STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION RALEIGH

DOCKET NO. E-100, SUB 190

BEFORE THE NORTH CAROLINA UTILITIES COMMISSION

In the Matter of Biennial

Consolidated Carbon Plan and Integrated

Resource Plans of Duke Energy Carolinas,

LLC, and Duke Energy Progress, LLC,

Pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 and § 62
110.1(c)

VERIFIED AMENDED

PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF

2023-2024 CARBON PLAN AND

INTEGRATED RESOURCE

PLANS OF DUKE ENERGY

CAROLINAS, LLC AND DUKE

ENERGY PROGRESS, LLC

Pursuant to N.C.G.S. §§ 62-110.1(c) & 62-110.9, the North Carolina Utilities Commission's ("Commission") December 30, 2022 *Order Adopting Initial Carbon Plan and Providing Direction for Future Planning* (the "*Carbon Plan Order*"), January 17, 2024 Order providing further direction regarding filing supplemental modeling in this proceeding, and consistent with Rule R8-60A, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC ("DEC") and Duke Energy Progress, LLC ("DEP" and together with DEC, "the Companies" or "Duke Energy"), through counsel, hereby submit this Verified Amended Petition for Approval ("Amended Petition") of their 2023-2024 Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plans (the "initial Plan") and Supplemental Planning Analysis (together with the initial Plan, the "CPIRP" or "Carolinas Resource Plan") to the Commission.

In support of this Amended Petition, the Companies respectfully show as follows:

¹ Order Scheduling Public Hearings, Establishing Interventions, and Testimony Due Dates and Discovery Guidelines, Requiring Public Notice, and Providing Direction Regarding Duke's Supplemental Modeling, Docket No. E-100, Sub 190 (Jan. 17, 2024) ("January 17 Order").

I. General Information

- 1. DEC and DEP are engaged in the generation, transmission, distribution, and sale of electricity to the public for compensation. The Companies also sell electricity at wholesale to municipal, cooperative, and investor-owned electric utilities, and such wholesale sales are subject to the jurisdiction of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC"). DEC and DEP are public utilities under the laws of North Carolina and are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission with respect to their operations in this State. The Companies are also authorized to transact business in the State of South Carolina, and each is a public utility under the laws of that State. Accordingly, their operations are also subject to the jurisdiction of the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("PSCSC").
- 2. The Companies' initial Plan, filed on August 17, 2023, along with the Supplemental Planning Analysis, being filed today, constitutes the 2023 Carolinas Resource Plan, or CPIRP, and satisfies all requirements of Rule R8-60A. This CPIRP marks the Companies' second Carbon Plan filing pursuant to Section I of Session Law 2021-165 (codified at N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9) and reflects the further refinement and directives ordered by the Commission in its Carbon Plan Order as well as those set forth in Commission Rule R8-60A.
- 3. In light of their dual-state utility operations and applicable triennial integrated resource planning ("IRP") requirements in South Carolina, the Companies also filed the 2023 Carolinas Resource Plan, including the initial Plan and Supplemental

Planning Analysis, with the PSCSC.² While the CPIRP presents an integrated plan to reliably serve all jurisdictions and customers, this Commission's consideration of the CPIRP will proceed independently of the PSCSC's statutory IRP review process.

4. The attorneys for the Companies, to whom all notice and other communications with respect to this Petition should be sent, are:

Jack E. Jirak
Jason A. Higginbotham
Kathleen H. Richard
Duke Energy Corporation
P.O. Box 1551/NCRH 20
Raleigh, North Carolina 27602
JEJ Telephone: (919) 546-3257
JAH Telephone: (704) 731-4015
KHR Telephone: (919) 546-6776
Jack.Jirak@duke-energy.com
Jason.Higginbotham@duke-energy.com
Kathleen.Richard@duke-energy.com

and

E. Brett Breitschwerdt

Tracy S. DeMarco
Nick A. Dantonio
McGuireWoods LLP
501 Fayetteville Street, Suite 500
PO Box 27507 (27611)
Raleigh, North Carolina 27601
EBB Telephone: (919) 755-6563
TSD Telephone: (919) 755-6682
NAD Telephone: (919) 755-6605
bbreitschwerdt@mcguirewoods.com
tdemarco@mcguirewoods.com
ndantonio@mcguirewoods.com

and

² See S.C. Code Ann. § 58-37-40(A) (directing Companies, as South Carolina-regulated electric utilities, to prepare and submit an integrated resource plan every three years). By Directive Order 2022-594, the PSCSC directed the Companies to file their IRPs with the PSCSC on August 15, 2023. As addressed in the Chapter NC Update being filed today as part of the Supplemental Planning Analysis, the Companies provide the Commission with an update on the PSCSC's ongoing parallel review of the Companies' dual-state Carolinas Resource Plan.

Brian L. Franklin McGuireWoods LLP 201 North Tryon Street Suite 3000 Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-2145 (704) 343-2078 bfranklin@mcguirewoods.com

and

Vishwa B. Link³
McGuireWoods LLP
Gateway Plaza
800 East Canal Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219-3916
(804) 775-4330
vblink@mcguirewoods.com

II. Planning Requirements for the CPIRP Pursuant to N.C.G.S. §§ 62-110.1(c) & 62-110.9

5. In developing the CPIRP, the Companies must comply with North Carolina's IRP statute,⁴ the State's Carbon Plan requirements,⁵ and Commission rules and directives addressing both IRP and Carbon Plan requirements.⁶ More specifically, N.C.G.S. § 62-110.1(c) requires the Commission to "develop, publicize, and keep current an analysis of the long-range needs for expansion of facilities for the generation of electricity in North Carolina." Section 62-110.9 directs the Commission to take all reasonable steps to achieve a seventy percent (70%) reduction in emissions of carbon dioxide ("CO₂") emitted in the State from electric generating facilities owned and operated

³ Ms. Link will seek leave to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding and will file the necessary documentation pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 84-4.1.

⁴ N.C.G.S. § 62-110.1(c).

⁵ *Id.* § 62-110.9.

⁶ Order Adopting Commission Rule R8-60a And Amending Commission Rules R8-60, R8-67, And R8-71, Docket No. E-100, Sub 191 (Nov. 20, 2023) (stating that the CPIRP is "deem[ed] . . . to be in compliance with subsection (f) of Rule R8-60A," which lists the "Contents of Biennial CPIRP."

⁷ N.C.G.S. § 62-110.1(c).

by electric public utilities from 2005 levels (the "Interim Target") by the year 2030 and carbon neutrality by the year 2050.

- 6. At a high level, N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 establishes three primary requirements, all of which must be satisfied in the Companies' proposed CPIRP and Commission-approved Carbon Plan to achieve the State's targeted CO₂ emissions reduction goals. First, the CPIRP must comply with current law and practice with respect to least-cost planning for generation.⁸ Second, any generation and resource changes adopted in the CPIRP must maintain or improve upon the adequacy and reliability of the existing grid.⁹ Finally, any new generation must be owned and recovered on a cost of service basis by the applicable electric public utility, except in the case of energy efficiency and demand-side management ("EE/DSM") programs, for which existing law applies, and in the case of solar generation, which is allocated according to the percentage specified in N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9(2)(b).¹⁰
- 7. Section 62-110.9 further instructs that in developing the CPIRP, the Commission has the discretion to "determine optimal timing and generation and resource mix to achieve the least cost path to compliance." In addition to this general discretion, N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 also specifies that the Commission has discretion with respect to the Plan "in order to allow for implementation of solutions that would have a more significant and material impact on carbon reduction." Lastly, N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 instructs that the Commission "shall not exceed the dates specified to achieve the authorized carbon

⁸ *Id.* § 62-110.9.

⁹ *Id.* § 62-110.9(1).

¹⁰ Id. § 62-110.9(2).

¹¹ *Id.* § 62-110.9(1).

¹² Id. § 62-110.9(4).

reduction goals by more than two years, except in the event the Commission authorizes construction of a nuclear facility or wind energy facility that would require additional time for completion" or to "maintain the adequacy and reliability of the existing grid." ¹³

8. Consistent with the provisions of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 and the *Carbon Plan Order*, the CPIRP presents to the Commission the Companies' initial proposed update to "review[] . . . and adjust[]" the Commission-approved Carbon Plan, presenting the next major steps in planning and executing the continued energy transition of the DEC and DEP systems. The CPIRP also represents the Companies' current IRP analysis to plan for adequate, reliable generation and demand-side resources to meet the long-range needs of the system and to plan for probable future growth of electricity in the Carolinas. Accordingly, the CPIRP holistically presents the Companies' current long-range resource plan and most up-to-date execution plan to reliably transition the Companies' generating fleets, including the reasonable steps for review and approval by the Commission to achieve the Interim Target on the least cost path to carbon neutrality.

III. <u>Duke Energy's Proposed Updated CPIRP (Carolinas Resource Plan)</u>

- A. Planning to Reliably Serve the Resource Needs of the Companies' Dual-State System
- 9. The Companies each operate as dual state utility systems serving both retail and wholesale customers across both North Carolina and South Carolina, and they are subject to regulatory oversight by both this Commission and the PSCSC. The *Carbon Plan Order* recognizes that the States and state regulators "have responsibility for resource

¹³ *Id*.

¹⁴ Carbon Plan Order at 34 ("[t]he Commission interprets the provisions of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 to require that it review an adjust as necessary the Carbon Plan every two years.").

¹⁵ N.C.G.S. § 62-110.1(c); see also N.C.G.S. §62-2(3a).

adequacy, determining the generation mix, and siting of transmission, distribution, and generation facilities."¹⁶ This Commission has also previously recognized the continued importance of coordinated dual state resource planning as the Companies "for many generations have provided reliable, efficient, and affordable electricity to the residents of both states."¹⁷

10. The CPIRP is a single, unified quantitative resource planning analysis applicable to the Companies' dual state systems that is specifically designed to meet the planning objectives of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 for customers and communities in North Carolina as the Companies continue to reliably plan and execute the energy transition in accordance with North Carolina law. In addition to advancing the initial Carbon Plan approved by the Commission in 2022, the CPIRP builds on the foundation of decades of reasonable and prudent utility planning practices jointly overseen by the Commission and the PSCSC. For example, the States are aligned on achieving a single "least cost path" for North Carolina and "most reasonable and prudent" plan for South Carolina that identifies the supply-side and demand-side resources required to reliably serve customers' capacity and energy needs over the next 15-year planning horizon (2024-2038). Setting the executable plan for the next 15-year "Base Planning Period" meets both North Carolina and South Carolina long-term planning requirements, while also looking beyond 2038 to

_

¹⁶ Carbon Plan Order at 121, citing, in part, Pac. Gas & Elec. Co. v. State Energy Res. Conservation & Dev. Comm'n, 461 U.S. 190, 205, 103 S. Ct. 1713, 75 L. Ed. 2d 752 (1983) ("Need for new power facilities, their economic feasibility, and rates and services, are areas that have been characteristically governed by the States.").

¹⁷ Order Accepting Withdrawal of Petition for Joint Proceeding, Docket Nos. E-2, Sub 1283 & E-7, Sub 1259 (Feb. 1, 2022).

¹⁸ See S.C. Code Ann. § 58-37-40(C)(2) (directing PSCSC, in its discretion, to consider whether the utility's IRP appropriately balances seven factors, including affordability and least cost, power supply reliability, diversity of generation supply, amongst others, when determining whether the Companies' IRPs represent the most reasonable and prudent means of meeting the utility's capacity and energy needs).

2050 to demonstrate continued compliance with N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9. Accordingly, the Companies' CPIRP meets the electricity needs and resource planning requirements of both States.

- 11. Utilizing established and reasonably-aligned planning practices while recognizing each State's independent regulatory authority and oversight of the Companies' resource planning and operations, the proposed CPIRP assesses a range of portfolios that will facilitate continued modernization of the Companies' systems and achieve the targeted reductions of CO₂ emissions from the Companies' North Carolina-sited generating fleet through a prudent, orderly, and cost-effective energy system transition on the most reasonable, least cost path towards carbon neutrality.¹⁹
- 12. The CPIRP is structured similarly to the Companies' 2022 proposed Carbon Plan and additionally incorporates distinct chapters for North Carolina and South Carolina that are targeted to highlight and explain the ways in which the legal requirements and policy considerations of each state are satisfied by the Carolinas Resource Plan.²⁰

B. Planning in a Rapidly Changing Energy Landscape

13. The CPIRP is based upon an updated "snapshot in time" that reflects numerous significant changes in the Carolinas energy landscape since the Companies developed and filed their initial proposed Carbon Plan in May 2022. These changes include, among other things, material increases to the Companies' load forecast and planning reserve margin, as well as changes to natural gas supply, technology costs, and

¹⁹ Carbon Plan Order at 45 (recognizing that factors beyond achieving carbon emission reductions should necessarily inform the Companies planning and siting of new resources).

²⁰ See CPIRP Appendix N (Cross Reference) identifies where the Carolinas Resource Plan addresses specific NCUC directives, requirements, and/or expectations set forth in Rule R8-60A and the 2022 Carbon Plan Order.

resource availability assumptions—all of which were assessed and developed to reflect real world conditions and to plan for the future. Recent significant shifts in market conditions, reliability events impacting the Carolinas such as Winter Storm Elliot, and the rapidly growing energy demands of customers in the Carolinas have informed the Companies' assessment of the most reasonable, least cost plan to execute the energy transition.

- 14. As highlighted in Chapter 1 (Changing Energy Landscape) to the initial Plan, the next decade is a critical execution phase for the Companies' electric system, and the CPIRP must chart a course to implement a diverse set of resources sufficient to maintain or improve reliability in light of both the resources to be retired and the projected growth in load that must be served. At the same time that load growth and reliability needs are increasing, the Companies are planning for an orderly transition out of coal-fired generation including the orderly retirement and replacement of over 8,400 MW of coal capacity by the end of 2035 to mitigate commodity price, transportation, and fuel security risks related to the electric utility industry's continued exit from coal. As highlighted in the Executive Summary and described throughout the CPIRP, the cumulative impact of the changing energy landscape described above has resulted in a material increase in aggregate capacity resource needs through this Base Planning Period as compared to previous resource plans.
- 15. Other recent changes in the energy landscape include the passage of significant federal legislation, including the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 ("IRA") and the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act of 2021 ("IIJA"), new proposed environmental regulations, and a dynamic macro-economic and inflationary environment impacting

²¹ See CPIRP Chapter 1 at 3.

supply chain and resource costs. Finally, the viability and timing of technology advancements along with growth in customers' desire for more renewables and the ability to optimize energy usage, are informing planning assumptions, in addition to carefully balancing the operating characteristics of renewable energy and resources that are complementary to them.

16. In sum, these recent and rapidly-occurring changes to the energy landscape have informed the Companies' CPIRP modeling and are shaping the most reasonable, least cost path to achieve the carbon reduction targets established in N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 while maintaining or improving upon the reliability of the system. To meet increased demand, replace retiring resources, and continue to reliably serve customers in a least cost manner, the CPIRP identifies the need to accelerate the pace of execution for adding new capacity resources to the system, which is consistent with the "check and adjust" framework established by N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9.

C. Stakeholder Engagement to Develop CPIRP and Execute the CPIRP

17. In developing the CPIRP, the Companies took into account stakeholder feedback gathered as part of a coordinated North Carolina-South Carolina IRP stakeholder engagement process. Specifically, the Companies engaged with the Public Staff, technical representatives, and other interested stakeholders over a series of five pre-filing stakeholder meetings to discuss the costs, inputs, and assumptions the Companies used to model the CPIRP. The Companies considered the recommendations of all active stakeholders—including feedback received at live stakeholder meetings and written feedback—and incorporated much of it in shaping each of the portfolios included in the CPIRP.²²

_

²² See CPIRP Appendix A (Stakeholder Engagement).

18. The Companies are also continuing to develop and execute targeted engagement plans to address the impacts of coal plant retirements on the communities they serve. 23 As a result, the Companies' stakeholder engagement plan includes targeted outreach to communities where the Companies have remaining coal facilities. Duke Energy is assisting these communities by identifying ways to mitigate the potential loss of tax base and employment due to expected coal plant retirements. In addition, Duke Energy plans to engage with communities directly impacted by large infrastructure projects, transmission lines and substations, and other new generation. The Companies' engagement with impacted communities includes customized strategies tailored to provide meaningful local engagement from those most impacted by a specific project. 24

D. Supplemental Planning Analysis

19. The initial Plan established the dynamic nature of the changing energy landscape, in particular impacts of the Carolinas' economic development successes, along with the migration of new residential customers and acceleration of transportation electrification. Since filing the initial Plan, North Carolina and South Carolina have experienced unprecedented economic development growth through 2023 that is well beyond the Companies' historical experience, resulting in substantial, material changes to the Companies' load forecast since the Companies prepared their initial Plan. As compared to the 2023 Spring Load Forecast, which the Companies used to develop the initial Plan, the peak load growth in the Updated 2023 Fall Load Forecast has increased by

²³ See Carbon Plan Order at 130 (directing the Companies to continue to develop targeted engagement plans for impacted communities, to enact these plans in the near term and to report to the Commission on these plans and the ensuing engagement with stakeholders).

²⁴ See CPIRP Chapter NC at 26-27 for additional details.

²⁵ G. Snider Supp. Direct Testimony at 2-4.

approximately 2,100 MW. And the rate of change in the projected peak load growth is even more stark when compared to the 2022 Carbon Plan proceeding—the current projected peak demand growth by 2030 is approximately eight times the peak load growth projected in the 2022 Carbon Plan proceeding over the same time horizon.²⁶

- 20. Given the magnitude of changes to the Companies' load forecast, the Companies filed the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Glen A. Snider on November 30, 2023 and notified the Commission on December 18, 2023²⁷ of the Companies' plans to develop the Supplemental Planning Analysis, being filed today, to further inform the Commission's ongoing review of the Carolinas Resource Plan. The Commission's January 17th Order approved the Companies' plan to file supplemental modeling and additional portfolio analysis as part of this proceeding, including directing the Companies to include a portfolio that achieved the Interim Target by 2030.²⁸
- 21. The Supplemental Planning Analysis evaluates the further material increases in annual electricity demand reflected in the Updated 2023 Fall Load Forecast now increasing 22% by 2030 and 25% by 2035 as compared with the 2022 planning cycles.²⁹
- 22. The Supplemental Planning Analysis builds on, but does not replace, the expansive modeling and portfolio analysis presented in the initial Plan. Specifically, the Supplemental Planning Analysis integrates the projected load increases from the Updated

²⁶ Supplemental Planning Analysis at 4-5.

²⁷ See Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC's and Duke Energy Progress, LLC's Plans for Development of Supplemental Portfolio Analysis and Supporting Testimony and Request for Adjustment to Procedural Schedule, Docket No. E-100, Sub 190 (filed Dec. 18, 2023) (outlining planned scope and timing of supplemental planning analysis).

²⁸ January 17 Order at 9 (Ordering Paragraph 11).

²⁹ Supplemental Planning Analysis at 3-4.

2023 Fall Load Forecast, as well as limited additional changes including updated information regarding natural gas fuel supply, resource availability, and financial assumptions (including resource costs). The Supplemental Planning Analysis confirms the need for the resources identified in the initial Plan and identifies additional incremental resources needed to reliably meet the increased load forecast while remaining on the least cost path to achieve the Interim Target and carbon neutrality by 2050.

E. CPIRP Pathways and Portfolios for Transitioning the Carolinas System

- 23. To meet the challenges and changes in the energy landscape, the Companies have developed a robust, executable CPIRP that meets the planning objectives of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9, reflects the Companies' significant ongoing efforts to progress the near-term actions and Execution Plan activities approved in the *Carbon Plan Order*, and incorporates stakeholder feedback. The CPIRP evaluates and develops portfolios of resources that include "power generation, transmission and distribution, grid modernization, storage, energy efficiency measures, demand-side management, and the latest technological breakthroughs." Successfully executing on the continued energy transition in the Carolinas will require an all-of-the-above strategy to implement a diverse set of resources sufficient to maintain or improve reliability. To that end, the CPIRP is a robust, executable resource plan for the Carolinas that both prioritizes meeting the replacement resource needs of the system while also planning for the projected load growth the Companies must serve.
- 24. As detailed in Chapter 2 (Methodology and Key Assumptions) of the initial Plan and Section 3 (Portfolio Additions and Analysis Results) of the Supplemental Planning Analysis, the Companies' proposed CPIRP now presents for the Commission's

_

³⁰ N.C.G.S. § 110.9(1).

consideration 40 portfolios designed to provide the Commission and stakeholders with a thorough evaluation of the potential effects that a variety of future conditions may have on optimal resource selection and portfolio performance. This evaluation is built on the Companies' primary resource planning objectives that should guide an orderly transition – maintain or improve reliability, compliance with laws and regulations, least cost planning and affordability, increasingly clean resource mix, resource diversity, accounting for executability and taking into account foreseeable conditions, uncertainties, and risks.³¹ This robust Portfolio analysis is built around three Energy Transition Pathways (achieving the Interim Target by 2030, 2033, or 2035) and include three Core Portfolios within each Pathway (along with an updated "P3 Fall Base" Core Portfolio for Pathway 3), 13 Portfolio Variants, 13 Sensitivity Portfolios, and ten Supplemental Portfolios³² to inform the prudent pace and least cost path for executing the energy transition. Each of the Pathways and Portfolios aggressively leverage demand-side and grid edge resources to shrink the challenge, requires significant near-term actions to enable the orderly retirement of the Companies' remaining coal units in North Carolina, and achieve the Interim Target in a reasonable timeframe. Likewise, each Pathway is designed to maintain or improve upon the reliability of the grid and to comply with mandatory North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") reliability standards.

25. Importantly, all three Energy Transition Pathways employ similar base assumptions, but require a different pace, scope and scale of resource additions to achieve

³¹ See CPIRP Chapter 2 (Methodology and Key Assumptions) Table 2-1.

³² Portfolio P1 Fall Supplemental meets the Commission's directive for the Companies to include in their Supplemental Planning Analysis a portfolio that achieves the Interim Target by 2030. *See* Order Scheduling Public Hearings, Establishing Interventions and Testimony Due Dates, Requiring Public Notice, and Providing Direction Regarding Duke's Supplemental Modeling, Docket No. E-100, Sub 190 (Jan. 17, 2024).

the Interim Target. As described in Chapter 3 (Portfolios) and Chapter NC of the initial Plan and Section 3 (Portfolio Additions and Analysis Results) of the Supplemental Planning Analysis, at this snapshot in time, the Companies recommend Pathway 3—and, specifically Portfolio P3 Fall Base developed through the Supplemental Planning Analysis—as the most reasonable, least cost, and least risk pathway to inform the near-term reasonable steps required to progress the reliable and orderly transition of the Carolinas system.³³ While still requiring an aggressive level of resource additions, Portfolio P3 Fall Base selects a balanced pace of new resource additions, including the addition of breakthrough advanced nuclear and offshore wind beginning in the mid-2030s,³⁴ with lower execution risk.

26. Pathway 3 achieves compliance with the Interim Target in 2035 at a lower cost and lower execution risk, while nevertheless requiring unprecedented project development activity. Pathway 3 also keeps the Companies squarely on the path towards achieving carbon neutrality by 2050³⁵ and pursues all reasonable steps on the least cost path to achieving the Interim Target and carbon neutrality. Therefore, the Companies support pursuing near-term actions that align with Pathway 3 as the most reasonable, least

³³ The limited purposes of "recommending" Portfolio P3 Fall Base under the N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 framework is to set a clear "reference portfolio" as that term is used in Rule R8-60A for other longer-term resource planning purposes and uses beyond the CPIRP. As recognized in the *Carbon Plan Order*, N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 does not require the Commission to pick a portfolio. Instead, the Commission is tasked with continuing to determine the reasonable steps required to execute the Carbon Plan and to achieve the Interim Target on the path to carbon neutrality. *See Carbon Plan Order* at 19, 25.

³⁴ As discussed in CPIRP Chapter 3, Chapter 4, and Chapter NC, Pathway 3 relies upon the addition of two advanced nuclear small modular reactors ("SMRs") that are planned to achieve commercial operation by the beginning of 2035 to achieve the Interim Target. These breakthrough nuclear technologies are not anticipated to be available for deployment by the Companies until the mid-2030s, and N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 provides the Commission discretion to adjust the timing of achieving the Interim Target where it authorizes construction of a nuclear facility or wind energy facility that would require additional time for completion. The Companies plan to seek Commission authorization to construct these SMRs in the intermediate term, beyond 2026. N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9(4).

³⁵ See id. at 9.

cost, least risk plan to reliably transition the system and prudently plan for the needs of their customers at this time.

27. In sum, the CPIRP and the Companies' underlying modeling presents a reasonable plan that complies with current law and practice with respect to the least cost planning for generation and appropriately achieves the objectives and CO₂ emissions reduction targets in N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9.

IV. <u>Proposed New Near-Term Supply-Side Development and Procurement Activities for Selection in the CPIRP</u>

- 28. The Commission's *Carbon Plan Order* approved a series of near-term actions as the initial "reasonable steps" that the Companies were authorized to pursue to achieve the planning objectives of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9.³⁶ Both N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 and the *Carbon Plan Order* contemplate the CPIRP development as an iterative process that allows the plan to be re-evaluated at least every two years and "adjusted as necessary in the determination of the Commission and the electric public utilities." The Companies developed their initial Carbon Plan to reflect this critical flexibility, asking the Commission to approve near-term actions that supported pursuit of the Companies' then-proposed pathways and portfolios.
- 29. In this CPIRP update proceeding, the Companies have reviewed and adjusted the initial Carbon Plan and developed an updated Execution Plan as described in Chapter 4 (Execution Plan) of the initial Plan and supplemented by Section 4 (Execution Plan Updates) of the Supplemental Planning Analysis (together, the "Execution Plan"). The Execution Plan is based on Energy Transition Pathway 3 that extends the Companies'

_

³⁶ Carbon Plan Order at 19, 25.

³⁷ N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9(1); *see also Carbon Plan Order* at 34 (acknowledging that the Commission must "review and adjust as necessary the Carbon Plan every two years[.]").

proposed near-term actions through 2026, which aligns with the end of the next CPIRP planning cycle. Previously selected resources as well as incremental new supply-side resources are supported by the Companies' modeling as necessary to meet demand growth while maintaining or improving reliability of grid in a least cost manner on path to achieving carbon reduction targets.

30. Specifically, the Companies are proposing and requesting Commission approval of the supply-side development and procurement activities through 2026 as set forth in Table SPA NC-2: Updated Proposed Near-Term Actions and Development Activities Informed by Supplemental Analysis, which supersedes the near-term actions identified in Chapter 4 of the initial Plan and is reproduced here:

Table SPA NC-2: Updated Proposed Near-Term Actions and Development Activities Informed by Supplemental Analysis³⁸

August NTAP Resource	August NTAP MW Amounts	Supplemental Incremental Resource MW Amounts	Total August NTAP + Supplemental Resource MW Amounts	Total August NTAP + Supplemental Proposed Near-Term Actions 2024–2026 and Development Activities	
Solar	6,000 by 2031	460 by 2031	6,460 by 2031	 Continue RZEP 1.0 projects and advance RZEP 2.0 projects.¹ 2024: Procurement targeting 1,585 MW of solar and solar paired with battery energy storage ("SPS") (approximate 2028 in-service date). 2025–2026: Procurements targeting approximately 2,700 to 3,460 MW of solar and dependent on RZEP 2.0 (approximate 2029-2030 in-service date) and future RFP attrition of procured solar. 	
Battery Storage ²	2,700 by 2031	175 MW of Standalone Storage now planned for Storage paired with Solar	2,700 by 2031	 2024 to 2026: Develop and study additional 475 MW of stand-alone battery storage incremental to 2022 NC Plan. 2024 to 2026: Target procurement of 965 MW of SPS (625 MW of SPS incremental to 2022 NC Plan). 	
Onshore Wind	1,200 by 2033	-	1,200 by 2033	 Select development partner(s), perform site feasibility studies and begin activities associated with siting and development for onshore wind projects.³ Submit interconnection requests into 2025-2026 DISIS interconnection clusters. 	
CT ⁴	1,700 by 2032	425 by 2031	2,125 by 2031	 2024: File Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CPCN") for 2 Marshall Advanced CTs at 900 MW (BOY 2029 in-service), submit air permits, begin transmission build-out engineering/modifications. 2024: Evaluate siting options and submit interconnection Study requests for 850 MW CT 3 & 4 (BOY 2030 in-service). 2025: File CPCN and air permit for 850 MW (CT 3 and 4) (BOY 2030 in-service). 2025: Evaluate siting options and submit interconnection request/GRR for 425 MW CT 5 (BOY 2031 in-service). 2026: File CPCN and air permit for 425 MW (CT 5) (2031 BOY in-service). 	

³⁸ Chapter NC Update at 5. Table SPA NC-2 is reproduced from Table SPA 4-1 in Section 4 (Execution Plan Updates) of the Supplemental Plan Analysis. See Chapter 4 (Execution Plan) and Table 4-2: Supply-Side Near-Term Actions Plan 2023 to 2026 for additional detail on near-term actions from the initial Plan.

August NTAP Resource	August NTAP MW Amounts	Supplemental Incremental Resource MW Amounts	Total August NTAP + Supplemental Resource MW Amounts	Total August NTAP + Supplemental Proposed Near-Term Actions 2024–2026 and Development Activities
CC ⁴	4,080 by 2031	2,720 by 2033	6,800 by 2033	 2024: File CPCNs for Person County Advanced CC1 and CC2 (each at 1,360 MW) (BOY 2029 & 2030 inservice, respectively); submit air permit, begin transmission build-out engineering/modifications. 2024: Submit Interconnection Requests for 2 CCs (Person County Advanced CC2 and SC-located CC3; 1,360 MW each; BOY 2030 and 2031 in-service, respectively). 2025: File SC Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Convenience and Necessity ("CECPCN") for CC3 (2031 in-service), submit air permit. 2025: Evaluate siting options and submit Interconnection Requests and/or GRR for 2 additional CCs (CC4 and CC5; 1,360 MW each; BOY 2032 and 2033 in-service, respectively). 2025: File CPCN and submit air permit for CC4 (2032 in-service). 2026: File CPCN and submit air permit for CC5 (2033 in-service). 2026: Begin transmission build-out engineering/modifications for CC4 & CC5 (BOY 2032 and 2033 in-service, respectively).
Pumped Storage Hydro ^{5,6}	1,700 by 2034	134 by 2034	1,834 by 2034	 2025: Subject to necessary regulatory guidance and support, target SC CECPCN. 2025 and 2026: File NC Out of State CPCN, file final FERC licensing application, prepare for construction.
Advanced Nuclear ⁶	600 by 2035	-	600 by 2035	 Site 1 – 2024 to 2026: Choose reactor technology, submit early site permit ("ESP"), develop construction permit/license application, contract with reactor vendor, and order long-lead equipment. Site 2 – 2025 to 2026: Develop and submit ESP.
Offshore Wind ⁶	-	2,400 by 2035	2,400 by 2035	 Conduct Acquisition Request for Information ("ARFI") with current Carolinas Wind Energy Area (off NC coast) lessees. Conduct stakeholder engagement and outreach in connection with ARFI. Report results of ARFI in next Carolinas Resource Plan filings. Continue limited development of onshore transmission to support offshore wind.

Note 1: RZEP 2.0 subject to local transmission planning process. See Carolinas Resource Plan Appendix L (Transmission System Planning and Grid Transformation).

Note 2: Battery Storage amount includes stand-alone battery development and SPS amounts. Annual targets may be adjusted during development.

Note 3: To achieve in-service capacities for onshore wind, the Companies will target higher development quantities to account for assumed levels of project attrition.

Note 4: The exact amounts, models, configurations and timing of CTs and CCs will depend on specific system needs and optimizing for execution.

Note 5: Bad Creek II Pumped Storage Hydro is projected to come into service by mid-2033; for planning purposes, the modeling reflects this resource coming into all portfolios at BOY 2034. Capacity was rounded up from 1,680 MW to 1,700 MW in initial Plan NTAP.

Note 6: The Companies note that with any long lead-time resource that results in a large, multi-year construction project, the recovery of the Companies' financing costs during the construction period is important to ensure strong credit ratings to facilitate the lowest possible financing costs for customers. In addition, recovery of financing costs during construction lowers the overall cost that customers pay over the life of the investment. When financing costs are recovered during the construction period, non-financing project costs are still included in customer rates only after the related project is in operation and providing service to customers, unless otherwise determined by the Commissions.

- 31. The need for these near-term supply side resources presented in the updated Near-Term Action Plan ("NTAP") is addressed in Chapter 3 of the initial Plan and Section 3 of the Supplemental Planning Analysis. The Companies also provide detailed execution plans in Chapter 4 of the initial Plan, as updated and amended in Section 4 of the Supplemental Planning Analysis. The Companies' proposed NTAP supports the required near-term development and procurement activities to pursue this diverse set of resources requested to be selected by the Commission. Additional resource-specific detail is also provided across numerous appendices to the CPIRP.³⁹
- 32. The 2023 NTAP, as updated and presented in Table SPA 4-1, extends the Companies' execution plans beyond the 2022 Carbon Plan planning period (which generally identified resources needed through 2030) and includes significant solar, battery energy storage, and new gas combustion turbine and combined cycle resources incremental to the resources selected and approved for development and procurement in the 2022 Carbon Plan. The NTAP also requests Commission selection and approval of 1,200 MW of onshore wind, planned to be developed in three annual tranches through 2026 as well as the 1,834 MW Bad Creek II pumped storage hydro facility. As highlighted in Chapter 4, the Companies plan to seek regulatory approvals to construct Bad Creek II in 2025 and to seek regulatory approvals for onshore wind projects in the intermediate term in the ordinary course of the development process.⁴⁰ Informed by the Supplemental Planning Analysis these incremental resources represent the minimum level of new supply-side procurement

³⁹ For additional detail, *see* CPRIP Chapter 4, Table 4-2 (Onshore Wind), Table 4-8 (Bad Creek II), and Table 4-12 (Advanced Nuclear). Appendix I (Renewables and Energy Storage) at I-3 (Bad Creek II) and 1-4 (Onshore Wind) and Appendix J (Nuclear) at J-10 also describe the planned initial development activities and costs through 2026 in more detail.

⁴⁰ See CPIRP Chapter 4, Table 4-8.

and development activities required in the near-term to bring online resources targeted for commercial operation in 2030-2033 and to reliably progress the Companies' plans to replace the Companies' remaining 8,400 MW of coal generation while simultaneously meeting the growing energy needs of our customers under Pathway 3.

- 33. The Plan also includes development activities to support advanced nuclear SMRs required to achieve the Interim Target with targeted in-service dates in 2034-2037, with the first SMR units proposed to be sited at Belews Creek. SMRs are still in earlier stages of development, and the Companies plan to seek Commission authorization to construct these initial SMRs in the intermediate term (i.e., beyond 2026). Accordingly, the Companies have not requested that the Commission select advanced nuclear SMRs in this first CPIRP update to the Carbon Plan. For the avoidance of doubt, however, all Pathways and Portfolios rely on adding breakthrough advanced nuclear SMRs as fundamental to the Companies' execution of the energy transition in the mid-2030s and to ultimately achieving carbon neutrality by 2050.
- 34. Although offshore wind was not selected in the Companies' Core Portfolio P3 Base, it was selected in all P3 portfolios under the Supplemental Planning Analysis, including the Companies' recommended Portfolio P3 Fall Base. As further addressed in Section 4 (Execution Plan Updates), the Companies are requesting Commission approval to issue an Acquisition Request for Information ("ARFI") in early 2025 to further assess the cost of procuring up to 2,400 MW of offshore wind located off the North Carolina coast.

V. Request for Assurances of Future Recoverability of Significant Development Costs of Zero-Carbon Generation Additions

35. In adopting the initial Carbon Plan, the Commission recognized the significant commitments and investments that would be required by the Companies to develop major capital intensive and longer-lead time resources that are currently projected to be needed to achieve the State's objectives. To that end, the *Carbon Plan Order* determined that it was reasonable and within the Commission's authority to pre-approve the Companies' decision to incur initial project development costs for purposes of Carbon Plan execution and to provide "reasonable assurance of recoverability in a future cost recovery proceeding, even if the resource is ultimately not selected by the Commission for the Carbon Plan." The Commission specifically authorized such action to support initial development of advanced nuclear SMR resources pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-110.7(b) and exercised its general regulatory authority under the Public Utilities Act for other non-nuclear resources. The Commission's authorization of initial development costs for Bad Creek II and SMR development in the *Carbon Plan Order* extended through 2024, which was the end of the near-term period for the initial Carbon Plan. The Commission of the initial Carbon Plan.

36. The CPIRP provides detailed updates regarding the Companies' long lead-time resource development activities pursuant to the Commission's authorizations and further identifies the additional development activities needed through 2026 to maintain timelines for select long lead-time resources (onshore wind, pumped storage hydro (Bad

⁴¹ Carbon Plan Order at 29.

⁴² *Id.* at 29. The CPIRP also provides the Commission with updates on initial development activities and costs incurred since the *Carbon Plan Order* was issued.

⁴³ *Id.* at 96 (SMRs) and 39, 97 (Bad Creek II).

⁴⁴ *Id.* at 96 (SMRs) and 39, 97 (Bad Creek II).

Creek II), and SMRs). Specifically, the Companies request the Commission now provide similar pre-approval for the Companies to incur the following increased project development costs to be incurred in 2024-2026: (1) up to \$64.5 million for the development of 1,200 MW of onshore wind planned to be in service by 2033;⁴⁵ (2) up to \$165 million for the development of pumped storage hydro at Bad Creek II from 2023 through 2026;⁴⁶ (3) up to \$75 million through 2024 plus an additional \$365 million⁴⁷ through 2026 for the development of advanced nuclear resources;⁴⁸ and (4) up to \$.14 million to develop and administer an ARFI to assess the assess the cost of procuring up to 2,400 MW of offshore wind located off the North Carolina coast. This development work is needed to ensure resources are available for the in-service dates identified in Portfolio P3 Fall Base and necessary to achieving the Interim Target.

VI. Near-Term Existing Supply-Side Activities

37. An important component of the Companies' Execution Plan is continuing ongoing efforts to optimize existing low carbon dispatchable and zero-carbon emitting baseload resources to provide the most value out of existing resources to customers and to optimize their contribution to achieving the Interim Target and progressing the energy transition. The Commission recognized the importance of pursuing all reasonable and cost-effective options to optimize the Companies' existing generating fleet in approving continuing the Companies' planning and execution of Subsequent License Renewals

⁴⁵ See CPIRP Appendix I, Table I-4.

⁴⁶ See CPIRP Appendix I, Table I-3.

⁴⁷ This amount is inclusive of the \$35 million cap previously requested and authorized in the initial Carbon Plan.

⁴⁸ See CPIRP Attachment J, Tables J-9 and J-10. The Companies' request for cost recovery assurances as to new nuclear resources is made pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-110.7.

("SLR") for the existing nuclear fleet as well as investments to enhance the flexibility of the existing natural gas fleet.⁴⁹

- 38. The *Carbon Plan Order* recognized that extending the operational life of the Companies' existing nuclear fleet is "foundational" to executing the Carbon Plan.⁵⁰ Accomplishing this objective requires the Companies to obtain federal regulatory approval of 20-year SLRs as well as to pursue cost-effective power uprates, measurement uncertainty recapture projects, and 24-month fuel cycle extensions that will increase the zero-carbon baseload output of the Companies' nuclear fleet over the next decade.⁵¹ The Companies have detailed planned execution activities in Chapter 4 and Appendix J, which include near-term investments through 2026 of approximately \$389.6 for power output expansion projects at the Companies' existing nuclear plants.⁵²
- 39. Similarly, as coal units are retired and the integration of renewable resources increases, the flexibility of dispatchable gas-fired resources will become an increasingly important resource for maintaining system reliability in a least cost manner. As referenced above, the Commission's *Carbon Plan Order* acknowledged this need and specifically directed the Companies to pursue expanding the flexibility of their existing natural gas fleet, targeting least cost projects that will maintain or improve upon the reliability of the system.⁵³ The Companies' initial Plan identified targeted and cost-

⁴⁹ Carbon Plan Order at 37, 67-68, 132 (Ordering Paragraphs 13-14).

⁵⁰ *Id.* at 37. *See also* Appendix J (Nuclear), Figure J-2 (depicting total carbon-free nuclear generation lost at the end of current operating licenses).

⁵¹ See CPIRP Chapter 4, Table 4-5; Appendix J at 6-8.

⁵² See CPIRP Appendix J, Table J-2.

⁵³ Carbon Plan Order at 64.

effective flexibility expansion projects at seven of their existing combined cycle facilities that will increase winter capacity by up to approximately 251 MW.⁵⁴

VII. Planning for Orderly Coal Unit Retirements

- 40. The Commission's *Carbon Plan Order* recognized the magnitude of the challenge the Companies face to retire 8,400 MW of coal capacity by the end of 2035 and found that the Companies are taking reasonable steps to meet this challenge.⁵⁵ The Commission also emphasized the importance of maintaining operational flexibility and reliability in the Companies' coal retirement plans, while requiring the Companies to keep the Commission apprised of the timing and scheduled coal unit retirements and show substantial justification for any delays from the planned unit retirement schedule.
- 41. As a result of the substantial increase in load forecast and increased planning reserve margin since filing of the initial Carbon Plan and the resulting significant increase in capacity needs to ensure reliability of the system, the Companies are proposing limited adjustments to certain coal unit retirement dates, as discussed in Chapter NC⁵⁶ of the initial Plan and updated in Section 3 and the Technical Appendix of the Supplement Planning Analysis. While the later coal unit retirement dates result in some coal capacity being online longer than initially projected in the initial Carbon Plan, the energy mix from coal will not significantly increase as the Companies will continue to use coal units on a limited basis to maintain system reliability as the Companies add lower-carbon emissions resources to meet load growth and reduce generation from coal.

⁵⁴ See CPIRP Appendix K at 10.

⁵⁵ Carbon Plan Order at 64.

⁵⁶ See CPIRP Chapter NC, Table NC-4 (presenting coal unit retirement schedule comparison to schedule approved in 2022 Carbon Plan).

42. Appendix F contains the Companies' Coal Retirement Analysis, which provides a comprehensive analysis of the Companies' unit retirement strategy as well as risks and challenges as the Companies plan for an orderly exit from reliance on coal-fired generation. In addition, the Companies conducted supplemental coal retirement analysis as part of the Supplemental Planning Analysis that resulted in a substantially similar retirement dates. The Companies' proposal—which continues to plan for retirement of all remaining 8,400 MW of coal capacity by 2035—is the most reasonable and appropriate path to retire coal units at this point in time to enable an orderly "replace before retire" approach to the energy transition that ensures reliability is maintained. As part of the Carbon Plan update confirming that the Companies are progressing on the least cost path to achieving the Interim Target, the Companies are seeking Commission approval of the Companies' updated coal unit retirement schedule in this proceeding as reasonable for planning purposes. The Companies also commit to keep the Commission apprised of the timing of scheduled coal unit retirements occurring in the near-term and to update the Commission in the next CPIRP in 2025.

VIII. Advancing Grid Edge and Customer Programs

43. The *Carbon Plan Order* recognized the continued importance of expanding grid edge resources and customer programs as a key component of Companies' strategy to "shrink the challenge" of transitioning the electric systems to a cleaner energy future.⁵⁷ Since the *Carbon Plan Order*, the Companies have continued to actively pursue their Grid Edge and customer program efforts through EE/DSM programs, revisions to the EE/DSM Mechanism, certain rate designs, voltage control efforts, renewable energy programs,

⁵⁷ Carbon Plan Order at 103.

electric transportation programs, and behind-the-meter generation and storage by filing for approval of a number of new related initiatives and/or engaging with stakeholders on the same.⁵⁸ As the Commission is aware, the Companies are engaged through the parallel comment cycle (in Docket Nos. E-100, Sub 179; E-7, Sub 1032; and E-2, Sub 931) to update the EE/DSM Cost Recovery Mechanism. As described in initial comments, the Companies are proud of the amount of consensus achieved to date and appreciate the meaningful and constructive engagement of Public Staff and all stakeholders. The Companies look forward to continued stakeholder engagement prior to the reply comment cycle and ultimately, a timely Commission decision to facilitate the advancement of EE/DSM programs. Finally, the Companies request that the Commission approve and find reasonable the Companies' continued use of 1% of eligible load annual utility energy efficiency savings in the CPIRP modeling as a base assumption, in addition to any sensitivity modeling to be directed by the Commission.

IX. Transmission System Planning

44. The *Carbon Plan Order* emphasized the importance of a coordinated transmission planning process to ensure that the initial Carbon Plan could be executed and that new generator interconnections would not negatively impact the adequacy and reliability of the existing grid in the Carolinas.⁵⁹ Since filing their 2022 Carbon Plan, the Companies have worked diligently to progress the ongoing transformation of the DEC and DEP transmission systems to support the energy transition, including continuing to be on or ahead of schedule for completing all fourteen (14) Red Zone Transmission Expansion

⁵⁸ See CPIRP Chapter 4, Table 4-16.

⁵⁹ Carbon Plan Order at 134 (Ordering Paragraph No. 37).

Plan ("RZEP") projects that the Commission acknowledged as needed to enable new solar and other resources required by the Carbon Plan.⁶⁰

- 45. In this CPIRP update, the Companies are seeking Commission acknowledgement of the need for a second phase of RZEP projects ("RZEP 2.0") that will be reviewed and considered by the Carolinas Transmission Planning Collaborative ("CTPC") as part of 2024-2034 local transmission planning process. RZEP 2.0 consists of three DEC and three DEP lines located in both North Carolina and South Carolina with projected in-service dates in approximately 2028 and 2029, that are expected to enable significant new solar resources in the late 2020s and early 2030s. These projects will be necessary to support solar procurements targeting approximately up to 3,150 MW of solar and solar paired with storage in 2025 and 2026 to achieve commercial operation of such resources in 2029. Absent CTPC approval in 2024-2025 enabling the Companies to proactively plan and execute the RZEP 2.0 upgrades, the Execution Plan identifies that it will be more prudent to pursue a lower 2,700 MW solar procurement target in 2025-2026 (1,350 per year).
- 46. The Carbon Plan Order also directed the Companies to provide the Commission with an update on plans for the CTPC to adopt revisions to the local transmission planning process. Appendix L provides a comprehensive update on these

⁶⁰ *Id.* at 116 ("conclud[ing] that the fourteen 2022 RZEP projects are necessary to achieve the carbon dioxide emissions reduction mandates of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 in a least cost manner").

⁶¹ See CPIRP Appendix L, Table L-7.

⁶² See id. at Figure L-2.

⁶³ See CPIRP Chapter 4, Table 4-2. As further described in Section 4 of the Supplemental Planning Analysis, the Companies are proposing to increase the 2024-2026 solar procurement target by 460 MW to address recently experienced attrition, which may support an incrementally higher procurement target even if the RZEP 2.0 projects are not approved by the CTPC.

efforts, which will increase transparency and opportunities for engagement and coordination with stakeholders.⁶⁴

X. Conclusion and Request for Relief

The Companies' CPIRP update provides a comprehensive and detailed IRP analysis over the long-term and a reasonable execution plan for the near-term that supports the Companies' continued energy transition in the current changing energy landscape. The Supplemental Planning Analysis also demonstrates that the higher forecasted demand resulting from the Carolinas economic development success requires additional resources to maintain or improve grid reliability while meeting increased demand and the CPIRP presents the most reasonable least-cost, least risk planning pathway and Execution Plan to meet these goals. The CPIRP is also designed to serve the Companies' dual-state systems and to achieve the State's carbon reduction goals established in N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 in a balanced and reasonable manner and to ensure reliable electric service for all of the Companies' customers at affordable rates over the short and long term. Accordingly, DEC and DEP respectfully request that the Commission adopt the CPIRP and take the following actions:

(1) Affirm that the Companies' 2023-2024 CPIRP modeling, including the Supplemental Planning Analysis, is reasonable for planning purposes and presents a reasonable plan for achieving the State's authorized CO₂ emissions reductions targets in a manner consistent with the requirements of N.C.G.S. § 62-110.9 and prudent utility planning.

⁶⁴ See CPIRP Appendix L at 11-14.

- (2) Approve near-term supply-side development and procurement activities identified above for 2024-2026 (over and above the resources selected and approved in the 2022 *Carbon Plan Order*⁶⁵) and take the following specific actions:
- (a) Deem the following resources as being selected in the 2023 CPIRP, in all cases subject to the obligation to obtain a CPCN (where applicable) and require the Companies to keep the Commission apprised of material changes in assumed pricing or schedule:
 - (i) 235 MW of solar and solar plus storage⁶⁶ to be procured through an RFP conducted in 2024 (incremental to the 1,350 MW of solar and solar plus storage approved by the Carbon Plan Order for the same period to address experienced and forecasted attrition);
 - (ii) 2,700 to 3,460 MW of new controllable solar generation to be procured in RFPs conducted in 2025 and 2026 (subject to CTPC approval of RZEP 2.0 projects), a substantial portion of which is assumed to be paired with storage;
 - (iii) 1,100 MW of battery storage (targeting 475 MW stand-alone storage and 625 MW storage paired with solar incremental to the 1,600 MW storage approved in Carbon Plan Order) for procurement and development in 2024 to 2026 to achieve commercial operation by 2031;
 - (iv) 1,200 MW of onshore wind to achieve commercial operation by 2033;
 - (v) 1,325 MW of additional CTs to achieve commercial operation by 2031;
 - (vi) 5,600 MW of additional CCs to achieve commercial operation by 2033;

⁶⁵ See Carbon Plan Order at 79 (authorizing the Companies to plan for approximately 1,200 MW of new CC and 800 MW of new CT resources); at 133 (authorizing procurement 2,350 MW of new solar resources) at 133 (authorizing development and procurement of 1,000 MW of stand-alone storage and 600 MW of paired storage).

⁶⁶ The Companies' planned 2024 Solar and Solar paired with Storage RFP will target 400 MW of paired storage inclusive of both the remaining 340 MW of paired storage approved in the 2022 Carbon Plan Order along with an additional 60 MW of paired storage presented for Commission selection in this proceeding.

- (vii) 1,834 MW pumped storage hydro at the Bad Creek II facility to be placed into service by 2034.
- (b) Approve the Companies' plans to continue development activities in 2024-2026 to support the future availability of SMRs to ensure that these breakthrough technologies are available options for the Companies' customers on the timelines identified in the Plan;
- (c) Approve the Companies' plans to pursue activities in 2024-2026 to support the acquisition and future availability of offshore wind by issuing an ARFI in early 2025 for up to 2,400 MW of offshore wind off the coast of North Carolina to better determine the cost and availability of offshore wind resource options for the Companies' customers on the timelines identified in the Plan;
- (d) Make the following additional determinations with respect to the initial development activities for onshore wind, pumped storage hydro, and advanced nuclear as described in Chapter NC:⁶⁷
 - (i) Engaging in initial project development activities for these resources is a reasonable and prudent step in executing the updated Carbon Plan and necessary to enable execution of onshore wind and Bad Creek II as well as potential selection of SMRs in the future to be available on the timeline for achieving the Interim Target identified in the Plan;
 - (ii) The Companies are authorized to incur project development costs up to \$64.5 million for the development of three annual tranches of onshore wind through 2026 for purposes of achieving 1,200 MW in service by 2033;
 - (iii) The Companies are authorized to incur project development costs up to \$165 million for the development of pumped storage hydro from 2023 through 2026;

⁶⁷ See CPIRP Chapter NC, Table NC-2 (providing a reconciliation of 2022 Carbon Plan and 2023 CPIRP near-term development activities and requests for pre-approval).

- (iv) The Companies are authorized to incur initial development costs up to \$1.4 million to develop and administer an ARFI to assess the assess the cost of procuring up to 2,400 MW of offshore wind located off the North Carolina coast;
- (v) Pursuant to N.C.G.S. § 62-110.7, the Companies are authorized to incur project development costs up to \$75 million through 2024 plus an additional \$365 million through 2026 for the development of advanced nuclear resources;
- (vi) The Commission's approval of the Companies' request to incur project development costs constitutes reasonable assurance of cost recoverability in a future general rate case subject to the Commission's review of the reasonableness and prudence of specific costs incurred in such future proceeding; and
- (vii) That in the event these long lead time resources are ultimately determined not to be necessary to achieve the energy transition and the CO₂ emission reduction targets, such project development costs will be recoverable through base rates over a period of time to be determined by the Commission at the appropriate time;
- (3) Approve proposed actions with respect to existing supply-side resources, including continued disciplined pursuit of SLRs and pursuing power uprate projects for the Companies' existing nuclear fleet as described in Appendix J as well as through the planned CC unit flexibility projects as described in Appendix K;
- (4) Approve the Companies' updated schedule for planned coal retirements in the near- and intermediate term supported in Appendix F and the Supplemental Planning Analysis as reasonable for planning purposes;
- (5) Approve and find reasonable the Companies' continued use of 1% of eligible load annual utility energy efficiency savings in the CPIRP modeling as a base assumption and that such target is reasonable and appropriate for future planning purposes;

- (6) Acknowledge the need for the RZEP 2.0 projects identified in Table L-7 of Appendix L; and
- (7) Grant such other and further relief as the Commission deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted, this the 31st day of January, 2024.

Jack E. Jirak

Jason A. Higginbotham

Kathleen H. Richard

Duke Energy Corporation

P.O. Box 1551/NCRH 20

Raleigh, North Carolina 27602

JEJ Telephone: (919) 546-3257 JAH Telephone: (704) 731-4015

KAR Telephone: (919) 546-6776

Jack.Jirak@duke-energy.com

Jason.Higginbotham@duke-energy.com Kathleen.Richard@duke-energy.com

E. Brett Breitschwerdt

Tracy S. DeMarco

Nick A. Dantonio

McGuireWoods LLP

501 Fayetteville Street, Suite 500

PO Box 27507 (27611)

Raleigh, North Carolina 27601

EBB Telephone: (919) 755-6563

TSD Telephone: (919) 755-6682

NAD Telephone: (919) 755-6605

bbreitschwerdt@mcguirewoods.com

tdemarco@mcguirewoods.com

ndantonio@mcguirewoods.com

Brian L. Franklin McGuireWoods LLP

201 North Tryon Street

Suite 3000

Charlotte, North Carolina 28202-2145

(704) 343-2078 bfranklin@mcguirewoods.com

Counsel for Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC

VERIFICATION

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
)	DOCKET NO. E-100 Sub 190
COUNTY OF WAKE)	

The undersigned, Glen Allen Snider, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Managing Director of Carolinas Integrated Resource Planning and Analytics; that he oversaw development of the foregoing Carbon Plan and Integrated Resource Plan of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC, including the Supplemental Planning Analysis, and knows the contents thereof; that the same are true of his own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to those matters, he believes them to be true.

Glen A. Snider

Sworn and subscribed before me

This 30 day of January 2024.

Notary Public

5. Jill Hamrick

- -,----

My Commission Expires: $8/\partial a/\partial a$

[SEAL]

S Jill Hamrick
NOTARY PUBLIC
Mecklenburg County, NC
My Commission Expires August 22, 2028