```
1
     PLACE:
              Dobbs Building, Raleigh, North Carolina
 2
     DOCKET NO.: W-1297, Sub 14
 3
              Thursday, October 6, 2022
     DATE:
 4
              1:00 p.m. - 1:57 p.m.
     TIME:
 5
     BEFORE: Commissioner ToNola D. Brown-Bland, Presiding
 6
              Commissioner Jeffrey A. Hughes
 7
              Commissioner Floyd B. McKissick, Jr.
 8
 9
10
                        IN THE MATTER OF:
11
                           MRT-1, LLC,
12
                                       Complainant
13
                                V
14
                  Harkers Island Sewer Company,
15
                                        Respondent
16
17
18
                             VOLUME 2
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    FOR MRT-1, LLC:
 3
    Andrew D. Irby, Esq.
 4
    Roberson Haworth & Reese, PLLC
 5
    300 North Main Street, Suite 300
 6
    High Point, North Carolina 27261
 7
 8
    FOR HARKERS ISLAND SEWER COMPANY:
 9
    J. Michael Genest, Esq.
10
    Forge Law Group
11
    1610 Highway 70 East
12
    New Bern, North Carolina 28560
13
    FOR THE USING AND CONSUMING PUBLIC:
14
15
    John Little, Esq.
    Public Staff - North Carolina Utilities Commission
16
    4326 Mail Service Center
17
18
    Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-4300
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

PROCEEDINGS

1

24

2 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Good afternoon. 3 Let's come to order and go on the record, please. 4 are resuming a recessed hearing in Docket No. W-1297, 5 This hearing is a virtual hearing by remote Sub 14. 6 means of Webex. Just to reset and re-establish, I am 7 ToNola D. Brown-Bland with the North Carolina 8 Utilities Commission, and with me, joining me today 9 are Commissioners Jeffrey A. Hughes and Floyd B. 10 McKissick, Jr. Let me have appearances, and indicate 11 who you are and who is with you, for the record, and I 12 will start with the Complainant. 13 MR. IRBY: Good afternoon, Madam Chair. Μy 14 name is Andrew Irby, I-r-b-y. I'm attorney for 15 Complainant MRT-1, LLC, and sitting with me is a 16 representative from MRT, Dan Timberlake. 17 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. 18 From Respondent? you. 19 MR. GENEST: Good afternoon, Madam 20 Commissioner. I'm Michael Genest for the Respondent 21 Harkers Island Sewer Company. I do not see Mr. Mike 22 Laws who is our representative. As far as I know, 23 he's working on logging into this Webex session now.

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

So you do expect

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:

```
1
    him to join us?
 2
               MR. GENEST:
                            I do.
 3
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And that is fine.
    And the Public Staff.
 4
 5
               MR. LITTLE: John Little, Public Staff
 6
    Attorney with the North Carolina Public Staff, and
 7
    with me is Charles Junis, the Director of the Water,
 8
    Sewer, and Telephone Division.
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          All right.
                                                       Thank
10
    you, gentlemen, for being here. Mr. Genest, do you
11
    need us to wait for Mr. Laws?
12
               MR. GENEST: If the Commission is prepared
1.3
    to move forward, I'm absolutely ready, Commissioner
14
    Brown-Bland.
15
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          We are prepared.
16
    I just don't -- if you feel that you need him or he
17
    needs to be here, I don't want to get too far down the
18
    road before he joins.
19
               MR. GENEST: I believe I'm authorized to
20
    speak for him on all of the matters that I understand
21
    we are talking about today, and hopefully he'll join
22
    us as soon as possible.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you have any
23
```

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

ability to check with him just to be sure he's trying

24

```
1
    to join? If he's having any difficulties, he needs to
 2
    get in touch with our I.T.
 3
               MR. GENEST:
                           Yes, ma'am. I just sent him a
 4
    text message.
 5
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Okay.
 6
               MR. GENEST: I've not heard back yet.
 7
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          All right.
 8
    if we get to the point where you need him, we'll pause
 9
    and let you try to tend to that the best we can.
10
               MR. GENEST:
                            Thank you, Madam Commissioner.
11
    And he has just texted me to say that he is trying to
12
    log in. Apparently, he's having some technical
13
    difficulties.
14
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
15
    Mr. McCoy, do we have any way to reach out to assist
16
    Mr. Laws?
17
               MR. MCKOY: All I have is his e-mail. I can
18
    try e-mailing him again and I'll send him another
19
    link, maybe an attendee link so he can get in that
20
    way. So I'll send that right now.
21
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
22
          All right, gentlemen. I was hoping we would not
23
    have to be back here, but based on the progress --
```

well, I was hoping we would not have to be back here

24

based on the progress we had made at the time we were last together, but it doesn't seem that it's worked out that way. The Commission is concerned that there hasn't been any progress since we were last here. And if I'm wrong about that, I'll give you each an opportunity to tell me more about it, but first, let's recount a little bit about where it appears we are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So on August -- I believe it was August 17th, we were last here in the hearing room, and the Complainant's case-in-chief had been completed. We recessed after the parties informed the Commission on the record that within 10 days of that day, back in August, that the attorneys for the Public Staff MRT and for Harkers Island would work together to present a Consent Order for the Commission's consideration. That within 30 days from that date, the Commission would receive an application from the Utility requesting authorization to seek financing. And it was my understanding that that was an element that had been requested as a way of establishing trust between these parties, and in the same 30-day time period, plus an extra day, I gave -- the Commission would receive a status report about where we were on this, and if anything had changed.

Since we recessed, the Commission received a filing on September 29th of a proposed Consent Order that recounted a settlement in principal, and that document itself indicated that the parties would on or before October 6 provide the Commission with a status update regarding the tentative settlement that had been outlined and confirming that the process was moving forward. Having not received the status report, it would have been my understanding that we would have continued with the hearing and it was supposed to continue and be here. Yet it's my understanding that Mr. Genest, you had not planned to be here, as you had other matters scheduled, so I need to hear from you guys about what's been going on since we were last together and what, if any, progress has been made. So I guess I'll referee a little bit and give each one a chance to speak up. So I'll start with the Complainant. MR. IRBY: Thank you, Madam Chair. recitation is not inaccurate. We did exchange drafts

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. IRBY: Thank you, Madam Chair. Your recitation is not inaccurate. We did exchange drafts of the Consent Judgment, but admittedly we're not -- MRT-1 is not really driving the train with regard to filing an application for approval to borrow funds. You know, until -- really until -- I think Mr. Genest

and I spoke on Tuesday. I did not know the source of funds. I didn't know, you know -- they kept that pretty close to the chest. But since Tuesday, I've been informed of the sources that they anticipate applying for money for. I do not believe that HISCO has been in touch with Bill Foreman, the engineer, regarding sizing a system since August 17th.

1.3

At the very least, my client has informed me that he spoke with Bill Foreman. And Mr. Foreman has not spoken with anyone at HISCO, so we're not 100 percent clear about what kind of progress Mr. Laws has made as far as designing a system, you know, a hammering down how much money would need to borrowed. We were hoping that the status update today would provide us with that information.

As it stands, we're ready to fund our part for the 28,800 gallons that would serve James Creek, and, you know, the money's ready to go. We're just waiting for plans and for, you know, permitting and approval so that we can put those funds forward.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. I'll come back to that in a minute. Mr. Genest, from your point of view, what's going on and why hadn't the Commission heard from you within these timetables that

had been established when we were last together?

MR. GENEST: Thank you, Madam Commissioner. As Mr. Irby just indicated, he and I did exchange drafts to get that over to Public Staff, and Public Staff has made their comments. So all that has been circulated, all that has been submitted. As was noted, the submission of the proposed Consent Order was fairly recent after receiving all the feedback from all the parties. And as soon as that Consent Order was agreed to and submitted, I spoke with Mr. Laws about getting in the application as soon as possible.

And speaking for him, he immediately got in contact with the Staff. And I'm not sure exactly who he spoke to, but the question that he had was there's no form application for what he's trying to do. He was instructed to submit a letter indicating the need for funds and the sources of funds. To my knowledge, he's done that and has listed at least two conventional sources of funds that he's pursuing and a third source of private money that he's pursuing. Any one of which would suffice to bring the funds necessary for this project, so he has definitely been taking the steps that were contemplated.

I think something that we did not necessarily understand at the time of the prior hearing was that the information sought as to the ability to incur debt includes the direct source of the funding. And to get that, Mr. Laws has made applications for that funding from two different banks. And as I said, there's also a third option, a private party option for that as well. So that was done in compliance with the consent or the Consent Order that's been submitted that was timely performed, but getting the actual funds in hand is dependent on the banks sending their appraisers out, assigning appraisers, getting their appraisals and completing the rest of their loan process. So I'm happy to answer any questions that the Commission has, but I would point out we have been working on this issue and we have been working together with MRT's counsel to get the Commission the necessary documents. COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. And

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. And before I follow up with any questions, let me hear from the Public Staff. Anything that you have to add about the process and where we are?

MR. LITTLE: The Public Staff, I would just say, your Honor, Mr. Laws did inquire of the Public

```
1
    Staff the e-mail about the process for the form for
 2
     submitting the financing and responded in -- the
 3
    Public Staff responded in a letter detailing the
 4
    financing obligations. At this point, I don't see
 5
    anything on the Commission's docket where that letter
    has been submitted, and I have not received any
 6
 7
    further communication regarding same Order and any
 8
    further request for help from Mr. Laws.
 9
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.
                                                      Thank
10
    you, Mr. Little. So the concern here is and --
11
              MR. LAWS: Yes, ma'am. I'm just joining.
12
    I'm sorry.
13
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          Good afternoon,
14
    Mr. Laws. Glad you made it on.
15
              MR. LAWS: Yes. Sorry for the delay.
16
    was technical. I was just trying to get on and there
17
    was just a struggle there, but I'm here. That's all.
18
              COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Prior to your
19
    joining, I've just gone over and heard from each
20
    attorney the status of where we are up to this point.
21
    So the Commission is very concerned, and quite frankly
22
    not happy that we left here, and we had strict
23
    agreement about keeping us informed of what was going
24
         And perhaps there's some misunderstanding or
    on.
```

confusion that the Public Staff is a substitute for the Commission, but we're two separate agencies, and speaking to the Public Staff is not the same as speaking to the Commission. If something is to be filed, it has to be filed in the Commission's docket.

So until now, we had no way of knowing and no indication that Mr. Laws or the Utility was following through on its promise to seek the funding, and that's the kind of information that we wanted to know and be kept abreast of, how that was going. Then you say you've exchanged drafts, but what came back to us, that we received on September 29th, appears to just be a restatement of what you told us here in the hearing room, which was an agreement in principle. And it does not seem to be a finalized agreement, and perhaps there's a lot more involved. But you left here saying you had a lot of work ahead of you, and that's what I expected you would be doing, is trying to come to terms and making some things specific.

So it seems as though some progress has been made. The parties have not walked away from their agreement here, and that's good to know. That means I'll consider that, that we're moving on track, but I need to hear what you have to say about why we don't

have more than just the same agreement in principle.

Again, I'll go around the table. And we're trying to make sure we get on the same page here, so I'll start with Mr. Irby.

MR. IRBY: Thank you, Madam Commissioner.

So after we received communication from Ms. Jarvis that the document we submitted was insufficient to meet with the Commission's expectations, I asked

Mr. Genest's permission to put pen to paper on what I would call a settlement agreement that has a little bit more detail and suggest timelines for funds to be -- an application for a loan to be approved for a design system to be, you know, submitted, for permitting to be submitted so that -- there's a little bit more -- I guess there's a little bit more teeth to meet with the Commission's expectations for updates going forward.

I've not received anyone's comments back on that, but the idea would be to include those timelines, those very specific markers for us going forward so that we can start construction on a system, you know, within the next 9 or 10 months. As I said, we're ready to go. In fact, we've gotten a quote for an 80,000 gallon per day system for \$1.8 million

```
dollars, but we can't really move forward without -- I
mean, this is kind of -- it's kind of HISCO's show.
It's their system. We're just kind of providing money
to produce our own capacity. So we're more than happy
to take the ball and run with it, but we don't want to
put the cart before the horse. So I hate to keep
throwing this back on HISCO and Mr. Genest, but we're
waiting on -- I mean, they need to file the
application.
             They need to secure funding. They need
to help us design the system. Once -- I'm sorry?
          COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Irby, what
was your understanding and why to this date didn't you
take it on yourself to get a status report to the
Commission?
          MR. IRBY:
                    I chalk it up to attorney delays.
I mean, when we would submit drafts back and forth to
each other -- like I got Mr. Genest his draft of
the -- we said 10 days, so it was going to be a week
and a little bit more following the Thursday hearing
that we had on August 17th. That was a Thursday.
think I got him a draft of the Consent Order the
following Monday or Tuesday. It took Mr. Genest a
couple of days to get back to me with his changes. It
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

took me a day to get back to him, to respond to his

red lines, and that's when we submitted it to the Public Staff. So I chalked it up to just attorney delays. You know, maybe we were overambitious with estimating a 10-day time period to get that Order submitted to the Commission, but I do recognize that it was a restatement of what we said in open court. It was not a document that included what I would prefer to have included, you know, actual measureable markers to be met by certain periods of time so that we -- you know, what we hope to avoid candidly as a status update saying things are really moving forward, you know.

Well, we're waiting on, you know, this application. I'd like to put certain deadlines in there that allows us to gauge whether this is actually moving forward or whether we're just, you know, talking about it moving forward, if that makes sense.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Mr. --

MR. IRBY: That's the draft that I've circulated, and that draft candidly would include the signatures of not just the parties, but the attornies for the parties so that we're all on the hook. It's not just a Consent Order to be signed by the Commission. I'm hoping that we could get everyone's

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

signature on a document like that or that relatively soon.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Genest, your view of why we hadn't moved beyond the agreement in principle to date?

MR. GENEST: Madam Commissioner, I think that the first point to recognize is that we worked hard in our last meeting to make sure that the agreement was fairly comprehensive saying the general statement in open court was actually fairly specific. So the subsequent agreements embodying the same or system points is partially due to some -- I would credit Mr. Irby as well. That being fairly comprehensive upfront, I do take his point that the Commission would like to see -- and I understand that Mr. Irby would like to include some additional ways he's described as benchmarks, and I don't think there's going to be any objection on our side, including his benchmarks. What those benchmarks will actually be, to be blunt with you, we need a little bit of time to speak with Mr. Irby and MRT about what is reasonable there.

The thing that I don't want to inadvertently commit to, and then not follow through on as far as

the Commission, is there are quite a few dates that we can't control. So for instance, we can control the submission of applications for these loans from the banks that we referred to earlier, but I can't control how long it takes the banks to get back to us with that funding, making that funding available.

1.3

As far as the sizing of the system, that's already been done. That work -- and I know there was a reference earlier to whether Mr. Laws had spoken to his engineer about sizing a new system at this point. That is work that was done prior and quite honestly doesn't -- we can check that box now. That sizing of that system and the approximate pricing of that system is work that's already been done.

I'm in accord with Mr. Irby in terms of getting a settlement agreement between the parties as a method of resolution, and I don't have any problem with a more formal reporting structure to the Commission. I would ask for some guidance from the Commission on what that should look like. If the Commission wants a filed document on a regular basis, you know, of course we would appreciate some guidance.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. So gentlemen, let me say the Commission takes seriously

what you represent to this forum, and what was represented was that 10 days after we were here, certain things were going to happen. 30 days after that, certain things were going to happen. If they're not going to happen, you guys practice law. You know you need to come back to the forum, explain why they're not happening. We hit a snag, it was bigger than we thought. It's more difficult than we thought, I had to -- taken a personal issue. Whatever the issue may be, and then you come back and you inform the Court or the Commission, in this case, of that and you ask for additional time. You don't leave the Commission strung out here not knowing what's going on.

1.3

And Mr. Genest, as far as you knew, this hearing was still on for today, and then when we went to have it, you weren't available to come here. The Commission falls in line just like any other court. The priorities and the timing of which courts take precedent, the same rules apply, and I just want you-all to know that. This is a serious matter and we take it seriously. This matter's been hanging out here about three years and we want it moving along. We don't want it just hanging on.

That being said, we agree with you that the appropriate and a better means to get this resolved is by agreement and settlement. So when we left, what I was anticipating, and maybe it had not been made clearly enough, but I'm anticipating you-all will work out what is called a settlement agreement. would come back with the settlement agreement that contains some of the specifics that are needed to resolve all the issues that have been raised here and to let go of the issues that you agree you can let go of, enumerate what those are. File it as an agreement and the Commission would incorporate it into an Order, whether that be a Consent Order or otherwise, and we would then go from there. The agreement would be something that each party could hang its hat on in terms of the rights that you agreed on as basically a contractual matter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we're disappointed that we didn't hear back and that we're just now hearing back. So what we would like to happen, just in a general way, is a finalized agreement between you, and that involves more than just saying the percentages of what you'll contribute to the 28,000 plus -- I think it was 28, 800,000 gallons (sic) of capacity that would be

reserved for James Creek. We need to have the commitment from the Utility that if all the conditions that you agree on are met, that the service will be provided and will be reserved and to James Creek. You know, the promises that are being made between you need to be spelled out and specified. If this takes longer, then you need to let me know it takes longer. If I'm not giving you enough time, I need to hear from you or how much time is needed, but we want to see this to continue moving on.

We also would like some agreement that all the property or equipment that's necessary will be under the control of Harkers. I would imagine a legal property interest of some sort is necessary before lenders will want to provide the funding, so you need to work that out in terms of where this new facility is going and how it is that Harkers, the Utility, will have control and access to it, and of course we need to be clear on how much capacity is being reserved to James Creek. And so what I want is I would say from this time going forward, we would like to have an executed settlement agreement regarding all the outstanding issues in the docket. At this point, I would ask -- I'll give you a chance to be heard in a

moment, but at this point, I would ask that you provide that within 30 days of this date. And within that same 30-day period, we have either a status report or an actual application for authorization for the Utility to seek that financing. And if no agreement has been reached within the 30 days or within some agreed upon time when we leave here, whatever is ultimately a final outcome of today's hearing, then we will set this matter back on for trial and we will try out. So I need to hear from you whether that sounds workable. I'll start with Mr. Genest.

MR. GENEST: Thank you, Madam Commissioner. It sounds reasonable to me. I anticipate that there may be a couple of things that needs to be put into the agreement to have either flexible timelines or, you know, conditional timelines because there are steps that go one after the other, but I actually think that we're much closer to that final agreement, then maybe apparent by what's already in place. I think that we know exactly where the facility will go, who will owns the land underneath, who owns the facility afterwards. Those issues, I think that we can resolve between us very quickly. And any issues

```
about timing, I think that we can come up with some —
both reasonable and concrete solutions for that to
include in the settlement agreement to present to this
Commission, and I do also believe that 30 days should
be sufficient. Unless Mr. Laws tells me otherwise, I
apologize, but I have no way of conferring with him
before speaking on that matter. But unless Mr. Laws
tell me otherwise, I believe 30 days should be
sufficient.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right,
Mr. Irby.

MR. IRBY: It's hard to disagree. I think
30 days is incredibly generous. I wouldn't have mind
it if the Commission suggested four weeks, which would
```

1.3

here in this case.

put us at October 27th as opposed to November 6, but
once again, I'm not -- I don't want to force HISCO
into a timeline that they can't meet.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Now
on a separate matter, and I just want to know if
anybody thinks this affects what we're trying to do

22 moratorium has been place on the Harkers Point

23 facility. And I don't know that that impacts, but I

24 want to know -- I mean, are you-all aware of that and

The Commissioners' aware that a

how you think it may impact or not impact this matter that we're trying to resolve now. Mr. Irby.

MR. IRBY: Yes, ma'am. I was informed of the August 29th letter to Harkers Island Sewer Company, the Notice of Violation and Enforcement Actions dated August 29th, and the Notice of Violation dated September 15th. I was notified of them about an hour and a half ago, so I have not had a whole lot of time to digest. I don't want to throw anyone under the bus.

I will say I'm upset that I'm learning about it now as opposed to, you know, when the letters were issued. I don't necessarily think it changes my calculus. One of the provisions I put in my proposed settlement agreement was Harkers Island Sewer Company cannot expand capacity until a new wastewater treatment facility is built and capacity is provided to James Creek. So they can't expand a new franchised territory, can't obtain any new customers. We're first in line so that this new system can get built partially with our money, so it doesn't necessarily change my calculus. In fact, it's almost like DHHS took, you know, one of my portion mechanisms away from me and decided to do it themselves. I'll be curious

to hear what Harkers Island Sewer Company has to say about them.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Genest.

MR. GENEST: Yes, ma'am. Thank you. And I'll let Mike Laws speak to anything that he feels the Commission needs to know about his conversations with Mr. Berkowitz, DHHS. But it's my understanding that the two issues are related to the same core concern which is that facility needs to be substantially updated or replaced, and that's what this agreement -- as Mr. Irby just said, that's what this agreement facilitates. And so the two issues, while I don't think that the one -- I don't think that the other matter impacts this matter. I think that they'll both be resolved by the same action on our part.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

Mr. Little, anything that you need to add?

MR. LITTLE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. The Public Staff is very concerned upon learning this information. The main focus is on the capacity of the present sewer plant, and with a -- essentially, it operates as a moratorium. At the very least, getting plans submitted to DHS and other regulatory agencies, it's going to lengthen this process longer than

already contemplated. And the other issue is -
COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Little, just
to stop you, why do you say it will lengthen the

process? The plan is to build a new facility, as I

understand it.

MR. LITTLE: Those plans will have to be submitted to the DHS and approved by the DHS, which is an extra step that I don't know is contemplated with the settlement agreement after the last hearing. So I think a 30-day -- and I would assume that Mr. Laws, Mr. Genest are aware of that and are working towards that.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Right. They're aware now, so I would presume they can work that into their settlement agreement if need be, but go ahead. Continue.

MR. LITTLE: Yes, but I think at the least, it's going to lengthen the process beyond what was contemplated at the previous hearing. And it also -- it's not only that there is capacity issue. There are other violations of so seems it's some Nitrogen discharge and other things like that. And truthfully, upon learning of these violations and the Notice of Violation, especially the Public Staff has concern

```
about Harkers Island's ability to operate and manage
the Wastewater Treatment Plant. And Mr. Genest, on
behalf of his client, Mr. Laws, it seems like they're
working towards an expansion of capacity. But with
these other violations, I don't know -- just I will
stop and say that we are concerned about the ability
of Harkers Island to continue operation of this plant
and this wastewater system.
          COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: So Mr. Little,
will the Public Staff be making follow-up contact with
the Utilities as part of its usual monitoring of the
regulated utility?
          MR. LITTLE:
                      Yes, we will, your Honor.
          COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                    Having become
aware of the Notices of Violation?
          MR. LITTLE: Yes. I think you'll see the
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. LITTLE: Yes. I think you'll see the Public Staff take a little bit more of an active role in this case now that we are under --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And for now, that concerns the --

MR. LITTLE: We're aware of these violations and we're contemplating additional action outside of this Complaint case. We haven't decided on any other further course action, but there are discussions about

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

```
1
    other actions the Public Staff could take.
 2
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          And so for now,
 3
    that concerns the existing customers and the existing
 4
    facility and the service that they will have between
 5
    now and the time, presumably, that we get to a new
 6
    facility. They will have to go through the approval
 7
    process as you make (35:59) permitting approval, et
 8
             Is that correct?
    cetera.
 9
               MR. LITTLE: Yes, ma'am.
10
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          All right.
11
    Anybody else have anything else to add with regard to
12
    the Notices of Violation and how they might impact
1.3
    what we're planning with regard to the Complaint?
14
               MR. LAWS: Nothing, your Honor.
15
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          That's Mr. Laws?
16
    Mr. Laws, could you turn your camera on?
17
               MR. LAWS:
                          Yes.
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
18
                                          There you go.
19
               MR. LAWS: Got it.
20
               COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                          All right.
21
    your attorney has spoken for you, but if you think
22
    there's something else that we need to hear, we will
23
    entertain it.
```

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

Yeah.

MR. LAWS:

The Notice of Violation --

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Mr. Laws, can you get closer to your microphone? We're having a little trouble hearing you.

MR. LAWS: Yeah. I'll turn it up here. Okay. Is that better?

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Yes.

MR. LAWS: The Notice of Violations, there were four points there that we could control, I guess you'd say, and three out of four have already been rectified, so it's a matter of operator, a backup operator and a new testing lab. And all those have been completed, executed. So the only one that is still in process is a Do Not Duplication upgrade to the plant. Half of the plant -- this plant has two, what we call, trains. It's two separate chambers that treat wastewater. And one of those two have been upgraded with Denitrification system.

The second train is in process. That is something that we cannot do during summer months because of the flow that we're experiencing. We are a resort community, so we have to do this on an off-season time periods. And that is upcoming, and we will switch over and do the second train. I have appealed to Mr. Berkowitz to forego that second train

in lieu of that, going through that expense for the Utility, since we should have a new plant coming online in the next, you know, year or to be including this agreement, the time frame. I don't think that that Notice of Violation has anything to do with this or should hold up this agreement or this process. As a matter of fact, it should bring along DHHS to be diligent in their reviews and help us with getting this matter.

As we concluded in our hearing, this plant is 25 years old. The engineer stated in the permit itself that its age is 25 years. I'm putting very expensive bandaids on a plant. There's no risk to any kind of spillage or anything like that, but they are expensive bandaids that the Utility is incurring. And sometimes myself, personally, expenses to keep this thing running and to keep it in operable status. In the mean time, I'm under a moratorium which -- and have been under a new connection moratorium for approximately 18 months now through the actions of Mr. Berkowitz which limits the funds available, you know, to do upgrades.

So one side, we're's getting financially squeezed and -- you know, but we're going to urge to

```
1
    do these Denitrification upgrades, which are
    expensive. We have to go inside the plant and weld in
 2
 3
    metal chambers. And new additional props and
    aeration, and it's an expensive process. It's about
 4
 5
    30 to 35,000 per train, so that is -- you know, that
    is something I've asked Mr. Berkowitz to forego.
 6
 7
    plant is operating, as far as capacity is concerned,
 8
    it's within the capability of train number 1 or north
 9
    train.
            It is within the capability and within the --
10
    you know, its design capability. It's operating
11
    properly there with the exception of the
    Denitrification.
12
13
               That system has just come online, so our lab
14
    results should reflect that soon. And if the design,
    the civil engineers' design and Mr. Berkowitz'
15
16
    approval, that design being adequate, if they hold to
17
    be true, then Item No. 4 is checked off with the
18
    Denitrification Notice of Violation. So the Notice of
19
    Violation is, if you want to attach numbers to it, is
```

21 COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

22 Mr. Genest, did you have anything you wanted to add to

23 what your client has said?

20

24

75 percent complete.

MR. GENEST: No, ma'am. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay. All right. Well here's what we're going to do. I'm going to set a deadline of 30 days from today that you'll have filed with the Commission an executed settlement agreement regarding the outstanding matters in this docket, how you propose to proceed, and what you're going to hold each other accountable for. If you can't reach that agreement, then each party at that same 30-day time frame should submit a separate status report explaining why the settlement wasn't reached or what happened or whether you need more time, you know, indicating to the Commission what kind of progress or that some real progress is being made.

You'll explain what issues remain and have not been resolved. And if you have resolved some, we'll be interested in hearing those as well. We would like to have some indication of when it's reasonable to have -- at least had conversations with the engineer and how that's going. We would like also to hear from you what's happening with the lender process in terms of the 20 percent that Harkers Island Utility has agreed to, to pursue. So we'd like to have indication that forms have been completed, submitted, and to whom they are being submitted or

from whom you've heard from. Unless there's some reason that it's inappropriate and you don't want us to know, indicate that as well and what the reasons for that are.

And if additional time is needed, also indicate that, what the reasons for that is. Ask the Commission for leave for additional time. I'm trying to think to be sure that we covered the bases here. We understand that when I'm setting these directives, I intend for this to be filed through the Commission's docket system so that we have an actual filing within 30 days of today testimony.

Hold on just a minute. I'm going to take a five-minute recess to be sure that I have the bases covered here. Madam court reporter, five-minute recess. Everybody sit still. Hold on.

(Whereupon, a break was taken)

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. I heard somebody has a low battery, so I'm going to try to get us wrapped up here. Mr. Genest, you indicated that some financial information had been submitted? So I want to be sure that I understand that. Do you think you submitted that to the Commission or to the Public Staff?

```
MR. GENEST: Madam Commissioner, based on what Mr. Laws stated earlier, I'm not sure that that has been submitted at this time.
```

1.3

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right.

MR. GENEST: I'll ask him to speak to that.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I'm asking because if you thought they had been, we have not received it. So I was going to ask for people to double-check and let's make sure that that's been cleared up. But Mr. Laws, did you have anything to add?

MR. LAWS: Yes. We did send a statement in just declaring that we would be pledging company assets. After reviewing the regulations, it seemed like that was a statement that needed to be made, and we did that on Monday. No, it was Tuesday. We overnighted it. It should be in process. It should be in possession of the Commission, of the Public Staff or the -- so that was done, and --

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Do you know to whom it was addressed because we want to be able to track it down. Do you know to whom it addressed?

MR. LAWS: It would have been addressed to the chief clerk.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. Very good.

MR. LAWS: Yeah. And we also have spoken with and scheduled an appraisal under the direction of the lender.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Okay

MR. LAWS: So the property as well as -- so the assets will be appraised to -- you know, to secure the loan for \$250,000. That was our projection of the cost of the plant, our 20 percent.

from what has been stated here on the record today, it does appear to me that the parties have been -- attempted to take steps to act upon what was agreed upon when you left here. And that is a good thing, and the Commission is pleased with that. What the Commission is not pleased with was the failure to make sure that we are getting some regular updates. So in addition to what I've already stated with regard to 30 days from today and what needs to come in after that, I'm going to impose every 45 days thereout, give us some indication of the steps that are being made to whatever you're able to agree upon, which we'll hopefully be learning about within 30 days. So the

```
first status report after the 30-day agreement comes in will be 45 days later. So in essence, it's 75 days from now, we'll begin a series of status reports. Stay tuned. The Commission may adjust. You may request the Commission to adjust that schedule of reporting, but we want to know that constant, consistent progress is being made to get this matter resolved and to get this Complaint dismissed.
```

work in the background. Just be sure in the future to keep us informed. And Mr. Genest and -- well, all three of counsel. Genest, Mr. Irby, and Mr. Little, you have the e-mail of our staff attorney. And if you need to make contact specifically with the Commission, you got unanswered questions, you can start there with the Staff Attorney, Lynn Jarvis, and you'll get some guidance about the next step you need to take, but otherwise, we expect you to abide by what's been stated here.

Are there any questions? Is there any confusion? Let's clear it up now.

MR. IRBY: Madam Commissioner, I just have one question just to make sure we're all on the same page regarding the arithmetic. Mr. Laws just made

reference to \$250,000 to cover their 20 percent, but that would build a plant that's only 28,800 gallons, not a larger plant to replace all of the existing capacity. So if we can hammer down what HISCO's plans are for building a new plant, my arithmetic shows that in order to build a system larger than the 28,800 that would serve just James Creek, I think it would be substantially more than \$250,000.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Yes, Mr. Irby, but the way that I read what you submitted as the Consent Order, which was a rehash of the agreement in principle, I believe the 20 percent did pertain to the 28,800 gallons. There would be other financing though needed for the plant. I'll let Mr. Genest speak to that. And if it does concern the plant in full, I would ask that you work with that in terms of the agreement that you're trying to hammer out. But Mr. Genest, do you have a response?

MR. GENEST: I believe the Commission is correct on that point that the 20 percent that is required to move forward is -- as with the 80 percent wired from MRT, both of those numbers apply only to their respective portion of the 28-day to be reserved for James Creek. It is my understanding that there's

```
also, you know, total replacement of the plant. For additional capacity beyond that is the responsibility of HISCO and is outside of the scope of this agreement. If Mr. Laws meant that number differently, I invite him to correct me here on the record.
```

MR. LAWS: I agree with you, Mr. Genest, and I agree with the Commission. We are all under the same understanding. I just didn't know if HISCO would need to provide an application for that additional expansion, the additional funding beyond 28,800 or if they're allowed to borrow money without submitting that application.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: I think any time that the -- that the Utility is seeking to take on additional debt, they do come to the Commission for approval. But again, that's a separate part of this Complaint. If you need that to be addressed in your agreement, again, I believe that's something that you-all can hammer out as you work your settlement agreement.

MR. IRBY: I understand, ma'am. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Any other

questions about what you need to do? Gentlemen, I

want everybody -- I don't mean to over-harp on this,

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

```
but this case has been out here for a while and there
have been a number of continuances for different
          The Commission made its own decisions about
whether to allow those, so we're not stepping down or
back from those. But I do, going forward, want you to
take this Commission seriously and understand that
failure to abide by valid orders of this Commission
can result in penalties and sanctions. We hope not to
go there, we don't expect to go there, but I want you
to understand the forum with which you're dealing.
Any questions?
          MR. GENEST: No, Madam Commissioner.
                                                Thank
you very much.
          COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND:
                                     The
Commission --
         MR. IRBY: Nothing from MRT.
          COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Very good.
                                                 The
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: Very good. The Commission is under the impression that overall, since this docket was filed and including since August 17th, it's been lenient with you because again, we agree with you. We would like to see you-all come to your own resolution and get this matter resolved.

If there's nothing further at this time --Commissioner Hughes, we did not hear from you. Since

1	you're not here in the room with me, did you have
2	anything that you needed to add?
3	COMMISSIONER HUGHES: You covered it all,
4	Commissioner ToNola Brown-Bland. We're good.
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: And Commissioner
6	McKissick, did you have anything that you needed to
7	add?
8	COMMISSIONER McKISSICK: Nothing I needed to
9	add, Commissioner Brown-Bland. I think things that we
10	discussed were included within your Order.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN-BLAND: All right. We
12	thank you for your time and we will be adjourned.
13	
14	(The proceedings were adjourned)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE

I, TONJA VINES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings in the above-captioned matter were taken before me, that I did report in stenographic shorthand the Proceedings set forth herein, and the foregoing pages are a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability.

Tonja Vines

NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION