OFFICIAL COPY

Mount, Gail

From: Sent:

Subject:

To:

Saturday, November 21, 2015 9:03 AM

Statements

Solar?

5P100 SUB 31

FILED

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Hello, I am a new resident to North Carolina and am considering installing solar at our home. I encourage this body of researchers and decision makers to allow the public to continue to contribute excess energy into the grid for credit on there bill. I realize some in energy production companies see solar net metering as a competitor but this is not born out in research.

Finally the number of very expensive public coal fired powerplants will decrease if enough homes offer energy back to the grid, thus decreasing the pollution from coal ash and airborne pollutants. Thank you!

From: Sent:

miriam thompson <thompiriam@gmail.com>

To: Subject: Monday, November 23, 2015 11:47 AM Statements

Support for NCWARN Church Solar partnership

FILED

NOV 23 2015

5P100 SUB 31

Clark's Office

As a community advocate for renewable energy and loosening the grip that Duke Energy continues to hold on its production. I support the MC WARM CI. its production, I support the NC WARN Church solar partnership, a model that encourages and secures third-party financing, because it is consistent with state law promoting clean, affordable energy and with state law disallowing monopolies. Duke Energy contends that its state-approved monopoly status means no other entity can sell electricity within its captive marketplace. The Utility Commission must speak for the peoples' right to and demand for fiscally responsible, clean and renewable energy and community options, by dismantling Duke's egregious monopoly status and control.

Thanks you Miriam Thompson 500 Umstead Drive, Apt. D203 Chapel Hill, NC 27516

From:

John Warren drwarren@johnwarrenphd.com

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 11:36 AM

To: Subject: Statements
I support rooftop solar

solar Spidd Sub 31

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office
N.C. Utilities Commission

I support rooftop solar, and I support NC WARN and Faith Community Church in bringing this case to public attention, despite the efforts of Duke Power to quash rooftop solar in NC. Please reign in this monopoly!

John F. Warren, III

Ph.D., Duke University
Family Medicine / Psychiatry (PA-C)
Board Certified Forensic Psychologist (ABPP)
Board Certified Police and Public Safety Psychologist (ABPP)

www.drjohnwarren.com

336.773.0900x13, Facsimile: 336.773.0097

www.fmrt.com

866.761.0764, Facsimile: 866.222.5973

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS ELECTRONIC MAIL MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS. This information should be treated as proprietary and confidential to John F. Warren & Associates, PA. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this document in error, and that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete or otherwise destroy the information contained herein and notify the sender immediately.

From:

Richard Schmidt <schmidtad@aol.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 11:39 AM Statements

To:

Subject:

reference docket SP-100 Sub 31

Please DON'T let Duke Power block access to rooftop solar!!!!

Richard Schmidt

Business Development Executive

Mobile: 336-430-7428

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

From:

Barry Alexander

sjalex47@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 11:40 AM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Solar Power for NC

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

There were good reasons for North Carolina to establish a regulated monopolies for electric power distribution. Contracting with Duke Energy to provide this service guarantees Duke a reasonable return. It does not guarantee them unlimited power to control this market.

SP100 SUB 31

Duke's lawsuit against NC WARN and Faith Community Church is outrageous. The Utilities Commission should make that clear by explicitly allowing such solar implementations by third parties.

Barry Alexander

Save the Earth ... It's the only planet with chocolate.

--Anonymous

From:

Kirk Williams <kirk.williams107@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 11:46 AM

To:

Subject:

FW: Docket SP-100 Sub 31 Re Monolopies and Third Party Energy Salds LED

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

From: Kirk Williams [mailto:kirk.williams107@gmail.com]

Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 11:44 AM

To: statments@ncus.net

Subject: Docket SP-100 Sub 31 Re Monolopies and Third Party Energy Sales

Dear Utilities Commission:

I recently read Mr. Coker's article in the Charlotte Observer (Eliminate Duke's Grip on Renewables) and am very disturbed. Do you support such an unjust law and a bully monopoly? I guess if you follow the money, the truth be told.... and what about you? So let's stop playing politics (special influence or bribery) or religion (deniers of natural responses to the environment or that individual prosperity is God's gift), and greed (at the expense of the common good) and effect change and/or act as necessary to stop this non-sense.

Regards,

Kirk Williams 131 Ducks Way New Bern, NC 28562-9110 252-637-0100 land line

From:

Lee R. Nackman <lee@nackman.com>

Sent: To:

Subject:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:04 PM Statements

Comments on docket SP-100 Sub 31

NOV 23 2015

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

I am writing to urge the Commission to allow and encourage third-party financing of rooftop solar electricity generation. Current policy and law prohibiting this prevents useful competition in the power market and unnecessarily inhibits adoption of renewable energy technologies at a time when remediation of climate change caused by greenhouse gases is ever more urgent.

I understand that Duke Energy would like to maintain its monopoly on electricity generation, but the time is long past that this monopoly benefits the people of North Carolina.

Please do whatever you can to overturn this monopoly.

Thanks, Lee Nackman Chapel Hill

From:

isaac clark <isaacclark123@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:04 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

ref. docket SP-100 Sub31 Solar Freedom

NOV 23 2075
NOV 23 2075
N.C. UHIIINOS Commission

To the Comission:

If we are serious about reducing air pollution and greenhouse gases, we cannot allow Duke Energy to continue monopolizing the generating and selling of electricity in North Carolina.

Third party solar is the way North Carolina should be going. please change the law and rules to allow third party solar sales.

I would like to have a third party install solar equipment on my house.

Thank you,

Isaac W. Clark

From: Sent: Olivia Ludington <olivialudington@icloud.com>

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:09 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Greensboro church

5 PIOD SUB 31

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

I find it outrageous that Duke Energy has the gall to try to stop a church from using solar power. How dare them take this to court! They are a monopoly that is thwarting renewal energy in this state. Please stop them! We need you as our representatives to make them do the right thing.

Thank you, Livy Ludington 919 929-2259

Sent from my iPhone

From: Sent:

Christine Westfall <chwestfall@gmail.com> Monday, November 23, 2015 12:20 PM

To: Subject: Statements support for NC WARN in docket \$P-100 Sub 31

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilies Commission

Dear NC Utilities Commissioners:

I'm writing to express strong support for the position of the non-profit group NC WARN in docket SP-100 Sub 31.

This is the case in which Duke Energy is suing NC WARN over the installation and sale of solar power to a church in Greensboro. Duke's lawsuit is an immoral effort to stifle the growth of a clean, renewable solar power industry in North Carolina.

Duke Energy's current monopoly on the sale of electricity in our state is both unfair and against freemarket principals. If clean-energy businesses can offer a better, healthier energy alternatives to residents of North Carolina, they should be allowed to compete fairly in the marketplace. This is a core American value.

Please do the right thing in the case docket SP-100 Sub 31, and dismiss Duke Energy's lawsuit against NC WARN. I and many of my neighbors in Durham are following this case closely.

Best Regards,

Christine Westfall

Durham, NC

From:

Sent:

nandeans@att.net Monday, November 23, 2015 12:19 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Ref: docket SP-100 Sub 31

Importance:

High

END/STOP Duke Energy's Monopoly control of OUR Rooftops!!! Nan Deans

Charlotte NC

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE Smartphone

FILED

NOV 23 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

FILED

From:

Karen Anderson <kazola@mindspring.com>

Sent: Monda To: Stater

Subject:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:26 PM

Statements

Solar panels on Church

NOV 23 2015

Clark's Office

SP100 SUB 31

I am strongly in support of NC Warn's right to provide solar for a church. We need to broaden our base of energy producers and how this energy can be financed.

Duke Energy is being blatantly anti-environment and trying to crush the competition with all of its might. We must allow competition, innovation and all sustainable solutions.

Karen Anderson

From:

john lang <johnlang007@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:25 PM

To:

Subject:

Statements docket SP-100 Sub 31 FILED

NOV 23 2015

Clark's Office N.C. Utilise Commission

in reference docket SP-100 Sub 31

I support NCWARN in this case.

I do not believe DUKE ENERGY should continue to have a monopoly on the distribution and selling of electricity in North Carolina

They do not serve the interest of their customers including myself

They are desperately trying to prevent the transition to renewable energy sources

Why am I not allowed to sell my solar generated power to another entity of mychoice?

John Lang

From:

Pat Moore <jpmoore@carolina.rr.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:59 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Sustainable energy

SP100 SUB 31

N.C. Utilities Commission

Dear commissioners,

Please consider the health and low cost of sustainable energy options for our state, rather than continuing to support the monopoly power of Duke Energy to continue its fossil fuel plant building and rate hikes. The people of this state deserve better. Please use your power as commissioners to lead NC toward a clean energy future.

Sent from my iPad

From:

James Stilwell <jamesstilwell@bellsouth.net> Monday, November 23, 2015 12:59 PM

Sent:

To:

Statements

Subject:

Support

SPIOD SUB 31

I fully support the partnership with NC Warn and Faith Community Church.

FILED NOV 23 2015 Clerk's Office N.C. Unitides Commission

Jim Stilwell

Stilwell & Stilwell Inc.

www.jimstilwell.com

http://learningtodrawbuildings.googlepages.com

http://sites.google.com/site/floridaswildlifediscovered

jamesstilwell@bellsouth.net

828-275-3214

From:

Bobi Gallagher <bobi.gallagher@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:51 PM

To: Cc: Statements

Subject:

Bobi Gallagher sp-100 sub 31

MOV 23 2015

N.C. Uthities Commission

I am advocating for solar energy in North Carolina. Specifically, I believe it is legal for a nonprofit to sell solar power to another nonprofit since neither make a profit on the deal. I applaud NC WARN for creating a way for an African American community church to use solar energy - thus assisting the church financially environmentally.

North Carolina needs the freedom to extend the benefits of solar energy generation to all peoples, regardless of income.

Shame on Duke Energy for seeking an exorbitant \$120,000 punishment for NCWARN in its efforts towards environmental justice.

Bobi Lee Gallagher 120 Long Shadow Place Durham, NC 27713-9331

From:

Matthew Floding <mdfloding@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 1:02 PM

To: Subject: Statements open the market

MOV 2.3 205

Clark's Office

Stop the control and monopoly on energy production. Promote the free market, private initiative and cleaner energy options.

SPIOD SUB 31

Matthew Floding Durham, NC

From:

Marywinne <marywinne@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 12:31 PM

To: Subject: Statements

Duke energy's suit against NC Warn

NOV 2 3 2015

Clerk's Office

5P100 SUB 31 N.C.

North Carolina is one of only three states prohibiting third party solar panel sales. Isn't that embarrassing? What happened to free enterprise?

Duke monopoly is trying to grab it all for themselves while giving lip service to renewables. Duke will not be harmed by the few who wish to have solar panels on their roofs.

Do not approve Duke Power's energy grab.

Marywinne Sherwood

Sent from my iPad

From:

ncnoah@gmail.com on behalf of Norman C. Noah, Jr. <nnoah@nnpropertiesec.com>

Sent:

To:

Statements SOLAR

Subject:

SPIUD SUB 31

NOV 2 3 2015

Tell Duke Energy to leave the Church with it's Solar Power System alone. N.C. United Commission

Clark's Office

They are a bully and charging way too much for Electricity!

I have a 1KW Solar System at my house. Duke Energy made it the most frustrating thing I've ever went though to get it approved and setup.

Duke Energy is a bully and they should not interfeer with people who are installing systems to provide alternate energy.

Will they eliminate people using Generators during power outages?

It would not surprise me.

I support Solar and Green Energy..... Do you?

Norman C. Noah, Jr.

N&N Properties, of the Triad, Inc.

nnoah@nnpnc.com

www.nnpnc.com

336,499,6572

Fax 336.464.2052

From:

Jean <larson_jean@hotmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 1:25 PM

To:

Statements

Subject: Docket SP-100 Sub 31

FILED

NOV 2 3 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Commissioners:

Allowing third party sales of renewable energy will be good for NC citizens, good for the climate and good for moving our state forward into a modern energy future. I strongly support NC WARN's position in his case.

In appreciation, Jean Larson Leicester, NC

Sent from my iPad

From:

Dmw1nc@aol.com

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 1:28 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Duke Energy - NC Warn Case

FILED

NOV 2 3 2015

SP100 SUB 31

N.C. Utilities Commission

NC Utilities Commission:

Living in Asheville, Duke Energy is telling us here that they are replacing the coal generation facility at Lake Julian with two natural gas units. Duke is also telling us that they do not want to have to install a third natural gas unit which is totally dependent on future demand in the Asheville region.

Why is Duke on the one hand asking its customer to conserve to avoid having to build additional generation facilities yet at same time is exercising its current monopoly rights to prevent renewable energy startups such as NC Warn?

Seems to me that for Duke to avoid having to build a third natural gas unit at Lake Julian, it's rather obvious that other parties will have to take up the slack. Better with renewables from multiple sources than fossil fuels from Duke, so frankly I don't understand Duke's case in this and similar cases.

Sincerely,

David M. Williams Sr. Mechanical Design / Product Engineer Asheville, NC 28803 (828) 687-2835

From:

Eric Thomas <ericcthomas01@gmail.com>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 1:42 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Duke Energy and Rooftop Solar

SP100 SVB 31

NOV 2 3 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Duke Energy should not be able to dictate whether or not people or institutions can put up roof-top solar collectors to supplement or even replace the power they would ordinarily buy from Duke. Furthermore, they should be forced to buy power produced by these small scale solar farms as well as any larger scale solar farms as might be constructed by third parties.

Duke Energy is not interested in leading the way towards renewable energy. They shouldn't be allowed to stand in the way of progress.

Eric Thomas www.constellation-nc.com www.ericthomasmusic.com

From: Sent:

Edrianna <edrianna@bellsouth.net> Monday, November 23, 2015 1:44 PM

To:

Subject:

Statements NC WARN and Duke Energy

SPLOD SUB 31

NOV 2 3 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

The Commission should be encouraging third-party financing because it is consistent with state law promoting clean, affordable energy and with state law disallowing monopolies. A nonprofit organization selling solar power to another nonprofit should not be illegal.

Edrianna Stilwell

From:

Peggy Wilson <pwilson640@bellsouth.net>

Sent:

Monday, November 23, 2015 2:18 PM

To:

Statements

Subject:

Docket SP-100 Sub 31

FILED

NOV 2 3 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

I couldn't say it better myself:

"It was only a few weeks ago that Gov. Pat McCrory, a former Duke Energy employee, signed the bill that will eliminate state subsidies for alternative energy. The rationale was that clean energy could compete in the marketplace on its own. That argument might have teeth if there were actually competition.

"But there isn't. North Carolina is one of only four states with a law prohibiting third parties from selling electricity... Monopolies have no place in a democratic society, particularly when they are structural barriers to progress."

-Jeffrey Coker, in the Charlotte Observer

It is imperative to allow renewable energy competition if the US is to break the stranglehold of fossil fuels. Sincerely,

Peggy Wilson

Apex, NC

From:

Jim Kunz <jimkunz44@gmail.com> Monday, November 23, 2015 2:24 PM

Sent: To: Subject:

Statements

Solar Energy

50100 50B 31

2015

NOV 2 3 2015

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

In a state which has huge amounts of solar & wind energy available, it makes absolutely no sense not to develop these FREE resources, producing many clean jobs in the process. Duke Energy should have little to no input in discouraging these efforts. Everyone benefits from these efforts. James E. Kunz; New Bern, NC 28560

From:

Hart Pillow <hart.pillow@gmail.com> Monday, November 23, 2015 2:56 PM

Sent: To:

Statements

Subject:

Solar Test Case with NC WARN

FILED

NOV 2 3 2015

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Ladies and Gentlemen of the Commission,

As a retired professional living on a fixed income, I am in favor of allowing citizens to to two things in one action:

SP100 SUB 31

- 1. Extend the solar power usuage in our state for the purpose of reducing fossil fuel usage
- 2. Allow third parties to purchase solar power from a non-profit in order to allow the public to have the freedom to choose as well as to reduce their energy costs.

I think that what NC WARN and the church that had solar panels placed did is well within the spirit of the law.

Sincerely,

Armstrong H. Pillow

Armstrong Hart Pillow hart.pillow@gmail.com (336) 364-3004 229 Potluck Farm Road Rougemont, NC 27572

don't ask yourself what the world needs; ask yourself what makes you come alive. and then go and do that. because what the world needs is people who have come alive.

howard thurman

From: Sent:

Gus Preschle <exploor@triad.rr.com> Monday, November 23, 2015 3:35 PM

To:

Subject:

Statements reference docket SP-100 Sub 31

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilias Commission

Dear Sirs,

Please accept these comments and enter them in the public record. Close this case (reference docket SP-100 Sub 31) in favor of the Church.

Third party sales, net metering and related practices make good sense for North Carolina. At such low levels of market penetration, they do not threaten Duke Energy's business model or our grid. It is time to bring North Carolina into the 21st century and break up Duke Energy's monopoly on our power sales and distribution. it would be egregious to fine the Church and its sponsor.

Gus Preschle 7711 Lasater Road Clemmons. NC 27012

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software. https://www.avast.com/antivirus

Clerk's Office

From:

Sent:

Cassie Gavin <cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org>

Monday, November 23, 2015 3:38 PM

Statements To:

N.C. Utitides Commission Re: Comment Letter in Support of Petition by NC WARN, Docket No. SP-100, SUB 31 Subject:

Dear Ms. Mount.

I'm checking in to confirm that the letter from 18 groups re NCWARN docket was received - I see that its not yet up on the docket website - so wished to check.

Thank you, Cassie

On Thu, Nov 19, 2015 at 5:17 PM, Cassie Gavin <cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org> wrote: Dear Ms. Mount.

Please see the attached comment letter from 18 environmental and faith groups in support of the petition by NC WARN Docket No. SP-100, SUB 31.

The attached letter is from Appalachian Voices, Canary Coalition, Clean Air Carolina, Environment North Carolina, Holy Covenant United Church of Christ, SHIFT the Climate, Little Tree Farm & Retreat Ministry, Muslim American Society of Charlotte, NC League of Conservation Voters. Action NC, NC Chapter of the Sierra Club, NC Conservation Network, Being There, Hindu Center of Charlotte, Greenpeace, Food & Water Watch, Universal Institute for Successful Aging of the Carolinas and Environmental Defense Fund.

Thank you for considering these comments,

Cassie Gavin, Director of Government Relations Sierra Club - NC Chapter cassie.gavin@sierraclub.org 19 W. Hargett Street, Suite 210 Raleigh, NC 27601 919.833.8467 x 104

Cassie Gavin, Director of Government Relations Sierra Club - NC Chapter cassie.qavin@sierraclub.orq 19 W. Hargett Street, Suite 210 Raleigh, NC 27601 $919.833.8467 \times 104$