Public Staff - H951 v10 Analysis		D	EP + DEC					DEP			DEC						
\$500 M Securitization - July 9, 2021 ^{1, 10}	Base with Carbon Policy		H951 Legislative Impact Analysis		Base with Carbon Policy		H951 Legislative Impact Analysis				Base with Carbon Policy		H951 Legislative Impact Analysis				
PORTFOLIO	B ⁸		PS 1		В		PS 1				В		PS 1				
							2030			2035			2030		2035		
Year	2030 2035	2035	2030	2035	5 2030	2035	Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951 ⁹	Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951	2030	2035	Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951	Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951	
System CO2 Reduction From 2005 Baseline ²	59%	62%	62%	64%													
Average Annual Percentage Change in Retail Rates (through 2030 through 2035)					1.1%	1.3%	1.3%	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%	1.4%	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%	
Cumulative Percentage Change in Retail Rates (by 2030 by 2035)					11%	19%	12%	1.2%	20%	0.8%	9%	23%	13%	4.4%	25%	2.5%	
	2050		2050				2050						2050				
Year			Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951 ⁹	2050		Total Cost with H951			Impact of H951	20)50	Total Cost with H951			Impact of H951	
Present Value Revenue Requirement by 2050 (PVRR) [\$B] ³	\$8	2.5	\$88.3 \$5.8		\$35.7		\$37.1			\$1.4	\$46.8		\$51.2			\$4.4	
Estimated Transmission Investment [\$B] ⁴	\$:	1.2 \$18		\$0.5	\$0.5		\$0.4		-\$0.1	\$0.8		\$1.4			\$0.6		
		2035		035	1		2035						2035				
Year	2035		Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951)35	т	Total Cost with H951		Impact of H951	2035		Total Cost with H951			Impact of H951	
Total Solar [MW] by 2035 ⁵	12,	187	15,656 3,469		3,372		3,687		315	4,890		8,044			3,154		
New Onshore Wind [MW] by 2035	7	50	1,050 300		600		600		0	150		450			300		
New Offshore Wind [MW] by 2035		0	0 0		0		0			0	0		0			0	
New Total Storage [MW] by 2035 ⁶	2,:	140 2,391 251		251	1,562		1,332			-230	578		1,059			480	
New Standalone Storage [MW] by 2035	1,:	313	1,605 292		1,152		940			-212	161		665			504	
New PV-Coupled Storage [MW] by 2035	8	27	786 -41		410		393			-18	417		394			-23	
New Gas [MW] by 2035	7,	328	6,868 -460		4,276		4,274			-2	3,052		2,594			-458	
Total EE and DSM Contribution [MW] by 2035	2,0	050	2,050 0		8	825		825			1,225		1,225			0	
Coal Retirements ⁷	Most E	Most Economic Per Legislation			Most Economic Per Legislation						Most Economic Per Legislation						

Notes

1] The Public Staff bill impact analysis excludes the following portions of the bill as infeasible to quantify due to unknown factors, likely negligible impacts, or no change from the IRP:

- PBR and MYRR, with the exception of the assumption that the maximum PIM would be claimed in each year; Section 8 small power producers contract revisions; Solar Choice Tariff; solar leasing cap change (62-126.5(d)); fuel rider change (62-133.2(d)); nuclear Early Site Permit costs above \$50 million (Section 3.(a)); nuclear Subsequent License Renewals (Section 3.(b)); Green Source Advantage for UNC and military customers change to bill credit options.

-The analysis presented here does not include complete costs for other initiatives that are constant throughout the IRP or that may be pending before state commissions, such as Duke's Grid Improvement Plan.

2] Combined DEC/DEP System CO2 Reductions from 2005 baseline

3] Represents specific IRP portfolio's incremental costs included in IRP analysis through 2050, and exclude the cost of CO2 as a tax.

4] Represents PVRR of network upgrades required to integrate new resources and coal transmission retirement costs. Included in PVRR figures.

5] Total solar nameplate capacity includes 3,925 MW connected in DEC and DEP combined as of year-end 2020 (projected). Total solar under the legislation may be less than projected due to how Transition MW is defined and Duke's projected renewable capacity online by January 1, 2027.

6] Includes 4-hr and 6-hr grid-tied storage, storage at solar plus storage sites, and pumped storage hydro.

7] Most Economic is the retirement plan in the IRP. Per Legislation refers to PS interpretation of required retirement dates: Cliffside 5 is delayed by 5 years; Marshall is accelerated by 8 years. Other retirement dates are unchanged.

8) Portfolio B is from Duke's 2020 IRP, which the Public Staff has recommended the Commission to accept as reasonable for planning purposes (along with Portfolio A, base without carbon policy). Numbers for Portfolio B may not match Duke's filed IRP exactly due to slight differences in in-service years and baseline data.

9] The 'Impact of H951' column shows the incremental cost of H951, which is the difference between the total cost with H951 and the total cost of the Base Case with Carbon Policy (Portfolio B) from Duke's 2020 IRP in the specified year.

10] This analysis includes \$250 million in securitization for each utility, rather than the \$100 million in version 10. DEC securitizes Allen 1 and 5, Marshall 1, and portions of Marshall 2. DEP securitizes Roxboro.

Public Staff - H951 v10 - \$500 M Securitization	DEP + DEC Base with H951 Legislative Carbon Policy Impact Analysis						DEP			DEC						
Detailed Bill Impact Analysis Breakouts ^{1, 7}				Base with Carbon Policy		H951 Legislative Impact Analysis				Base with Carbon Policy		H951 Legislative Impact Analysis				
PORTFOLIO	B 5	PS 1	PS 1		В		PS 1				B	PS 1				
Year					2035	2030			2035	2020		2030		2035		
				2030		Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951 ⁶	Total Cost with H951	Impactof H951	2030	2035	Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951	Total Cost with H951	Impact of H951	
Average Annual Percentage Change in Retail Rates (through 2030 through 2035)				1.1%	1.3%	1.3%	0.1%	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%	1.4%	0.4%	1.6%	0.1%	
Cumulative Percentage Change in Retail Rates (by 2030 by 2035)		-		11%	19%	12%	1.2%	20%	0.8%	9%	23%	13%	4.4%	25%	2.5%	
Average Monthly Residential Bill Impact (1,000 kWh/mo) (by 2030 by 2035) ²				\$9	\$17	\$11	\$1	\$18	\$1	\$7	\$21	\$12	\$5	\$24	\$3	
Average Annual Percentage Change in Residential Bills (thru 2030 thru 2035)				0.8%	1.0%	1.0%	0.1%	1.0%	0.1%	0.7%	1.2%	1.1%	0.5%	1.4%	0.2%	
Cumulative Percentage Change in Residential Bills (by 2030 by 2035)				8%	15 <mark>%</mark>	9%	1.3%	15%	0.9%	6%	19%	11%	4.5%	21%	2.5%	
Average Annual Percentage Change in																
Commercial Bills (thru 2030 thru 2035) ³				1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	0.2%	1.6%	0.1%	0.9%	1.4%	1.3%	0.4%	1.5%	0.1%	
Cumulative Percentage Change in Commercial Bills (by 2030 by 2035)				13%	23%	14%	1.5%	24%	1.1%	8%	21%	12%	3.9%	23%	2.0%	
Average Annual Percentage Change in Industrial Bills (thru 2030 thru 2035) ⁴				1.1%	1.2%	1.1%	0.1%	1.2%	0.0%	0.9%	1.7%	1.6%	0.7%	2.0%	0.3%	
Cumulative Percentage Change in Industrial Bills (by 2030 by 2035)				10%	19%	11%	0.6%	19%	-0.1%	8%	27%	15%	6.7%	31%	<mark>4.5</mark> %	
		2														
Year	2050	2050	2050		2050		2050				2050		2050			
	2030	Vith H951	mpact of H951	2050		Т	otal Cost with H9	Impact of H951	20	50	Total Cost with H951			Impact of H951		
Present Value Revenue Requirement (PVRR) [\$B]	\$82.5	\$88.3	\$5.8	\$35.7			\$37.1	\$1.4	\$46.8			\$4.4				

Notes

1] These allocations to customer classes are based on estimates, and are not as precise as could be determined via a full allocation analysis. Changes in class allocation factors over time are assumed proportional to energy sales.

2] Residential bill impacts are estimated using residential allocation factors.

3] Commercial bill impacts are estimated using commercial allocation factors for small and medium customers.

4] Industrial bill impacts are estimated using industrial allocation factors for small, medium, and large customers.

5] Portfolio B is from Duke's 2020 IRP, which the Public Staff has recommended the Commission to accept as reasonable for planning purposes (along with Portfolio A, base without carbon policy).

6] The 'Impact of H951' column shows the incremental cost of H951, which is the difference between the total cost with H951 and the total cost of the Base Case with Carbon Policy (Portfolio B) from Duke's 2020 IRP in the specified year.

7] This analysis includes \$250 million in securitization for each utility, rather than the \$100 million in version 10. DEC securitizes Allen 1 and 5, Marshall 1, and portions of Marshall 2. DEP securitizes Roxboro.