plants will only increase the risk of price volatility for ratepayers, even though it might help Duke's bottom line. While the current policy allows Duke to profit by building more plants, it certainly doesn't necessarily mean we, as ratepayers, will benefit as Duke's bottom line will.

I'm deeply concerned that Duke's plans will not meet the required 2030 deadline to meet the 70 percent carbon reduction goal. I'm deeply troubled that Duke includes unproven and likely expensive modular nuclear plants. The history of nuclear plants shows lost cost overruns and long delays. And, of course, those costs are passed on to us, as ratepayers, not absorbed by Duke; secondly, they are proposing green hydrogen and, again, that's an unproven technology and certainly unproven in scale to meet the timelines we're talking about. And there's a high risk in going that route in an unproven

technology.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'm -- also Duke's Commissioner report from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, which showed that the most economic way to meet the goal by -- is by tripling the number of solar on the grid by 2030 and pairing that with battery storage.

In addition to that, Duke included a 20 percent incre- -- extra 20 percent to the cost of solar, which I think -- but, also at the same time, underestimates the cost of natural gas. This is particularly risky as we are likely to face a carbon tax on restrictions on use of fossil fuels long before these plants are retired. And I'm also very concerned, again, as a ratepayer that if these -- if Duke is forced to close these gas plants before their normal life span, that we, as ratepayers, will be forced to, again, pay the cost of building the replacements instead of doing that now so we're only investing one time. And I think there's a high likelihood that, as climate change continues to become more real, that government will pass restrictions either in the form of a carbon tax or in the form of actually requiring that -- from a federal standpoint, that Duke reduce the amount of carbon in a more

aggressive form. Again we, as ratepayers, will be left holding the bag when that happens, not Duke, it's ratepayers.

In conclusion, Duke can meet the 2030 targets by building industrial scale solar with battery storage and, also, with energy efficiency; as well as being the right thing to do for our environment. It's also, I think, the right thing for us, as ratepayers. We need to be focusing on proven technologies not speculative technologies such as modular, nuclear, and green hydrogen. And we need to prioritize ratepayers over Duke's profits.

Again, thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak to you tonight, and I hope you will consider this and send Duke back to the drawing board to come up with plans that actually meet the required deadline and targets.

Thank you very much.

CHAIR MITCHELL: Thank you, Mr. Brame. Let me see if there are questions for you from the parties.

(No response.)

CHAIR MITCHELL: Commissioner Duffley?

24 (No response.)

```
1
               CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. Brame, there are no
 2
    questions for you tonight. We appreciate your
 3
    testimony and your time. Thank you for being with us.
 4
              THE WITNESS:
                             Thank you.
 5
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, you may call the
    next witness.
 6
 7
              THE WITNESS: This is Beth McKee-Huger.
 8
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms.--
 9
              THE WITNESS: Can you hear me?
10
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
                               We can hear you.
11
                       BETH MCKEE-HUGER;
12
                    having been duly sworn,
13
                     testified as follows:
14
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy-- I mean, Mr.
15
    Freeman, you may take it away.
16
              MR. FREEMAN: If you could, please, spell
17
    your name, give us your address, and tell us your
18
    electric service provider.
              THE WITNESS: Yes. Beth. B-E-T-H.
19
20
            M-C-K-E-E-H-U-G-E-R. My address is 6017 Bush
    Huger.
21
    Road, in Brown Summit 27214, and it's Duke Energy
22
    Carolinas.
23
              MR. FREEMAN: Thank you. If you could,
24
    please, make your statement.
```

THE WITNESS: Yes.

DIRECT STATEMENT BY BETH MCKEE-HUGER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Yesterday was Earth Day, but, every day Duke is slow walking its responsibility to transition to clean energy by 2050. By then, many of us, as well as much more of the planet, will be dead.

In comparing P1, P2, and Duke's favorite, P3, it looks like P -- Duke will not even be starting to ramp up solar capacity and storage until 2030, not develop offshore wind by 2038. Both P2 and P3 significantly increase gas, which is a significant heat trapper in the atmosphere. Duke is quoted in the -- in the Plan saying, "the key differentiator across the pathways is the pace of transition in terms of the relative cost and risk related to cost while volatility, reliability, and overall the market's ability to deliver successful Plan execution." Pathway One, they say, "looked from a planning perspective reaches the interim target by 2030, would require resource additions at a pace, scope, and scale outside of the realm of reasonable and prudent utility planning and execution." End quote.

So I urge the Commission to require Duke to invest those necessary resources to accelerate the

```
1
    pace, scope, and scale to reach the target of 2030.
 2
    It is not reasonable nor prudent to continue polluting
 3
    air and water with heat-trapping gases from burning
 4
    fossil fuels. I am a power producer with a small
 5
    solar installation as part of our contract with Duke
    to transmit kilowatt hours, we had to pay Duke to
 7
    upgrade its own grid. With Duke's policies like that,
 8
    and many other policies that discourage solar
 9
    investment, North Carolina's gone from number two in
10
    solar production to way down the list, and our economy
11
    and our earth are paying the price. When Duke
12
    prepared P1, we can see that it knows how to
13
    transition in a reasonable -- semi-reasonable timing,
14
    it just doesn't do it unless it's forced to.
15
               For the sake of our earth, force that change
          Don't wait until 2030 or 2035 or 2050.
16
17
               Thank you to the Commission.
18
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Ms. Mckee-Huger, we
19
    appreciate your testimony. Let me see if there are
20
    questions from counsel or from Commissioner Duffley.
2.1
                         (No response.)
22
               CHAIR MITCHELL: There are no questions for
23
    you tonight. So thank you very much for being with
24
    us.
         We appreciate your time.
```

```
1
              Kaylene, if you would, let me know how
 2
    you're doing. We're at about an hour and forty-five.
    Well, actually, no, we're about an hour and fifteen.
 3
 4
              MR. FREEMAN: Chair?
 5
              CHAIR MITCHELL:
                                Yes.
 6
              MR. FREEMAN: I believe those may be all the
 7
    witnesses we're aware of.
8
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Mr. McCoy, is that
9
    consistent with what you have?
10
               COMMISSIONER MCKISSICK: That is correct.
11
              CHAIR MITCHELL: So we have no more
12
    witnesses?
13
              MR. MCCOY: Yes, no more.
14
              CHAIR MITCHELL: If you would, Mr. McCoy,
15
    just to be on the safe side, would you check one more
16
    time to make sure there's no one else on the line.
17
              MR. MCCOY: No, we are good to go.
18
              CHAIR MITCHELL: Okay. With that, then, we
19
    will be adjourned.
20
               (The proceedings were adjourned.)
21
22
23
24
```

CERTIFICATE

I, KAYLENE CLAYTON, do hereby certify that the Proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Kaylene Clayton

Kaylene Clayton