

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Pablo Torres  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 29, 2022 9:44 PM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Pablo Torres

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Pablo Torres

### Email

l8adopter@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a residential solar system owner and I am asking you to protect residential solar system owners and the growth of the solar industry in NC Do not let Duke Energy alter net metering without conducting a true investigation of costs and benefits of rooftop solar first. Time of use billing will be a complexity nightmare for everyone. Don't let Duke Energy kill the industry.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Karen Tamayo  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 1:40 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Karen Tamayo

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Karen Tamayo

### Email

k.t.tamayo@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

it's not fair for Duke to change the value of my solar investment retroactively- I installed solar on my house with information given to me from Duke Energy and it seems they would be breaking their end of the deal should they change now. the Commission should do the cost-benefit analysis for rooftop solar that was required by law (HB 589) before changing net metering rules

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Miles Smith Jr.  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 2:48 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Miles Smith Jr.

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Miles Smith Jr.

### Email

milessmithjr@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

re: Duke Energy proposed net metering changes for residential rooftop solar owners I've had my solar system for almost 2 years; it's a moderate 8.3 kW solar system. Even though Duke's net metering agreement is better than some, they got off on the wrong foot with me with regard to the annual reset-to-zero of Excess Energy in the billing month that includes May 31. My two years of experience show April is the month of highest productivity for my system. Conveniently for Duke, the reset-to-zero of Excess Energy takes away my highest accumulation of "credits" each year just before the highest usage of the year in Raleigh, NC which is the cooling season. In my latest bill, 1,098 kWh of carried forward credits were erased. This is roughly 10% of my annual generation. According to the 2021 Duke Energy (DE) Annual Report, DE Carolinas and DE Progress serve around a combined 4.5 million residential, commercial, and industrial customers. The Save NC Solar coalition indicates that there are perhaps 37,000 rooftop solar installations in the Carolinas. I don't know the details of whether this number is residential-only or all of residential, commercial, and industrial. My point is that DE seems concerned that NEM customers aren't paying their "full fixed cost of service" and are a burden to the rest of DE's customers. It would seem that these 37,000 solar installations are on the order of 0.8% of DE's customers. And this is weighing all customers equally; my 2,050 square foot house uses a small portion of fixed grid infrastructure of any commercial or industrial customer so I would suggest that these 37,000 NEM customers use even less than 0.8% of DE's infrastructure. And lest I forget to say it, I pay a basic service charge of \$14 and a renewal energy rider of \$1.41 EVERY MONTH, even if my system generated all the energy I used. Finally, I want to be a reasonable customer and I do not need privileges because I have a solar system. But I would really like a fair shake. I believe that I am not the only consumer who is tired of feeling that big businesses in general and utilities in particular turn things to their advantage simply because they can. I am not a rich person looking for a tax break; I'm an average Joe who wants to do one of the few significant things available to me to directly help this poor dying planet by having solar panels on my roof. It is insulting (and, frankly, infuriating) to me to feel that I'm being held by my ankles and shaken by DE while the first ten pages of their annual report tells the world about how "The clean energy future is bright. We will build on this momentum, taking steps to ensure Duke Energy is well-positioned to lead the clean energy transformation, all while delivering sustainable value to you, our shareholders, and those we are fortunate to serve." It is not hard to miss that this message was addressed to their shareholders first, and "those [they] are fortunate to serve" second. Thank you for your time.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David Lighthall  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:01 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David Lighthall

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David Lighthall

### Email

scouterlight@aol.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Don't let Duke Energy rreduce the amount that they pay for my solar power payback. They are able to sell it to people that don't want to save ourplanet or can't afford the solar system for their home.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Carol Reed  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:28 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Carol Reed

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Carol Reed

### Email

crrreed@snet.net

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

We made the decision to 'go solar' at our home based first on the need to increase renewal energy generation but also based on the economics to us. Allowing Duke to change the rules in the middle of the game, rules that are already favorable to Duke, is not fair to us as citizens or consumers. We are proud to be using renewable energy because we believe it is right for the environment and for us. Based on my understanding of Duke's proposal the following changes would adversely affect the goals of NC in regards to energy production and our own personal goals: • higher fixed monthly fees • time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would not coincide with Duke's own actual peak demand • compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now), and at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents). Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of my solar investment after the fact. The plan we have was agreed to in writing by both Duke and us. Please, be fair to the citizens of NC who believe in the power of solar. Authorize and complete an investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you Carol Reed

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Angela Traxler  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 5:42 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Angela Traxler

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Angela Traxler

### Email

atrax1977@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Duke energy is making record profits while damaging our environment. If you raise the costs to Solar customers, it's going to deter people from investing in things that are good for our environment while taking more money out of your constituents hands at a time we need it the most.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Allison Gaynor  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 6:47 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Allison Gaynor

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Allison Gaynor

### Email

allisong0823@gmail.com

### Docket

E100 sub 180

### Message

We all invested in solar panels to reduce the dependency on coal and nuclear energy. Offering a better future for our environment. Duke Energy is single-handedly trying to punish all homeowners who made the significant investment in our future. Please do not let Duke unravel all of the good that the residence of this great state are doing to try to save the earth. These charges and fees will cripple and discourage others from making this investment. I appreciate your support on behalf of the homeowners in North Carolina.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

Taylor, Jeremy

---

**From:** Dennis Duncan  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 6:51 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Dennis Duncan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Dennis Duncan

### Email

dennis50c50@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please protect solar energy in North Carolina by conducting a thorough cost study of any Duke Energy proposal to change how their customers who generate solar power are treated. As an example, my roof top solar panels generated over 500 kWh of uncompensated electricity for Duke Energy this year. Investments in solar panels benefit all North Carolinians by reducing pollution while also reducing the need for additional Duke generating facilities. This is a cost savings for all Duke customers.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Brad DeGrow  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:17 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Brad DeGrow

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Brad DeGrow

### Email

fiveft\_seventeen@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a Cary NC resident with a rooftop solar system and am concerned the value of the investment will be impacted by changes to Duke net metering program. We all play a part protecting the environment and clean energy is one way that is currently financially accessible to homeowners.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** David E Shirley  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:22 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by David E Shirley

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

David E Shirley

### Email

david.shirleyjr@yahoo.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Stop Duke Energy from unfair charges on net metering. After investing \$30,000.00 in solar for my home, duke what's to change to rules. They just added a facility fee and a renewable fee to my bill increasing it by \$35.00 a month. Now they do not not want to give me credit I should receive for solar power I supply the grid. Duke Energy should not be allowed to change the economics of your solar investment decision after the fact. Existing customers should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Peter Alexander  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:24 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Alexander

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Peter Alexander

### Email

pkelalex@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

No changes to current Duke Energy Net Metering practices should be made. Individual Solar Panel homeowners should not have Net Metering arrangements changed after the fact. The NCUC should do all possible to encourage individual homeowners to install solar panels thereby helping the environment and limiting global warming.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kraig Bleeker  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:26 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kraig Bleeker

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kraig Bleeker

### Email

kraigbleeker@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To the members of the North Carolina Utilities Commission: My name is Kraig Bleeker, and I have been a resident of North Carolina for many years. I moved here to begin work at Bank of America headquarters in uptown Charlotte. My partner, Ashley Cates, was raised here in Charlotte and has lived here nearly her entire life (she worked for Atrium Health for over 20 years). I say this to point out that we are invested in this community and in the future of North Carolina. Ashley and I closed on our new home on October 29, 2021. This was the first time either of us had owned a home in many years and we were both excited about being able to install a new solar system on our roof to help reduce our own impact on the environment. We also weighed carefully the cost of the system and the details about Duke Energy's net metering program. After evaluating everything, we made the significant financial decision to invest in a new solar system from Top Tier Solar. We have recently started to see the benefit of having our system installed, and feel proud that we took this step to make a positive difference in North Carolina. But we also made this decision knowing that it was a significant cost that we would be paying back for the next 20 years. It is completely unfair and unethical for Duke Energy to "change the rules of the game" for solar customers / private providers like ourselves who just made a significant investment in our solar system. It feels we are now being told, "The contract and terms under which your system was sold to you are now changing, and it could have a serious negative impact on your long-term investment." This kind of behavior is completely unacceptable from Duke Energy, and we are demanding that the NCUC conduct a full cost-benefit study of rooftop solar to ensure other solar energy providers like Ashley and I are not harmed financially by any of Duke Energy's proposed changes. The legal grounds for Duke Energy to make a change to contracts with existing solar providers who invested in solar based on the previous terms seems dubious at best. Members of the community like Ashley and I, who are trying to make a positive impact on the environment, should not be penalized due to what appears to be corporate greed on the part of Duke Energy. Take a stand against this kind of behavior and conduct a full investigation. Ashley and I will stand united with other private solar providers across the Carolinas to ensure that Duke Energy honors the original terms under which we installed our system. You may reach me directly at any time at 605-610-7790. Kraig Bleeker

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Alexis Carpenter  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 7:53 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Alexis Carpenter

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Alexis Carpenter

### Email

311westbrookdr@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To whom it may concern: I am writing to ask that you do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Sincerely Alexis Carpenter

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Peter Brezny  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:00 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Peter Brezny

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Peter Brezny

### Email

peter.brezny@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

It's well past time that all utilities operating in NC are mandated to pay competitive rates for solar power produced by homeowners. It is a key component of embracing clean renewable energy. Duke's outrageous policies and quite frankly BS fees have taken a system that would have paid for itself had compensation continued under Progress energy's plan before the Duke take over. Now, for my sell all system, duke invoices me! for the privilidge of selling them all my power. It's outrageous that the NCUC would entertain anything less than competitive rate compensation at or above the national average for excess renewable energy produced by micro-power production systems, whether they be net-metered or 'sell all' configurations. Duke has an opportunity to incintivize the people to supply them power for sale, it's past time that was put into place. Reject any Duke proposal that doesn't include competitive compensation for power sent to the grid and mandate the elimination of meter fees for all solar customers. Thank you for your time. Peter Brezny Solar producing resident in Asheville NC.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Christine Brochowski  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:01 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Christine Brochowski

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Christine Brochowski

### Email

christinesuleyman@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 sub 180

### Message

Please reject Duke Energy's proposed changes to solar net metering contained in the petition submitted to the NC Utilities Commission on November 29, 2021. Please do everything possible to protect and promote the continued growth of rooftop solar in North Carolina by ensuring that any changes to our current solar net metering policies are fair to ratepayers and to all of the rooftop solar companies offering thousands of well-paying jobs across the state.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Eddy Quezada  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:03 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Eddy Quezada

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Eddy Quezada

### Email

ryanquezada95@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Reject the bill as Duke Energy only stands to gain more from individuals homes. Price increases have affected everyone through the United States. Power hungry companies only stand to gain more and pay less to the working Americans that are doing their part to help their communities and planet.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Patrick Mulvey  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:06 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Patrick Mulvey

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Patrick Mulvey

### Email

pmulvs@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

To whom it may concern, I recently learned that Duke Energy has petitioned NCUC to alter the current Net Energy Metering rate structure. Duke's petition claims that their in-house study proves solar customers are being subsidized by its non-solar customers, but their study has not been made public. I consider this an atrocity, carried out by a corporation in our state that is already considered a monopoly. NCUC has two main public responsibilities; ensuring that Duke fairly distributes the cost of operating its grid among its customers and ensuring that Duke meets NC's carbon reduction goals for their operations. I am demanding that, as an advocate for the public and solar, NCUC reject Duke's petition and commission an independent study to determine if the NEM rules should be changed. As a solar customer, I can personally say that these rules and regulations are going to affect me and my fellow citizens across our state in a negative manner. I further argue that the current NEM policy is a major benefit to our states fight against climate change and move to carbon neutral. Allowing Duke Energy to make these changes would directly conflict with the idea that solar generators are implemental in the fight for climate change and creating a carbon neutral environment in North Carolina. Our state has committed to 70% carbon neutral by 2030 and 100% by 2050. This new net metering rider is in direct conflict with the benefits of solar for an individual or business.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Michael B Marks  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:07 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Michael B Marks

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Michael B Marks

### Email

mmarksnp@gmail.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to urge rejection of Duke Power's request. This is a money grab from an already powerful utility at the expense of the customers being served. They want to reap the rewards of the private citizens investment in clean energy. It is wrong on multiple levels. NC House Bill 589 requires that the NCUC investigate the costs and benefits of rooftop solar before any changes to net metering are made, and that investigation has yet to be conducted. Duke Energy claims solar customers pay less than their fair share for using the grid, but that has not been proven, and some studies show the opposite. Reducing the value of solar will make it more difficult to achieve North Carolina's established climate goals. Thousands of rooftop solar jobs are at risk if Duke's proposal passes. The proposal is extremely complex, which could lead to unpredictable bill increases for solar customers. " North Carolina should retain its current, straightforward net metering policy. Depending on what the NCUC decides, existing solar customers could be forced onto the new plan as soon as 2027. The plan could include: Higher fixed monthly fees. Time-of-use billing where the price for the electricity bought from or exported to the grid would vary by time of day, with peak rates applying from 6-9pm (summer) or 6-9am (winter) when little solar power is being produced; this does not even coincide with Duke's actual peak demand. This is really double dipping into my pocket book. Compensation for excess solar exports at a wholesale rate (instead of rolling over from month to month as excess credits do now, you would be paid out for them at the end of each month, but at less than 3 cents per kilowatt-hour instead of retail rates of around 10 cents) Again, dipping directly into the consumer's (me) pocket book. Duke Energy SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO CHANGE THE ECOMOMICS OF MY INVESTEMENT AFTER the fact. Existing customers such as myself should be allowed to stay on their current net metering plan for the life of their system. Finally, lets not forget already approved rate hikes that Duke has coming in this decade. At a time when we are burdened with gas prices and record inflation, another UNFAIR AND UNCESSARY hit to the pocket book by a powerful utility such as Duke is simply wrong. Please decide on the side of the people of the state and not monopolistic energy giant out to maximize profits at the expense of the people they are supposed to serve. Thank you for your consideration. Michael Marks Arden, NC

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Ramsay G Latimer  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:28 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ramsay G Latimer

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ramsay G Latimer

### Email

RGLATIMER@AOL.COM

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am a residential solar panel owner since 2018. I am upset that Duke Energy is proposing changes to the net metering rules that have been in place since I purchased these panels. The result of these changes would certainly be to reduce the credit amount I receive for sending power to Duke's electric grid. Already it will take me 20 years to recoup my investment. I did not install these panels necessarily as a quick payback, but more because I thought I could make a small impact on the environment and to help Duke avoid further power plant expansion. It is not right to changes the rules after I made this decision, which Duke was a party to. Further, citizens need to be encouraged, not discouraged, to expand use of solar power. This change would discourage future installations. Do not approve this!

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Matthew Mearing  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:43 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Matthew Mearing

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Matthew Mearing

### Email

mamearing@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I have paid for my solar, and reducing the benefit I receive for them means I would have a negative investment. Doing so would also discourage others from getting solar panels. I understand Duke needs to maintain power lines, but it shouldn't come at the expense of people trying to help support them. Do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Andrew Beeghly  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 8:56 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Andrew Beeghly

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Andrew Beeghly

### Email

djbdoc@icloud.com

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please support no change to current net metering.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Bharadwaj Rajan  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:00 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Bharadwaj Rajan

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Bharadwaj Rajan

### Email

MODERN.SAGE@YAHOO.COM

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Please reject this proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. We are benefiting Duke Energy by sharing the energy generated. While the costs increase across the board, Duke Energy should think about paying the solar customers more for generating excess energy instead of reducing it. Again, please reject this proposal.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Ashley Cates  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:05 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ashley Cates

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ashley Cates

### Email

agramm01@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am urging you to reject Docket E-100 Sub 180 proposal and prevent Duke Energy from slowing the growth of solar in North Carolina. And to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC. Thank you for your serious consideration.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Christopher Gordon  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:06 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Christopher Gordon

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Christopher Gordon

### Email

cwg2000@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I am writing to urge you to reject Duke Energy's proposed changes to the net metering proposal. As a solar owner, I know that the current net metering agreement is weighted heavily in Dukes favor; to give them even more of an advantage is just an example of corporate greed. Duke's proposal will have the effect of suppressing the installation of roof top solar, costing jobs and making it more difficult to reach established climate goals. Please reject Duke's proposal.



**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** brad bell  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:16 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by brad bell

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

brad bell

### Email

bradbell@outlook.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Dear Commission Members, Please reject Duke Energy's proposed modification to net metering and the way that home solar systems are managed. Under their proposal, it will be cost prohibitive for families interested in new solar installs, which will hurt NC's transition to cleaner energy in addition to costing jobs when fewer homes make the switch to solar. For those of us who already have systems installed, many of us did-so not only for environmental reasons, but also financial. We made financial calculations based on the model set forth by Duke Energy and approved by the Utilities Commission with the expectation to get a certain return on our investments. By allowing Duke Energy to modify the net metering model in their favor, it will significantly prolong the time it takes to recuperate our costs, much less start making money off our investements. This would cause direct financial harm to the thousands of residents who already have rooftop solar installed. In many ways this proposed change is similar to learning of hidden fees after making a purchase. It's a bad business practice that the State has sought to eliminate from many other industries because it's not good for the consumer, often times predatory. Please, don't allow Duke Energy to hit us with 'hidden' fees after we have made our solar purchases. Respectfully, R. Brad Bell

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Charles McMillan II  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:18 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Charles McMillan II

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Charles McMillan II

### Email

chuckm0349@gmail.com

### Docket

E-100 Sub 180)

### Message

Tell them to do a true investigation of solar costs and benefits before making any changes to net metering in NC.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Tim Bolden  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:26 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Tim Bolden

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Tim Bolden

### Email

tim.bolden@iglass.net

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

I want to voice our opposition to Duke's net metering plan. The plan needs to be independently investigated. It is overly complicated and favors Duke Energy. The current plan is already unfair to small systems because of the built in base charges, demand charges, and yearly zeroing out of unused produced kwh. Our company owns two systems (should be one, but Duke required it to be two), the smaller system used 583 kwh from Duke last month and paid \$132 for it. That works out to 22.6 cents/kwh. Their proposed plan would be even worse. NCUC should require Duke to develop a simple plan that encourages the use solar energy, as opposed to predatory plan they have presented.

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Ed Bates  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:29 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Ed Bates

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Ed Bates

### Email

pettibone636@yahoo.com

### Docket

E-100 SUB 180

### Message

I am totally against the Duke Energy proposed changes to net metering. My rooftop solar system went active in July of 2018 which includes a 10 year contract with Duke Energy Carolinas. I purchased this system to plan ahead for my retirement, to have less bills. I just retired this year. I am on net metering that rolls over from month to month until May of each year as it resets to zero and Duke receives the excess kw's with no compensation to me.(approx 800 to 1200 kw's per year). If this passes the excess kw's should be paid back to me at what it would cost me to purchase from them,( ALL TAXES AND FEES INCLUDED!!) My base rate should not increase either, or any additional fees added. Why should I be penalized for helping the environment? I should be on net metering, kw roll over for the life of my system  
Best Regards, Edward T Bates, Jr

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Kevin S  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:31 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Kevin S

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Kevin S

### Email

ahswrestlingfool@yahoo.com

### Docket

Duke Energy's net metering proposal

### Message

We strongly OPPOSE Duke Energy's net metering proposal. The energy companies already do this in Texas and it's a disaster for clean energy. Accepting this proposal will hurt the progress being made to cut out fossil fuels and save the planet.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022

**Taylor, Jeremy**

---

**From:** Jean-Paul E Molyneaux  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 30, 2022 9:38 AM  
**To:** Statements  
**Subject:** Statement of Position Submitted by Jean-Paul E Molyneaux

## Statement of Position Submitted

### Name

Jean-Paul E Molyneaux

### Email

[jmolyneaux@gmail.com](mailto:jmolyneaux@gmail.com)

### Docket

Docket E-100 Sub 180

### Message

Given Nevada's roll back to Net Metering to ensure proper encouragement of Solar Projects, please investigate the total costs and benefits of solar production before making any changes to net metering in NC. We need to ensure that we have multiple paths towards energy production, unless we want to become like California.

OFFICIAL COPY

JUN 30 2022