

From: [Dwight Koeberl](#)
To: [Statements](#)
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Dwight Koeberl
Date: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 9:13:51 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Dwight Koeberl

Email

dwight.koeberl@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am opposed to the new minimum monthly bill as part of the net energy metering (NEM) policy that applies to rooftop solar owners within Duke's service areas. I have installed solar panels to generate renewable energy, contributing to power generation for Duke Energy at my own expense. Being charged a minimum monthly bill discourages renewable energy production, because my incentive for installing solar panels is taken away.

From: [Patrick Holland](#)
To: [Statements](#)
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick Holland
Date: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 6:02:22 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Patrick Holland

Email

rhofwnc@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I am writing to urge you not to allow Duke Energy Progress to impose a minimum bill of \$28 on its solar customers. This is an attempt to devalue the energy produced by those customers. I have considered installing a solar system myself but have not done so because of the rates paid and the fees charged by Duke. These rates and fees do not make solar electric production economically viable. Obviously, Duke is in business to sell electricity, but if solar production is to be encouraged letting the company bully the little guys is not beneficial to that end.

From: [James Cambridge](#)
To: [Statements](#)
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by James Cambridge
Date: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 12:31:06 PM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

James Cambridge

Email

4jimcambridge@gmail.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

Reject Duke Energy's net metering proposal! I already pay bill minimums due to other energy usages on my bill - why is Duke trying to hobble residential solar when they themselves invest in their own solar farms and green initiatives? Duke already resets my solar credits every May 31st back to zero and I pay energy usage, PLUS I pay monthly for the grid connect charge. Be real. Support residential users with fair net metering rules that encourage residential solar. Our reduced energy footprint helps ALL OF US. Don't penalize us for doing the right thing.

From: [Francis Marion](#)
To: [Statements](#)
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Francis Marion
Date: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 8:53:55 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Francis Marion

Email

holmesmarion51@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

It is not surprising that a profit driven corporate entity would try to stifle any competition. That is to be expected. But given the urgent context of our current situation, this profit driven absolutism must be confronted, boldly, and aggressively. Given the magnitude of our climate situation, any and all mitigations that give hope to a species that has already expanded beyond the carrying capacity of our planet and threatens all life on the planet, should be encouraged not punished. Duke should be paying solar providers for the energy sent back into the grid, not charging them for their infrastructure cost. Duke energy, on the extreme end of it, should be punished and nationalized due to their continued disregard for the public's, the planet's, predicament.

From: [Lia Sweeney](#)
To: [Statements](#)
Subject: Statement of Position Submitted by Lia Sweeney
Date: Tuesday, December 20, 2022 8:46:45 AM

Statement of Position Submitted

Name

Lia Sweeney

Email

liasweeney@yahoo.com

Docket

E-100 Sub 180

Message

I urge you to reject Duke Energy's plan to impose new fees and onerous requirements on residential solar customers. The plan proposed by Duke Energy ignores a state law that requires an assessment of solar's benefits and would harm the rooftop solar industry and all state power users. New fixed fees for solar customers lead to rates that discriminate against them and raise legal questions. Duke's two monopoly utilities in the state must not be allowed to continue to take advantage of NC consumers.