Mount, Gail

official cory

From:

Gordon Ross Hutchison <gordonhutchison49@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, January 08, 2016 1:31 PM

To: Subject: Statements DOCKET NO. E100, SUB 141 FILED

JAN 08 2016

To the North Carolina Utility Commission and Public Staff:

Clark's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

I am submitting this e-mail with regards to case record: Docket No. E-100, SUB 141.

It has come to my attention that Duke Energy is proposing to charge a fee to people who refuse smart meter installation. In addition to this charge, Duke Energy plans to implement a monthly meter reading fee. Worse, the details on these fees are not available to the public.

After a lengthy review of the scientific evidence in this matter, I am appalled at Duke Energy's reckless determination to proceed with this plan despite the undeniable correlation between wireless radio-frequency radiation and the grave physiological consequences of exposure.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005, Section 1252, "smart meters", states that electric utilities shall provide such meters to customers who request them. That is, customers have the right to "opt in." We should not have to "opt out." http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-109publ58/html/PLAW-109publ58.htm

In consideration of my own current health issues and, more importantly, the fact that I have a young child, I strenuously object to Duke Energy's ill-advised plan to generate higher revenues at the expense of consumers of all ages.

Thank you for your consideration

Gordon Hutchison

Mount, Gail

From:

Isabel Calingaert <isabelcal@bellsouth.net>

Sent:

Friday, January 08, 2016 3:58 PM

To: Subject:

Statements

DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141

FILED

JAN 08 2016

Clerk's Office N.C. Utilities Commission

Subject: DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 141

To: The North Carolina Utilities Commission

I am writing to urge the Commission to reject any tariffs proposed by Duke Energy to be levied on consumers to opt-out of smart meter installation.

I am very concerned about the health effects of electromagnetic frequencies. There are many studies documenting disruption of human biological systems from EMF. Duke Energy has no basis to pronounce smart meters safe, and yet they want the consumer to pay to avoid a known health risk.

My family and I already have sensitivities to a number of environmental influences. We choose not to use cell phones and wifi to cut down on EMF radiation. Please oppose any plan by Duke Energy to force the public to accept technology which harms us.

Isabel Calingaert Chapel Hill, NC