

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Alfred Lerch
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:29 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Alfred Lerch

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Alfred Lerch

Email

ahlerch@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Two things on the DUKE requested change on solar reimbursement to customers. First, we need to encourage individuals to invest. Duke's change will discourage that. Second, changing the reimbursement to current solar contracts is ignoring the grandfather clause that our state and country currently honor. Having individual homes adopting solar panels is important for our future generations who will be facing dire climate changes.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: CAROL RUSSELL
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:27 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by CAROL RUSSELL

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

CAROL RUSSELL

Email

SWEETBACK18@GMAIL.COM

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

This would not be fair to costumers that received the solar panel with intent to save money. To raise the cost would be detrimental to the cause and unfair. I'M paying a light bill and solar bill already which is hurting my pockets. And to raise it from 3 cent to 10 cent would really do more harm to those of us that have solar already. There was to be a type of share program that would aloud Duke Power customer with solar to distribute some solar to customer without solar and get paid for it NC doesn't have that program here. If the price goes up what was the since in getting solar panel I'll be paying out more money which I don't have.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Klekner
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:24 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Klekner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Klekner

Email

jklekner@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

To Whom it Concerns: Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. Sometimes in life we need to go peel back the onion --- PLEASE do a complete review and investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. It's a very important decision for our futures....

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Tom Clemons
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:23 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tom Clemons

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tom Clemons

Email

tmclemons82@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I strongly urge that the Duke proposal be rejected for the following reasons: - NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. The NCUC should conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar prior to acting on the Duke proposal. - Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to hit North Carolina's established climate goals. - Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. - The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. As one solar industry professional recently said of the plan, "complexity is anti-consumer." North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. - Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: - higher fixed monthly fees: time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand - compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) - Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. As a home solar system user, I invested a significant amount to have solar installed in my home with the intention of saving money in the long run and helping the environment when it is needed so badly. We should be moving forward with solar instead of backward! Instead of Dukes proposal, I propose the following: - All new construction over a certain amount should be required to have solar installed. - Duke Energy buy-back should remain 1:1 ratio - Accounts should not be zeroed out in June, just when annual energy savings are needed. Sincerely, Tom Clemons

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Amanda Higgins
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:12 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Amanda Higgins

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Amanda Higgins

Email

amandahiggins13@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

(specify Docket E-100 Sub 180) A true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC needs to be made! I think it is pretty bad for Duke Energy to tell us that we would get a one-for-one credit on the solar power that we make in excess and put back on the grid and we would be grandfathered into this rate for life. They should not be able to change that and it seems pretty unfair for them to not give us the same credit they charge us for the same amount of energy we would make with our system and put back on the grid, which benefits Duke energy while consumers who signed up for this net metering program pay the cost of the solar panels that make the energy! Make sure to do an independent investigation on the true cost because I feel that Duke Energy is proposing something that is going to be in their favor and they don't seem to have the consumer interest at heart.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Carolyn P Rubenstein
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:09 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Carolyn P Rubenstein

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Carolyn P Rubenstein

Email

crubenstein2112@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub180

Message

I am writing to express my opposition to Duke Energy's long-term plan to diminish the value of roof-top solar energy. Clearly, there should be an independent review of the costs and benefits of Duke's proposal. I am very concerned that this will retard the growth of solar energy at the very time that we all need to be taking on climate change. Since Duke is in effect a monopoly, only NCUC can protect both its customers AND our environment. Thank you for your consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: James Means
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:07 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Means

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Means

Email

meansje@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Do not change the net metering rules for Duke Energy residential customers. The current plan was one of primary reasons I installed solar. It helps offset some of the major cost of installing solar.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Mareece Clark
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:06 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Mareece Clark

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Mareece Clark

Email

mclark43@suddenlink.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please reject

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Dan Kelso
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:58 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dan Kelso

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dan Kelso

Email

dankelso57@yahoo.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Dear Commissioners, I am very concerned about Duke Energy's efforts to change net metering rules in their favor and at a great disadvantage to us as residential solar system owners. Please reject this proposal by Duke, and follow NC House Bill 589 requiring the NCUC to investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made. Also, please consider keeping up better informed about such potential actions in the future! Thank you, Dan Kelso

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Philip S Hammond
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:57 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Philip S Hammond

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Philip S Hammond

Email

viogniernc@aol.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

Hello, We have solar energy panels in Fairview NC and very much would like to see more solar both encouraged and installed in the sate as well as elsewhere. Climate change is an extraordinary hazard for NC as well as for the whole world and we must find ways to reduce and reverse this. Duke energy is proposing changes that will discourage folks from installing Solar Energy. My family and I are asking you to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thanks you Phil Hammond 95 Willow Farm Road, Fairview, NC 28730

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Tara Duckworth
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:43 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Tara Duckworth

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Tara Duckworth

Email

tara_duckworth@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Please Take some time to review the item regarding rooftop solar by Duke Energy. As an owner of a rooftop solar system, trying to do the right thing for our environment, I was dumbfounded as to how Duke has already manipulated the buy-back system to their benefit (sell back reset in spring). It saddens me that families like mine who have the means to try and do their part of the environment are turned off because big companies are allowed to manipulate it to the point that it isn't sustainable. Please take the time to investigate before voting on this item. Thank you for your consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Stephen Wall MD
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:41 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Stephen Wall MD

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Stephen Wall MD

Email

swall127@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 189

Message

Please do not allow Duke Power to RETROACTIVELY change the net-metering rules for those of us who have installed solar on our homes in NC. This was a large investment, and many years before we break even on our costs- but it is the right thing to do to help the Governor's goal of reducing climate-changng practices in NC. Duke's proposed changes will severely harm the solar industry, and discourage home owners, businesses and local governments from moving away from polluting and climate-endangering fossil fuels into renewables like solar. We had 6 deaths and 400 buildings destroyed last year in Haywood County because of massive flooding directly related to climate change. Dukes proposed changes just make things worse.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Megan Halligan
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:40 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Megan Halligan

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Megan Halligan

Email

m1erch20@gmail.com

Docket

specify Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I have a contract with Duke energy and am assisting in making clean energy. This is an investment we made totaling close to \$30,000. It is unlawful for these changes and detrimental to the investment we made financially after doing much research.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Steven Miner
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:35 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Steven Miner

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Steven Miner

Email

scminer1979@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I would like to argue against Duke Energy's proposed changes to the rooftop solar changes. By installing solar I have made a significant investment based on the policies Duke has in place. Changing those policies would have a negative impact on an investment I made in good faith. Duke charges a fixed base fee for anyone to access their grid. It should not be different for a solar vs regular customer, we are both accessing the same grid. Also, by installing solar I am mitigating Duke's need for additional generating capacity at precisely the time of year where demand is highest, so claiming that solar customers are costing them more is specious. As to changing the net metering rules, if they want to eliminate the monthly rollover that we have for a year, then they should pay a fair market rate for the power we generate and supply to them. As it so happens, I generated more power in the last cycle than I used. That means Duke received an energy subsidy from me, hardly an additional cost. Before any changes are made a thorough evaluation of the request must be made, establishing the true costs and benefits. Thank you for your consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Dana & Stuart Schleien
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:29 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dana & Stuart Schleien

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dana & Stuart Schleien

Email

Dschleien4@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are in the process of installing solar panels on our home and after making a huge financial investment, are now learning that Duke Energy is trying to change the net metering rules for residential customers in a way that would reduce the amount that we would be paid for the excess solar energy we generate for the grid. We are absolutely opposed to this change, as it now places even more of an economic burden on us to recoup our investment in this technology, and help our community address climate change. We are not prepared to absorb higher fees and unpredictable bill increases. This is unacceptable, at a time when we need to encourage and reward residential solar investment and expand our use of greener technology in pursuit of reaching NC's established climate goals. Don't let Duke Energy take advantage of us and all its residential customers this way. Please conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar and help us stay on our current net metering plan. Thank you for supporting our request. Dana & Stuart Schleien

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David Meehling
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:22 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David Meehling

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David Meehling

Email

davidmeehling@gmail.com

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am demanding that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: John Halvorsen
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:17 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by John Halvorsen

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

John Halvorsen

Email

j.halvorsen5@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

A true investigation of solar costs and benefits is needed before making any changes to net metering in NC. Additionally, net metering balances should be reset in October, not June, as the current policy is nothing short of theft of consumer winter production without the opportunity to consume generated energy. Electric utilities should not be able to raise rates and empty production banks immediately before peak usage when it is most profitable, an anti-consumer policy, but rather in autumn so winter generation and summer consumption have an opportunity to balance out.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Peter Adland
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 10:00 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Adland

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Peter Adland

Email

peter.adland@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 sub 180

Message

I am writing to express my strong objection to the proposed changes to the net metering rules for solar customers. Solar power has a significant investment on our part. We fully expected Duke Energy to honor the terms of the initial agreement. It is bad enough that Duke is allowed to wipe out any banked kilowatt hours every June 1 [just in time for peak usage periods]. But to allow lower compensation for new and existing customers is reckless and thoughtless. We should not be doing anything to discourage solar investment and this proposal is a dangerous potential inhibition. Do not approve this proposal. Force Duke to honor its original contract.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: David F Abell
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:31 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by David F Abell

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

David F Abell

Email

qxdave@yahoo.com

Docket

E 100 Sub 180

Message

Please do not allow the subject docket. Photovoltaics (Rooftop Solar) is the most economical form of clean energy. We should do everything possible to encourage implementation of carbon free forms of energy. We have a grave moral responsibility to mitigate global warming for future generations. Respectfully subitted, David Abell

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Rodney Melton
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:11 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Rodney Melton

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Rodney Melton

Email

rodneymelton14@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are asking the NCUC to conduct a full cost benefit study of rooftop solar. Duke Energy's attempt to push this through without all the research goes against what was originally stated to each rooftop solar owner. We were promised the credits at the end of year and a more cost efficient way to bring energy into our homes.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Robert and Susan Harper
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 11:44 AM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Robert and Susan Harper

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Robert and Susan Harper

Email

rjharper1@bellsouth.net

Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

Message

We are small (5.200 kWp) residential solar providers. We installed our system about 3 years ago, and made the difficult decision to make this investment based upon the rules in place with Duke Energy. We are both approaching 70, and made this choice as opposed to upgrading our aging vehicles (11 and 23 years old). Although payback will take close to 15 years, we think it was a wise choice for the benefit of ourselves, our state, our country and our planet. We're counting on this current price structure for our household budget going forward. Good NC jobs in the solar field will be at risk if the proposed changes take effect. New solar installations will be reduced. This proposed change is also sending the wrong message at a time when we are at the tipping point for slowing global warming. We beg you to halt these proposed changes and to conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar before considering any changes! Please keep net metering in place as it currently stands! Thank you.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

From: Gretchen W Whipple
Sent: Thursday, June 30, 2022 12:11 PM
To: Statements
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Gretchen W Whipple

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Gretchen W Whipple

Email

gwwmath@att.net

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

As a solar panel owner, I feel that Duke's sweet deal (for them) is sugary enough. (What I mean is that the arrangement I was forced to agree to when I installed Solar panels several years ago favored the monopoly Duke has. I agreed only because it was the only option.) The revised policy before you seems exceedingly exploitive of homeowners. PLEASE, at a minimum, thoroughly research the cost vs benefits of this policy, and preferably reject it outright. Solar energy is an important assets in our quest to combat climate change. However, even if it wasn't, homeowners who choose to employ it should not be taken advantage of by this utility company.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022